INF 5300 Advanced Topic: Video Content Analysis

Object detection

Asbjørn Berge



Salvador Dali (1974) Gala Contemplating the Mediterranean Sea Which at Twenty Meters Becomes the Portrait of Abraham Lincoln – Homage to Rothko (first version)



Small part of the Cydonia region, taken by the <u>Viking 1</u> orbiter and released by <u>NASA/JPL</u> on July 25, 1976

Mars Reconnaissance Orbiter image by its <u>HiRISE</u> camera of the "Face on Mars" Viking Orbiter image inset in bottom right corner.

Object Detection by classification: Motivation





Pictures from Romdhani et al. ICCV01



Basic component: a binary classifier



Car/non-car Classifier

Noyess, taacar.



If object may be in a cluttered scene, slide a window around looking for it.





Car/non-car Classifier



Fleshing out this pipeline a bit more, we need to:

- 1. Obtain training data
- 2. Define features
- 3. Define classifier









Car/non-car Classifier



- Consider all subwindows in an image
 - Sample at multiple scales and positions
- Make a decision per window:
 - "Does this contain object category X or not?"
- In this section, we'll focus specifically on methods using a global representation (i.e., not part-based, not local features).



Feature extraction: global appearance









Simple holistic descriptions of image content

grayscale / color histogram vector of pixel intensities



Eigenfaces: global appearance description

An early appearance-based approach to face recognition



Training images





Eigenvectors computed from covariance matrix

Generate lowdimensional representation of appearance with a linear subspace.



Project new images to "face space".

Recognition via nearest neighbors in face space

Turk & Pentland, 1991



Feature extraction: global appearance

• Pixel-based representations sensitive to small shifts





 Color or grayscale-based appearance description can be sensitive to illumination and intra-class appearance variation



Cartoon example: an albino koala



Gradient-based representations

• Consider edges, contours, and (oriented) intensity gradients





Gradient-based representations: Matching edge templates

• Example: Chamfer matching



Input image Edges detected

Distance transform Template shape Best match

At each window position, compute average min distance between points on template (T) and input (I).

$$D_{chamfer}(T,I) \equiv \frac{1}{|T|} \sum_{t \in T} d_I(t)$$

Gavrila & Philomin ICCV 1999



Gradient-based representations: Matching edge templates

Chamfer matching





Hierarchy of templates

Gavrila & Philomin ICCV 1999



Gradient-based representations

• Consider edges, contours, and (oriented) intensity gradients



- Summarize local distribution of gradients with histogram
 - Locally orderless: offers invariance to small shifts and rotations
 - Contrast-normalization: try to correct for variable illumination



Gradient-based representations: Histograms of oriented gradients (HoG) Orientation Voting Input Image Gradient Image Local Normalization



Map each grid cell in the input window to a histogram counting the gradients per orientation.

Code available: http://pascal.inrialpes.fr/soft/olt/

Dalal & Triggs, CVPR 2005



Gradient-based representations: SIFT descriptor



Code: http://vision.ucla.edu/~vedaldi/code/sift/sift.html Binary: http://www.cs.ubc.ca/~lowe/keypoints/

Lowe, ICCV 1999, Berg, Berg and Malik, 2005



Gradient-based representations: Rectangular features



Compute differences between sums of pixels in rectangles

Captures contrast in adjacent spatial regions

Similar to Haar wavelets, efficient to compute

Viola & Jones, CVPR 2001



Discriminative vs. generative





Discriminative vs. generative models

- Generative:
 - + possibly interpretable
 - + can draw samples
 - models variability unimportant to classification task
 - often hard to build good model with few parameters
- Discriminative:
 - + appealing when infeasible to model data itself
 - + excel in practice
 - often can't provide uncertainty in predictions
 - - non-interpretable



Discriminative methods

Object detection and recognition is formulated as a classification problem. The image is partitioned into a set of overlapping windows ... and a decision is taken at each window about if it contains a target object or not.



