## SOLUTION SKETCH

Problem 1. (20 points)
(i) Suppose that all worker types submit to the test. If the firms see a worker who does not, then offering a wage no higher than $\underline{\theta}$ to that worker is optimal (note, this subgame is not reached in the proposed play). In the subgame where the worker takes the test, he will be paid the wage equal to his revealed type. Now given this behavior of the firms, consider a deviation by any worker. Clearly, he cannot gain by deviating since his true type is no lower than $\underline{\theta}$. Hence, this is an SPNE.
(ii) Consider an SPNE where at least some workers choose not to take the test. Then the firms must offer a wage equal to the mean of the types of the workers not submitting to the test. But then the worker with the highest type among the set which does not submit can gain by deviating and taking the test, since he will now be paid a wage equal to his type (which is higher than the mean of this set). So in every SPNE, all workers take the test.

Now consider an SPNE where all workers submit to the test and the firms offer a wage higher than $\underline{\theta}$ to any worker not taking the test. Call this wage $\hat{\theta}$. Then all workers with type lower than $\hat{\theta}$ can gain by not taking the test. Hence, contradiction. This establishes the claim.

Problem 2. (30 points)

## (i) Observable effort case:

To induce effort $e$, the principal will pay $g(e)$. Hence, check the payoffs for the principal for the three effort levels. Then choose the effort corresponding to the highest one.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \pi\left(e_{1}\right)=\frac{2}{3} \cdot 10-\frac{25}{9}=\frac{35}{9} . \\
& \pi\left(e_{2}\right)=\frac{1}{2} \cdot 10-\frac{64}{25}=\frac{61}{25} . \\
& \pi\left(e_{3}\right)=\frac{1}{3} \cdot 10-\frac{16}{9}=\frac{14}{9} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Hence, optimal contract is $\left(w=\frac{25}{9}, e=e_{1}\right)$.
(ii) Unobservable effort case:

To implement $e_{1}$, consider the contract which pays $w_{i}$ for $\pi=\pi_{i}$ where $i \in\{L, H\}$. Note, the following have to be met:

Participation Constraint (PC): $\frac{2}{3} \sqrt{w_{H}}+\frac{1}{3} \sqrt{w_{L}} \geq \frac{5}{3}$.
Incentive Compabitility $\operatorname{IC}\left(e_{2}\right): \frac{2}{3} \sqrt{w_{H}}+\frac{1}{3} \sqrt{w_{L}}-\frac{5}{3} \geq \frac{1}{2} \sqrt{w_{H}}+\frac{1}{2} \sqrt{w_{L}}-\frac{8}{5}$.
Incentive Compabitility $\operatorname{IC}\left(e_{3}\right): \frac{2}{3} \sqrt{w_{H}}+\frac{1}{3} \sqrt{w_{L}}-\frac{5}{3} \geq \frac{1}{3} \sqrt{w_{H}}+\frac{2}{3} \sqrt{w_{L}}-\frac{4}{3}$.
Check that $I C\left(e_{2}\right)$ does not bind. $I C\left(e_{3}\right)$ and $P C$ bind. This yields $w_{L}=1, w_{H}=4$. Hence, the principal's expected profit is $\pi\left(e_{1}\right)=\frac{11}{3}$.
Conduct the same exercise for $e_{2}$. Here, however we get that $e_{2}$ is not implementable since the two incentive compatibility contsraints cannot be simultaneously satisfied.

To implement $e_{3}$, it is easily checked that the principal can do better by offering the firstbest contract (flat wage of $\frac{16}{9}$ ) than by offering $w_{H}>w_{L}$. Hence, the principal's expected profit is $\pi\left(e_{3}\right)=\frac{14}{9}$.
So the principal will choose to implement $e_{1}$ by offering $\left\{w_{L}=1, w_{H}=4\right\}$. This the optimal contract when effort is not observable.

Problem 3. ( 25 points)
i. The maximization program is:

$$
\max _{g_{i} \geq 0} \omega_{i}-g_{i}+a_{i} \log \left(g_{i}+G_{-i}\right)
$$

and the FOC is:

$$
\frac{a_{i}}{G} \leq 1
$$

with equality if $g_{i}>0$.
ii. The equilibrium amount is:

$$
G^{*}=g_{n}^{*}=a_{n}
$$

Only consumer $n$ will contribute, whereas all the other will free ride.
iii The equilibrium amount is:

$$
G^{*}=\sum_{i=1}^{n} g_{i}^{*}=\sum_{i=1}^{n} \frac{a}{n}=a
$$

iv. The efficient amount is obtained from:

$$
\max _{G} \sum_{i=1}^{n} a_{i} \log (G)-G
$$

Then, the optimal provision of public good is:

$$
G^{o}=\sum_{i=1}^{n} a_{i}>G^{*}=a_{n}
$$

The private provision of public good creates a situation in which externalities are present. The inefficiency is then related to the absence of a market for public good (incomplete market).
v. Discussion about Lindahl prices.

Problem 4. ( 25 points)
i. The Walrasian equilibrium is the equilibrium labor and consumption good, such that:

- Consumer max utility:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \max _{x_{1}, x_{2}} a \log x_{1}+\log x_{2} \\
\text { s.t } & : \\
p x_{2} \leq & w\left(1-x_{1}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

- Firm max profits:

$$
\max _{z} p A z^{\beta}-w z
$$

- Markets clear:

$$
\begin{aligned}
x_{2}^{*} & =q^{*} \\
1-x_{1}^{*} & =z^{*}
\end{aligned}
$$

ii. By applying the equilibrium definition, we obtain:

$$
\begin{aligned}
z^{*} & =1-x_{1}^{*}=\frac{\beta}{a+\beta} \\
q^{*} & =x_{2}^{*}=A\left(\frac{\beta}{a+\beta}\right)^{\beta} \\
p^{*} & =1 \text { (numeraire) } \\
w^{*} & =A \beta\left(\frac{\beta}{a+\beta}\right)^{\beta-1}
\end{aligned}
$$

and the equilibrium profits are:

$$
\pi^{*}=A(1-\beta)\left(\frac{\beta}{a+\beta}\right)^{\beta}
$$

iii. omitted (available in the textbook)
iv. The equilibrium is efficient for the first welfare theorem.
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