Oslo, 20 July 2015

From: Dr. Gentian Zyberi, Norwegian Centre for Human Rights, Faculty of Law,

University of Oslo

To: PMR/ Faculty of Law, University of Oslo

Subject: Institutional Support for International Moot Court Competitions

Executive summary

International moot court competitions offer a **unique learning opportunity for law students**. Participating in these competitions allows our students to combine legal research and writing with litigation skills and prepares them for their future career. This also benefits the Law Faculty specifically and the University of Oslo (UiO) more generally, in that it showcases the quality of education at UiO and achieves international exposure and recognition. That **contributes to increasing UiO's international prestige and ranking**.

For these reasons mooting should be institutionally supported by the Faculty of Law. With appropriate supervision and support, participants gain invaluable learning experience and improve professional prospects. UiO's mooting strategy should encompass:

- Consolidating its good tradition and experience accumulated over the last years with Telders and the ELSA Human Rights Moot Court;
- Expanding to cover other competitions of interest to the different departments;²
- Providing sustained institutional support from the Faculty to mooting efforts;
- Soliciting funding from law firms and other sources; and
- Integrating skills-oriented teaching into programme curricula.

In order to ensure continuity, reward those involved (coaches and students), and institutionalize this practice/method of learning we kindly request the PMR/Faculty to consider the following:

- 1. Provide financial support from the faculty to cover the expenses for participating (varies per moot court);
- 2. Give 10 ECTS for the students participating in the moot courts;
- 3. Allocate 90 hours of teaching for academic staff involved in coaching the team.³

¹ The Faculty has a tradition of being involved in national and regional moot court competitions organized by ELSA (see http://elsa.no/om-elsa/academic-activities/ta-den-globale-utfordringen/ and http://elsa.no/om-elsa/academic-activities/den-nasjonale-finalen-i-prosedyrekonkurransen/), as well as in the Nordic Human Rights competition, organized through law firms (see http://www.schjodt.no/karriere/studenter/prosedyrekonkurranser.aspx).

² The PMR should have an overview of all moot courts the Faculty is involved in.

³ For an idea of the amount of work involved in preparing a moot court team see Annex A.

Introduction

The results of UiO moot court teams have been quite good over the years. Thus, in April 2012, the UiO team won the final round of the Telders International Law Moot Court Competition (Telders); in February 2015, the UiO team participating in the ELSA Human Rights Moot court ranked 6th among 16 finalist teams; in May 2015, the UiO team participating in the Telders competition ranked 4th among 24 teams. UiO should actively seek greater international visibility and prestige by increasing the number of moot court competitions to which it sends its student teams.

Mooting effectively would offer law students an experience rich in its content and useful in its practicality that is comparable to completing an internship successfully. With competent oversight and institutional support, taking part in a moot court competition offers a unique learning opportunity for law students. Indeed, the experience often marks one of the highlights in a student's law studies. Similarly, and perhaps more than other forms of teaching such as lectures, readings and papers, mooting trains participants to reason, write and argue logically and succinctly in a holistic, engaging and thematically coherent manner. In addition, successful mooting strengthens the international profile of the Law Faculty of the UiO. Mooting should be recognized and supported as a core feature of our law degree programs, instead of only giving it ad hoc support.

Selection of moot court competitions

Moot courts for which there is an interest and support from the staff of the UiO Law Faculty:

- *Telders* largest and most prestigious of its kind for public international law in Europe.
- Jean Pictet largest and most prestigious of its kind for international humanitarian law.
- European Law Moot Court Competition (ELMC) largest and most prestigious moot court competition in the field of European law.
- *ELSA Human Rights Moot Court* very prestigious moot court competition in the field of human rights organized by ELSA in Strasbourg at the premises of the European Court of Human Rights.