Bag of image patches



Discriminative methods



Shakhnarovich, Viola, Darrell 2003 Berg, Berg, Malik 2005...



LeCun, Bottou, Bengio, Haffner 1998 Rowley, Baluja, Kanade 1998



Heisele, Serre, Poggio, 2001,....



Viola, Jones 2001, Torralba et al. 2004, Opelt et al. 2006,...

Conditional Random Fields



McCallum, Freitag, Pereira 2000; Kumar, Hebert 2003

. . .



Binary classification



Classification function

 $\widehat{y} = F(x)$ Where F(x) belongs to some family of functions

Minimize misclassification error

(Not that simple: we need some guarantees that there will be generalization)



Example: Face detection

- Frontal faces are a good example of a class where global appearance models + a sliding window detection approach fit well:
 - Regular 2D structure
 - Center of face almost shaped like a "patch"/window



 Now we'll take AdaBoost and see how the Viola-Jones face detector works



Features

- Can a simple feature (i.e. a value) indicate the existence of a face?
- All faces share some similar properties
 - The eyes region is darker than the upper-cheeks.
 - The nose bridge region is brighter than the eyes.
 - That is useful domain knowledge
- Need for encoding of Domain Knowledge:
 - Location Size: eyes & nose bridge region
 - Value: darker / brighter







Feature extraction

"Rectangular" filters



Feature output is difference between adjacent regions

Efficiently computable with integral image: any sum can be computed in constant time

Avoid scaling images → scale features directly for same cost



Viola & Jones, CVPR 2001

SINTEF

Rapid computation of rectangular features



IMAGE

INTEGRAL IMAGE

0	1	2	3
1	4	7	11
2	7	11	16
3	11	16	21



Three goals for a face detector

- 1. Feature Computation: features must be computed as quickly as possible
- 2. *Feature Selection*: select the most discriminating features
- 3. *Real-timeliness*: must focus on potentially positive image areas (that contain faces)



Boosting

- Build a strong classifier by combining number of "weak classifiers", which need only be better than chance
- Sequential learning process: at each iteration, add a weak classifier
- Flexible to choice of weak learner
 - including fast simple classifiers that alone may be inaccurate
- We'll look at Freund & Schapire's AdaBoost algorithm
 - Easy to implement
 - Base learning algorithm for Viola-Jones face detector



Viola-Jones detector: AdaBoost

• Want to select the single rectangle feature and threshold that best separates **positive** (faces) and **negative** (non-faces) training examples, in terms of *weighted* error.



Resulting weak classifier:

$$\mathbf{\hat{h}}_{t}(\mathbf{x}) = \begin{cases} +1 & \text{if } f_{t}(\mathbf{x}) > \theta_{t} \\ -1 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

For next round, reweight the examples according to errors, choose another filter/threshold combo.



Boosting

Iteratively reweighting training samples.

Higher weights to previously misclassified samples.





SED mounteds



AdaBoost

- Stands for "Adaptive" boost
- Constructs a "strong" classifier as a linear combination of weighted simple "weak" classifiers



- Given example images $(x_1, y_1), \ldots, (x_n, y_n)$ where $y_i = 0, 1$ for negative and positive examples respectively.
- Initialize weights $w_{1,i} = \frac{1}{2m}, \frac{1}{2l}$ for $y_i = 0, 1$ respectively, where m and l are the number of negatives and positives respectively.
- For t = 1, ..., T:
 - 1. Normalize the weights,

$$w_{t,i} \leftarrow \frac{w_{t,i}}{\sum_{j=1}^{n} w_{t,j}}$$

so that w_t is a probability distribution.

- 2. For each feature, j, train a classifier h_j which is restricted to using a single feature. The error is evaluated with respect to w_t , $\epsilon_j = \sum_i w_i |h_j(x_i) - y_i|$.
- 3. Choose the classifier, h_t , with the lowest error ϵ_t .
- 4. Update the weights:

$$w_{t+1,i} = w_{t,i}\beta_t^{1-e_i}$$

where $e_i = 0$ if example x_i is classified correctly, $e_i = 1$ otherwise, and $\beta_t = \frac{\epsilon_t}{1 - \epsilon_t}$.

