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## ROSE DIAGRAM, only 2-d



| Våganecracks | Statistics |
| :--- | :--- |
| $\mathrm{N}=30$ | Vector Mean $=353.3$ |
| Class Interval $=5$ degrees | Conf. Angle $=31.23$ |
| Maximum Percentage $=16.7$ | R Magnitude $=0.439$ |
| Mean Percentage $=5.88 \quad$ Standard Deviation $=4.11$ | Rayleigh $=0.0031$ |

From 3 dimensions to stereogram


From great circle to pole



Equal area projections





TYPICAL STRUCTURAL DATA PLOT FROM A LOCALITY/AREA.
Crowded plots may be clearer with contouring of the data.


There are various forms of contouring, NB! notice what method you choose in the plotting program.


Common method, $\%=n(100) / N(N-$ total number of points)

Kamb contouring statistical significance of point concentration on equal area stereograms: binominal distribution with mean $-\mu=(N A)$ and standard deviation -$\sigma=\operatorname{NA}[(1-A) / N A]^{1 / 2}$ or $\sigma / N A=[(1-A) / N A]^{1 / 2}$


A is chosen so that if the population has no preferred orientation, the number of points (NA) expected to fall within the counting circle is $3 \sigma$ of the number of points $(\mathrm{n})$ that actually fall within the counting circle under random sampling of the population


Figure 8-12. The Kamb method of contouring described in Problem 8-4, for the same data as Problem 8-1. Contours drawn at 2 $\sigma$, $4 \sigma, 6 \sigma$, and $8 \sigma$.


N - number of points, A area of counting circle, if uniform distribution (NA) - expected number of points inside counting circle and $[\mathrm{N} \times(1-\mathrm{A})]$ points outside the circle

Poles to bedding S-domain, Kvamshesten basin.


NB! the contouring is different with different methods!


Scatter Plot.


Kamb $_{\mathrm{N}}=$ Contour $_{70}$


Poles to bedding S-domain, Kvamshesten basin.


NB! the contouring is different with different methods!


Scatter Plot:
$1 \underset{\%}{\mathrm{~N}}=\underset{\text { Area }}{70}$; Sontour: Symbol $=$
$1 \mathrm{~N}^{\%}=\underset{70}{\mathrm{~N}} \mathrm{Area} \begin{gathered}\text { Contour: } \\ \text { Contour } \\ \text { Interval }\end{gathered}=2.0 \% / 1 \%$ area

Kamb Contour



STEREOGRAM, STRUCTURAL NORDFJORD.
A) Eclogite facies pyroxene lineation
B) Contoured amphibolite facies foliations (Kamb contour, $n=380$ )
C) Amphibolite facies lineations









Concentric fold


Chevron fold


Fold geometries and the stereographic projections of the folded surface

Figure 8-18. Determining attitude of fold-axial surface from a $\pi$-diagram.


## FOLDED LINEATIONS MAY BE USEFUL HERE TO DETERMINE FOLD MECHANISMS



Figure 8-26. Intersection lineation produced by a later planar foliation $\left(S_{3}\right)$ cutting an earlier folded foliation $\left(\mathrm{S}_{1}\right)$. (Adapted from Turner and Weiss, 1963.)

Figure 8-27. Flexural-slip folding of a preexisting lineation. Lineation points lie on a small circle centered on the fold axis. Lineation that was perpendicular to the fold axis (open circles on equal-area plot) lies on a great circle after folding. (Adapted from Ramsay, 1967.)

Figure 8-28. Effect of buckling of individual layers during flexuralslip folding. The small-circle arc pattern of lineations is modified in the outer and inner arcs of the fold. (Adapted from Ramsay, 1967.)

Figure 8-29. Passive folding of a lineation. Lineation points lie on a great circle oblique to the fold axis. (Adapted from Ramsay, 1967.)


