Semaphores

INF4140

13.09.12

Lecture 3

⁰Book: Andrews - ch.04 (4.1 - 4.4)

- Last lecture: Locks and Barriers (complex techniques)
 - No clear difference between variables for synchronization and variables for compute results.
 - Busy waiting.
- This lecture: Semaphores (synchronization tool)
 - Used easely for mutual exclusion and condition synchronization.
 - A way to implement signaling and (scheduling).
 - Can be implemented in many ways.

- Semaphores: Syntax and Semantics.
- Synchronization examples:
 - Mutual exclusion (Critical Section).
 - Barriers (signaling events).
 - Producers and consumers (split binary semaphores).
 - Bounded buffer (resource counting).
 - Dining philosophers (mutual exclusion deadlock).
 - Reads and writers (condition synchronization passing the baton).

- Introduced by Dijkstra in 1968
- Originates from railroad traffic synchronization
- Railroad semaphores indicates whether the track ahead is clear or occupied by another train



- Semaphores in concurrent programs work in a similar way
- Used to implement mutex and condition synchronization
- Included in most standard libraries for concurrent programming

Concept

- A semaphore is special kind of shared program variable
- The value of a semaphore is a non-negative integer
- Can only be manipulated by the following two atomic operations:
 - P: (Passeren) Wait for signal want to pass
 - effect: wait until the value is greater than zero, and decrease the value by one
 - V: (Vrijgeven)Signal an event release
 - effect: increase the value by one



Syntax and Semantics

• A semaphore is declared by either:

- sem s; default initial value is zero
- sem s = 1;
- sem s[4] = ([4] 1);
- The **P** operation
 - syntax: P(s)
 - implementation (semantics): < await (s > 0) s = s 1; >
- The V operation
 - syntax: V(s)
 - implementation(semantics): < s = s + 1; >

Important: No direct access to the value of a semaphore.
For instance a test like if (s==1) {...} is not allowed!

• Kinds of semaphores

General semaphore: possible values - all non-negative integers Binary semaphore: possible values - 0 and 1

• Fairness: as for await-statements. In most languages processes delayed while executing P-operations are awaken in the order they where delayed

Example: Mutual Exclusion (Critical Section)

Mutex implemented by a binary semaphore

```
sem mutex = 1;
process CS[i = 1 to n] {
    while (true) {
        P(mutex);
        critical section
        V(mutex);
        noncritical section
    }
}
```

Note:

- The semaphore is initially 1 and
- Always P before V \rightarrow Binary semaphore

Example: Barrier synchronization

Semaphores may be used for signaling events

Note:

- Semaphores are usually initialized to 0 and
- Signal with a V and then wait with a P

A set of semaphores may form a split binary semaphore if the sum of all semaphores never exceeds 1. Split binary semaphores can be used as follows to implement mutex.

- Consider a program with more than one binary semaphore
- Let one of these semaphores be initialized to 1 and the others to 0
- Let all processes call P on a semaphore, before calling V on (another) semaphore
- Then the code between the P and the V call will be executed in mutex.
 All semaphores will have the value 0.

Example: Producer/consumer with split binary semaphores

```
typeT buf; /* Buffer of some type T */
sem empty = 1, full = 0;
 process Producer{
                                    process Consumer{
       while (true){
                                           while (true){
                                              P(full):
           P(empty);
                                              result = buf;
           buf = data;
                                              V(empty);
           V(full);
                                                 . . .
       }
                                           }
 }
                                    }
```

Note:

- empty and full are both binary semaphores, together they form a split binary semaphore.
- This solution works if there are several producers/consumers, but buffer capacity might be a problem

- Previews example: the producer must wait for the consumer to empty the buffer before it can produce a new entry.
- It is a relatively simple task to generalize to a buffer of size *n*.
- We will use:
 - A ring-buffer represented by an array, and two integers rear and front.
 - General semaphores to keep track of the number of free slots



Example: Producer/consumer with increased buffer capacity

```
typeT buf[n]; /* Array av en type T */
int front = 0, rear = 0;
sem empty = n, full = 0;
 process Producer{
                                        process Consumer{
       while (true){
                                              while (true){
                                                 P(full):
           P(empty);
                                                 result = buf[front];
           buf[rear] = data;
                                                 front = front + 1 \% n;
           rear = rear + 1 \% n;
                                                 V(empty);
           V(full);
                                                     . . .
        }
                                               }
```

What if there are several producers or consumers?