• The final strong classifier is:

$$h(x) = \begin{cases} 1 & \sum_{t=1}^{T} \alpha_t h_t(x) \ge \frac{1}{2} \sum_{t=1}^{T} \alpha_t \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

where $\alpha_t = \log \frac{1}{\beta_t}$

AdaBoost Algorithm

 Start with uniform weights on training examples
For T rounds



- Evaluate weighted error for each feature, pick best.
 - Re-weight the examples: Incorrectly classified -> more weight Correctly classified -> less weight
- Final classifier is combination of the weak ones, weighted according to error they had.

Freund & Schapire 1995



AdaBoost - Characteristics

- Features as weak classifiers
 - Each single rectangle feature may be regarded as a simple weak classifier
- An iterative algorithm
 - AdaBoost performs a series of trials, each time selecting a new weak classifier
- Weights are being applied over the set of the example images
 - During each iteration, each example/image receives a weight determining its importance



Feature selection

- Problem: Too many features
 - In a sub-window (24x24) there are ~160,000 features (all possible combinations of orientation, location and scale of these feature types)
 - impractical to compute all of them (computationally expensive)
- We have to select a subset of relevant features – which are informative - to model a face
 - Hypothesis: "A very small subset of features can be combined to form an effective classifier"
 - How?
 - <u>AdaBoost</u> algorithm







Relevant feature Irrelevant feature



AdaBoost – Feature Selection

Problem

- On each round, large set of possible weak classifiers (each simple classifier consists of a single feature) Which one to choose?
 - choose the most efficient (the one that best separates the examples the lowest error)
 - choice of a classifier corresponds to choice of a feature
- At the end, the 'strong' classifier consists of T features

Conclusion

- AdaBoost searches for a small number of good classifiers features (feature selection)
- adaptively constructs a final strong classifier taking into account the failures of each one of the chosen weak classifiers (weight appliance)
- AdaBoost is used to both select a small set of features and train a strong classifier



Now we have a good face detector

- We can build a 200-feature classifier!
- Experiments in original paper showed that a 200-feature classifier achieves:
 - 95% detection rate
 - 0.14x10⁻³ FP rate (1 in 14084)
 - Scanned all sub-windows of a 384x288 pixel image in 0.7 seconds (on Intel PIII 700MHz)
- The more the better (?)
 - Gain in classifier performance
 - Lose in CPU time
- Verdict: good & fast, but not enough
 - Competitors achieve close to 1 in a 1.000.000 FP rate!
 - 0.7 sec / frame **IS NOT** real-time.



() SINTEF

Cascading classifiers for detection



- Form a *cascade* with low false negative rates early on
- Apply less accurate but faster classifiers first to immediately discard windows that clearly appear to be negative


Training a cascade of classifiers

Keep in mind:

- □ Competitors achieved 95% TP rate, 10⁻⁶ FP rate
- These are the goals. Final cascade must do better!

Given the goals, to design a cascade we must choose:

- Number of layers in cascade (strong classifiers)
- Number of features of each strong classifier (the 'T' in definition)





A simple framework for cascade training

Do not despair. Viola & Jones suggested a heuristic algorithm for the cascade training:

- does not guarantee optimality
- □ but produces a "effective" cascade that meets previous goals

Manual Tweaking:

- □ overall training outcome is highly depended on user's choices
- □ select f_i (Maximum Acceptable False Positive rate / layer)
- □ select d_i (Minimum Acceptable True Positive rate / layer)
- □ select F_{target} (Target Overall FP rate)
- possible repeat trial & error process for a given training set

Until F_{target} is met:

Add new layer:

