INF5300

Linear feature transforms

- Linear feature transforms
- Principal component analysis (PCA)
- Fisher's linear discriminant analysis

Curriculum: See links to pdfs on course page.

Linear feature transforms

- We create new features by computing linear combinations of the existing features, $x_1, x_2, .. x_n$:

$$y_1 = \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} a_{i1} x_i, \quad y_2 = \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} a_{i2} x_i, \quad \ldots \quad y_m = \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} a_{im} x_i$$

- In matrix notation $\mathbf{y} = \mathbf{A}^T\mathbf{x}$
- If **y** has fewer elements than **x**, we get a feature reduction

Visualizing the weights in 2D/3D

$$y_1 = \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} a_{i1} x_i = \boldsymbol{a_1}^T \boldsymbol{x}$$



Note on naming: In the slides, we often use **a** and **w** interchangeably

Variance of single y_1 feature



Variance of y_1

- Assume mean of **x** is subtracted

$$\sigma_w^2 = \frac{1}{N}\sum_i (\mathbf{w}^T\mathbf{x}_i)^2 = \frac{1}{N}\sum_i \mathbf{w}^T\mathbf{x}_i\mathbf{x}_i^T\mathbf{w} = \mathbf{w}^T\left(\frac{1}{N}\sum_i \mathbf{x}_i\mathbf{x}_i^T\right)\mathbf{w} = \mathbf{w}^T\mathbf{R}\mathbf{w}$$

y_i

The sample covariance matrix; **R**

Called σ^2_w on some slides

Max variance ↔ min projection residuals

Single sample

Projection onto **w**, assuming |**w**|=1

$$\begin{aligned} \|\vec{x}_i - (\vec{w}\cdot\vec{x}_i)\vec{w}\|^2 &= (\vec{x}_i - (\vec{w}\cdot\vec{x}_i)\vec{w})\cdot(\vec{x}_i - (\vec{w}\cdot\vec{x}_i)\vec{w}) \\ &= \vec{x}_i\cdot\vec{x}_i - \vec{x}_i\cdot(\vec{w}\cdot\vec{x}_i)\vec{w} \\ &\quad -(\vec{w}\cdot\vec{x}_i)\vec{w}\cdot\vec{x}_i + (\vec{w}\cdot\vec{x}_i)\vec{w}\cdot(\vec{w}\cdot\vec{x}_i)\vec{w} \\ &= \|\vec{x}_i\|^2 - 2(\vec{w}\cdot\vec{x}_i)^2 + (\vec{w}\cdot\vec{x}_i)^2\vec{w}\cdot\vec{w} \\ &= \vec{x}_i\cdot\vec{x}_i - (\vec{w}\cdot\vec{x}_i)^2 \end{aligned}$$

«y_i»

w·**w**=1

$$MSE(\vec{w}) = \frac{1}{n}\left(\sum_{i=1}^{n}\|\vec{x}_i\|^2 - \sum_{i=1}^{n}(\vec{w}\cdot\vec{x}_i)^2\right)$$

All n samples (not dimensions)

Indie of **w**

σ^2_w

Maximizing variance of y_1

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{L}(\mathbf{w},\lambda) &\equiv \sigma_w^2 - \lambda(\mathbf{w}^T\mathbf{w} - 1) \\ \frac{\partial L}{\partial \lambda} &= \mathbf{w}^T\mathbf{w} - 1 \\ \frac{\partial L}{\partial \mathbf{w}} &= 2\mathrm{R}\mathbf{w} - 2\lambda\mathbf{w} \end{aligned}$$

Lagrangian function for maximizing σ^2_w with the constraint $\mathbf{w}^T\mathbf{w}$=1

⇓ Equating zero

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{w}^T\mathbf{w} &= 1 \\ \mathrm{R}\mathbf{w} &= \lambda\mathbf{w} \end{aligned}$$

The maximizing **w** is an eigenvector of R!

And σ^2_w=λ! [Why?]

Unfamiliar with Lagrangian multipliers? You should look it up – very useful!