Increasing the number of processes

- Let us consider a situation with several producers and consumers.
- New synchronization problems:
 - Avoid that two producers deposits to buf [rear] before rear is updated
 - Avoid that two consumers fetches from buf[front] before front is updated.
- Solutions
 - Introduce a binary semaphore mutexDeposit to deny two producers to deposit to the buffer at the same time.
 - Introduce a binary semaphore mutexFetch to deny two consumers to fetch from the buffer at the same time.

Example: Producer/consumer with several processes

```
typeT buf[T]; int front = 0, rear = 0;
sem empty = n, full = 0, mutexDeposit = 1, mutexFetch = 1;
 process Producer[i = 1 to M]{
                                        process Consumer[i = 1 to N]{
        while (true){
                                               while (true){
           P(empty);
                                                   P(full);
           P(mutexDeposit);
                                                   P(mutexFetch):
           buf[rear] = data;
                                                   result = buf[front];
           rear = rear + 1 \% n;
                                                   front = front + 1 \% n;
           V(mutexDeposit);
                                                   V(mutexFetch);
           V(full);
                                                   V(empty);
        }
                                               }
 }
```

Problem: Dining philosophers introduction

- Five philosophers sit around a circular table.
- One fork is placed between each pair of philosophers
- The philosophers alternates between thinking and eating
- A philosopher need two forks to eat.



¹image from wikipedia.org

INF4140 (13.09.12)

A sketch of the program may look like this:

```
process Philosopher [i = 0 to 4] {
    while (true){
        think;
        acquire forks;
        eat;
        release forks;
    }
}
```

We have to program the actions acquire forks and release forks

Example: Dining philosophers 1st attempt

- Let the forks be semaphores
- Let the philosophers pick up the left fork first

```
sem fork[5] = ( [5] 1)
process Philosopher [i = 0 to 4] {
    while (true) {
        think;
        P(fork[i]); P(fork[(i+1)%5]);
        eat;
        V(fork[i]; V(fork[(i+1)%5]);
        }
}
```



Deadlock?

Example: Dining philosophers 2nd attempt

To avoid deadlock, let Philosopher4 grab the right fork first.

```
sem fork[5] = ([5] 1)
process Philosopher [i = 0 to 3] {
      while (true) {
          think:
          P(fork[i]); P(fork[i+1]);
          eat;
          V(fork[i]; V(fork[i+1]);
       }
}
process Philosopher4 {
      while (true) {
          think:
          P(fork[0]); P(fork[4]);
          eat:
          V(fork[0]: V(fork[4]):
       }
}
```

Example: Readers/Writers overview

- Classical synchronization problem
- Reader and writer processes share a database
- Readers: reads from the database
- Writers: reads and updates the database
- Writers need mutually exclusive access
- When no writers have access, many readers may access the database

Example: Readers/Writers approaches

- Dining philosophers: Pair of processes competes for access to forks
- Readers/writers: Different classes of processes competes for access to the database
 - Readers compete with writers
 - Writers compete both with readers and other writers
- General synchronization problem:
 - Readers: must wait until no writers are active in DB
 - Writers: must wait until no readers or writers are active in DB
- We will look at two different approaches:
 - Mutex: easy to implement, but unfair
 - Condition Synchronization:
 - Using a split binary semaphore
 - Easy to adapt to different scheduling strategies

Example: Readers/Writers with Mutex (1)

```
sem rw = 1:
 process Reader [i = 1 to M] {
                                  process Writer [i = 1 to N] {
       while (true) {
                                              while (true) {
               . . .
                                                      . . .
          P(rw);
                                                  P(rw);
          Read from DB
                                                  Write to DB
          V(rw);
                                                 V(rw);
                                              }
       }
 }
                                        }
```

But: We want more than one reader simultaneously

Example: Readers/Writers with Mutex (2)

Give access to more than one reader

```
int nr = 0;  # number of active readers
sem rw = 1: # lock for reader/writer exclusion
 process Reader [i = 1 to M] {
                                            process Writer [i = 1 to N] {
       while (true) {
                                                   while (true) {
                                                            . . .
           < nr = nr + 1;
                                                      P(rw):
               if (nr == 1) P(rw); >
                                                       Write to DB
           Read from DB
                                                       V(rw):
           < nr = nr - 1;
               if (nr == 0) V(rw): >
 }
```

We have to make the entry and exit of the CS atomic

INF4140 (13.09.12)

Example: Readers/Writers with Mutex (3)

Fairness: What happens if we have a constant stream of readers?

