INF1060: Introduction to Operating Systems and Data Communication

Operating Systems:

Processes & CPU Scheduling

Pål Halvorsen

Wednesday, September 19, 2012

Overview

- Processes
 - -primitives for creation and termination
 - -states
 - context switches
 - -processes vs. threads

- CPU scheduling
 - -classification
 - -time slices
 - -algorithms





Processes

What is a process?

The execution of a program is called a process



Process table entry (process control block, PCB):

Process management	Memory management	File management	
Registers	Pointer to text segment	Root directory	
Program counter	Pointer to data segment	Working directory	
Program status word	Pointer to stack segment	File descriptors	
Stack pointer		User ID	
Process state		Group ID	
Priority			
Scheduling parameters			
Process ID			
Parent process			
Process group			
Signals			
Time when process started			
CPU time used			
Children's CPU time			
Time of next alarm			

Process Creation

- A process can create another process using the pid_t fork(void) system call (see man 2 fork) :
 - makes a **duplicate** of the calling process including a <u>copy</u> of virtual address space, open file descriptors, etc...
 (only PIDs are different – locks and signals are not inherited)
 - return value if ...
 - ...parent: child process' PID when successful, -1 otherwise
 - ...child: 0 (if successful if not, there will not be a child)
 - both processes continue in parallel

• Other possibilities include

- int clone (...) shares memory, descriptors, signals (see man 2 clone)
- pid_t vfork(void) suspends parent in clone() (see man 2 vfork)

Process Creation – fork()



Program Execution

- To make a process execute a program, one might use the int execve(char *filename, char *params[], char *envp[]) system call (see man 2 execve):
 - executes the program pointed to by filename (binary or script) using the parameters given in params and in the environment given by envp
 - return value
 - no return value on success, actually no process to return to
 - -1 is returned on failure (and errno set)
- Many other versions (frontends to execve) exist, e.g., execl, execlp, execle, execv and execvp (see man 3 exec)

Process Waiting

- To make a process wait for another process, one can use the pid_t wait(int *status) system call (see man 2 wait):
 - waits until *any* of the child processes terminates (if there are running child processes)
 - returns
 - -1 if no child processes exist
 - PID of the terminated child process and puts the status of the process in location pointed to by status

```
- see also wait4, waitpid
```

Process Termination

- A process can terminate in several different ways:
 - no more instructions to execute in the program unknown status value
 - a function in a program finishes with a return parameter to return the status value
 - the system call void exit(int status) terminates a process and returns the status value (see man 3 exit)
 - the system call int kill (pid_t pid, int sig) sends a signal to a process to terminate it (see man 2 kill, man 7 signal)
- A status value of 0 indicates success, other values indicate errors







1. Process blocks for input

- 2. Scheduler picks another process
- 3. Scheduler picks this process
- 4. Input becomes available

Context Switches

Context switch: the process of switching one running process to another

- 1. stop running *process 1*
- 2. storing the state (like registers, instruction pointer) of *process 1* (usually on stack or PCB)
- 3. restoring state of *process 2*
- 4. resume operation on new program counter for *process 2*
- essential feature of multi-tasking systems
- computationally intensive, important to optimize the use of context switches
- some hardware support, but usually only for general purpose registers
- Possible causes:
 - scheduler switches processes (and contexts) due to algorithm and time slices
 - interrupts
 - required transition between user-mode and kernel-mode

Processes vs. Threads

- Processes: resource grouping and execution
- Threads (light-weight processes)
 - enable more efficient cooperation among execution units
 - share many of the process resources (most notably address space)
 - have their own state, stack, processor registers and program counter



- no memory address switch
- thread switching is much cheaper
- parallel execution of concurrent tasks within a process
- No standard, several implementations (e.g., Win32 threads, Pthreads, C-threads) (see man 3 pthreads)