Eigenvectors of covariance matrices

Real-valued, symmetric, «n-dimensional» covariance matrix

$$\mathbf{R} = \lambda_1\mathbf{a}_1\mathbf{a}_1' + \lambda_2\mathbf{a}_2\mathbf{a}_2' + \ldots + \lambda_n\mathbf{a}_n\mathbf{a}_n'$$

Eigenvalue (let's say largest)

Eigenvector corresponding to λ_1

Smallest eigenvalue

$\mathbf{a}_i^T\mathbf{a}_j = 0$ for $i \neq j$

Remember: λ_i=var of $\mathbf{x}^T\mathbf{a}_i$

Variance of multiple variables

$$\sigma^2_{y1+y2} = \frac{1}{N}\sum_i (\mathbf{w}_1^T\mathbf{x}_i + \mathbf{w}_2^T\mathbf{x}_i)^2 = \ldots = \mathbf{w}_1^T\mathbf{R}\mathbf{w}_1 + \mathbf{w}_2^T\mathbf{R}\mathbf{w}_2 + 2\mathbf{w}_1^T\mathbf{R}\mathbf{w}_2$$

That is, on the previous slide $\mathbf{a}_i^T\mathbf{R}\mathbf{a}_j = 0$ for $i \neq j$

=0 if y_1 and y_2 are uncorrelated, e.g. if $\mathbf{w}_1$ and $\mathbf{w}_2$ are eigenvectors of $\mathbf{R}$

- If the weight-vectors yield uncorrelated features, their combined variance is the sum of each one's
- If $\mathbf{w}_1$ is the principle eigenvector, which $\mathbf{w}_2$ giving an uncorelated feature would you choose to maximize σ^2_{y1+y2}?
- Say $\mathbf{w}_1$ and $\mathbf{w}_2$ are the two principle eigenvectors of $\mathbf{R}$ on the previous slide; what ratio of the total variance would they have?

Example of distributions and eigenvectors



3D (n=3) equidensity contours



Principal component transform (PCA)

- Place the *m* «principle» eigenvectors (the ones with the largest eigenvalues) along the columns of A
- Then the transform $\mathbf{y} = \mathbf{A}^T\mathbf{x}$ gives you the *m* first principle components
- The *m*-dimensional **y**
  - have uncorrelated elements
  - retains as much variance as possible
  - gives the best (in the mean-square sense) description of the original data (through the «image»/projection/reconstruction **Ay**)

Note: The eigenvectors themselves can often give interesting information

PCA is also known as Karhunen-Loeve transform

PCA transform as a rotation



If we use all eigenvectors in the transform, $\mathbf{y} = \mathbf{A}^T\mathbf{x}$, we simply rotate our data so that our new features are uncorrelated, i.e., cov(**y**) is a diagonal matrix.

If we as a next step scale each feature by their σ, $\mathbf{y} = \mathbf{D}^{(-1/2)}\mathbf{A}^T\mathbf{x}$, where **D** is a diagonal matrix of eigenvalues (i.e., variances), we get cov(**y**)=**I**. We say that we have «whitened» the data.

PCA and multiband images

- We can compute the principal component transform for an image with n bands
- Let **X** be an Nxn matrix having a row for each image sample
- Covariance matrix $\boldsymbol{R} = \frac{1}{N}\boldsymbol{X}^T\boldsymbol{X}$
- Place the (sorted) eigenvectors along the columns of **A**
- **Y**=**XA** will then contain the image samples, but most of the variance is in the bands with the lowest index (corresponding to the largest eigenvalues)

PCA example – original image



- Satellite image from Kjeller
- 6 spectral bands with different wavelengths

1	Blue	0.45-0.52	Max. penetration of water
2	Green	0.52-0.60	Vegetation and chlorophyll
3	Red	0.63-0.69	Vegetation type
4	Near-IR	0.76-0.90	Biomass
5	Mid-IR	1.55-1.75	Moisture/water content in vegetation/soil
7	Mid-IR	2.08-2.35	Minerals

Principal component images



Example: Inspecting the eigenvalues

The mean-square representation error we get with m of the N PCA-components is given as

$$E\left[\|x-\hat{x}\|^2\right] = \sum_{i=1}^{N-1}\lambda_i - \sum_{i=1}^{m}\lambda_i = \sum_{i=m}^{N-1}\lambda_i$$



Plotting λ_i will give indications on how many features are needed for representation

PCA and classification

- Reduce overfitting by detecting directions/components without any/very little variance
- Sometimes high variation means useful features for classification:



- .. and sometimes not:



