## Problem set 1

We begin the weekly sets with some problems concerning basic and useful mathematical relations.

### 1.1 Commutators and anti-commutators

We use the standard notation for commutators and anticommutators

$$
\begin{equation*}
[A, B]=A B-B A \quad\{A, B\}=A B+B A \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $A$ and $B$ are two operators or matrices. Show the following identities,

$$
\begin{align*}
{[A, B C] } & =[A, B] C+B[A, C] \\
{[A, B C] } & =\{A, B\} C-B\{A, C\} \tag{2}
\end{align*}
$$

### 1.2 Trace and determinant

We remind you about the following relations

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{Tr}(A B)=\operatorname{Tr}(B A), \quad \operatorname{det}(A B)=\operatorname{det} A \operatorname{det} B \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

a) Assume $\hat{A}$ to be the operator for a quantum observable and $A$ to be the matrix representation of this operator in an orthonormalized basis $\{|n\rangle\}$, which means

$$
\begin{equation*}
A_{m n}=\langle m| \hat{A}|n\rangle \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

We define the trace and determinant of the (abstract) operator as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{Tr} \hat{A}=\operatorname{Tr} A, \quad \operatorname{det} \hat{A}=\operatorname{det} A \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

Show that if we change to a new basis $\left\{|n\rangle^{\prime}\right\}$, which is related to the first by a unitary transformation, that will not change the values of the trace and determinant.
b) Assume $\hat{A}$ is a hermitian operator with eigenvalues $a_{n}, n=1,2, \ldots$. Explain why the trace and determinant can be expressed in terms of the eigenvalues as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{Tr} \hat{A}=\sum_{n} a_{n} \quad \operatorname{det} \hat{A}=\prod_{n} a_{n} \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

c) The spectral decomposition of an hermitian operator $\hat{A}$ is a sum of the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
\hat{A}=\sum_{n} a_{n}|n\rangle\langle n| \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $a_{n}$ are the eigenvalues and $|n\rangle$ are the corresponding eigenvectors of the operator. A function $f(a)$ defines an operator function $\hat{f} \equiv f(\hat{A})$ of $\hat{A}$ by the related decomposition

$$
\begin{equation*}
\hat{f} \equiv \sum_{n} f\left(a_{n}\right)|n\rangle\langle n| \tag{8}
\end{equation*}
$$

Use this definition and the results of problem b) to show that we have the following relation

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{det} e^{\hat{A}}=e^{\operatorname{Tr} \hat{A}} \tag{9}
\end{equation*}
$$

We assume the trace of $\hat{A}$ to be well defined and finite (which may not necessarily be the case in an infinite dimensional Hilbert space).
d) Show that for general state vectors $|\psi\rangle$ and $|\phi\rangle$ we have the relation

$$
\begin{equation*}
\langle\psi \mid \phi\rangle=\operatorname{Tr}(|\phi\rangle\langle\psi|) \tag{10}
\end{equation*}
$$

### 1.3 Dirac's delta function

The basic relation defining the delta functions is the following

$$
\begin{equation*}
f(x)=\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} d x^{\prime} \delta\left(x-x^{\prime}\right) f\left(x^{\prime}\right) \tag{11}
\end{equation*}
$$

with $f(x)$ as any chosen function. Clearly $\delta(x)$ is not a function in the usual sense, and in particular it has the property that $\delta(x)=0$ for $x \neq 0$ and $\delta(0)=\infty$. Nevertheless it is possible (with some care) to treat it as a function, and as we know from the wavefunction description of quantum physics it is in many cases a very useful concept.

We remind you about the formulas for Fourier transformation in one dimension

$$
\begin{align*}
f(x) & =\frac{1}{\sqrt{2 \pi}} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} d k \tilde{f}(k) e^{i k x}  \tag{12}\\
\tilde{f}(k) & =\frac{1}{\sqrt{2 \pi}} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} d x f(x) e^{-i k x} \tag{13}
\end{align*}
$$

a) Show that the delta function has the following Fourier expansion

$$
\begin{equation*}
\delta(x)=\frac{1}{2 \pi} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} d k e^{i k x} \tag{14}
\end{equation*}
$$

b) Assume $g(x)$ is a differentiable function with zero at one point $x_{0}$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
g\left(x_{0}\right)=0 \tag{15}
\end{equation*}
$$

Assume also that the derivative does not vanish at this point, $g^{\prime}\left(x_{0}\right) \neq 0$. Show by use of the definition (11), and by studying the integral $\int d x \delta(g(x)) f(x)$, that we have the following relation

$$
\begin{equation*}
\delta(g(x))=\frac{1}{\left|g^{\prime}\left(x_{0}\right)\right|} \delta\left(x-x_{0}\right) \tag{16}
\end{equation*}
$$

(Hint, make change of variable $x \rightarrow g$ in the integral.) Assume that the function $g(x)$ has several zeros, at the points $x=x_{i}$. Explain why this gives the generalized formula

$$
\begin{equation*}
\delta(g(x))=\sum_{i} \frac{1}{\left|g^{\prime}\left(x_{i}\right)\right|} \delta\left(x-x_{i}\right) \tag{17}
\end{equation*}
$$

### 1.4 Position and momentum eigenstates

The position and momentum eigenstates are given by the relations

$$
\begin{array}{lll}
\hat{x}|x\rangle=x|x\rangle & \left\langle x \mid x^{\prime}\right\rangle=\delta\left(x-x^{\prime}\right) & \int d x|x\rangle\langle x|=\mathbb{1} \\
\hat{p}|p\rangle=p|p\rangle & \left\langle p \mid p^{\prime}\right\rangle=\delta\left(p-p^{\prime}\right) & \int d p|p\rangle\langle p|=\mathbb{1} \tag{19}
\end{array}
$$

