Mitchell, J. C.: *The Kalela Dance. Aspects of Social Relationships among Urban Africans in Northern Rhodesia.*, 1956. Manchester, Manchester UP on behalf of the Rhodes-Livingstone Institute. Sidene 1-44 (43 sider)

Page 35

#### JOKING TRIBES IN TOWNS

The *kalela* dance takes place during leisure hours in an African residential area. Europeans other than officials are rarely seen in this area<sup>102</sup> and on Sundays and holidays even European officials are seldom present. As we have seen, it is in this situation, where Africans interact with Africans, that tribalism emerges as a significant category of social intercourse. Here where political matters are set aside for the moment, the dancers express their unity against their spectators as members of a limited number of broad tribal groups and address their taunting songs to them in these terms.

The *kalela* dance is only one of the many possible situations in which tribalism operates as a category of interaction. I have already mentioned other situations in which it became significant as, for example, in tribal fights, in the struggle for power within a trade union, and so forth. If we take into account the great importance of tribalism in the life of African townsmen who have diverse origins, it is surprising that more tribal conflicts do not arise in urban situations. A full examination of this problem requires much more intensive work than I was able to give it. Nevertheless from what evidence I have been able to collect it appears that on the Copperbelt at least, one possible mechanism for the control of inter-tribal hostility lies in institutionalized joking relationship.

The co-existence of traditional tribal hostilities and enforced peaceful association in industrial areas presents us with an interesting sociological problem. We know that at the end of the last century Northern Rhodesia and Nyasaland were peopled by a large number of small, relatively weak, tribal groups over which a few more powerful organized tribes such as the Lozi, the Ngoni, the Bemba, the Western Lunda and one or two others had established some sort of dominance. Between these more powerful tribes there was considerable hostility and it is possible that were it not for the entry of the British at the end of the century there would inevitably have been a trial of strength between these groups. In fact when the British South Africa Company started administering the territory the first task they had before them was to suppress the inter-tribal warfare and the slave-trade with which it was closely connected. The result of this was that the trial of strength never came, and the dominance of some tribes over others was never clearly established. Instead members of these tribes found themselves occupying neighbouring houses or working shoulder to shoulder in the same gangs with their erstwhile enemies. Moreover their European masters were exercised to see that hostility in their work gangs was not openly expressed. It became increasingly clear that tribesmen had to co-operate with their erstwhile enemies not only because of their

<sup>102</sup> Under section 143 of the Townships Regulations (cap. 120 of the Laws of Northern Rhodesia) no person may loiter or be within the limits of a location without a reasonable excuse or the permission of the Location Superintendent.

#### Page 36.

common role in the productive process, but also because in the industrial situation they stood united in opposition to their European employers.

It is exactly in this situation, as Radcliffe-Brown points out, that joking relationships develop. He writes : 'The theory is that both the joking relationships which constitute an alliance between clans or tribes, and that between relatives by marriage, are modes of organizing a definite stable system of social behaviour in which disjunctive and conjunctive components are maintained and combined.'<sup>103</sup>

Scrivenor drew attention to the existence of joking relationships between tribes in Tanganyika in a paper in 1937 and Moreau supplied some interesting detailed information in 1941.<sup>104</sup> There are several features of Moreau's paper which are particularly interesting in view of the Copperbelt material. The first point is that Moreau shows unequivocally that joking relationships between tribes have arisen where in the past there have been tribal wars. He noted that the Ngoni, notorious for their warlike characteristics, had joking relationships with more tribes than any other single tribe. He quotes an informant who tells how a certain tribe was not admitted into a joking relationship with another because there had been no fighting with them. Moreau goes on to say that : 'While I have gained the impression that [the joking relationship] is still a living force of great importance there is no doubt that it is being constantly weakened by a combination of modern influences. Especially in townships where many different tribes are rubbing shoulders every day, [the joking relationship] inevitably falls into desuetude through the physical impossibility of observing it. On the whole it would perhaps be safest to regard the customs described in this paper as those of the last generation rather than of the rising one.<sup>105</sup>

There are three points however to suggest a different interpretation. The first is not explicitly stated by Moreau, but we may gather from the cases he quotes, that he collected the material for his paper not in the rural areas but in administrative centres, which were congregated tribes whose paths otherwise would never have crossed. The second and third points are made explicitly by Moreau himself : (*a*) that all of the instances he cites have been collected from men under the age of forty f ive, and (*b*) there appears to be no vernacular term for tribal joking relationships : instead all tribes used the Swahili word *ulani*, which may have been derived from an Arabic word *watan*, 'to reside in'. In summary, then, joking relationships are still a living force of importance between tribes who were formerly at war with one another, and a Swahili term was used by all tribes to describe the relationship - a fact, incidentally, which puzzled Moreau. The material on which the observations were based seems to have been collected in extra-tribal situations from comparatively young men. These facts suggest strongly that joking relationships between tribes

<sup>103</sup> Radcliffe-Brown, A. R., 1940, p. 96.

<sup>104</sup> Scrivenor, T. V., 1937 ; Moreau, R. E., 1941.

<sup>105</sup> Moreau, R. E., 1941, p. 2.

Page 37.

is a relatively recent phenomenon. The older men apparently did not find them of much interest but the younger men working in administrative centres together with former enemies did, and they used a word from the *lingua franca* to describe relationships in this new situation.