Until f_i , d_i rates are met for this layer

- Increase feature number & train new strong classifier with AdaBoost
- Determine rates of layer on validation set



A simple framework for cascade training

- User selects values for *f*, the maximum acceptable false positive rate per layer and *d*, the minimum acceptable detection rate per layer.
- User selects target overall false positive rate F_{target} .
- P = set of positive examples
- N =set of negative examples
- $F_0 = 1.0; D_0 = 1.0; i = 0$
- While $F_i > F_{target}$
 - *i*++

```
n_i = 0; F_i = F_{i-1}
```

```
while F_i > f \ge F_{i-1}
```

 $\circ n_i ++$

- \circ Use *P* and *N* to train a classifier with n_i features using AdaBoost
- \circ Evaluate current cascaded classifier on validation set to determine F_i and D_i

• Decrease threshold for the ith classifier until the current cascaded classifier has a detection rate of at least $d \times D$, (this also affects E)

a detection rate of at least $d \ge D_{i-1}$ (this also affects F_i)

 $N = \emptyset$

If $F_i > F_{target}$ then evaluate the current cascaded detector on the set of non-face images and put any false detections into the set *N*.









pros ...

Extremely fast feature computation

Efficient feature selection

Scale and location invariant detector

Instead of scaling the image itself (e.g. pyramid-filters), we scale the features.

Such a generic detection scheme can be trained for detection of other types of objects (e.g. cars, hands)

... and cons

Detector is most effective only on frontal images of faces
can hardly cope with 45° face rotation
Sensitive to lighting conditions
We might get multiple detections of the same face, due to overlapping sub-windows.



Viola-Jones Face Detector Live demo





Pedestrian detection

 Detecting upright, walking humans also possible using sliding window's appearance/texture; e.g.,



SVM with Haar wavelets [Papageorgiou & Poggio, IJCV 2000]



Space-time rectangle features [Viola, Jones & Snow, ICCV 2003]



SVM with HoGs [Dalal & Triggs, CVPR 2005]



A short detour: HOG features for pedestrian detection



Navneet Dalal and Bill Triggs, Histograms of Oriented Gradients for Human Detection, CVPR05





Navneet Dalal and Bill Triggs, Histograms of Oriented Gradients for Human Detection, CVPR05



A short detour: HOG features for pedestrian detection Weighted vote Contrast normalize Normalize Collect HOG's Person / Input Compute Linear into spatial & over overlapping gamma & over detection non-person ≻ image gradients SVM classification colour orientation cells spatial blocks window

Histogram of gradient orientations
-Orientation





Navneet Dalal and Bill Triggs, Histograms of Oriented Gradients for Human Detection, CVPR05



A short detour: HOG features for pedestrian detection Weighted vote Normalize Contrast normalize Collect HOG's Person / Input Compute Linear into spatial & gamma & over overlapping over detection non-person ≻ image gradients SVM classification colour orientation cells spatial blocks window 8 orientations $\in R^{840}$ X= 15x7 cells

Navneet Dalal and Bill Triggs, Histograms of Oriented Gradients for Human Detection, CVPR05



A short detour: HOG features for pedestrian detection







sign(0.16) = 1

=> pedestrian

Navneet Dalal and Bill Triggs, Histograms of Oriented Gradients for Human Detection, CVPR05



HOG Detector



Image descriptor

HOG

HOG descriptor weighted by pos. SVM neg. SVM weights



Window-based detection: strengths

- Sliding window detection and global appearance descriptors:
 - Simple detection protocol to implement
 - Good feature choices critical
 - Past successes for certain classes



Window-based detection: Limitations

- High computational complexity
 - For example: 250,000 locations x 30 orientations x 4 scales = 30,000,000 evaluations!
 - If training binary detectors independently, means cost increases linearly with number of classes
- With so many windows, false positive rate better be low



• Not all objects are "box" shaped







- Non-rigid, deformable objects not captured well with representations assuming a fixed 2d structure; or must assume fixed viewpoint
- Objects with less-regular textures not captured well with holistic appearancebased descriptions





