

Relativistic analysis

[C.f. Weinberg]

$$g_{\mu\nu} = \bar{g}_{\mu\nu} + \delta g_{\mu\nu}$$

↑ ↑
FRW use "Hodge decomposition"

e.g. for 3-vector:

$$A^i = \partial^i \phi + B^i$$

↑ w/ $\vec{\nabla} \cdot \vec{B} = 0$ "divergence
"irrotational free"
part
($\vec{\nabla} \times \vec{\nabla} \phi = 0$)

[proof: $\vec{\nabla} \cdot \vec{A} = \Delta \phi \rightsquigarrow$ Poisson eq. for ϕ

$$\rightsquigarrow \vec{B} = \vec{A} - \vec{\nabla} \phi$$

tensor: additionally separate trace from
trace-free part

$$\begin{aligned} \rightsquigarrow g_{\mu\nu} dx^\mu dx^\nu &= -[1+E] dt^2 + a^2(t) [\underbrace{\partial_i F + G_i}_{\stackrel{i=1}{=} \delta \partial_0 i} dt dx^i \\ &\quad + a^2(t) [(1+A)\partial_{ij} + \partial_i \partial_j B + \partial_i C_j + \partial_j C_i + D_{ij}] dx^i dx^j \end{aligned}$$

A, B, E, F : "scalar perturbations"

C_i, G_i : "vector perturbations" with $\vec{\nabla} \cdot \vec{C} = \vec{\nabla} \cdot \vec{G} = 0$

↳ cosmologically not relevant

(only decaying solutions!)

D_{ij} : "tensor perturbation" (= grav. waves)

with $\partial_i D_{ij} = 0$, $D_{ii} = 0$

↪ not interested in here

similar : $\tilde{T}_{\mu\nu} = \bar{T}_{\mu\nu} + \delta T_{\mu\nu} \quad [= (\rho + \mathcal{S}) u_\mu u_\nu + \rho g_{\mu\nu}]$

$$\mathcal{S} = \bar{\mathcal{S}} + \delta \mathcal{S}$$

$$\rho = \bar{\rho} + \delta \rho$$

$$u_\nu = (1, \vec{v}) + \partial_\nu \delta u + \delta u^\nu_\nu$$

↪ keep only linear terms for $\delta T_{\mu\nu}$

$$\sim \delta T_{ij} = \bar{\rho} \delta g_{ij} + a^2 (\delta_{ij} \delta \rho + \partial_i \partial_j \mathcal{S} \quad [\partial_i \bar{u}_j^\nu + \partial_j \bar{u}_i^\nu + \bar{u}_i^\nu \bar{u}_j^\nu + \bar{u}_{ij}^\nu])$$

$$\delta T_{io} = \bar{\rho} \delta g_{io} - (\bar{\mathcal{S}} + \bar{\rho}) (\partial_i \delta u \quad [\delta u_i^\nu])$$

$$\delta T_{00} = -\bar{\mathcal{S}} \delta g_{00} + \delta \mathcal{S}$$

dissipative terms

but : $\delta \mathcal{S}$ etc. are coordinate-dependent!

consider $x^\mu \rightarrow x'^\mu = x^\mu + \xi^\mu(x) \quad [\xi^\mu \ll x^\mu]$

$$\Rightarrow g_{\mu\nu}(x) \rightarrow g'_{\mu\nu}(x') = g_{\sigma\tau}(x) \frac{\partial x^\sigma}{\partial x'^\mu} \frac{\partial x^\tau}{\partial x'^\nu}$$

$$= (\bar{g}_{\varsigma\sigma} + \delta g_{\varsigma\sigma}) \left(\delta_\mu^\varsigma - \frac{\partial \xi^\varsigma}{\partial x^\mu} \right) \left(\delta_r^\sigma - \frac{\partial \xi^\sigma}{\partial x^r} \right) + \dots$$