Example

```
#include <stdio.h>
#include <stdlib.h>
#include <svs/types.h>
#include <sys/wait.h>
#include <unistd.h>
int main(void) {
  pid t pid, n;
  int status = 0;
  if ((pid = fork()) == -1) {printf("Failure\n"); exit(1);}
  if (pid != 0) { /* Parent */
     printf("parent PID=%d, child PID = d^n,
                            (int) getpid(), (int) pid);
     printf("parent going to sleep (wait)...\n");
     n = wait(&status);
     printf("returned child PID=%d, status=0x%x\n",
                            (int)n, status);
     return 0;
  } else {
                 /* Child */
     printf("child PID=%d\n", (int)getpid());
     printf("executing /store/bin/whoami\n");
     execve("/store/bin/whoami", NULL, NULL);
```

[vizzini] > ./testfork
parent PID=2295, child PID=2296
parent going to sleep (wait)...
child PID=2296
executing /store/bin/whoami
paalh
returned child PID=2296, status=0x0

[vizzini] > ./testfork child PID=2444 executing /store/bin/whoami parent PID=2443, child PID=2444 parent going to sleep (wait)... paalh returned child PID=2444, status=0x0



Two concurrent processes running, scheduled differently

CPU Scheduling

- A task is a schedulable entity/something that can run (a process/thread executing a job, e.g., a packet through the communication request system or a disk request through the file system)
- In a multi-tasking system, several tasks may wish to use a resource simultaneously
- A scheduler decides which task that may use the resource, i.e., determines order by which requests are serviced, using a scheduling algorithm



- A variety of (contradicting) factors to consider
 - -treat similar tasks in a similar way
 - no process should wait forever
 - -short response times (time request submitted time response given)
 - maximize throughput
 - -maximum resource utilization (100%, but 40-90% normal)
 - -minimize overhead
 - -predictable access

Several ways to achieve these goals,but which criteria is most important?

- Most reasonable" criteria depends upon who you are
 - Kernel
 - Resource management and scheduling
 - processor utilization, throughput, fairness
 - User
 - Interactivity
 - response time (*Example:* when playing a game, we will not accept waiting 10s each time we use the joystick)
 - Predictability
 - identical performance every time (*Example:* when using the editor, we will not accept waiting 5s one time and 5ms another time to get echo)
- "Most reasonable" depends <u>upon environment</u>
 - Server vs. end-system
 - Stationary vs. mobile



. . .

"Most reasonable" criteria depends upon target system

- All systems
 - fairness giving each process a fair share
 - balance keeping all parts of the system busy
- Batch systems
 - turnaround time minimize time between submission and termination
 - throughput maximize number of jobs per hour
 - (CPU utilization keep CPU busy all the time)
- Interactive systems
 - response time respond to requests quickly
 - proportionality meet users' expectations
- Real-time systems
 - meet deadlines avoid loosing data
 - predictability avoid quality degradation in multimedia systems



- Scheduling algorithm classification:
 - dynamic
 - make scheduling decisions at run-time
 - flexible to adapt
 - considers only the actual task requests and execution time parameters
 - large run-time overhead finding a schedule

static

- make scheduling decisions at off-line (also called pre-run-time)
- generates a dispatching table for run-time dispatcher at compile time
- needs complete knowledge of the task before compiling
- small run-time overhead
- preemptive
 - currently executing task may be interrupted (preempted) by higher priority processes
 - preempted process continues later at the same state
 - overhead of contexts switching

non-preemptive

- running tasks will be allowed to finish its time-slot (higher priority processes must wait)
- reasonable for short tasks like sending a packet (used by disk and network cards)
- less frequent switches

Preemption

- Tasks waits for processing
- Scheduler assigns priorities
- Task with highest priority will be scheduled first
- Preempt current execution if
 - a higher priority (more urgent) task arrives
 - timeslice is consumed
 - ...
- Real-time and best effort priorities
 - real-time processes have higher priority (if exists, they will run)
- To kinds of preemption:
 - preemption points
 - predictable overhead
 - simplified scheduler accounting
 - immediate preemption
 - needed for hard real-time systems
 - needs special timers and fast interrupt and context switch handling



Why Spend Time on Scheduling?

