

## Lecture 9 12.02.18

### 3.1.1 Space geometry

Let  $\vec{e}_{\hat{0}}$  be the 4-velocity field ( $x^0 = ct, c = 1, x^0 = t$ ) of the reference particles in a reference frame R. A set of simultaneous events in R, defines a 3 dimensional space called '3-space' in R. This space is orthogonal to  $\vec{e}_{\hat{0}}$ . We are going to find the metric tensor  $\gamma_{ij}$  in this space, expressed by the metric tensor  $g_{\mu\nu}$  of spacetime.

In an arbitrary coordinate basis  $\{\vec{e}_{\mu}\}, \{\vec{e}_i\}$  is not necessarily orthogonal to  $\vec{e}_0$ . We choose  $\vec{e}_0 \parallel \vec{e}_{\hat{0}}$ . Let  $\vec{e}_{\perp i}$  be the component of  $\vec{e}_i$  orthogonal to  $\vec{e}_0$ , that is:  $\vec{e}_{\perp i} \cdot \vec{e}_0 = 0$ . The metric tensor of space is defined by:

$$\begin{aligned} \gamma_{ij} &= \vec{e}_{\perp i} \cdot \vec{e}_{\perp j}, \gamma_{i0} = 0, \gamma_{00} = 0 \\ \vec{e}_{\perp i} &= \vec{e}_i - \vec{e}_{\parallel i} \\ \vec{e}_{\parallel i} &= \frac{\vec{e}_i \cdot \vec{e}_0}{\vec{e}_0 \cdot \vec{e}_0} \vec{e}_0 = \frac{g_{i0}}{g_{00}} \vec{e}_0 \\ \gamma_{ij} &= (\vec{e}_i - \vec{e}_{\parallel i}) \cdot (\vec{e}_j - \vec{e}_{\parallel j}) \\ &= (\vec{e}_i - \frac{g_{i0}}{g_{00}} \vec{e}_0) \cdot (\vec{e}_j - \frac{g_{j0}}{g_{00}} \vec{e}_0) \\ &= \vec{e}_i \cdot \vec{e}_j - \frac{g_{j0}}{g_{00}} \vec{e}_0 \cdot \vec{e}_i - \frac{g_{i0}}{g_{00}} \vec{e}_0 \cdot \vec{e}_j + \frac{g_{i0}g_{j0}}{g_{00}^2} \vec{e}_0 \cdot \vec{e}_0 \\ &= g_{ij} - \frac{g_{i0}g_{j0}}{g_{00}} - \frac{g_{i0}g_{j0}}{g_{00}} + \frac{g_{i0}g_{j0}}{g_{00}} \\ &\Rightarrow \gamma_{ij} = g_{ij} - \frac{g_{i0}g_{j0}}{g_{00}} \end{aligned} \tag{3.1}$$

(Note:  $g_{ij} = g_{ji} \Rightarrow \gamma_{ij} = \gamma_{ji}$ )

The line element in space:

$$dl^2 = \gamma_{ij} dx^i dx^j = \left( g_{ij} - \frac{g_{i0}g_{j0}}{g_{00}} \right) dx^i dx^j \tag{3.2}$$

gives the geometry of a “simultaneity space” in a reference frame where the metric tensor of spacetime in a comoving coordinate system is  $g_{\mu\nu}$ .

The line element for spacetime can be expressed as:

$$ds^2 = -d\hat{t}^2 + dl^2 \quad (3.3)$$

It follows that  $d\hat{t} = 0$  represents the simultaneity defining the 3-space with metric  $\gamma_{ij}$ .

$$\begin{aligned} d\hat{t}^2 &= dl^2 - ds^2 = (\gamma_{\mu\nu} - g_{\mu\nu})dx^\mu dx^\nu \\ &= (\gamma_{ij} - g_{ij})dx^i dx^j + 2(\gamma_{i0} - g_{i0})dx^i dx^0 + (\gamma_{00} - g_{00})dx^0 dx^0 \\ &= (g_{ij} - \frac{g_{i0}g_{j0}}{g_{00}} - g_{ij})dx^i dx^j - 2g_{i0}dx^i dx^0 - g_{00}(dx^0)^2 \\ &= -g_{00} \left[ (dx^0)^2 + 2\frac{g_{i0}}{g_{00}}dx^0 dx^i + \frac{g_{i0}g_{j0}}{g_{00}^2}dx^i dx^j \right] \\ &= \left[ (-g_{00})^{1/2} \left( dx^0 + \frac{g_{i0}}{g_{00}} dx^i \right) \right]^2 \end{aligned}$$

So finally we get

$$d\hat{t} = (-g_{00})^{1/2} \left( dx^0 + \frac{g_{i0}}{g_{00}} dx^i \right) \quad (3.4)$$

The 3-space orthogonal to the world lines of the reference particles in R,  $d\hat{t} = 0$ , corresponds to a coordinate time interval  $dt = -\frac{g_{i0}}{g_{00}} dx^i$ . This is not an exact differential, that is, the line integral of  $dt$  around a closed curve is in general not equal to 0. Hence you can not in general define simultaneity (given by  $d\hat{t} = 0$ ) around closed curves. It can, however, be done if the spacetime metric is diagonal,  $g_{i0} = 0$ . The condition  $d\hat{t} = 0$  means simultaneity on Einstein synchronized clocks. **Conclusion: It is in general ( $g_{i0} \neq 0$ ) not possible to Einstein synchronize clocks around closed curves.**

