AST5770

Solar and stellar physics

Sven Wedemeyer, University of Oslo, 2023

Assignment #4

Results

- The part of a paper, in which the **new results are presented in detail**.
- **Describe** the results and leave the detailed interpretation (and comparison to literature) for the discussion section!
- It should not be a (random) collection of all facts and things you found out.
 - ➡ Select the results that serve a purpose in exploring and addressing the scientific question/topic of your paper!
- Be concise and focused but yet consistent and complete.

Results	Discussion	Conclusion
 Detailed but yet focused description of the new results found in this study Thorough analysis of the introduced data, using the introduced methods 	 Interpretation of the new results (described in the previous section), setting them into context / comparing them to results in the literature (and possibly complementary data) 	 The essence and take-away message Brief summary of the most important results and conclusions!
 Results presented with good figures (and tables if applicable) 	Avoid details and repetitie	ons!

Assignment #4

How to start?!

1. Get familiar with the data.

- Start with the data analysis by plotting different aspect of your data use standard tools like profiles, maps, histograms etc.
- Do you see any trends/properties of the data that might be relevant with regard to your science question?

2. Initial detailed data analysis.

- Try to split the scientific question into small steps/aspects and investigate in detail.
- How can you check these sub-questions by plotting the data in different ways?
- Find good ways to visualise your findings. Make <u>preliminary</u> plots/tables (simple, do not yet use much time on making them "pretty").

3. Collect, sort, and filter your findings and plots so far.

- Pre-select material (your "puzzle pieces") and start with the most important ones (as far as you can tell at this point)
- Now put your findings and material into order (in your tentative manuscript)
- Describe the figures/tables in detail in your text.

4. Connect the dots.

• Put the results into a logical order and create a "story" with a "red thread".

5. Polish — Make the text, figures, table nice and consistent

• Go through the text again and again and make sure it connects well and that all figures and tables are referred to and made good use of. You may want to update your figures to emphasise your findings.

AST5770 - UiO - S. Wedemeyer

Solar flares

Solar flares — recap

- 1. Flares release large amounts of energy. Where is this energy stored prior to its release?
- 2. With which process is this amount of energy (gradually) built up?
- 3. Where goes the energy that is released during flares?
- 4. What is the name of the largest flare ever observed? And what is the name of the first flare ever observed?
- 5. How much stronger is a X1 flare compared to a C1 flare (in terms of irradiance as measured in soft X-rays by the GOES satellites)?
- 6. Why are loops lightening up and emitting in soft X-rays?



Extreme examples

- **Carrington Event** The Great Flare of 1859
 - First solar flare ever reported!
 - No flare approaching this intensity has been observed since.
 - Aurora seen as far south as Cuba/Colombia!

- March 6 1989: X15-class solar flare
 - Coronal Mass Ejection (CME) hit Earth on March 13
 - Auroras seen as far south as Texas and Florida
 - Caused a power outage in Québec, Canada lasting 9 hours
 - Communication blackouts etc.

AST5770 - UiO - S. Wedemeyer

Flares

Bastille Day Flare

- July 2000
- X5.7-class !
- Observations with NASA's TRACE satellite
- Caused a
 Coronal Mass
 Ejection and
 then a
 geomagnetic
 storm with
 minor damage
 to satellite and
 power grid
 infrastructure

AST5770 - UiO - S. Wedemeyer

Flares

"Best observed flare ever" — X-class — March 29, 2014



Filament eruption

15-Mar-2015 01:36 UT



NASA/SDO/AIA 171

A complex and varied phenomenon



- Different parts of the spectrum show different behaviour
- $H\alpha$ and soft X-rays: Smoother variations
- Hard X-rays, γ -rays: Spiky emission
- EUV a bit of both
 - X-ray spectrum can be fitted with two components, following power laws each
 - Lower-energy component
 - Higher-energy component
 - Constraints for the physical mechanism behind flares
 - Thermal radiation (bremsstrahlung)
 - Non-thermal radiation due to highly energetic particles (radio, hard X- ray, γ-rays)

Towards a physical model

Observations so far:

- Substantial brightening intermittent brightening across the spectrum
- Temporal change in emission slightly different across the spectrum
- Large amount of energy released from a small volume in a short period of time.
- Structure of the Active Regions changes during a flare
- Hot loops visible afterwards





Physical mechanism

- Large amount of energy stored in a small volume that can be quickly transformed and released as energetic electrons and photons
- Only sufficient energy source is energy stored in strong magnetic fields, built up braiding of field lines
- Only mechanism capable of such a sudden energy release in the solar atmosphere:

Magnetic reconnection.



