

Reading, Analyzing, and Presenting Scientific Papers (in DADS and beyond)

INF 5360 spring 2010

INF5360, Frank Eliassen & Roman Vitenberg

1

Classifying the type of contribution

- Elegant formalization of a new problem that captures the reality
- New or improved solution to an important and interesting problem
- New generic technique (for analysis, solution, or evaluation)
- New analysis
- Better evaluation
- Survey

INF5360, Frank Eliassen & Roman Vitenberg

2

Other important questions to consider

- Target application domain
 - What makes the work so suitable for this type of applications?
 - How general or easy to extend?
- Is the solution practical?
 - Example: the solution requires system engineer to define an exponential number of...
- Is the evaluation comprehensive and adequate?
 - Example: dependable system in failure-free settings or adaptive system with most parameters fixed

INF5360, Frank Eliassen & Roman Vitenberg

3

And yet other important questions to consider

- What is the motivation for this work?
 - The authors certainly claim that it is important. What makes you believe it?
- Does the contribution and results correspond to the motivation? Do all pieces fit together?
- Where did the work fall short of your wild imagination? What extension would you like to see the most?
- Asking questions is always an option
 - Teachers, supervisors, colleagues
 - Even if you do a presentation alone

INF5360, Frank Eliassen & Roman Vitenberg

4

Guidelines for student presentations

- Present authors' claim regarding the work
 - The problem studied
 - The Contributions of the Paper.
 - Relation to the Literature (SotA)
 - Definitions, Requirements, Design, Algorithms, Implementations, Experiments, Evaluation, Etc. (depending on type of contribution and research method)
 - Main Results
 - Conclusions
 - <http://www.stanford.edu/~jacksonm/present.pdf>
- Criticism
 - Asking and concluding on the important questions
 - Guidelines: The Task of the Referee, Alan J Smith, UCB
- Questions and discussion
- Summary and conclusion of criticism

INF5360, Frank Eliassen & Roman Vitenberg

5

You will be better off skipping

- Formal parts (unless it is a work on new proof techniques or formal methods)
 - Formal notation and definitions
 - Important for written papers
 - Replace with intuition and examples in oral presentations
 - Theorem proofs and mathematical derivations
 - Focus on the result and intuition behind the proof instead
- Lengthy lists of related work
 - Present categories and perhaps one representative for each
- Fine-grain implementation details
 - Present the central part or implementation idea; skip optimizations
 - If there is 1 page of pseudocode, present a few central lines or an overview in your own words
- Overly detailed architectural schemes with dozens of entities and arrows, and annotations in a tiny font

INF5360, Frank Eliassen & Roman Vitenberg

6