INF1060:

Introduction to Operating Systems and Data Communication

Operating Systems:

Memory

Thursday, October 3, 2013

Challenge – managing memory



Overview


- Hierarchies
- Multiprogramming and memory management
- Addressing
- A process' memory
- Partitioning
- Paging and Segmentation
- Virtual memory
- Page replacement algorithms

Memory Management

- Memory management is concerned with managing the systems' memory resources
 - allocating space to processes
 - protecting the memory regions
 - providing a virtual view of memory giving the impression of having more than the number of available bytes
 - different levels of memory in a hierarchy


Memory Hierarchies

- We can't access the disk each time we need data
- Typical computer systems therefore have several different components where data may be stored
 - different capacities
 - different speeds
 - less capacity gives faster access and higher cost per byte
- Lower levels have a copy of data in higher levels
- A typical memory hierarchy:



Memory Hierarchies







Memory Hierarchies



Storage Costs: Access Time vs Capacity



Storage Costs: Access Time vs Price



The Challenge of Multiprogramming

Many "tasks" require memory

several programs concurrently loaded into memory



memory is needed for different tasks within a process



 process memory demand may change over time



OS must arrange (dynamic) memory sharing

Memory Management for Multiprogramming


- Use of secondary storage
 - keeping all programs and their data in memory may be impossible
 - move (parts of) a processes from memory
- Swapping: remove a process from memory
 - with all of its state and data
 - store it on a secondary medium
 (disk, flash RAM, other slow RAM, historically also tape)
- Overlays: manually replace parts of code and data
 - programmer's rather than OS's work
 - only for very old and memory-scarce systems
- Segmentation/paging: remove part of a process from memory
 - store it on a secondary medium
 - sizes of such parts are fixed

Absolute and Relative Addressing

- Hardware often uses absolute addressing
 - reserved memory regions
 - reading data by referencing the byte numbers in memory
 - read absolute byte 0x000000ff
 - fast!!!
- What about software?
 - read absolute byte 0x000fffff (process A)
 - ⇒ result dependent of a process' physical location
 - absolute addressing not convenient
 - but, addressing within a process is determined during programming!!??

Relative addressing


- independent of process position in memory
- address is expressed relative to some base location
- dynamic address translation find absolute address during run-time adding relative and base addresses



Processes' Memory

On most architectures, a task partitions its available memory (address space), but for what?

environment variables at highest addresses



heap


pid

high address

Processes' Memory

For handouts

- On most architectures, a task partitions its available memory (address space), but for what?
 - a text (code) segment
 - read from program file for example by exec
 - usually read-only
 - can be shared
 - a data segment
 - global variables
 - static variables
 - heap
 - dynamic memory, e.g., allocated using malloc
 - grows against higher addresses
 - a stack segment
 - variables in a function
 - stored register states (e.g., calling function's EIP)
 - grows against lower addresses
 - system data segment (PCB)
 - segment pointers
 - pid
 - program and stack pointers
 - ...
 - possibly more stacks for threads
 - command line arguments and environment variables at highest addresses





Memory Layout

- Memory is usually divided into regions
 - operating system occupies low memory
 - system control
 - resident routines
 - the remaining area is used for transient operating system routines and application programs
- How to assign memory to concurrent processes?
- Memory partitioning
 - Fixed partitioning
 - Dynamic partitioning
 - Simple segmentation
 - Simple paging
 - Virtual memory with segmentation
 - Virtual memory with paging

0x000...

system control information


resident operating system (kernel)

transient area (application programs – and transient operating system routines)

0xfff...


Fixed Partitioning

- Divide memory into static partitions at system initialization time (boot or earlier)
- Advantages
 - very easy to implement
 - can support swapping process
- Two fixed partitioning schemes
 - equal-size partitions
 - large programs cannot be executed (unless parts of a program are loaded from disk)
 - small programs don't use entire partition (problem called "internal fragmentation")
 - unequal-size partitions
 - large programs can be loaded at once
 - less internal fragmentation
 - require assignment of jobs to partitions
 - one queue or one queue per partition
 - ...but, what if only small or large processes?