The strong suggestion therefore is that tribal joking relationships came into being mainly *after* the establishment of European law and government, and that in fact they are most viable in townships where erstwhile hostile tribesmen were thrown together under conditions in which peace was enjoined on them - in other words where ' a mode of organizing a definite and stable system of social behaviour in which disjunctive and conjunctive components ' had of necessity ' to be maintained and combined.'<sup>106</sup> It is possible that the decline of tribal joking relationships with the growth of towns, as Moreau posits, was in fact not an empirical observation but a deduction based on the mistaken assumption that tribal joking relationships are traditional and that modern urban situations are therefore inimical to them. ;In Northern Rhodesia and Nyasaland joking relationships exist between certain categories on kinsmen, between certain clans, and between certain tribes. In those tribes east of the Luangwa River there appear to be no joking clans at all, but joking relationships exist, (a) between certain kinsmen such as cross-cousins, and grandchildren and grandparents, and (b) between a lineage section or village section and some individuals who have performed funeral duties for them. Among the Chewa and Nyanja people these individuals are given quasi-kinship status and called 'grandchildren' by the village or lineage section.<sup>107</sup> Among the Yao the same type of joking relationship exists but it is referred to by a descriptive term, *awilo*, and not by a kinship term.<sup>108</sup> Among the Yao also a former village headman may have performed the funeral duties for a particular chief.<sup>109</sup> The joking relationship is then inherited through professional succession and becomes perpetuated, but there are no institutionalized joking relationships between *clans* whereby any member of one clan jokes with any member of its opposite.

Clan joking relationships seem to be confined to the west of the Luangwa River.<sup>110</sup> Among these tribes joking relationships exist

108 Mitchell, J. C., 1951, p. 339.

<sup>106</sup> Moreau, R. E., 19941, p. 10, however, quotes the Kami who had to pass through Doe country to reach the coast. The Doe in turn were subject to periodical hunger and could most easily acquire food from the Kami. With the addition of the mutual performance of funeral duties, these services could be subsumed under a joking relationship. Moreau, however, significantly notes that the 'joking between these tribes is said to be relatively unimportant.

<sup>107</sup> Marwick, M., 1956, Chap. IV. I prefer this view to the one presented by Pretorius, J. L., 1949, and Bruwer, J., 1951, which is that the kinsmen are required to perform the funeral duties. Because of the significance of the funeral duties in the relationship, Tew, 1951, suggests the term 'funeral friendship'. Colsen, E., 1953, disputes the central importance of funeral duties in the relationship.

<sup>109</sup> A commoner cannot perform these duties for a chief : they must be performed by a person of like status.

<sup>110</sup> Richards, A. L., 1937 ; Stefaniszyn, B., 1950, 1951.

#### Page 38.

between certain categories of kinsmen as among the people east of the Luangwa, but in addition to this each clan recognizes at least one other clan as a joking clan. The relationship between the clans is usually explained by a myth or formula based on their names, in which the opposition or hostility of the objects to which the names refer is emphasized. The joking is frequently expressed in the idiom of the myth. For example, the Crocodile and Fish clans are a joking pair. A man from the Crocodile clan may say to one from the Fish clan: 'You are my food !'. to which the man from the Fish clan may reply : 'You cannot live without me ''' !' Among the peoples west of the Luangwa this type of joking has a term of its own : the Bemba word is *bunungwe*. Among them it is institutionalized : funeral duties flow from the joking relationships flow from the funeral duties, and are referred to by kinship or descriptive terms.<sup>112</sup> In either case the relationships may be looked upon as an extension of the kinship system whereby strangers are brought into a special relationship because they perform those funeral duties which kinsmen may not.

Colson makes an observation about the operation of the joking relationship among the Plateau Tonga which has a bearing on the system of social relationships on the Copperbelt. She points out that since the joking clan is not usually one of the clans to which a man is linked through his father, mother or wife, it provides the means whereby a man could operate further afield than his own vicinage in the days when it was dangerous to be a stranger anywhere. The similarity between the way the joking relationship operates here and the way in which it operates between joking tribes on the Copperbelt will emerge later.<sup>113</sup>

On the Copperbelt there are several tribes who stand in joking relationships to each other. I was able to record incidents involving joking between the following tribes :

Bemba	- Ngoni
Lozi	- Tonga / Ila
Lozi	- Ndebele
Yao	- Bisa

<sup>111</sup> Dokes says of the Lmba : 'It is probable that originally some of these clans were violently opposed, though to-day the opposition is confined to jesting.' Doke, C., 1931, p. 197. He then lists some typical opposites and quotes a few of the formulae. Stefaniszyn, B., 1950, 1951, gives extensive lists.

<sup>112</sup> Thus the Ngoni explain the joking relationship with the Bemba by the fact that since they were formerly enemies they came into possession of each other's corpses and therefore had to perform the burial duties for them. Brewer, 1951, p. 31.

<sup>113</sup> Colson, E., 1953, makes another observation that this is very likely of importance on the Copperbelt but about which I have collected no information. She points out that because no umbrage may be taken at the things said within the framework of the joking relationship it may operate as a powerful medium of social control. Although I did not realize it t the time, this is obviously an important element in the joking relationship perpetuated between a Yao chief and some of his village headmen. In this privileged position they are able to criticize the chief's behaviour as no other person may.

#### Page 39.

The Lamba, Swaka, Lala, Lenje, Soli, Sala, Chokwe, Western Lunda, Ambo and many other smaller tribes appear to have no joking relationships with other tribes.