Standard flare model

- Two-ribbon flare
- Ribbons move apart in (gradual) decay phase (typical speeds 10km/s)
- Space between ribbons usually spanned by post-flare loops (transverse filamentary pattern seen in Hα)
- Outlines the new magnetic configuration after the flare



Standard flare model

- Prominence (closed magnetic field structure) destablized due photospheric footpoint motions
- \rightarrow Field structure rises upwards.
- \rightarrow Underlying magnetic field lines are stretched.
- \rightarrow Formation of a current sheet.
- Resistivity suddenly increased (plasma wave excitation due to various instabilities) — produces diffusion region
- ightarrow Magnetic reconnection occurs.
- Plasma shoots away from reconnection site as oppositely directed hot jets.
- ➡ Inflow region gets separated from outflow region by pairs of slow-mode standing shocks.
- ➡ If speed of outflow jet > Alfvén speed: termination shock produced
- Electrons accelerated at magnetic reconnection site propagate downwards and emit hard X-rays via bremsstrahlung (Brown 1971).



Shibata et al. (1995), Oka et al. (2018)



Physical mechanism — overview/



Shibata & Magara (2011)



Shibata & Magara (2011)

Thick target model (Brown 1971)

- Magnetic reconnection
- Plasma (electrons) accelerated away from reconnection site as oppositely directed hot jets.
- \rightarrow Downward streaming of fast particles
- ➡ Hit denser plasma in chromosphere below, emission of hard X-rays via bremsstrahlung
- Consequence: Heating ("evaporation") and upward streaming of plasma from lower atmosphere







Correct model? Thin target model? Still debated.

Liu et al (2008)

Flares

Thick target model +





- Magnetic reconnection
- \Rightarrow Plasma (electrons) accelerated away from reconnection site as oppositely directed hot jets.
- (1 Downward streaming of fast particles

Primary energy

 \rightarrow Hit denser plasma in chromosphere below, emission of hard X-rays via bremsstrahlung





Impulsive and gradual phase



Impulsive phase (or impulsive flare)

Gradual phase (or LDE flare) Tsuneta et al (1992)

Masuda (1990) Masuda (1994) Tsuneta et al (1992)

Impulsive and gradual phase







Hard X-rays

and gamma rays

Hot

loop

Lysenko et al. (2020)/Shibata et al. (1995)

 Bremsstrahlung when particles are decelerated when hitting denser plasma and/or in hot plasma due to thermal particle motions (spectrum related to plasma temperature)

Radio Bursts

- Radio wavelengths > 0.1 m : eruptive events recorded since first discovery of solar radio signals (~1942)
- Many radio bursts associated with flares
- **Classification: Types I to V** (Wild 1959).
- Types II and III most characteristic due to banded structure in a frequency-time diagram.
- Lowest (fundamental) band is interpreted in terms of the local plasma frequency $\nu_{\rm P}$, higher bands are harmonics to $\nu_{\rm P}$

 $\nu_{\rm P}$ =

• Due to motion of emitting sources across the corona (change in <u>density</u>)

Plasma frequency

$$= \frac{e}{2\pi} \left(\frac{n_{\rm e}}{\varepsilon_0 m_{\rm e}}\right)^{1/2}$$

Electromagnetic waves

with frequency < $\nu_{\rm P}$ cannot propagate, will be absorbed or reflected



Radio Bursts

- **Type III:** during impulsive flare phase, simultaneously with hard x-ray emission
 - Fast frequency drift corresponding to velocities of 10⁴ - 10⁵ km/s (consistent with 10–100 keV electrons)
- Type II: occur after type III
 - Move more slowly (v ~ 10³ km/s), consistent with velocity measured for the coronal mass ejections
 - Explanation: Source propagates outwards through corona (shock wave generated by a flare or eruptive prominence)
- Type I: Noise storms due to plasma emission in Active Regions
- Type IV-V and additional types: associated, less common



AST5770 - UiO - S. Wedemeyer

Flares

Observational evidence for reconnection



Numerical simulations

At the same time, magnetic forces eject plasma away from the Sun.