• If considering windows in isolation, context is lost



Sliding window

Detector's view



- In practice, often entails large, cropped training set (expensive)
- Requiring good match to a global appearance description can lead to sensitivity to partial occlusions







A simple object detector with Boosting



http://people.csail.mit.edu/torralba/iccv2005/

Download

- Toolbox for manipulating dataset
- Code and dataset

Matlab code

- Gentle boosting
- Object detector using a part based model

Dataset with cars and computer monitors









Detector output



• Defines a classifier using an additive model:



• Defines a classifier using an additive model:

• We need to define a family of weak classifiers

 $f_k(x)$ from a family of weak classifiers



Boosting fits the additive model

$$F(x) = f_1(x) + f_2(x) + f_3(x) + \dots$$

by minimizing the exponential loss $J(F) = \sum_{t=1}^{N} e^{-y_t F(x_t)} \uparrow^{Training samples}$

The exponential loss is a differentiable upper bound to the misclassification error.



Exponential loss





Sequential procedure. At each step we add

 $F(x) \leftarrow F(x) + f_m(x)$

to minimize the residual loss



For more details: Friedman, Hastie, Tibshirani. "Additive Logistic Regression: a Statistical View of Boosting" (1998)



gentleBoosting

• At each iteration: We chose $f_m(x)$ that minimizes the cost:

$$J(F + f_m) = \sum_{t=1}^{N} e^{-y_t(F(x_t) + f_m(x_t))}$$

Instead of doing exact optimization, gentle Boosting minimizes a Taylor approximation of the error:

$$J(F) \propto \sum_{t=1}^{N} e^{-y_t F(x_t)} (y_t - f_m(x_t))^2$$
At each iterations we just need to solve a weighted least squares problem

For more details: Friedman, Hastie, Tibshirani. "Additive Logistic Regression: a Statistical View of Boosting" (1998)



Weak classifiers

- The input is a set of weighted training samples (x,y,w)
- Regression stumps: simple but commonly used in object detection.

$$f_m(x) = a[x_k < \theta] + b[x_k \ge \theta]$$

Four parameters: $[a, b, \theta, k]$
$$a = E_w(y [x < \theta])$$

fitRegressionStump.m



gentleBoosting.m





From images to features: Weak detectors

We will now define a family of visual features that can be used as weak classifiers ("weak detectors")



$$\rightarrow h_i(I, x, y)$$
—



Takes image as input and the output is binary response. The output is a weak detector.



Part based: similar to part-based generative models. We create weak detectors by using parts and voting for the object center location



These features are used for the detector in the gentleboost tutorial.



First we collect a set of part templates from a set of training objects.

Vidal-Naquet, Ullman (2003)





We now define a family of "weak detectors" as: $h_i(I, x, y) = [I \otimes P_i] * g_i$





We can do a better job using filtered images





Training

First we evaluate all the N features on all the training images.



Then, we sample the feature outputs on the object center and at random locations in the background:





Representation and object model

Selected features for the screen detector









Lousy painter







Representation and object model Selected features for the car detector













() SINTEF






Weak 'detector' Produces many false alarms.





Feature output Thresholded output



Strong classifier at iteration 1







output









Second weak 'detector' Produces a different set of false alarms.









Strong classifier at iteration 10







Demo of gentleBoost on the LabelMe dataset

Demo of screen and car detectors using parts, Gentle boost, and stumps:

> runDetector.m



Probabilistic interpretation

Generative model

```
p(features, object class)
```

• Discriminative (Boosting) model.

Boosting is fitting an additive logistic regression model:

$$p(object \ class \mid features) = \frac{1}{1 + e^{-\sum h_i(I,x,y)}}$$

It can be a set of arbitrary functions of the image

This provides a great flexibility, difficult to beat by current generative models. But also there is the danger of not understanding what are they really doing.



