## FYS4160 - General Relativity Problem Set 12 Solutions Spring 2024

These solutions are credited to Jake Gordin, who wrote them in the years 2020-23.

If you spot any typos, mistakes, don't hesitate to contact me at halvor.melkild@fys.uio.no. For any physics related question please use the forum at astro-discourse.uio.no.

The idea of these solutions is to give you a sense of what a 'model' answer should be, and they also elaborate on some discussions from the help sessions. I try to make them "pedagogical": i.e. hopefully comprehensive and most steps should be explained.

## Problem 38. Area in TT gauge.

As we have seen before, the area of a 2D surface is given by  $A = \int \sqrt{-\tilde{g}} dx dy$ , where  $\tilde{g}$  is the induced metric on the surface. In the TT gauge, the line element is

$$ds^{2} = -dt^{2} + (1 + 2s_{ij}) dx^{i} dx^{j}.$$

Therefore the induced metric will be of the form  $\tilde{g}_{ij} = 1 + \mathcal{O}(h)$  (i.e. a function of  $s_{ij}$  and its derivatives.)

Thus, the determinant would be of the form  $1 + O(h^2)$  and we ignore the second order term because we are working in the linear regime. We see then that adding in a GW perturbation leaves the original area unchanged.

## Problem 39. Energy of gravitational waves.

(a) Equation 7.9 is

$$\mathcal{L} = \frac{1}{2} \left[ \left( \partial_{\mu} h^{\mu \nu} \right) \left( \partial_{\nu} h \right) - \left( \partial_{\mu} h^{\rho \sigma} \right) \left( \partial_{\rho} h^{\mu}_{\sigma} \right) + \frac{1}{2} \eta^{\mu \nu} \left( \partial_{\mu} h^{\rho \sigma} \right) \left( \partial_{\nu} h_{\rho \sigma} \right) - \frac{1}{2} \eta^{\mu \nu} \left( \partial_{\mu} h \right) \left( \partial_{\nu} h \right) \right].$$

The transverse-traceless (TT) gauge means, as the name implies, the perturbation is transverse,  $\partial_{\mu}h^{\mu\nu} = 0$ , and traceless, h = 0. This immediately reduces to action to<sup>1</sup>

$$\mathcal{L} = \frac{1}{2} \left[ -\left(\partial_{\mu} h^{\rho\sigma}\right) \left(\partial_{\rho} h^{\mu}_{\sigma}\right) + \frac{1}{2} \eta^{\mu\nu} \left(\partial_{\mu} h^{\rho\sigma}\right) \left(\partial_{\nu} h_{\rho\sigma}\right) \right]$$

We note that since the wave is in the  $x_3$ -direction,  $k_{\rho} = (\omega, 0, 0, \omega)$ . We use the general solution for  $h_{\mu\nu} = C_{\mu\nu} e^{ix_{\rho}k^{\rho}}$  to write

$$\partial_{\rho}h_{\mu\nu} = C_{\mu\nu}\partial_{\rho}e^{ik\cdot x} = ik_{\rho}C_{\mu\nu}e^{ik\cdot x} = ik_{\rho}h_{\mu\nu} \quad \text{thus, } \partial_{1}h_{\mu\nu} = \partial_{2}h_{\mu\nu} = \mathbf{0}$$

The first term can be written as  $\eta^{\mu\nu} (\partial_{\mu} h^{\rho\sigma}) (\partial_{\rho} h_{\nu\sigma})$ . The index  $\rho$  has to be either 1 or 2 if the term is to be nonzero. But this means the derivative  $\partial_{\rho}$  is either  $\partial_1 h_{\nu\sigma}$  or  $\partial_2 h_{\nu\sigma}$ , which we just showed is also zero. Hence the first term in the action is zero. We have left

$$\mathcal{L} = \frac{1}{2} \eta^{\mu\nu} \left( \partial_{\mu} h^{\rho\sigma} \right) \left( \partial_{\nu} h_{\rho\sigma} \right) = \frac{1}{2} \left( \partial_{\mu} h^{\rho\sigma} \right) \left( \partial^{\mu} h_{\rho\sigma} \right)$$

We also know the TT gauge fixes the nonzero parts of  $h_{\mu\nu}$  to be  $h_{11}, h_{12}, h_{21}, h_{22}$ , and so if we sum over  $\rho, \sigma$  we find

$$\mathcal{L} \propto \left(\partial_{\mu} h^{\rho\sigma}\right) \left(\partial^{\mu} h_{\rho\sigma}\right) = \left(\partial_{\mu} h^{11}\right) \left(\partial^{\mu} h_{11}\right) + \left(\partial_{\mu} h^{12}\right) \left(\partial^{\mu} h_{12}\right) + \left(\partial_{\mu} h^{21}\right) \left(\partial^{\mu} h_{21}\right) + \left(\partial_{\mu} h^{22}\right) \left(\partial^{\mu} h_{22}\right) \left(\partial^{\mu} h_{22}\right) \left(\partial^{\mu} h_{22}\right) + \left(\partial_{\mu} h^{22}\right) \left(\partial^{\mu} h_{22}\right) \left(\partial^{\mu} h_{22}\right) \left(\partial^{\mu} h_{22}\right) + \left(\partial_{\mu} h^{22}\right) \left(\partial^{\mu} h_{22}\right) \left(\partial^{\mu} h_{$$

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>Note the matching indices for the transverse condition.