Violet : Plasma with temperature less than 1 million Kelvin Red : Plasma with temperature between 1 and 10 million Kelvin Green: Plasma with temperature greater than 10 million Kelvin

M. C. M. Cheung, M. Rempel et al. 2018, Nature Astronomy

sunspot

sunspot

Flares — Challenges for understanding and modelling

- Spatial scales:
 - Particle trajectories on scales: ~1cm (electron Larmor radii)
 - Typical thickness of a current sheet: ~100 1000 m
 - Coronal loops, filaments height, length: several Mm to 10-100Mm

• Time scales:

- Kinetic processes: as small as 10⁻⁹ s
- Global flare evolution: minutes to hours
- **Plasma conditions** (especially in the current sheet) cannot be described adequately using fluid approach (i.e. (ideal) MHD)
 - ➡ Requires kinetic description (i.e. on particle level)

Current numerical approach:

- Simplifying assumptions and approximations needed to render problem computationally feasible
- Particle-in-cell (PIC) method
 - Iterative method for solving the evolution of a system of particles (here: charged particles in a magnetic field)
 - Uses macro-particles to represent many real-particles



Occurrence of major (X-class) flares over the solar cycle

- Flares occur in Active Regions
- Number of flares (and X-class flares) thus varies with the number of present sunspots and thus with the solar cycle



Occurrence

- Total number per day depends on flare intensity!
- Solar minimum: on average one per day
- Solar maximum: on average as high as 20 per day
- Flare rate is very **irregular**!
 - There can be long periods of time at solar minimum with no detectable flare!
 - A large active region can produce many flares in just a few days.



Solar flare index: based on flare's brightness and importance.

Flares as a scalable phenomenon

- Magnetic field on the Sun is structured on a larger range of scales
- "Stored" magnetic energy in stressed magnetic field scales correspondingly
- Magnetic reconnection can trigger energy release in structure over a large range of spatial scales.
- From small to large:
 - Nanoflares
 - Microflares
 - (normal) flares



Can occur <u>outside</u>

Active Regions!

Recap — Flares

Flares as a scalable phenomenon

• Magnetic field on the Sun is structured on a larger range of scales

Sun

- "Stored" magnetic energy in stressed magnetic field scales correspondingly
- Magnetic reconnection can trigger energy release in structure over a large range of spatial scales.
- From small to large:
 - Nanoflares
 Microflares
 Observed
 on the
 - (normal) flares
 - Superflares
 - Megaflares
 - Observed on other stars
 - M-dwarfs know for strong flares (e.g., AD Leo, Proxima Cen)
 - Can outshine whole star for minutes



1,000

60

65

55

50

Stellar flares

Superflares 0.030 Maehara et al (2012) a 0.020 0.025 0.015 AF/F_{av} Kepler observations 0.010 0.020 0.005 0.015 0.000 0.0 0.2 0.4 G-type main-sequence star KIC 9459362 Time from flare peak (d) 0.010 Relative flux variation ($\Delta F/F_{av}$): 1.4% 0.005 • 0.000 Flare duration: 3.9 h -0.005 Total released energy: 5.6 10³⁴ erg Relative flux, *ΔF/F_{av}* KIC 9459362 -0.010 965 970 995 960 975 980 985 990 BJD - 2,454,000 0.100 G-type main-sequence star KIC 6034120 C 0.10 d 0.08 0.080 Relative flux variation ($\Delta F/F_{av}$): 8.4% 0.04 0.02 0.060 Flare duration: 5.4 h 0.00 0.0 0.2 0.4 Time from flare peak (d) Total released energy: 3.0 10³⁵ erg 0.040 0.020 In total: 365 superflares from ~83000 stars 0.000 observed over 120 days. KIC 6034120 -0.020

25

30

35

40

45

BJD - 2,455,000

 \Rightarrow Superflare occurring on a star once every ~350 yr.

AST5770 - UiO - S. Wedemeyer

Stellar flares



X45

Credits: NASA's Goddard Space Flight Center/S. Wiessinger

Credits: NASA's Goddard Space Flight Center/S. Wiessinger

Stellar flares

Megaflares

- Megaflares on M-dwarf stars: The flare can outshine the whole stars for minutes
- Prominent examples:
 - Proxima Cen: Flare on May 1, 2019, lasted just 7 seconds, brightest ever detected flare in millimeter and far-UV wavelengths.
 - AD Leo: Well-studied flare star.



Credits: NASA's Goddard Space Flight Center/S. Wiessinger

Stellar flares

Megaflares

- Megaflares on M-dwarf stars: The flare can outshine the whole stars for minutes
- Prominent examples:
 - Proxima Cen: Flare on May 1, 2019, lasted just 7 seconds, brightest ever detected flare in millimeter and far-UV wavelengths.
 - AD Leo: Well-studied flare star.



AST5770 - UiO - S. Wedemeyer

Stellar flares



Credits: NASA's Goddard Space Flight Center/S. Wiessinger