- Optimize the system to the given goals
 - e.g., CPU utilization, throughput, response time, waiting time, fairness, ...
- Example: CPU-Bound vs. I/O-Bound Processes:



- Bursts of CPU usage alternate with periods of I/O wait

Why Spend Time on Scheduling?

- Example: CPU-Bound vs. I/O-Bound Processes (cont.) observations:
 - schedule all CPU-bound processes first, then I/O-bound



– schedule all I/O-bound processes first, then CPU-bound?

 possible solution: mix of CPU-bound and I/O-bound: overlap slow I/O devices with fast CPU



FIFO and Round Robin

FIFO:

Run to

- to completion (old days)
- until blocked, yield or exit
- Advantages
 - simple

Round-Robin (RR):

FIFO queue

- Each process runs a time slice
 - each process gets 1/n of the CPU in max t time units at a time
 - the preempted process is put back in the queue

Disadvantage

when short jobs get behind long

FIFO and Round Robin

- Example: 10 jobs and each takes 100 seconds
- FIFO the process runs until finished and no overhead (!!??)
 - start: job1: 0s, job2: 100s, ..., job10: 900s → average 450s
 - finished: job1: 100s, job2: 200s, ..., job10: 1000s → average 550s
 - unfair, but some are lucky
- RR time slice of 1s and no overhead (!!??)
 - − start: job1: 0s, job2: 1s, ..., job10: 9s \rightarrow average 4.5s
 - − finished: job1: 991s, job2: 992s, ..., job10: 1000s \rightarrow average 995.5s
 - fair, but no one are lucky
- Comparisons
 - FIFO better for long CPU-intensive jobs (there is overhead in switching!!)
 - but RR much better for interactivity!

But, how to choose the right time slice??

Case: Time Slice Size

Resource utilization example

- A and B run forever, and each uses 100% CPU
- C loops forever (1 ms CPU and 10 ms disk)
- assume no switching overhead
- Large or small time slices?
 - nearly 100% of CPU utilization regardless of size
 - Time slice 100 ms: nearly 5% of disk utilization with RR [A:100 + B:100 + C:1 \rightarrow 201 ms CPU vs. 10 ms disk]
 - Time slice 1 ms: nearly 91% of disk utilization with RR [$5x(A:1 + B:1) + C:1 \rightarrow 11 \text{ ms CPU vs. } 10 \text{ ms disk}$]
- What do we learn from this example?
 - The right time slice (in this case shorter) can improve overall utilization
 - CPU bound: benefits from having longer time slices (>100 ms)
 - I/O bound: benefits from having shorter time slices (≤ 10 ms)



Many Algorithms Exist

- First In First Out (FIFO)
- Round-Robin (RR)
- Shortest Job First
- Shortest Time to Completion First
- Shortest Remaining Time to Completion First (a.k.a. Shortest Remaining Time First)
- Lottery
- Fair Queuing
- **...**
- Earliest Deadline First (EDF)
- Rate Monotonic (RM)
- Most systems use some kind of *priority scheduling*

. . .

Priority Scheduling

- Assign each process a priority
- Run the process with highest priority in the ready queue first
- Multiple queues

- Advantage
 - (Fairness)
 - Different priorities according to importance



- Disadvantage
 - Users can hit keyboard frequently
 - Starvation: so should use dynamic priorities

Traditional scheduling in UNIX

- Many versions
- User processes have positive priorities, kernel negative
- Schedule lowest priority first
- If a process uses the whole time slice, it is put back at the end of the queue (RR)



priority =

CPU_usage (average #ticks)

- + nice (± 20)
- + base (priority of last corresponding kernel process)





Scheduling in Windows 2000, XP, ...

