Answers to problem set 5 FYS4130 at UiO, Spring 2012

Jørgen Trømborg

February 2012

5.12

a)
$$\frac{S}{k_{\rm B}} = \ln(N!) - \ln\left(\frac{N}{2} + \frac{L}{2d}\right) - \ln\left(\frac{N}{2} - \frac{L}{2d}\right)$$

b) $F = -T\frac{\partial S_{\rm bath}}{\partial L} = T\frac{\partial S_{\rm band}}{\partial L}$
c) $K = \frac{k_{\rm B}T}{Nd^2}$

d) The stiffness increases and the band contracts. One can argue this in detail from $\frac{\partial L}{\partial T}\Big|_{F}$.

e) FFTFTT

5.13

a)
$$S_{\text{sorted}} = 0, \ S_{\text{random}} = \frac{\ln(52!)}{\ln 2} \approx 226$$

b) $S_{\text{shuffle}} = 52$

- c) 52 shuffles leave the ordering unchanged, namely the 52 ways to cut the deck in "halves" (53 if you allow one of the "halves" to be empty).
- d) 5. The discrepancy with the exercise introduction arises as ignoring overlaps between riffles becomes a crude approximation.

5.14

- a) $S_{\text{max}} = 8000$
- b) $\Delta S_{\text{Shannon}} = -4$ bits. You need 6 characters to encode the 12 messages.

5.15

a) $S_{\text{letter}} = \frac{3}{2}$

b) -

- c) The maximum entropy per bit is 1, so you need at least S_{Shannon} bits to send entropy S_{Shannon} . On average you need $\frac{3}{2}$ bits per letter in the A'bç! channel.
- d) $A \rightarrow 10, B \rightarrow 11, C \rightarrow 0.$

6.2

a)

$$\frac{\rho_2}{\rho_1} = \begin{cases} e^{-(E_2 - E_1)\beta} \\ 0 & T \to 0 \\ 1 & T \to \infty \end{cases}$$

b)

$$Z(\beta) = e^{-E_1\beta} + e^{-E_2\beta}$$
$$\rho_i = \frac{e^{-E_i\beta}}{Z}$$
$$\langle E \rangle = E_1\rho_1 + E_2\rho_2$$

6.3

a) $S_{\text{micro}}(E) = k_{\text{B}}[N \ln N - m \ln m - (N - m) \ln(N - m)]$ b)

$$T = \frac{\varepsilon}{k_{\rm B}} \frac{1}{\ln(N/m - 1)} = \frac{\varepsilon}{k_{\rm B}} \left[\ln\left(\frac{N/2 - E/\varepsilon}{N/2 + E/\varepsilon}\right) \right]^{-1}$$

For E > 0, T < 0.

c) (i)

$$Z_{\text{canon}} = 2 \cosh\left(\frac{\beta\varepsilon}{2}\right)$$
$$E_{\text{canon}} = -\frac{\varepsilon}{2} \tanh\left(\frac{\beta\varepsilon}{2}\right)$$
$$S_{\text{canon}} = -k_{\text{B}} \left[\frac{e^{\beta\varepsilon/2}}{Z} \ln \frac{e^{\beta\varepsilon/2}}{Z} + \frac{e^{-\beta\varepsilon/2}}{Z} \ln \frac{e^{-\beta\varepsilon/2}}{Z}\right]$$

(ii) –

d) As $T \to \infty$, $E \to 0^-$. You cannot get into the regime where E > 0. e)

$$S_N = \begin{cases} NS_1 \\ 0 & T \to 0 \\ Nk_{\rm B}\ln 2 & T \to \infty \end{cases}$$

In general the canonical entropy is greater than the microcanonical entropy of the information we have about the state of the system. The micro-canonical ensemble has all states on an energy surface, whereas in the canonical we average over all energy surfaces. For large N the fluctuations away from the mean energy become negligible, and the two ensembles agree.

f)

$$\sigma_E = \frac{\varepsilon}{\sqrt{N}} [m(N-m)]^{1/2}$$
$$\frac{\sigma_E}{E} \rightarrow \frac{2\sqrt{m}}{N}$$