

a) Decay probability determined through:

- b) "building" the α -particle \leftarrow Q -value needs to be positive
- knock on Coul-bar.
 - Tunneling probability

Liquid drop: ~~strong~~ ^{Coulomb} term leads to α -decay of ~~rich~~ ^{proton} rich nuclei?!

+ see problem 2

- neutron rich nuclei: generally prone to β^- , not to α -decay

c) α -particle is mono-energetic, but different energies are possible due to decay in excited states

Higher L transfer less likely; parity needs to be taken into account

\rightarrow this explains simultaneously the deviations from the Q -value

\rightarrow these are 'forbidden' decays

Problem 4

a) $A_1(0) = A_2(0) = A_3(0) = 1,0 \mu\text{Ci}$

$t_{1/2} = 1,0 \text{ s}, \lambda_1 = \frac{\ln 2}{1,0 \text{ s}} = 0,693 \text{ 1/s}$

$t_{2/2} = 1,0 \text{ h} = 3600 \text{ s}, \lambda_2 = 1,925 \cdot 10^{-4} \text{ 1/s}$

$t_{3/2} = 1,0 \text{ d} = 86400 \text{ s}, \lambda_3 = 8,023 \cdot 10^{-6} \text{ 1/s}$

~~$A(t) = \lambda N(t), A_1$~~

$N_1(0) = \frac{A_1(0)}{\lambda_1} = \frac{1,0 \cdot 10^{-6} \cdot 3,7 \cdot 10^{10} \text{ 1/s}}{0,693 \text{ 1/s}} = 53380$

$N_2(0) = \frac{A_2(0)}{\lambda_2} = \frac{1,0 \cdot 10^{-6} \cdot 3,7 \cdot 10^{10} \text{ 1/s}}{1,925 \cdot 10^{-4} \text{ 1/s}} = 192207792$

$N_3(0) = \frac{A_3(0)}{\lambda_3} = \frac{1,0 \cdot 10^{-6} \cdot 3,7 \cdot 10^{10} \text{ 1/s}}{8,023 \cdot 10^{-6} \text{ 1/s}} = 4611741244$

b) $\Delta N_1 = N_1(0) - N_1(1 \text{ s}) = N_1(0) (1 - e^{-\lambda_1}) = 26690$

$\Delta N_2 = N_2(0) - N_2(1 \text{ h}) = N_2(0) (1 - e^{-\lambda_2}) = 36996$

$\Delta N_3 = N_3(0) - N_3(1 \text{ d}) = N_3(0) (1 - e^{-\lambda_3}) = 37000$

c) $\Delta N_1 = N_1(0) - N_1(3600 \text{ s}) = N_1(0) (1 - e^{-3600\lambda_1}) \approx 53380$

$\Delta N_2 = N_2(0) - N_2(3600 \text{ s}) = N_2(0) / 2 = 96103896$

$\Delta N_3 = N_3(0) - N_3(3600 \text{ s}) = N_3(0) (1 - e^{-3600\lambda_3}) = 131294792$

Problem 5

a) It is crucial that the half-life is short enough that we can measure some (sufficiently) many decays, and long enough that we can after a time t_1 still measure decays.

With a half-life of $t_{1/2} \approx 5700$ y ^{14}C is a good candidate up to some 5-10 thousands of years. [uptake of objects of ^{14}C stops when they die, right!]

b) ~~radiocarbon dating~~ Test of nuclear weapons, see eg. ctbto.org for more information

c) Apply $N = N_0 e^{-\lambda t}$ to both isotopes

$$\frac{99.28}{0.72} = \frac{e^{-1.238 t}}{e^{-1.235 t}} \Rightarrow t = 5.9 \cdot 10^3 \text{ y}$$

However, this is based on the (probably) ~~wrong~~ assumption of equal ~~abundances~~ ^{abundances} in the start. Ask me for more detailed calcs. if you're interested.

Uranium isotopes, like all heavy ($Z \geq 56$) elements are produced in supernova explosions. The material ejected from this is used to build up new stars. A proper isotopic analysis of meteorites leads to the age of the solar system (not Big Bang!) of $4.55 \cdot 10^9$ y.

d) After $2.5 \cdot 10^9$ y, $(1 - e^{-\lambda t})$ of the nuclei will have decayed, so 32%.