Example: Readers/Writers with condition synchronization - overview

- The mutex solution solved two separate synchronization problems
 - Reader vs. writer for access to the database
 - Reader vs. reader for access to the counter
- We shall now look at a solution based on condition synchronization

Example: Readers/Writers with condition synchronization - invariant

- Let us try to find a reasonable invariant for the system.
- It must state that:
 - When a writer access the DB, no one else can
 - When no writers access the DB, one or more readers may
- Let us introduce two counters:
 - nr is the number of active readers
 - nw is the number of active writers
- The invariant may be:

RW: (nr == 0 or nw == 0) and nw <= 1

Example: Readers/Writers with condition synchronization - updating counters

We need code to update the counters

Reader: < nr = nr + 1; > Read from DB < nr = nr - 1; > Writer: < nw = nw + 1; > Write to DB

< nw = nw - 1; >

Put conditions in front of the atomic actions to preserve the invariant.

• Before increasing nr: (nw == 0)

• Before increasing nw: (nr == 0 and nw == 0)

Example: Readers/Writers with condition synchronization - without semaphores

```
int nr = 0, nw = 0;
## RW: (nr == 0 or nw == 0 ) and nw <= 1
 process Reader [i=1 to M] {
                                  process Writer [i=1 to N] {
   while (true) {
                                     while (true) {
                    . . .
                                                . . .
     < await (nw == 0)
                                       < await (nr == 0 and nw == 0)
        nr = nr + 1: >
                                        nw = nw + 1; >
     Read from DB
                                       Write to DB
     < nr = nr - 1; >
                                       < nw = nw - 1; >
                                       }
```

Example: Readers/Writers with condition synchronization - converting to split binary semaphores

Implementation of await-statements may be done by split binary semaphores.

- May be used to implement different synchronization problems with different guards B_1 , B_2 ...
- Use an entry-semaphore (e) initialized to 1
- For each guard B_i: associate one counter and one delay-semaphore, both initialized to 0
 - Semaphore: delay the processes waiting for B_i
 - Counter: count the number of processes waiting for B_i
- For the readers/writers problem, we need

three semaphores and two counters:

```
      sem e = 1;

      sem r = 0; int dr = 0;
      # condition reader: nw == 0

      sem w = 0; int dw = 0;
      # condition writer: nr == 0 and nw == 0

      INF4140 (13.09.12)
      Semaphores
      Lecture 3 30/34
```

Example: Readers/Writers with condition synchronization - converting to split binary semaphores (2)

- e, r and w form a split binary semaphore.
- All execution paths starts with a P-operation and ends with a V-operation \rightarrow Mutex
- We need a signal mechanism **SIGNAL** to pick which semaphore to signal.
- SIGNAL must make sure the invariant holds
- B_i holds when a process enters CR because either:
 - the process checks
 - the process is only signaled if B_i holds
- Avoid deadlock by checking the counters before the delay semaphores are signaled.
 - \mathbf{r} is not signalled (V(\mathbf{r})) unless there is a delayed reader
 - w is not signalled (V(w)) unless there is a delayed writer

Example: Readers/Writers with condition synchronization - with split binary semaphores

```
int nr = 0, nw = 0; int dr = 0; int dw = 0;
sem e = 1; sem r = 0; sem w = 0;
# RW: (nr == 0 \lor nw == 0 ) \land nw <= 1
 while (true) {
                 . . .
     # <await (nw == 0) nr = nr + 1;>
     P(e); if (nw>0) { dr=dr+1; V(e); P(r); }
     nr=nr+1; SIGNAL;
     Read from DB
     \# < nr = nr - 1; >
     P(e); nr=nr-1; SIGNAL;
```

Example: Readers/Writers with condition synchronization - with split binary semaphores (2)

```
process Writer [i=1 to N] {
  # Entry condition: nw == 0 and nr == 0
  while (true) {
                . . .
    # <await (nr == 0 and nw == 0) nw = nw + 1; >
    P(e): if (nr > 0 \text{ or } nw > 0)
             \{ dw=dw+1; V(e); P(w); \}
    nw = nw + 1; SIGNAL;
     Write to DB
    \# < nw = nw - 1; >
     P(e); nw = nw - 1; SIGNAL;
     }
```

}

Example: Readers/Writers with condition synchronization - with split binary semaphores (3)

SIGNAL