Other relevant moot courts which could gradually be included, depending on resources and interest, are:

Specialisation	Name of Competition			
Human Rights	ELSA European Human Rights Moot Court	Strasbourg		
Truman Kights	Competition ⁴ (EHRL)	(France)		
	Telders Moot Court	The Hague		
Public International				
Law	• Philip C. Jessup International Law Moot	Washington,		
Court Competition ⁵ ("Jessup")		D.C. (US)		

⁴ See http://www.elsa.org/mootcourt/humanrights.html.

-

International Criminal	• ICC Trial Competition ⁶	The Hague (the
Law and International		Netherlands)
Humanitarian Law	• Jean Pictet ⁷	Varies
International Trade, Investment and Commercial Law	Willem C. Vis International Commercial	Vienna
	Arbitration Moot ⁸ ("Vis")	(Austria)
	• Foreign Direct Investment International Arbitration Moot (FDI) ⁹	Varies (TBC)

Financial costs

The total costs for participating in a moot court range between 50,000 NOK to 150,000 NOK (if travelling overseas, e.g. Jessup). The Faculty of Law could choose to allocate funds to participate in a maximum of three moot court competitions per year.

• Funding and other support

Securing funding, especially for covering the participation fees and travel expenses, should be a priority in the mooting strategy. Potential sponsors include the Norwegian Foreign Ministry for various international law moots including Telders, Jessup and the ICC Moot Court; the Norwegian Chamber of Commerce, possibly for trade law and/or commercial law moots; private law firms for various moots; and the Norwegian Red Cross, Amnesty International Norway, Norwegian Helsinki Committee and Nobel- and Nansen-related institutions for human rights and/or humanitarian law moots as the Jean Pictet Concours.

Institutional support

This initiative has the support of academic staff at the Norwegian Centre for Human Rights, the Department of Public International Law, and the Department of Private Law.

• For students

In order for moot court participation not to suffer from the costs of haphazardness and ad hocism it is important for the students to get **10 ECTS credits** for participating in the competition. The amount of independent and supervised work which students have to put into this would easily satisfy the requirements of a course at the UiO.

• For academic staff/coaches

Academic staff involved in coaching UiO student teams uses a considerable amount of time both in administrative matters and in supervision. As Annex A below shows, coaches have to select the team and then coach it with regard to both writing skills and advocacy skills over a

⁵ See http://www.ilsa.org/jessuphome.

⁶ See http://www.icc-trialcompetition.org/cms.

⁷ See http://www.concourspictet.org/index en.htm.

⁸ See http://www.cisg.law.pace.edu/vis.html.

⁹ See http://www.fdimoot.org.

period of several months. For that reason it is suggested that **90 hours of teaching** is a reasonable amount of time which needs to be allocated for this purpose.

Conclusion

The merits of mooting as an experiential form of learning highly appreciated by the students and its potential to raise the visibility of UiO and its international profile need to be given the necessary attention and institutional support.

Annex A

tating tation
•
•
•
•
tation
ĺ
notion
cation
iterial t with
nisers
mscrs
norial
paring

Gentian Zyberi, Norwegian Centre for Human Rights

		- Final adjustments &	- Running oral	nomination material
		formatting	pleading practice	
		- Final memorials	sessions	
		prepared & submitted		
		- Oral pleading		
		practice sessions		
Feb.		- Oral pleading practice sessions	- Running oral pleading practice sessions	- Arranging competition travels
Mar.	- National pre-		- Running oral	
	selection rounds (not	- Oral pleading	pleading practice	ъ .
	in Norway so far)	practice sessions	sessions	- Preparing
	- Participation fee due	- Opponent memorials	- Analysing &	participation fee
	- Opponent memorials	analysed & discussed	discussing opponent	payment
	sent		memorials	
Apr.	- Semi-final rounds - Final round	 Oral pleading practice sessions Dress rehearsals Travel to/from competition venue 	 Running oral pleading practice sessions Organising dress rehearsals Accompanying team to/from competition venue 	- Publicity
May				
June		- Debriefing	 Preparing debriefing Summarising debriefing	