Signal representation vs classification

- Principal components analysis (PCA)
  - Signal representation, unsupervised
  - Minimize the mean square representation error
- Linear discriminant analysis (LDA)
  - Classification, supervised
  - Maximize the distance between the classes



Fisher's linear discriminant

- Goal:
  - Reduce dimension while preserving class discriminatory information
- Strategy (2 classes):
  - We have a set of samples $x=\{x_1, x_2, \ldots, x_n\}$ where n_1 belong to class ω_1 and the rest n_2 to class ω_2. Obtain a scalar value by projecting x onto a line y: $y=w^T x$
  - **Challenge: find w that maximizes the separability of the classes**



A simple criterion function: 2 classes

- To find a good projection vector, we need to define a measure of separation between the projections . This will be the criterion function $J(w)$
- The mean vector of each class in the spaces spanned by x and y are

$$\mu_i = \frac{1}{n_i}\sum_{x\in\omega_i} x$$

$$\tilde{\mu}_i = \frac{1}{n_i}\sum_{y\in\omega_i} y = \frac{1}{n_i}\sum_{x\in\omega_i} w^T x = w^T \mu_i$$

- A naive choice would be projected mean difference, $J(w) = |\tilde{\mu}_1 - \tilde{\mu}_2|^2$



This criterion does not consider variance

A criterion function including variance: 2 classes

- Fisher's solution: Maximize a function that represents the difference between the means, scaled by a measure of the within class scatter
- Define classwise scatter (similar to variance)

$$\tilde{s}_i^2 = \sum_{y \in \omega_i} (y - \tilde{\mu}_i)^2$$

- $\tilde{s}_1^2 + \tilde{s}_2^2$ is *within class scatter*
- Fisher's criterion is then

$$J(\mathbf{w}) = \frac{|\tilde{\mu}_1 - \tilde{\mu}_2|^2}{\tilde{s}_1^2 + \tilde{s}_2^2}$$

- We look for a projection where examples from the same class are close to each other, while at the same time projected mean values are as far apart as possible



Scatter matrices – M classes

- Within-class scatter matrix:

$$S_w = \sum_{i=1}^{M} P(\omega_i) S_i$$

$$S_i = E[(x - \mu_i)(x - \mu_i)_T]$$

Weighted average of each class' sample covariance matrix

- Between-class scatter matrix:

$$S_b = \sum_{i=1}^{M} P(\omega_i)(\mu_i - \mu)(\mu_i - \mu)^T$$

$$\mu = \sum_{i=1}^{M} \mu_i$$

Sample covariance matrix for the means



Fisher criterion in terms of within-class and between-class scatter matrices:

$$J(\mathbf{w}) = \frac{\mathbf{w}^T \mathbf{S}_b \mathbf{w}}{\mathbf{w}^T \mathbf{S}_w \mathbf{w}}$$

Multiple classes, $\mathbf{S}_w = \sigma^2\mathbf{I}$

- If $\mathbf{S}_w = \sigma^2\mathbf{I}$, the denominator in J(**w**) does not depend on **w** -> Criterion function depeds on the spread of the means ($\mathbf{S}_b$) only:



$$J(\mathbf{w}) = \mathbf{w}^T \mathbf{S}_b \mathbf{w}$$

We should know how to maximize this by now!

- Weight-vector giving maximum separability is given by principal eigenvector of $\mathbf{S}_b$
  - Second best (and orthogonal to first) by next-to-principal
  - … etc. for higher dimensional settings
  - … until a maximum of M-1 dimensions (number of classes minus one) [If classes are «isotropically» Gaussian distributed, all discriminatory information is in this subspace!]

General $\mathbf{S}_w$ I/II

- We saw that $\mathbf{S}_w = \mathbf{I}$ gave Fisher criterion independent of $\mathbf{S}_w$, and only dependent on $\mathbf{S}_b$
- We can get there by «whitening» the data before applying the Fisher criterion
  - Whitening data by rotation and scaling -> No general loss as distribution overlap does not change
- We must find $\mathbf{y} = \mathbf{A}^T\mathbf{x}$ that yields $\mathbf{S}_{wy} = \mathbf{I}$
  - We have seen that PCA gives uncorrelated data, per-feature scaling can give unit variance per feature:
  - $\mathbf{y} = \mathbf{D}^{-1/2}\mathbf{A}^T\mathbf{x}$, where $\mathbf{A}$ has eigenvectors of $\mathbf{S}_w$ as columns, and $\mathbf{D}$ is a diagonal matrix with corresponding eigenvalues