Furthermore, in the x-representation the momentum operator is given by $\hat{p}=-i \hbar \frac{d}{d x}$. Use these relations together with the Fourier expansion of the delta function to show that the scalar product of a momentum and a position state is give by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\langle x \mid p\rangle=\frac{1}{\sqrt{2 \pi \hbar}} e^{\frac{i}{\hbar} x p} \tag{20}
\end{equation*}
$$

### 1.5 Some operator expansions

Assume $\hat{A}$ and $\hat{B}$ to be two operators, generally not commuting.
We define the following two composite operators:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\hat{F}(\lambda)=e^{\lambda \hat{A}} \hat{B} e^{-\lambda \hat{A}}, \quad \hat{G}(\lambda)=e^{\lambda \hat{A}} e^{\lambda \hat{B}} \tag{21}
\end{equation*}
$$

a) Show the following relation

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{d \hat{F}}{d \lambda}=[\hat{A}, \hat{F}] \tag{22}
\end{equation*}
$$

and use it to derive the expansion

$$
\begin{equation*}
\hat{F}(\lambda)=\hat{B}+\lambda[\hat{A}, \hat{B}]+\frac{\lambda^{2}}{2}[\hat{A},[\hat{A}, \hat{B}]] \ldots \tag{23}
\end{equation*}
$$

b) Show the following relation between $\hat{G}(\lambda)$ and $\hat{F}(\lambda)$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{d \hat{G}}{d \lambda}=(\hat{A}+\hat{F}) \hat{G} \tag{24}
\end{equation*}
$$

and use this to demonstrate the following expansion (Campbell-Baker-Hausdorff)

$$
\begin{equation*}
\hat{G}(\lambda)=e^{\lambda \hat{A}+\lambda \hat{B}+\frac{\lambda^{2}}{2}[\hat{A}, \hat{B}]+\ldots} \tag{25}
\end{equation*}
$$

by calculating the exponent on the right-hand side to second order in $\lambda$.
c) When $[\hat{A}, \hat{B}]$ commutes with both $\hat{A}$ and $\hat{B}$ the expression (25) is exact without the higher order terms indicated by ... in (25). Verify this by use of (23) and (24), and by noting that the eigenvalues of $\hat{G}$ satisfy a differential equation that can be integrated.

### 1.6 Spin operators and Pauli matrices

A spin half operator $\hat{\mathbf{S}}$ is defined in the standard way as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\hat{\mathbf{S}}=\frac{\hbar}{2} \boldsymbol{\sigma} \tag{26}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\boldsymbol{\sigma}$ is a vector with the three Pauli matrices $\left(\sigma_{1}, \sigma_{2}, \sigma_{3}\right)$ (or equivalently written as $\left(\sigma_{x}, \sigma_{y}, \sigma_{z}\right)$ ) as Cartesian components. We use the standard expressions for these $2 \times 2$ matrices, as given in the lecture notes. We also introduce the rotated Pauli matrix, defined by $\sigma_{\mathbf{n}}=\mathbf{n} \cdot \boldsymbol{\sigma}$, where $\mathbf{n}$ is an unspecified three dimensional unit vector.
a) Show that $\sigma_{\mathbf{n}}$ has eigenvalues $\pm 1$, and the eigenstate (in matrix form) corresponding to the eigenvalue +1 is (up to an arbitrary phase factor)

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Psi_{\mathbf{n}}=\binom{\cos \frac{\theta}{2}}{e^{i \phi} \sin \frac{\theta}{2}} \tag{27}
\end{equation*}
$$

with $(\theta, \phi)$ as the polar angles of the unit vector $\mathbf{n}$. Also show the relation

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Psi_{\mathbf{n}}^{\dagger} \sigma \Psi_{\mathbf{n}}=\left(\Psi_{\mathbf{n}}^{\dagger} \sigma_{x} \Psi_{\mathbf{n}}, \Psi_{\mathbf{n}}^{\dagger} \sigma_{y} \Psi_{\mathbf{n}}, \Psi_{\mathbf{n}}^{\dagger} \sigma_{z} \Psi_{\mathbf{n}}\right)=\mathbf{n} \tag{28}
\end{equation*}
$$

b) Show, by using the operator identity

$$
e^{\lambda \hat{A}} \hat{B} e^{-\lambda \hat{A}}=\hat{B}+\lambda[\hat{A}, \hat{B}]+\frac{\lambda^{2}}{2}[\hat{A},[\hat{A}, \hat{B}]] \ldots,
$$

the following relation

$$
\begin{equation*}
e^{-\frac{i}{2} \alpha \sigma_{z}} \sigma_{x} e^{\frac{i}{2} \alpha \sigma_{z}}=\cos \alpha \sigma_{x}+\sin \alpha \sigma_{y} \tag{29}
\end{equation*}
$$

Explain why this shows that the unitary matrix

$$
\begin{equation*}
\hat{U}=e^{-\frac{i}{2} \alpha \sigma_{\mathbf{n}}}=e^{-\frac{i}{\hbar} \alpha \mathbf{n} \cdot \hat{\mathbf{S}}} \tag{30}
\end{equation*}
$$

induces a spin rotation of angle $\alpha$ about the axis $\mathbf{n}$.
c) Demonstrate, by expansion of the exponential function, the following identity

$$
\begin{equation*}
e^{-\frac{i}{2} \alpha \sigma_{\mathbf{n}}}=\cos \frac{\alpha}{2} \mathbb{1}-i \sin \frac{\alpha}{2} \sigma_{\mathbf{n}} \tag{31}
\end{equation*}
$$

with $\mathbb{1}$ as the $2 \times 2$ identity matrix.