Dynamic Partitioning

- Divide memory at run-time
 - partitions are created dynamically
 - removed after jobs are finished
- External fragmentation increases with system running time



Dynamic Partitioning

- Divide memory in run-time
 - partitions are created dynamically
 - removed after jobs are finished
- External fragmentation increases with system running time
- Compaction removes fragments by moving data in memory
 - takes time
 - consumes processing resources
- Proper placement algorithm might reduce need for compaction
 - first fit simplest, fastest, typically the best
 - next fit problems with large segments
 - best fit slowest, lots of small fragments, therefore worst

Operating system 8MB
Process 5

Process 4 8MB

14MB

Process 3 18MB

16MB free

The Buddy System

- Mix of fixed and dynamic partitioning
 - partitions have sizes 2^k , $L \le k \le U$

Process 32kB

Process
256kB

- Maintain a list of holes with sizes
- Assigning memory to a process:
 - find smallest k so that process fits into 2^k
 - find a hole of size 2^k
 - if not available, split smallest hole larger than 2^k recursively into halves
- Merge partitions if possible when released
- ... but what if I now got a 513kB process?
 - ... do we really need the process in continuous memory?


Process
128kB
Process 32kB 32kB
32kB
64kB
Process
256kB
250KD
Process
256kB
050LD
256kB

Segmentation

- Requiring that a process is placed in contiguous memory gives much fragmentation (and memory compaction is expensive)
- Segmentation
 - different lengths
 - determined by programmer
 - memory frames
- Programmer (or compiler tool-chain) organizes program in parts
 - move control
 - needs awareness of possible segment size limits
- Pros and Cons
 - principle as in dynamic partitioning can have different sizes
 - no internal fragmentation
 - less external fragmentation because on average smaller segments
 - adds a step to address translation


Segmentation

- find segment table in register
- extract segment number from address
- 3. find segment address using segment number as index to segment table
- 4. find absolute address within segment using relative address



Paging

- Paging
 - equal lengths determined by processor
 - one page moved into one page (memory) frame
- Process is loaded into several frames (not necessarily consecutive)
- Fragmentation
 - no external fragmentation
 - little internal fragmentation (depends on frame size)
- Addresses are dynamically translated during run-time (similar to segmentation)
- Can combine segmentation and paging




Process 1


Virtual Memory


- The described partitioning schemes may be used in applications, but the modern OS also uses virtual memory:
 - early attempt to give a programmer more memory than physically available
 - older computers had relatively little main memory
 - but still today, all instructions do not have to be in memory before execution starts
 - break program into smaller independent parts
 - load currently active parts
 - when a program is finished with one part a new can be loaded
 - memory is divided into equal-sized frames often called pages
 - some pages reside in physical memory, others are stored on disk and retrieved if needed
 - virtual addresses are translated to physical (in MMU) using a page table
 - both Linux and Windows implements a flat linear 32-bit (4 GB) memory model on IA-32
 - **Windows**: 2 GB (high addresses) kernel, 2 GB (low addresses) user mode threads
 - **Linux**: 1 GB (high addresses) kernel, 3 GB (low addresses) user mode threads

Virtual Memory



Memory Lookup





1 1 0

(0x6004, 24580)


Example:

- 4 KB pages (12-bit offsets within page)
- 16 bit virtual address space → 16 pages (4-bit index)
- 8 physical pages (3-bit index)


4-bit index into page table virtual page = 0010 = 2

12-bit offset

Incoming virtual address (0x2004, 8196)



Memory Lookup



Page Fault Handling

- 1. Hardware traps to the kernel saving program counter and process state information
- 2. Save general registers and other volatile information
- 3. OS discovers the page fault and determines which virtual page is requested
- 4. OS checks if the virtual page is valid and if protection is consistent with access
- 5. Select a page to be replaced
- 6. Check if selected page frame is "dirty", i.e., updated. If so, write back to disk, otherwise, just overwrite
- 7. When selected page frame is ready, the OS finds the disk address where the needed data is located and schedules a disk operation to bring in into memory
- 8. A disk interrupt is executed indicating that the disk I/O operation is finished, the page tables are updated, and the page frame is marked "normal state"
- 9. Faulting instruction is backed up and the program counter is reset
- 10. Faulting process is scheduled, and OS returns to the routine that made the trap to the kernel
- 11. The registers and other volatile information are restored, and control is returned to user space to continue execution as no page fault had occured

Page Replacement Algorithms

Page fault → OS has to select a page for replacement

- How do we decide which page to replace?
 - → determined by the *page replacement algorithm*
 - → several algorithms exist:
 - Random
 - Other algorithms take into account usage, age, etc.
 (e.g., FIFO, not recently used, least recently used, second chance, clock, ...)
 - which is best???