Before I can proceed to illustrate the sort of situation in which the joking relationship is invoked, I must revert to a point which emerged from the tribal distance experiment<sup>114</sup>. The tribal labels in the list of joking tribes I have mentioned are really much broader categories than is implied. The point is perhaps well illustrated by an incident which took place in Lusaka. A Bemba-speaking man grew some carrots near his house in one of the African townships. His neighbour's children came one day and uprooted some and started to eat them. When the Bemba-speaking man complained to his neighbour about the children's behaviour, the neighbour, who spoke Nyanji, retorted in such a way that it was obvious that he was treating the incident as part of the Ngoni-Bemba joking relationship. The Bemba speaking man happened to be a Lungu from Chief Mukupa's area and the Nyanji-speaking man a Chewa. They were able to rationalize their relationship and avoid conflict by invoking the Bemba-Ngoni joking relationship.

The joking relationship comes into operation in many different situations. Miss Richardson noticed in Kitwe that Bemba women who were performing puberty rites for a girl chose to sing outside the huts of the Nsenga who lived in that part of the township until the Nsenga gave them some money as a gift. But it is particularly in drinking situations that joking relationships between tribes are invoked. A man for example may appropriate a pot of beer from another who belongs to his joking tribe and expect to have the same thing done to him in similar circumstances. A typical incident was recorded by an African Research Assistant of the Rhodes-Livingstone Institute who is himself an Ngoni.<sup>104</sup> He writes : 'One Sunday afternoon in March 1955 I came across a drinking party in a compound. Among the people drinking were two Ndebele women who live in the neighbouring compound. Shortly afterward a Lozi woman came in and sat next to the Ndebele women. I greeted the Ndebele women in my poor Ndebele and they offered me a cup of beer. After drinking the beer I asked the beer seller to bring another sixpenny cup of beer which I gave to the Ndebele women. The Lozi woman was quiet all the time. I produced the sixpenny to pay for the cup of beer that was given to me and passed it in front of the Lozi woman. I thought she was going to give it to the beer seller but she put the sixpence in her pocket saying with a smile to me in the Lozi language " "A foreigner has lost his money." I was surprised at this but the Ndebele women explained that this was because of the joking relationship between the Ndebele and the Lozi. I told the Lozi woman that I was not an Ndebele but an Ngoni from Fort Jameson and that I wanted my money back.

She stood up and asked the beer seller to give her a cup of beer, paying for it with the sixpence she had taken from me. She sat down and started drinking

<sup>114</sup> See pp.22 ff.above

<sup>115</sup> Mr. M. B. Lukhero.

#### Page 40.

the beer saying : "You are all cattle stealers and you should Thank God we did not drown all you people in the Zambezi." When I went further with my investigation the Lozi woman said that the joking relationship existed between the Lozi and the Ngoni and the Ndebele because they both came from the same Zulu origin.'

The joking relationship not only avoids open conflict between hostilely opposed tribes in the urban areas but also provides the basis of active co-operation. This is most obviously demonstrated in the funeral duties that these tribes perform for each other. Above I have given an example of this where the Yao performed funeral duties for the wife of a Bisa man. But there have been other occasions also where the joking relationship has been the basis of active co-operation. One example was when a well-known and respected Ngoni died in Luanshya. It was a Bemba tribal elder who initiated a collection to assist his widow and dependants. But the joking relationship is not accepted without question by all in urban areas. Some of the joking leads to court cases. The following case was heard by the urban court in Lusaka in November, 1953.<sup>116</sup> A Lozi woman complained to the court that an Ila man had assaulted her at the butchery. She said : 'I went to the butchery to buy meat on Saturday morning and the Ila man was at the counter. When I had bought the meat I went outside to where my bicycle was and was packing the meat into my cycle bag when the Ila man came up to me and started to joke with me. There is a joking relationship between the Lozi and the Ila : he started touching the beads around my waist and fondling my breasts. I tried to stop him but he carried on doing so. He then used bad language to me and I was annoved at this. I told him I was a married woman and did not like joking in that manner. I told him I would summons him to court.' The woman went on to say that she was loyal to her husband and although he did not like her taking this man to court, because of the joking relationship between the two tribes, she had decided that if she did not do so he would suspect her of adultery with other Ila men.

The Lozi assessor on the Bench, who was the Court President, said that he knew that there was a joking relationship between the two tribes but that in this case the joking had been conducted in a bad and disgraceful manner. He said that it was not right that the man should have touched the woman's beads in public. The Lozi assessor then asked the IIa man if he did not agree with this view. The IIa man pointed out that the incident had taken place in public. Had the affair occurred in private it would have been tantamount to adultery but since it was done openly it could only have been joking. The parties were dismissed while the assessors discussed the case. The assessors could not agree among themselves on the case. The joking relationship between the Lozi and the IIa was not questioned. The point was whether touching a woman's beads in public could be accepted as suitable joking behaviour. The division of opinion between the Lozi

<sup>116</sup> I am grateful to Mr. B. Lukhero, once again, who recorded this case.