- Preemptive kernel
- Schedules threads individually
- Time slices given in quantums
 - 3 quantums = 1 clock interval (length of interval may vary)
 - defaults:
 - Win2000 server: 36 quantums
 - Win2000 workstation: 6 quantums (professional)
 - may manually be increased between threads (1x, 2x, 4x, 6x)
 - foreground quantum boost (add 0x, 1x, 2x): an active window can get longer time slices (assumed need of fast response)



Scheduling in Windows 2000, XP, ...

- 32 priority levels: Round Robin (RR) within each level
- Interactive and throughput-oriented:
 - "Real time" 16 system levels
 - fixed priority
 - may run forever
 - Variable 15 user levels
 - priority may change: *thread priority* = process priority ± 2
 - uses much \rightarrow drops
 - user interactions, I/O completions \rightarrow increase
 - Idle/zero-page thread 1 system level
 - runs whenever there are no other processes to run
 - clears memory pages for memory manager









0

Scheduling in Windows 8 (...server 2008, 7)



http://msdn.microsoft.com/en-us/ iisery/windows/desktop/ ms681917(v≈vs.85).aspx

- Still 32 priority levels, with 6 classes RR within each:
 - REALTIME PRIORITY CLASS
 - HIGH PRIORITY CLASS
 - ABOVE NORMAL PRIORITY CLASS
 - **NORMAL PRIORITY CLASS** (default)
 - BELOW NORMAL PRIORITY CLASS
 - IDLE PRIORITY CLASS
 - each class has 7 priorities levels with different base priorities
- Dynamic priority (can be disabled):
 - + foreground
 - + window receives input (mouse, keyboard, timers, ...)
 - + unblocks
 - if increased, drop by one level every timeslice until back to default
- Support for user mode scheduling (UMS)
 - each application schedule own threads
 - application must implement a scheduler component











0

Scheduling in Linux

- Preemptive kernel
- Threads and processes used to be equal, but Linux uses (from 2.6) thread scheduling
- SCHED FIFO
 - may run forever, no timeslices
 - may use it's own scheduling algorithm
- SCHED RR
 - each priority in RR
 - timeslices of 10 ms (quantums)
- SCHED OTHER
 - ordinary user processes
 - uses "nice"-values: $1 \le \text{priority} \le 40$
 - timeslices of 10 ms (quantums)









- realtime (FIFO and RR): qoodness = 1000 + priority
- timesharing (OTHER): goodness = (guantum > 0 ? guantum + priority : 0)
- *Quantums* are reset when no *ready* process has quantums left (end of *epoch*): quantum = (quantum/2) + priority

Scheduling in Linux

- The current kernels use the *Completely Fair Scheduler (CFS)*
 - addresses unfairness in desktop and server workloads
 - uses ns granularity, does not rely on jiffies or HZ details
 - uses an extensible hierarchical scheduling classes
 - SCHED_NORMAL the CFS desktop scheduler replace SCHED_OTHER
 - SCHED_BATCH similar to SCHED_OTHER, but assumes CPU intensive workloads
 - SCHED_RR and SCHED_FIFO (SCHED_RT)
 - uses 100 priorities
 - no run-queues, a red-black tree-based timeline of future tasks based on virtual time



 does not directly use priorities, but instead uses them as a decay factor for the time a task is permitted to execute

When to Invoke the Scheduler?

- Process creation
- Process termination
- Process blocks
- Interrupts occur

Clock interrupts in the case of preemptive systems



Future Chips: Something to think about!?

Future Chips: memory memory Intel's Single-chip controller controller **Cloud Computer E** (SCC) http://techresearch.intel.com/ProjectDetails.aspx?Id=1 memory memory controller controller What does 1 introduction of such processors mean in terms of scheduling? P54C core many cores L2 cache L1 cache different memory mesh access latencies router interface different connectivity unit P54C core L2 cache ... L1 cache message passing buffer



Processes are programs under execution

- Scheduling performance criteria and goals are dependent on environment
- The right timeslice can improve overall utilization
- There exists several different algorithms targeted for various systems
- Traditional OSes like Windows, UniX, Linux, ... usually uses a priority-based algorithm

