FIL 4160/4170/4180 – Metafysikk II/Metafysikk II med lite essay/Metafysikk II med stort essay Våren 2007 Fredag 25. mai kl. 9.00-13.00 (4 timer)

Oppgavesettet består av 2 sider Ingen hjelpemidler tillatt

You can write in Norwegian or English, as you prefer. Answer <u>all</u> questions in (A), <u>one</u> question in (B) and <u>one</u> question in (C):

#### (A) THE CONTENT AND STRUCTURE OF THE MINIMALIST THEORY OF TRUTH.

According to Horwich, 'a theory of any phenomenon, *X*, is a collection of principles (i.e., axioms and/or rules)'.

#### A.1. What are the fundamental principles of the minimal theory of truth?

According to Horwich, the minimalist theory of truth "is highly reminiscent of the old 'redundancy theory' of Frege, Ramsey, Ayer and Strawson': the idea that 'The proposition *that p* is true' means no more and no less than simply 'p'."

At the same time, Horwich mentions *three fundamental respects* in which minimalism represents an improvement over the redundancy theory: they concern (i) the function of our concept of truth, (ii) the relation between 'p' and 'the proposition that p is true', and (iii) the question whether truth is a property.

**A.2**. Explain what those three improvements consist in, according to Horwich, and why he thinks they are needed. Do you agree with Horwich that all the changes are improvements?

According to Horwich, one of the 'striking features' of minimalism is that 'although we have been able to characterize the axioms of [the minimalist theory of truth] we cannot explicitly formulate the theory – for two independent reasons'.

**A. 3.** What are the reasons Horwich gives for why the minimalist theory cannot be explicitly formulated?

# (B) OBJECTIONS TO MINIMALISM (ANSWER ONE QUESTION)

**B.1.** Crispin Wright's key objection to deflationism is that it is 'internally unstable'. What does he mean? Explain and assess his objection.

**B. 2.** Anil Gupta argues against the strong reading of 'The disquotation thesis'. What does 'the disquotation thesis' say on the strong interpretation? Present, and assess, Anil Gupta's key argument against it.

# (C) FURTHER ISSUES ABOUT MINIMALISM (ANSWER ONE QUESTION)

## The possibility of a finitely axiomatizable theory of truth:

As Horwich notes, there is a strong temptation to suppose that we can improve on the minimal theory of truth 'and capture the infinity of instances of the equivalence schema in a compact formulation'. He considers, and rejects, the following two attempts at formulating 'an explanatorily adequate theory of truth' as a single principle or proposition:

- (i)  $(x)(x \text{ is true iff } \{\exists q\} (x = \langle q \rangle \& q))$
- (ii) Every instance of <<p> is true iff p > is true

**C.1.** What are Horwich's reasons for rejecting these proposals? Explain and assess.

## Worries about taking propositions to be the bearers of truth.

Horwich's 'minimal theory of truth' takes truth to be a property of propositions. However, philosophers have a number of worries about propositions: there are disputes about their nature and whether they even exist. In addition, worries have been voiced about whether deflationism (minimalism) can consistently be combined with a commitment to the existence of propositions.

**C.2.** How does Horwich address these worries? Do his answers strike you as adequate? Explain.