## Rotating reference frame

Let F be an

inertial frame with cylinder coordinates  $(T, R, \Theta, Z)$ . The line element is then given by

$$ds^2 = -dT^2 + dR^2 + R^2d\Theta^2 + dZ^2 \quad (c = 1) \quad (3.9)$$

In a rotating reference frame, RF, we have cylinder coordinates  $(t, r, \theta, z)$ . We then have the following coordinate transformation :

$$t = T, \quad r = R, \quad \theta = \Theta - \omega T, \quad z = Z \quad (3.10)$$

The line element in the co-moving coordinate system in RF is then

$$\begin{aligned} ds^2 &= -dt^2 + dr^2 + r^2(d\theta + \omega dt)^2 + dz^2 \\ &= -(1 - r^2\omega^2)dt^2 + dr^2 + r^2d\theta^2 + dz^2 + 2r^2\omega d\theta dt \quad (c = 1) \end{aligned} \quad (3.11)$$

The metric tensor have the following components:

$$\begin{aligned} g_{tt} &= -(1 - r^2\omega^2), \quad g_{rr} = 1, \quad g_{\theta\theta} = r^2, \quad g_{zz} = 1 \\ g_{\theta t} &= g_{t\theta} = r^2\omega \end{aligned} \quad (3.12)$$

$dt = 0$  gives

$$ds^2 = dr^2 + r^2d\theta^2 + dz^2 \quad (3.13)$$

This represents the Euclidean geometry of the 3-space (simultaneity space,  $t = T$ ) in IF.

The spatial geometry in the rotating system is given by the spatial line element:

$$\begin{aligned} dl^2 &= (g_{ij} - \frac{g_{i0}g_{j0}}{g_{00}})dx^i dx^j \\ \gamma_{rr} &= g_{rr} = 1, \quad \gamma_{zz} = g_{zz} = 1, \\ \gamma_{\theta\theta} &= g_{\theta\theta} - \frac{g_{\theta 0}^2}{g_{00}} \\ &= r^2 - \frac{(r^2\omega)^2}{-(1 - r^2\omega^2)} = \frac{r^2}{1 - r^2\omega^2} \end{aligned}$$

Inserting this into the expression above for the spatial line element we have

$$dl^2 = dr^2 + \frac{r^2}{1 - \frac{r^2\omega^2}{c^2}} d\theta^2 + dz^2 .$$

So we have a non Euclidean spatial geometry in RF. The circumference of a circle with radius  $r$  is

$$l_\theta = \frac{2\pi r}{\sqrt{1 - r^2\omega^2/c^2}} > 2\pi r \quad (3.15)$$

We see that the quotient between circumference and radius  $> 2\pi$  which means that the spatial geometry is *hyperbolic*. (For spherical geometry we have  $l_\theta < 2\pi r$ .)

We shall now try to explain this result first from the point of view of observers at rest in the non-rotating frame F, and then from the point of view of observers co-moving with the 'rotating' frame, R.

We shall first define the concept *standard measuring rod*. A standard measuring rod has by definition a constant rest length even if it is accelerated. It is not allowed by a standard measuring rod to be compressed or strained. Hence a standard measuring rod will have a Lorentz contraction according to its velocity.

As observed from F the measuring rods along a circle about the origin have a velocity  $v = r\omega$ . Hence they will be Lorentz contracted by the factor  $\sqrt{1 - r^2\omega^2/c^2}$ . Hence there is place for more standard measuring rods around the circle the faster the frame R rotates. Therefore the measured length of the circle will be larger by this factor. This is the reason for the result (3.15) from the point of view of an F-observer. Hence according to the F-observers there is no question of a non-Euclidean geometry. The result (3.15) is explained by the Lorentz contraction of the standard measuring rods.

It may further be noted that since the material of a rotating disc cannot Lorentz contract an engraved scale on the disc cannot be used as a set of standard measuring rods. When the disc is put into rotation the material tries to Lorentz contract in the tangential direction, but is not allowed to do so. Hence a tangential strain will develop in the material of a disc that is put into rotation.

We shall now assume the validity of the principle of relativity for rotating motion. Then the observers in R can think of themselves as at rest and the environment as rotating. From this point of view the standard measuring rods are not Lorentz contracted. Hence the explanation of the F-observers does not work for the R-observers.

According to Einstein's interpretation of the general theory of relativity the explanation of the R-observers is as follows. The R-observer experiences what in Newton's theory is called a centrifugal force field. According the principle of equivalence this is reckoned as a gravitational field in the theory of relativity. The R-observer will say that there is a non-Euclidean spatial geometry in the R-frame, and that this is connected with the gravitational field which is present in this frame.

However, the experience of a gravitational acceleration field *locally* (the Newtonian centrifugal field) is due to the fact that the R-observers are at rest in a reference frame in which the reference particles are not freely falling.

It should be noted that in general an experimental result – in the present case that the measured length of a rotating disc with radius  $r$  is larger than  $2\pi r$  – is independent of the reference frame that the experiment is described from, but the *explanation* of the result depends upon the motion of the observer's reference frame.