#### Page 41.

assessor and the others. The Lozi assessor maintained that the behaviour was incorrect while the others were prepared to accept it. Eventually the Lozi assessor's views prevailed and the parties were recalled. In passing judgment the Lozi assessor said : 'We all know that before the Europeans came to our country different tribes used to have many disgraceful customs some of which have died. In my opinion this joking relationship is one of them. Using insulting language to the woman and touching her beads in public would be a serious crime if her husband were present. For this reason the court awards 20*s*. 0*d*. damages to the woman and 5*s*. 0*d* court fee.' The IIa man paid these amounts.

A relative of the IIa man now stood up and addressed the court. He said :'We have watched with interest the way this case has been conducted. But let it be known from this time that no Lozi person will joke with an IIa person, especially at the butchery, and in beer parties where this happens frequently.' An assessor representing the IIa and the Tonga in court said that it was the first time that a case had been decided in this way since he had been on the Bench. He mentioned several other cases brought by IIa or Tonga against Lozi but these had been dismissed because of the joking relationship.

The fact that the cases should have been brought to court at all indicates that the joking relationship is not accepted completely by all in town. In the trial reported here the existence of the joking relationship was admitted by the complainant and accepted by the court. In his summing up the Lozi assessor said he thought that it was a custom that should fall away but it was clear he was expressing his own opinion for the other assessors did not agree with him.

The main issue in this case was the sort of behaviour acceptable under the joking relationship between tribes and on this the courts are arbiters. But a point raised in the proceedings bears on Moreau's contention that the joking relationship is disappearing in town. This hinges on the particular situations in which the joking relationship may be invoked. The Ila man's kinsman mentioned two situations in which joking is most likely to occur, namely in the crowd outside the butcher shop and in drinking parties. The drinking relationship is invoked mainly in situations of casual social intercourse, where interaction does not take place within the framework of some well-defined social structure. It is highly significant in terms of my interpretation of the role of tribalism in urban areas that the joking relationship does not operate between co-workers in industry or between officials of an organization like a Trade Union. Not every social situation in an urban area, as Moreau seemed to assume, evokes the joking relationship between tribes.

The situation in which the *kalela* dance takes place has some of the features of a joking Hah, how unhappy are the Nsenga ! There have been some slanderous rumours Unheard of before.

Page 42.

What have I heard? The Nsenga woman slept with what ? You tell me - you who have heard it. She slept with a dog. I should deny it for the sake of the Nsenga, People are just telling lies about them. But yesterday I desired an Nsenga woman, Why did she refuse me? I pleaded with her but she entirely refused, Saying that I did not know how to copulate. I said that I would teach her how to. She entirely refused. How do you speak falsely against the Nsenga? Saying that they have fornicated with a dog? If they refuse human beings How can they accept a dog - a beast? Can they agree to it? You are just teasing. I shall send my dog to the Nsenga woman, The one that refused me will then acquiesce.

There has never been, as far as I know, any umbrage taken by the Nsenga people against this song, nor by the Lamba, Lwena or any other of the tribes that are mocked by the *kalela* singers. In fact, the spectators, of whom there are usually many, appear to enjoy the songs immensely. I think it is significant that this most insulting of all stanzas should be directed towards the Nsenga. This would fall into line with the broad pattern of joking relationships between Bemba and the Ngoni categories. But in general the *kalela* dancers, as representatives of the Bisa tribe, set up a sort of unilateral joking relationship with their spectators in which they express their hostility towards other tribes and yet do not incur animosity.

### KALELA IN THE URBAN SITUATION

We are now able to return to the apparent paradox which originally attracted my attention to the *kalela* dance. It will be recalled that one of the outstanding features of the *kalela* dance was that it was undoubtedly a tribal dance, in the sense that the team was composed mainly of Bisa tribesmen and they set out to praise the Bisa in general, and their chief Matipa in particular. But the clothing they wore and the language they used in their songs served to sink their identity as a tribal group, and to merge them with the Copperbelt African population as a whole.

I have tried to show in this essay that one of the features of the social structure of the African population on the Copperbelt is that except in these dancing teams, tribalism does not form the basis for the organization of corporate groups. It remains essentially a category of interaction in casual social intercourse. Similarly the prestige ranking system does not serve to organize Africans into corporately acting

#### Page 43.

groups. It operates as a category of interaction together with tribalism in mediating social relationships in what is predominantly a transient society. These two principles of association determine the behaviour of comparative strangers to one another mainly in day-to-day relationships. It is impossible to generalize about the operation of these principles without reference to the specific social situation in which the interaction takes place.<sup>106</sup> McCall writes of 'collectivities which have begun to knit the disparate tribal elements into common units', and mentions as examples of these 'schools, churches, trade unions, political parties, nationalist movements, and public places of recreation such as beer-halls and football fields'. He goes on to say that : 'The more that Africans identify themselves with these groups the less important tribal affiliation becomes.'<sup>107</sup> The evidence that we have from Northern Rhodesia is that in certain situations these differences become significant. I have presented evidence to show that in their opposition to the Europeans, Africans ignore both their 'class' and tribal differences.

Inside a tribal association such as those found in Southern Rhodesia I would expect oppositions to be phrased in terms of 'class' differences. I would expect the discussion within a teachers' or clerks' association to be phrased in terms of tribalism. The same people who stand together in one situation may be bitterly opposed in another. The fact that tribalism emerges as a significant category of interaction only in certain situations, may help to explain some of the apparent contradictions which acute observers have noted from time to time. Hellman for example writes that the widening of perspective and increase of knowledge that urban living has brought to the African, 'has created a Native with divided loyalties. He feels unity with the Bantu people as a whole ; but he has not emancipated himself from the feeling of tribal superiority which has caused each tribe in turn to call itself "The People ".<sup>108</sup> Hellman mentions tribal fights in the slum area in which she worked and in segregated mine compounds as typical situations in which tribalism serves to divide the population into opposed groups.