$$\mathbf{S}_{w_y} = \frac{1}{N}\sum_{\forall i}(\mathbf{D}^{-1/2}\mathbf{A}^T\mathbf{x}_i)(\mathbf{D}^{-1/2}\mathbf{A}^T\mathbf{x}_i)^T = \mathbf{D}^{-1/2}\mathbf{A}^T\mathbf{S}_w\mathbf{A}\mathbf{D}^{-1/2} = \mathbf{D}^{-1/2}\mathbf{D}\mathbf{D}^{-1/2} = \mathbf{I}$$

General $\mathbf{S}_W$ II/II

- Let $\mathbf{B} = \mathbf{D}^{-1/2}\mathbf{A}^T$ (the whitening transform)
- $\mathbf{S}_b$ becomes after whitening step:

$$\mathbf{S}_{by} = \mathbf{B}\mathbf{S}_b\mathbf{B}^T$$

- Ignoring the denominator (which is now independent of $\mathbf{w}$), we get
  - $J_y(\mathbf{w}) = \mathbf{w}^T\mathbf{S}_{by}\mathbf{w} = \mathbf{w}^T\mathbf{B}\mathbf{S}_b\mathbf{B}^T\mathbf{w}$
- The weight-vectors, $\mathbf{w}^*$, maximizing separation are now given by the principal eigenvectors of $\mathbf{B}\mathbf{S}_b\mathbf{B}^T$ (in the whitened space)

Set $J_y(\mathbf{w}^*)=J(\mathbf{w})$ to see this

- In the original space, $\mathbf{w} = \mathbf{B}^T\mathbf{w}^* = \mathbf{A}\mathbf{D}^{-1/2}\mathbf{w}^*$

Solving Fisher more directly

- You get the same solution by solving more directly

$$\text{argmax}_{\mathbf{w}} \quad J(\mathbf{w}) = \frac{\mathbf{w}^T\mathbf{S}_b\mathbf{w}}{\mathbf{w}^T\mathbf{S}_w\mathbf{w}}$$

- The solution is given by the principal eigenvector of

$$\mathbf{S}_w^{-1}\mathbf{S}_b$$

- The following solutions (orthogonal in $\mathbf{S}_w$, i.e., $\mathbf{w}_i^T\mathbf{S}_w\mathbf{w}_j=0$, for $i\neq j$) are the next principal eigenvectors

Note that the obtained $\mathbf{w}$s are identical (up to scaling) to those from the two-step procedure from the previous slides

Comments on Fisher's discriminant

- In general, projection of the original feature vector to a lower dimensional space is associated with some loss of information
  - Keeping all M-1 dimensions gives you no reduction in classification performance for a Gaussian classifier with equal class-covariance matrices (LDA)
- Although the projection is optimal with respect to J, J might not be a good criterion to optimize for a given data set / classifier
- Minimizing J is not equivalent to minimizing the classification error

Limitations of Fisher's discriminant

- It produces at most M-*1* feature projections
- It will fail when the discriminatory information is not in the mean but in the variance of the data

Fisher's discriminant example



Original data



Best 2 Fisher's



Best 2 PCA

Summary

- PCA (unsupervised)
  - Max variance <-> min projection error
  - Eigenvectors of sample cov.mat. / scatter matrix
- Fisher's linear discriminant (supervised)
  - Maximizes spread of means while minimizing intra-class spread
  - $\mathbf{S}_{wy}=\mathbf{I}$ and «whitening of data»
  - Eigenvectors of $\mathbf{S}_w^{-1}\mathbf{S}_b$
  - At most nClasses-1 features
  - Limitations

Literature on pattern recognition

- A review on statistical pattern recognition (still good thirteen years later):
  - A. Jain, R. Duin and J. Mao: Statistical pattern recognition: a review, IEEE Trans. Pattern analysis and Machine Intelligence, vol. 22, no. 1, January 2001, pp. 4--
- Classical PR-books
  - R. Duda, P. Hart and D. Stork, Pattern Classification, 2. ed. Wiley, 2001
  - B. Ripley, Pattern Recognition and Neural Networks, Cambridge Press, 1996.
  - S. Theodoridis and K. Koutroumbas, Pattern Recognition, Academic Press, 2006.