Weak detectors

Generative model

p(features, object class)

• Discriminative (Boosting) model.

Boosting is fitting an additive logistic regression model:





Object models

- Invariance: search strategy
- Part based



Here, invariance in translation and scale is achieved by the search strategy: the classifier is evaluated at all locations (by translating the image) and at all scales (by scaling the image in small steps).

The search cost can be reduced using a cascade.



Summary and reading materials

- Basic pipeline for window-based detection
 - Model/representation/classifier choice
 - Sliding window and classifier scoring
- Boosting classifiers: general idea
- Viola-Jones face detector
 - key ideas: rectangular features, Adaboost for feature selection, cascade
- Some reading suggestions:
 - Richard Szeliski: Computer Vision: Algorithms and Applications, Chap 14.1 <u>http://szeliski.org/Book/</u>
 - Friedman, Hastie, Tibshirani. "Additive Logistic Regression: a Statistical View of Boosting" (1998)
 - Paul Viola and Michael J. Jones. Rapid Object Detection using a Boosted Cascade of Simple Features. IEEE CVPR, 2001. The paper is available online at http://www.ai.mit.edu/people/viola/
 - OpenCV documentation: <u>http://opencv.itseez.com/modules/objdetect/doc/cascade_classification.html</u>
 - Dalal, N. and Triggs, B. (2005). Histograms of oriented gradients for human detection., In IEEE Computer Society Conference on Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition(CVPR'2005), pp. 886–893, San Diego, CA.



A cool (pun intended) example



Fifth International Penguin Conference, Ushuaia, Tierra del Fuego, Argentina, September 2004

Fifth International Penguin Conference Ushuaia, Tierra del Fuego, Argentina

Automated Visual Recognition of Individual African Penguins

Tilo Burghardt, Barry Thomas, Peter J Barham, Janko Ćalić

University of Bristol, Department of Computer Science, MVB Woodland Road, Bristol BS8 1UB, United Kingdom, September 2004

burghard@cs.bris.ac.uk

This project uses the Viola-Jones Adaboost face detection algorithm to detect penguin chests, and then matches the pattern of spots to identify a particular penguin.



Adaboost for chest stripe detection



Figure 6. Distinctive Chest Stripe of Adult African Penguins: (A) Adult African penguins carry a distinctive and stable black stripe on their chest whilst young members of the species still change the colour of their chest feathers. (B) Various chests of adult African penguins under different lighting conditions. (figure source [19])



Burghart, Thomas, Barham, and Calic. Automated Visual Recognition of Individual African Penguins , 2004.





Figure 12. Application of Attentional Cascades on Chests: (A) Image areas that are accepted as likely to represent a chest after one stage are marked as white rectangles. (B) After three stages... (C) After five stages... (D) ...and after seven stages with final result. (figure source [18], [19])

Attentional cascade



Figure 10. Aol Detector Spotting Frontal Penguin Chests: The detector was tested on a series of black and white still images and footage. Some result images are shown above. The detector might fire several times on one and the same chest instance. (figure

Penguin chest detections

Burghart, Thomas, Barham, and Calic. Automated Visual Recognition of Individual African Penguins , 2004.





Figure 14. Visual Description of the Chest Width Measurement: Starting from an upper central point of the chest AoI two locally operating edge detectors moving apart search for the left and right boundary of the assumed chest. (figure source [17])

Given a detected chest, try to extract the whole chest for this particular penguin.



Example detections

SINTEF Burghart, Thomas, Barham, and Calic. Automated Visual Recognition of Individual African Penguins , 2004.



Perform **identification** by matching the pattern of spots to a database of known penguins.

Penguin detection & identification



Figure 1. Identification of an African Penguin by its Chest Pattern: Screenshot of Software Prototype; African penguins carry a unique pattern of black spots on their chest. The detection of the chest location and the decomposition of the spot pattern allow checking a photographed individual (here penguin 'David' from Bristol Zoo) against a population database. (figure source [18], [19])