Her example of a situation in which tribal distinctions are minimized is equally significant. She writes : 'There is in Johannesburg the Bantu Men's Social Centre where any mention of purely tribal loyalties is deprecated, and where English as a language medium is assiduously fostered in the brief that a common language will help to merge Natives of different tribes, each with its different language, into a Bantu nation.'<sup>109</sup> Earlier in the same paragraph she had written : White South Africa is intimidated by the threat that this emerging "nation" directs at its own security.'

The *kalela* team, being all Bisa and having eliminated possible 'class' differences by adopting clothing appropriate to those in the higher positions in the prestige scale, are able to present a united front

<sup>117</sup> Cf. Gluckman, M., 1955, pp. 151-63.

<sup>118</sup> McCall, D. F., 1955, p. 158

<sup>119</sup> Hellman, E., 1948, p.114.

#### Page 44.

to outsiders. To the spectators there is no paradox in this. I think the paradox to us stems from the ambiguity of the concept of tribalism. Consider these manifestations of tribalism. The Chewa use the spectacular masked dances from the *nyau* ceremony in their dances on the Copperbelt. In Southern Rhodesia where tribesmen form corporate groups in the shape of burial and friendly societies, a title and a constitution perform the same function. It so happens that the Bisa in common with many other tribes from the northern parts of Northern Rhodesia have no particular distinctive dress by means of which they express their unity. Hence they fall back upon the praise verses in the song they sing. But the burial societies and the tribal dancing groups are not led by a headman and a group of tribal elders. Instead that have a committee with a chairman or a 'king' with secretaries, treasurers and other officials, and conduct their business on the same lines as any European association does. The rural tribal structure has no immediate relevance to the composition of the dance team and the particular symbol it uses to express its unity is not definitive.

I contend that the set of relationships among a group of tribesmen in their rural home is something very different from the set of relationships among the same group when they are transposed to a urban area. In the rural area the relationships of the members form part of a complete tribal system. They fix their relationships to one another in terms of kinship links, by clanship and by their membership of villages.

In towns the pattern of the social system is determined largely by the industrial system which forms the basis of their existence, and by the laws which Government has enacted to regulate the life of the town-dwellers. As cities have developed on the basis of industrial production, 'the pecuniary nexus which implies the purchasability of services and things has displaced personal relations as the basis of associations. Individuality under these circumstances must be replaced by categories.<sup>120</sup> 'Tribe' on the Copperbelt has become one of these categories and it is in this sense only that *kalela* is a 'tribal' dance.

120 Wirth, L., 1938, p. 44.

Page 45.

## APPENDIX I

# Occupational Prestige Ranking

# Distribution of Prestige Rankings.

Occupation	Very	High	Med.	Low	Very	Don't	Mean S.D
	High				Low	Know Rank	
African Education Officer	546	85	8	5	0	9	0.83 0.51
African Minister of Religion	395	178	52	11	4	13	1.18 0.73
Secondary School Teaching	382	229	26	15	1	10	1.18 0.69
African Police Inspector	403	189	31	12	12	6	1.19 0.77
Headmaster	350	266	27	4	1	5	1.26 0.70
African Welfare Officer	319	257	62	7	2	6	1.34 0.73
Mediccal Orderly	253	323	62	3	2	10	1.47 0.70
T.U Branch Secretary	231	323	70	9	2	18	1.52 0.70
Senior Clerk (mines)	178	346	81	12	5	31	1.65 0.68
Senior Clerk (govt.)	180	345	97	16	4	11	1.66 0.68
Primary School Teacher	112	336	154	39	3	9	1.86 0.62
Carpenter	111	303	201	22	3	13	1.86 0.61
Typist	70	301	214	42	17	9	2.02 0.59
Bricklayer	75	237	259	57	12	13	2.04 0.60
African Constable	67	270	197	80	32	7	2.11 0.65
Garage Mechanic	61	206	248	81	26	31	2.14 0.63
Boss Boy (mines)	76	173	150	114	50	90	2.19 0.79
Plumber	51	177	222	90	31	83	2.19 0.65
Contractor's capitao	38	206	259	93	25	32	2.21 0.56
Painter	32	116	312	132	30	31	2.32 0.56
Lorry Driver	14	154	320	121	39	5	2.35 0.50
Machine Boy	27	93	215	180	66	72	2.48 0.64

Boma Messenger	20	110	245	196	64	18	2.48 0.59
Office Messenger	5	47	2	260	114	16	2.72 0.55
Domestic Servant	18	61	174	217	168	15	2.75 0.68
Hotel Waiter	8	29	207	244	153	12	2.78 0.59
Station Boy	8	35	181	254	148	27	2.79 0.59
Petrol Pump Boy	5	14	128	252	231	23	2.98 0.58
Wood Cutter	2	17	147	211	251	25	3.00 0.58
Garden Boy	3	3	42	129	465	11	3.37 0.50
Scavenger	5	16	45	30	512	45	3.43 0.52

The respondents were African students and scholars at educational institutions in and around Lusaka. They were made up as follows :

Secondary School 303 Teachers' Training College 124 Technical School 226

Total 653

The mean rank was obtained by apportioning a weight to each of the prestige categories and then computing from them a weighted mean.