## FAULTS AND LINEATIONS

## STRESS INVERSION FROM FAULT AND SLICKENSIDE MEASUREMENTS


(a)

(b)

Figure 12-15. Ideal orientations of fault planes with respect to principal stresses. (a) Block diagram showing the orientation of principal stresses with respect to two conjugate strike-slip faults; (b) diagram showing principal stresses with respect to slip lineations on a single fault plane.
"Andersonian faulting", Mohr-Colomb fracture "law"


Fig. 11. Stereographic (Schmidt-net) representations of synsedimentary intrabasinal faults in the study area. (a) Present orientations of oblique faults that cut the basal unconformity. $n=10$. (b) Present orientation of main faults of the Selsvatn fault system. (c) Faults in (a) unfolded and back-roatated with bedding. $n=10$. (d) Data in (b) unfolded and back-rotated. The synsedimentary orientations of the four main faults reveal that the Selsvatn fault system originated as an orthorhombic fault system characterized by positive elongation in east-west and north-south directions. See discussion in text.

## STRESS AXES LOCATED WITH THE ASSUMPTION OF PERFECT MOHR-COLOMB FRACTURING



Figure 12-17. Equal-area plot showing estimation of principal stresses from a single set of slip lineations.


Figure 12-16. Equal-area plot showing estimation of principal stresses from data on two faults of a conjugate system. $\mathrm{L}_{\mathrm{a}}$ and $\mathrm{L}_{\mathrm{b}}$ are slip-lineation attitudes.

## STRESS AXES LOCATED WITH THE ASSUMPTION OF PERFECT MOHR-COLOMB FRACTURING

Angle between fault \& $\sigma 1$ is $30^{\prime}$ Fault contains $\sigma 2$ at 90 ' to $L$


Figure 12-17. Equal-area plot showing estimation of principal stresses from a single set of slip lineations.
$\sigma 1$ bisects acute angle between fault 1 and 2 Fault 1 and 2 intersect at $\sigma 2$


Figure 12-16. Equal-area plot showing estimation of principal stresses from data on two faults of a conjugate system. $\mathrm{L}_{\mathrm{a}}$ and $\mathrm{L}_{\mathrm{b}}$ are slip-lineation attitudes.

## SLIP-LINEAR PLOT

 are particularly useful for ananalyses of large fault-slip lineation data sets. Slip-lines points away from $\sigma_{1}$ towards $\sigma_{3}$
(a)

Figure 12-14. Construction of a slip linear plot. (a) Block diagram illustrating the position of the M-plane with respect to fiber slip lineations; (b) equal-area plot showing the slip linear and the great-circle traces of the fault plane and M-plane; (c) slip linears representing an array of faults in the southern Pyrenees of Spain. (From Anastasio, 1987.) and with low concentration around $\sigma_{2}$


(c)

(a)

Figure 12-18. M-plane method of calculating principal stresses from a complex fault array. (a) M-plane great-circle traces for members of a complex array. Circles show the common intersection points (from Aleksandrowski, 1985); (b) block diagram showing how the common intersection of three M-planes may be related to a principal stress; (c) slip linear plot for the faults of plot ' $a$ '. Note that the slip linears point toward $\sigma_{3}$ and and away from $\sigma_{1}$ (from Aleksandrowski, 1985).

(b)

(c)

## 1000 <br> Edit/Enter Fault Data



## FAULTS WITH SLICKENSIDE AND RECORDED RELATIVE MOVEMENT FROM ONE STATION



SAME DATA AS BEFORE, STRESS-AXES INVERSION, RIGHT HAND SIDE ROTATED


## Field exercises Tuesday 04/09

Departure from IF w/IF car at 09.00 am
Station 1 at $\mathrm{N} æ r s n e s$
(large-scale fault between gneisses and sediments)
(ca 2-3 hours)
Station 2 a and b at Fornebo
(small-scale fractures, veins and faults with lineations)
(ca 2-3 hours)

Bring food/clothes/notebook/compass/etc.
Return to Blindern ca 4 pm .
10/09 Report in (presentation of measurements, interpretation and descriptions)