First In First Out (FIFO)

- All pages in memory are maintained in a list sorted by age
- FIFO replaces the oldest page, i.e., the first in the list



Now the buffer is filld, changpagethauft Fedudbain a replacement


















Page most recently loaded

Page first loaded, i.e., FIRST REPLACED

- Low overhead
- Non-optimal replacement (and disc accesses are EXPENSIVE)
- → FIFO is rarely used in its pure form

Second Chance

- Modification of FIFO
- R bit: when a page is referenced again, the R bit is set, and the page will be treated as a newly loaded page




Second chance is a reasonable algorithm,
 but inefficient because it is moving pages around the list

Clock

- More efficient implemention Second Chance
- Circular list in form of a clock
- Pointer to the oldest page:
 - R-bit = 0 \rightarrow replace and advance pointer
 - R-bit = 1 → set R-bit to 0, advance pointer until R-bit = 0, replace and advance pointer

Reference string: A B C D A E F G H I



Least Recently Used (LRU)

Replace the page that has the longest time since last reference

Based on the observation that

pages that was heavily used in the last few instructions will probably be used again in the next few instructions

Several ways to implement this algorithm

Least Recently Used (LRU)

LRU as a linked list:

Reference string: A B C D A E F G H A C I

Now the buffer is **Problem (innortance in the light in th**

















Page most recently used

Page least recently used

- Saves (usually) a lot of disk accesses
- **Expensive** maintaining an ordered list of all pages in memory:
 - most recently used at front, least at rear
 - update this list <u>every memory reference</u> !!
- Many other approaches: using aging and counters

"Optimizing" paging...

- Every memory reference needs a virtual-to-physical mapping
- Each process has its own virtual address space (an own page table)
- Large tables:
 - 32-bit addresses, 4 KB pages →


1.048.576 entries

Virtual Address

Page #

TLB VPN PPN

- 64-bit addresses, 4 KB pages → 4.503.599.627.370.496 entries
- ➡ Translation lookaside buffers (aka associative memory)
 - hardware "cache" for the page table
 - a fixed number of slots containing the last page table entries
- Page size:
 larger page sizes reduce number of pthe TLB
- Multi-level page tables



Offset

Page Table

PTE


TLB miss: use this




Speeding up paging...



- Every memory reference needs a virtual-to-physical mapping
- Each process has its own virtual address space (an own table)
- Large tables:
 - 32-bit addresses, 4 KB pages \rightarrow 1.048.576 entries
 - 64-bit addresses, 4 KB pages → 4.503.599.627.370.496 entries
- ➡ Translation lookaside buffers (aka associative memory)
 - hardware "cache" for the page table
 - a fixed number of slots containing the last page table entries
- → Page size: larger page sizes reduce number of pages
- → Multi-level page tables





Many Other Design Issues

- Page size
- Reference locality in time and space
- Demand paging vs. pre-paging
- Allocation policies: equal share vs. proportional share


INF1060, Pål Halvorsen

Replacement policies: local vs. global

-

Allocation Policies

Page fault frequency (PFF): Usually, more page frames → fewer page faults



If the system experience too many page faults, what should we do?

Reduce number of processes competing for memory

- reassign a page frame
- swap one or more to disk, divide up pages they held
- reconsider degree of multiprogramming

Multi-level paging example:


Pentium

Paging on Pentium

- The executing process has a 4 GB address space (2³²) viewed as 1M (2²⁰) 4 KB (2¹²) pages
 - The 4 GB address space is divided into 1 K page groups (pointed to by the 1 level table – page directory)
 - Each page group has 1 K 4 KB pages
 (pointed to by the 2 level tables page tables)
- Mass storage space is also divided into 4 KB blocks of information
- Uses control registers for paging information

Control Registers used for Paging on Pentium

Control register 0 (CR0):




- Control register 1 (CR1) does not exist, returns only zero
- Control register 2 (CR2)
 - only used if CR0[PG]=1 & CR0[PE]=1


Page Fault Linear Address

Control Registers used for Paging on Pentium

- Control register 3 (CR3) page directory base address:
 - only used if CR0[PG]=1 & CR0[PE]=1



Control register 4 (CR4):




Page Size Extension: If CR4[PSE] = 1, the OS designer may designate some pages as 4 **MB**


Incoming virtual address (CR2) (0x1802038, 20979768)




Page directory:




Incoming virtual address (CR2) (0x1802038, 20979768)





Incoming virtual address (CR2) (0x1802038, 20979768)



Pentium Page Fault Causes

- Page directory entry's P-bit = 0: page group's directory (page table) not in memory
- Page table entry's P-bit = 0: requested page not in memory
- Attempt to write to a read-only page
- Insufficient page-level privilege to access page table or frame
- One of the reserved bits are set in the page directory or table entry

Summary

- Memory management is concerned with managing the systems' memory resources
 - allocating space to processes
 - protecting the memory regions
 - in the real world
 - programs are loaded dynamically
 - physical addresses it will get are not known to program dynamic address translation
 - program size at run-time is not known to kernel
- Each process usually has text, data and stack segments
- Systems like Windows and Unix use virtual memory with paging
- Many issues when designing a memory component