Page 46.

The weights were computed on the assumption that the distribution of ranks over all occupations was 'normal'. The method is set out in Yaukey, D., 'A Metric Measurement of Occupational Status'. *Sociology and Social Research*, XXIX, 5 (May-June, 1955), pp. 317-23.

The weights were :

Very high prestige 0.62 High prestige 1.96 Neither high nor low 2.27 Low prestige 2.85 Very low prestige 3.64

The means were taken to four places of decimal. The order of ranking in the tied ranks in the table were thus decided by the third decimal place.

#### APPENDIX II

RANKING OF TRIBES FROM THE POINT OF VIEW OF DIFFERENT ETHNIC GROUPS TABLE I THE EASTERN MATRILINEAL PEOPLE

	E. MAT.	S. PAT.	N. MAT	N.PAT.	BILAT	C.MAT.	W.MAT
1		Ngoni					
2	Nsenga						
3	Chewa						
4			Bemba				
5		Ndebele					
6				Tumbuka			
7				Mambwe			
8			Bisa				
9						Tonga	
10			Aushi				
11						Lenje	
12				Nyamwanga			
13					Lozi		
14						Soli	
15						Ila	
16							Kaonde
17							Lunda
18							Cholwe
19							Luvale
20							Luchazi

Eastern Matrilineal test group was made up of : Nsenga 17 ; Chewa 16 ;Nyasa Tonga 7 ; Nyanja 4 ; Yao 2. Total 46. Eastern Matrilineal test group was made up of : Nsenga 17 ; Chewa 16 ; Nyasa Tonga 7 ; Nyanja 4 ; Yao 2. Total 46.

Eastern Matrilineal test group was made up of : Nsenga 17 ; Chewa 16 ; Nyasa Tonga 7 ; Nyanja 4 ; Yao 2. Total 46.

# Page 47.

## TABLE II

	S. Pat.	E. Mat.	N. Pat.	N. Mat.	C. Mat.	Bilat.	W. Mat
1	Ngoni						
2	Ndebele						
3		Chewa					
4		Nsenga					
5			Tumbuka				
6				Bemba			
7				Bisa			
8					Lenje		
9			Mambwe				
10					Tonga		
11							Kaonde
12						Lozi	
13					Soli		
14			Nyamwanga				
15				Aushi			
16					Ila		
17							Lunda
18							Luvale
19							Luchazi
20							Chokwe

Southern Patrilineal test group was made up of 28 Ngoni.

### TABLE III

The Central Matrilineal People

	Central	Bilat.	S. Pat.	N. Mat.	E. Mat.	N. Pat.	W. Mat.
1	Tonga						
2	Lenje						
3	Ila						
4	Soli						
5				Bemba			
6							Kaonde
7		Lozi					
8			Ndebe le				
9			Ngoni				
10					Nsenga		
11				Bisa			
12						Tumbuka	
13						Mambwe	
14							Lunda
15					Chewa		
16						Nyamwan ga	
17				Aushi			
18							Luvale
19							Luchazi
20							Chokwe

Central Matrilineal group was made up of : N. Rhodesia Tonga 33 ; Lenje 11 ; Ila 7 ; Sala 3 ; Soli 2. Total 56.

Page 48.

### TABLE IV

The Northern Patrilineal People

	N. Pat.	S. Pat.	N. Mat.	E. Mat.	C. Mat.	Bilat.	W. Mat.
1	Mambwe						
2	Tumbuka						
3			Bemba				
4		Ngoni					
5	Nyamwanga						
6		Ndebele					
7			Bisa				
8				Nsenga			
9				Chewa			
10					Lenje		
11			Aushi				
12					Tonga		
13					Ila		
14						Lozi	
15							Kaonde
16					Soli		
17							Lunda
18							Luchazi
19							Chokwe
20							Luvale

Northern Patrilineal test group was made up of : Tumbuka 15 ; Mamwe 11 ; Henga 10 ; Nyamwanga 7 ; Fungwe 2 ; Nyakyusa 2 ; Ngonde 1 ; Sukwa 1. Total 49.

### TABLE V

## The Bilateral People

	Bilat.	C. Mat.	S. Pat.	W. Mat.	N. Mat.	N. Pat.	E. Mat
1	Lozi						
2			Ndebele				
3		Tonga					
4		Lenje					
5		Ila					
6				Kaonde			
7					Bemba		
8							Nsenga
9		Soli					
10						Mambwe	
11				Lunda			
12			Ngoni				
13				Luvale			
14						Nyamwanga	
15				Luchazi			
16					Aushi		
17					Bisa		
18						Tumbuka	
19				Chokwe			
20							Chewa

Bilateral test group was made up of : Lozi 30 ; Lumbu 1 ; Totela 1. Total 32.

## Page 49.

### TABLE VI

	W. Mat.	C. Mat.	Bilat.	N. Pat.	S.Pat.	E. Mat.	N. Mat.
1	Kaonde						
2		Lenje					
3							Bemba
4	Lunda						
5		Tonga					
6		Soli					
7				Mambwe			
8			Lozi				
9		Ila					
10				Tumbuka			
11					Ngoni		
12						Nsenga	
13					Ndebele		
14							Bisa
15				Nyamwanga			
16						Chewa	
17							Aushi
18	Luvale						
19	Chkwe						
20	Luchazi						

The Kaonde and Lunda Composition : Kaonde 10 ; Lunda 9 ; Total 19.