$(\frac{\partial}{\partial x^1} \rightarrow \frac{\partial}{\partial x})$

$$= \bar{g}_{\mu\nu}(x) + \delta g_{\mu\nu}(x) - \bar{g}_{\varsigma\nu} \frac{\partial \xi^\varsigma}{\partial x^\mu} - \bar{g}_{\mu\sigma} \frac{\partial \xi^\sigma}{\partial x^\nu} + \dots$$

$$= \bar{g}_{\mu\nu}(x') + \delta g_{\mu\nu}(x') - \underbrace{\xi^\varsigma \frac{\partial}{\partial x^\varsigma} \bar{g}_{\mu\nu}(x') - \bar{g}_{\varsigma\nu} \frac{\partial \xi^\varsigma}{\partial x^\mu} - \bar{g}_{\mu\sigma} \frac{\partial \xi^\sigma}{\partial x^\nu}}_{\equiv \Delta(\delta g_{\mu\nu})} + \dots$$

induced by coordinate
transformation

similar : $\Delta \delta p = \dot{\bar{p}} \xi_0$

$$\Delta \delta S = \dot{\bar{S}} \xi_0$$

$$\Delta \delta u = - \xi_0$$

(other d.o.f. of $T^{\mu\nu}$ are gauge invariant)

\Rightarrow e.g. $\delta \equiv \frac{\delta S}{S}$ is gauge-dependent

and hence not a physical observable!

popular gauge choices: "Newtonian gauge"

$$B=F=0, E=2\phi, A=-2\psi$$

$$\Gamma \sim \text{if } \pi^S = 0 \Rightarrow \psi = \phi \\ \text{(field equations)}$$

\rightarrow for $\lambda \ll aH^{-1}$: (sub-Horizon scales)

$$\Delta \psi = 4\pi G g$$

(i.e. ψ = classical Newtonian potential)

• "comoving" / "total matter" gauge

$$F=0, \delta u=0$$

alternative: gauge-invariant approach

e.g. "curvature perturbation"

$$\mathcal{R} \equiv \frac{A}{2} + H \delta u$$

$$\Gamma \text{ in comoving gauge: } H^2 = \frac{8\pi G}{3} \delta + \frac{2}{3} D^2 \mathcal{R}$$

$\rightarrow \mathcal{R}$ = "spatial curvature as seen by a comoving observer"

Field equations

+ super-horizon scales

($R \ll aH$)

+ "adiabatic perturbations"

($\equiv \frac{\delta_i}{\bar{\rho}_i + \bar{p}_i}$ same for all components in comoving gauge)

\Rightarrow e.g. in • comoving gauge:

$$R_R = \frac{5+3w}{3+3w} \frac{2}{3} \left(\frac{aH^2}{R} \right) \delta_R$$

\Rightarrow before horizon entry ($R < aH$):

$$\delta_R \propto (aH)^{-2} \propto \frac{t^2}{a^2} \propto \begin{cases} a^2 & \text{during RD} \\ a = nD & \end{cases}$$

• Newtonian gauge: $R \ll \delta$

\Rightarrow all $\delta^i = \text{const.}$ outside horizon!

• makes sense: no causal contact
 \rightarrow density contrast cannot grow!

• BUT highly gauge dependent!
(see above)

- way to proceed :
- use field equations
 - energy / momentum conservation for each fluid component
 - always keep growing solution
↑ large decaying mode even inconsistent w/ cosmological principle!
 -
 -
 -

inside the horizon ($k \gg aH$)

$\sim \delta \sim$ gauge-independent !

$$t < t_{eq} : \delta_g \simeq \delta_b \propto \cos k r_s$$

\uparrow "sound horizon", $r_s = \int_0^t dt \frac{c_s}{a} \simeq c_s t$

$$c_s = \sqrt{\frac{dp}{d\rho}} \simeq \frac{1}{\sqrt{3}}$$

$$\delta_{DM} \propto \log t$$

$$\delta_{DM} \propto a(t) \propto t^{2/3}$$

$$t \geq t_{rec}$$

$$\delta_b \longrightarrow \delta_{DM} \propto a(t)$$

↑ baryons fall into gravitational potential provided by DM

$\xrightarrow{\gamma-b}$ decoupling

\hookrightarrow CMBR

$$t \geq t_n (S_n \geq S_m) \quad \delta_b, \delta_{Dm} \sim \text{const.}$$

NB : CMB measurements : $\delta_b(t_{\text{rec}}) \sim 10^{-5}$

assuming no DM : $\delta_b(t_0) \sim \underbrace{\tilde{a}^{-1}(t_{\text{rec}})}_{\sim 10^3} \delta_b(t_{\text{rec}})$

$$\sim 10^{-2}$$

\Rightarrow still in linear regime

\Rightarrow no gravitational structures today!

\leadsto very existence of galaxies is "proof" of "^udark matter" (=additional gravitational potentials)!