Since  $h_{11} = -h_{22}$  and  $h_{12} = h_{21}$ , this becomes

$$\mathcal{L} \propto \left(\partial_{\mu} h^{11}\right) \left(\partial^{\mu} h_{11}\right) + \left(\partial_{\mu} h^{12}\right) \left(\partial^{\mu} h_{12}\right).$$

(b) We have

$$\mathcal{L} = \frac{1}{2} \eta^{\mu\nu} \left( \partial_{\mu} h^{\rho\sigma} \right) \left( \partial_{\nu} h_{\rho\sigma} \right).$$

We want to compute

$$t^{\nu}_{\mu} = h_{\rho\sigma,\mu} \frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial h_{\rho\sigma,\nu}} - \delta^{\nu}_{\mu} \mathcal{L}$$

This is straightforward since

$$\frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial h_{\rho\sigma,\nu}} = \frac{1}{2} \eta^{\mu\nu} \left( \partial_{\mu} h^{\rho\sigma} \right)$$

Therefore

$$t^{\nu}_{\mu} = \frac{1}{2} \partial_{\mu} h_{\rho\sigma} \partial^{\nu} h^{\rho\sigma} - \delta^{\nu}_{\mu} \mathcal{L}.$$

The energy flux in the *i*th direction (recall the breakdown of  $T_{\mu\nu}$  in problem set 7) is  $t_{0i}$ . Therefore, noting that  $\delta^{\nu}_{\mu} = 0$  if  $\mu \neq \nu$ , we have

$$t_{0i} = \frac{1}{2} \partial_0 h_{\rho\sigma} \partial_i h^{\rho\sigma}.$$

Using the results from part (a), in terms of the wave's being in the  $x_3$ -direction, this becomes

$$t_{03} = \omega^2 (h_{11}^2 + h_{12}^2) = \omega^2 (h_+^2 + h_\times^2).$$

(c) Eq. (7.182) is

$$h_{ij} = \frac{2G}{r} \frac{d^2 J_{ij}}{dt^2}$$

Here  $J_{ij}$  is the reduced quadrupole moment. I've omitted the notation telling us we're in the TT gauge. The result follows immediately from part (b):

$$t_{0i} = \frac{1}{2} \partial_0 h_{\rho\sigma} \partial_i h^{\rho\sigma}$$

We compute first

$$\partial_0 h_{ij} = \frac{2G}{r} \frac{d^3 J_{ij}}{dt^3}$$
 and  $\partial_k h_{ij} = -\partial_0 h_{ij} = -\frac{2G}{r} \frac{d^3 J_{ij}}{dt^3}$ 

where  $\partial_k h_{ij} = -\partial_0 h_{ij}$  follows because  $x_\rho k^\rho = -t\omega + x_3\omega$  for a  $x_3$ -directional wave and because we are far away from the source (cf. Carroll equation (7.183)). Thus we get for the energy flux,

$$t_{0i} = -\frac{2G^2}{r^2} \frac{d^3 J_{ij}}{dt^3} \frac{d^3 J^{ij}}{dt^3}.$$

## Problem 40. The LIGO observation.

The energy density is contained in the  $t_{00}$  term. However, we can also say

$$t_{00} = t_{33} = -t_{03}$$

because of our TT-gauge (check this explicitly if you remain unsure). We also note that in the original Einstein-Hilbert action, there is a factor of  $1/16\pi G$  that we left out since we only cared about proportionality in part (a). Restoring it, and noting the factor of a 1/2 we picked up, we get

$$t_{03} = \frac{1}{32\pi G}\omega^2 (h_+^2 + h_\times^2).$$

The way the two polarisation terms,  $h_+^2, h_{\times}^2$  distort a ring of particles is collectively called the *strain*. This means we have

$$t_{03} = \frac{1}{32\pi G}\omega^2 f^2.$$

Restoring SI units, we have

$$t_{03}=\frac{c^2}{32\pi G}\omega^2 f^2$$

Now consider hypothetically a graviton. It can't be constrained to a region smaller than its wavelength; this gives the rough volume as  $\lambda^3 = c^3/\nu^3$ , where we've used the classic (and classical) relation  $c = \lambda \nu$ . The energy density is therefore

$$\rho = \frac{h\nu^4}{c^3}$$

where here h is Planck's constant. The frequency here can be rewritten using  $\omega = 2\pi\nu \implies \nu^4 = \omega^4/(2\pi)^4$  to give

$$\rho = \frac{h\omega^4}{(2\pi)^4 c^3}.$$

The ratio of the GW energy density to a single graviton is therefore

$$\mathcal{E} \equiv \frac{t_{03}}{\rho} = \frac{c^5 f^2 \pi^3}{2Gh\omega^2}.$$

We choose parameters  $f \sim 10^{-21}$  and  $\omega \sim 750$ Hz and we find  $\mathcal{E} \sim 10^{40}$ . We thus require roughly a sensitivity improvement on the order of  $10^{40}$  if we are to hope to detect individual gravitons (at least with LIGO) (A bit of a tall order).

Note that it's not entirely clear that graviton energy can be given as  $h\nu$ , as it is for photons. It's not known currently what factors contribute to the "bare" graviton energy; but since we don't know, we're assuming for now that we can use the same relation we use for photons (if only this logic worked in all parts of life).