### TABLE VII

The Chokwe, Luvale and Luchazi

	W. Mat.	Bilat.	S. Pat.	N. Pat.	E. Mat.	N. Mat.	C. Mat.
1	Luchazi						
2	Chokwe						
3	Luvale						
4	Lunda						
5		Lozi					
6			Ndebele				
7	Kaonde						
8				Mambwe			
9				Tumuka			
10					Chewa		
11						Bemba	
12			Ngoni				
13							Soli
14				Nyamwanga			
15					Nsenga		
16							Lenje
17						Bisa	
18							Ila
19							Tonga
20						Aushi	

Composition : Chokwe 2 ; Lovale 7 ; Luchazi 3. Total 12.

Page 50.

BIBLIOGRAPHY

BANTON, M. 1953a. Ambas Geda', West Africa (24th October 1953).

- -1953b. ' The Dancing Compin'. West Africa (7th November 1953).
  -1954. 'Tribal Headmen in Freetown'. Journal of African Administration, VI, 3 (July, 1954), 140-4.
- BARBER, B. 1946. 'Acculturation and Messianic Movements', American Sociological *Review*, VI (1946), 663-9.
- BARNES, J. A. 1951. 'The Fort Jameson Ngoni' in Colson, E., and Gluckman, M. (eds.), Seven Tribes in British Central Africa, >Oxford University Press for Rhodes-Livingstone Institute London, 1951).

-1954*a. Politics in a Changing Society*, Oxford University Press for Rhodes-Livingstone Institute (Cape Town, 1954).

- 1954b. 'Class and Committees in a Norwegian Island Parish', *Human Relations*, VII, 1 (1954), 39-58.

BOGARDUS, E. S. 1933. 'A Social Distance Scale', *Sociology and Social Research*, XVII (1933), 265-71.

- BRELSFORD, W. V. 1948. *African Dances of Northern Rhodesia*. Occasional papers of the Rhodes-Livingstone Museum. New Series, No. 2. Rhodes-Livingstone Mseum (Livingstone, 1948).
- BRUWER, J. 1951. 'Korswelsverhoudings en die Belangrikheid daarvan by Begrafnis gebruike'. *Op die Horison*, XIII, 2 (1951), 24-33.

CLAY, G. C. T. 1949. 'African Urban Advisory Councils in the Northern Rhodesia Copperbelt', *Journal of African Administration*, I, 1 (January, 1949), 33-8.

COLSON, E. 1951. 'The Plateau Tonga of Northern Rhodesia' in Colson, E., and Gluckman, M. (eds.), *Seven Tribes of British Central Africa*, Oxford University Press for Rhodes-

Livingstone Institute (London. 1951).

- 1953. 'Clans and the Joking Relationship among the Plateau Tonga of Northern Rhodesia', *Kroeber Anthropological Society Papers* 8 and 9 (1953), pp. 45-58.

 COULTER, G. C.1933. 'Sociological Aspects' in Merle Davis (ed.), *Modern Industry* and the African, Macmillan (London, 1933).
 COX, O. C. 1945. 'Estates, Social Classes and Political Classes'. *American Sociological Review*, X (1945), 464-9.

DOKE, C. M. 1931. *The Lambas of Northern Rhodesia*, George Harrop & Co. (London, 1931).

- EPSTEIN, A. L. 1953. *The Administration of Justice and the Urban African*, Colonil Research Series (London, 1953).
- 1956, Politics in an Urban African Community. In preparation.
- GLUCKMAN, M. 1940. 'Analysis of a Social Situation in Modern Zululand', *Bantu Studies*, XIV (March, 1940), pp. 1-30 ; (June, 1940), pp. 147-74.
   1949. (with Mitchell, J. C., and Barnes, J. A.) 'The Village Headman in British Central Africa', *Africa*, XIX, 2 (April, 1949), 89-106.
- 1955. Custom and Conflict in Africa, Basil Blackwell (Oxford, 1955).
   GOLDSCHMIDT, W. 1953. 'Social Class in America a Critical Review', American Anthropologist, L, ii (1953), 391-418.

Page 51.

GUSSMAN, B. 1952. *African Life in an Urban Area*, Federation of African Welfare Societies (Bulawayo, 1952), cyclostyled.

HARLOW, V. 1955. 'Tribalism in Africa', *Journal of African Administration*, VII, i (January, 1955), 17-20.

- HEATH, F. M. N. 1953. 'The Growth of African Councils on the Copperbelt of Northern Rhodesia'. *Journal of African Administration*, V, 3 (July, 1953),
- HELLMAN, E. 1948. *Rooiyard*. *A Sociological Survey of an Urban Native Slum Yard*, Rhodes-Livingstone Paper, No. 13, Oxford University Press (Cape Town, 1948).

HILLER, E. T. 1947. *Social Relations and Structures*, Harper Bros. (New York, 1947).

- HUNTER-, MONICA. 1936. Reaction to Conquest : Effects of Contact with Europeans on the Pondo of South Africa. Oxford University Press for the International African Institute (London).
- JOKL, E. 1949. 'Physical Education, Sport and Recreation' in Hellman, E., and Abrahams, L. (eds.), *Handbook of Race Relations in South Africa*, Oxford University Press (Cape Town, 1949).
- JONES, A. M. 1945. 'African Music : The Mganda Dance', *African Studies*, IV, 4 (December, 1945), 180-8.
- LENSKI, G. E. 1952. 'American Social Classes : Statistical Strata or Social Groups ?', American Journal of Sociology, LVIII, 2 (September, 1952), 139-44.
- LITTLE, K. 1948. 'Social Change and Social Class in the SierraLeone Protectorate', *American Journal of Sociology*, LIV, 1 (July, 1948), 15-16.
  - 1955. 'Structural Change in the Sierra Leone Protectorate', *Africa*, XXV, 3 (July, 1955), 217-33.

McCall, D. F. 1955. 'Dynamics of Urbanization in Africa,' *Annals of the American Academy of Political and Social Science*, 298 (March, 1955), 151-160. McCulloch, M. 1956. *A Social Survey of the African Population of Livingstone*,

- Rhodes-Livingstone Paper, No. 26, Manchester University Press (Manchester, 1956). MARWICK, M. 1956. Sorcery in its Social Selling with special reference to the Northern Rhodesia Cewa. Unpublished thesis.
- MERTON, R., and LAZARFELD, P. F> 1950. *Continuities in Social Research*, The Free Press (Glencoe, 1950).
- MITCHELL, J. C. 1951. 'The Yao of Southern Nyasaland' in Colson, E., and Gluckman, M. (eds.), *Seven Tribes of British Central Africa*, Oxford University Press for Rhodes-Livingstone Institute (London, 1951).

- 1954*a*. *African Urbanization in Ndola and Luanshya*, Rhodes-Livingstone Communication, No. 6 (Lusaka, 1954).

 - 1954b. 'The Distribution of African Labour by Area of Origin on the Coppermines of Northern Rhodesia', *Human Problems in British Central Africa*, XIV (1954), 30-36.

- 1955. 'The African Middle Classes in British Central Africa', paper submitted to the I.N.C.I.D.I Conference on the Development of the Middle Classes in Tropical Countries (London, 1955).

MOREAU, R. E. 1941. 'The Joking Relationship (utani) in Tanganyika', *Tanganyika Notes and Records*, XII (December, 1941), 1-10.

Page 52.

NORTHERN RHODESIA GOVERNMENT. Report of the Commission appointed to Enquire into the Disturbances in the Copperbelt (The Russell Commission), Government

Printer (Lusaka, 1935). *Evidence taken by the Commission appointed to Enquire into the Disturbances* 

*Evidence taken by the Commission appointed to Enquire into the Disturbances in the copperbelt*, Government Printer (Lusaka, 1935).

- NYIRENDA, A. A. 1956. African Market Vendors in Lusaka. Unpublished manuscript.
- PFAUTZ, H. W. 1953. 'The Current Literature on Social Stratification : Critique and Bibliography,' *American Journal of Sociology*, LVIII (1953), 391-418.
- PRETORIOUS, J. L. 1949. 'The Terms of Relationship of the Cewa', The Nyasaland *Journal*, II, 2 (January, 1949), 44-52.
- RADCLIFFE-BROWN, A. R. 1940. 'On Joking Relationships', *Africa*, XIII (1940), 195-210.

- 1949. 'A Further Note on Joking Relationships', Africa, XIX (1949), 133-140.

RICHARDS, A. I. 1937. 'Reciprocal Clan Relationships among the Bemba of Northern Rhodesia', *Man*, XXXVII (1937), 222.

SCRIVENOR, T. V. 1937. 'Some Notes on Utani, or the Vituperative Alliances existing

between the Clans in the Masasi District', Tanganyiki Notes and Records, 4 (October, 1937), 72-74.

- SHALER, N. S. 1904. The Neighbour, Houghton Mifflin & Co. (New York, 1904). Quoted in Park, R. E., and Burgess, E. W., Introduction to the Science of Sociology, University of Chicago Press (Illinois, 1921), p. 295.
- STANNUS, H. S., 1910. 'Notes on Some Tribes in British Central Africa', *Journal of the Royal Anthropological Institute*, XI (1910).
- STAFANISZYN, B. 1950. 'Funeral Friendship in Central Africa', Africa, XX (1950), 290 306.
  - 1951. 'Clan Jest of the Ambo', Nada, XXVIII (1951), 94-107.
- SPEARPOINT, F. 1937. 'The African Natives and the Rhodesian Copper Mines', Supplement to the *Journal of the Royal African Society*, XXVI (July, 1937).
- STOUFFER, A. S., et al. 1950. *Measurement and Prediction*, Princeton University Press (Princeton, New Jersey, 1950).

TEW, M. 1951. 'A Further Note on Funeral Friendahip', *Africa*, XXI, 2 (April, 1951), 222-4.

WILSON, G. 1942. An Essay on the Economics of Detribalization in Northern Rhodesia, Part II, Rhodes-Livingstone Paper, No. 6 (Livingstone, 1942).

WIRTH, L. 1938. 'Urbanism as a Way of Life', *The American Journal of Sociology*, XLIV (July, 1938), reprinted in Hatt, Paul K., and Reiss, Albert J., *Reader in Urban Sociology*, The Free Press (Glencoe, 1951), 32-49.

NOTE

The UNESCO publication, *Social Implications of Industrialization and Urbanization in Africa south of the Sahara* was issued just as this paper went to press. It has not been possible toconsider its bearing on this study.