#### Our schedule





<ul> <li>1 17 Aug 2009 Monday 13.15-15.00 introduction to conservation laws, Clawpack</li> <li>2 4 Aug 2009 Monday 13.15-15.00 the Riemann problem, characteristics</li> <li>3 28 Aug 2009 Friday 13.15-15.00 finite volume methods for linear systems</li> <li>4 8 Sep 2009 Tuesday 13.15-15.00 high resolution methods</li> <li>5 21 Sep 2009 Tuesday 13.15-15.00 nonlinear conservation laws, Clawpack</li> <li>6 29 Sep 2009 Tuesday 13.15-15.00 nonlinear conservation laws, Clawpack</li> <li>6 20 Sep 2009 Tuesday 13.15-15.00 finite volume methods for provide the result of the resolution methods</li> <li>6 20 Sep 2009 Tuesday 13.15-15.00 finite volume methods for provide the result of the resolution resolution resolution laws, Clawpack</li> </ul>	k 1 & 2 & 5 3 4 6 eff. 7,8, part of 9 nethods 11 & 12
<ul> <li>2 24 Aug 2009 Monday 13.15-15.00 the Riemann problem, characteristics</li> <li>3 28 Aug 2009 Friday 13.15-15.00 finite volume methods for linear systems</li> <li>4 8 Sep 2009 Tuesday 13.15-15.00 high resolution methods</li> <li>5 21 Sep 2009 Tuesday 13.15-15.00 hourdary conditions, accuracy, variable control of 29 Sep 2009 Tuesday 13.15-15.00 nonlinear conservation laws, finite volume nonlinear equations &amp; systems</li> <li>7 5 Oct 2009 Monday 13.15-15.00 finite volume methods for promised fo</li></ul>	3 4 6 eff. 7,8, part of 9 nethods 11 & 12
<ul> <li>3 28 Aug 2009 Friday 13.15-15.00 finite volume methods for linear systems</li> <li>4 8 Sep 2009 Tuesday 13.15-15.00 high resolution methods</li> <li>5 21 Sep 2009 Monday 13.15-15.00 boundary conditions, accuracy, variable conditions accuracy, variable conditions of the systems</li> <li>7 5 Oct 2009 Monday 13.15-15.00 finite volume methods for provide the systems</li> <li>9 12 Oct 2009 Monday 13.15-15.00 finite volume methods for provide the systems</li> </ul>	4 6 eff. 7,8, part of 9 nethods 11 & 12
<ul> <li>4 8 Sep 2009 Tuesday 13.15-15.00 high resolution methods</li> <li>5 21 Sep 2009 Monday 13.15-15.00 boundary conditions, accuracy, variable conditing, accuracy, variable conditions, accuracy, variable</li></ul>	6 eff. 7,8, part of 9 nethods 11.8.12
<ul> <li>5 21 Sep 2009 Monday 13.15-15.00 boundary conditions, accuracy, variable coefficiency of the second s</li></ul>	eff. 7,8, part of 9
<ul> <li>6 29 Sep 2009 Tuesday 13.15-15.00 nonlinear conservation laws, finite volume n</li> <li>7 5 Oct 2009 Monday 13.15-15.00 nonlinear equations &amp; systems</li> <li>8 12 Oct 2009 Monday 13 15 15 00 finite volume methods for appliance system</li> </ul>	nethods 11 & 12
<ul> <li>7 5 Oct 2009 Monday 13.15-15.00 nonlinear equations &amp; systems</li> <li>12 Oct 2009 Monday 13.15 15.00 finite volume methods for poplinger systems</li> </ul>	
9 12 Oct 2009 Monday 13 15 15 00 finite volume methods for penlinear systems	13, part of 14
6 12 Oct 2009 Worlday 13:13-13:00 Innite Volume methods for norminear systems	s part of 14, 15
9 19 Oct 2009 Monday 13.15-15.00 varying flux functions, source terms	part of 16, 17
10 26 Oct 2009 Monday 13.15-15.00 multidimensional hyperbolic problems & me	ethods 18 & 19
11 2 Nov 2009 Monday 13.15-15.00 systems & applications; project planning	20 & 21
12 16 Nov 2009 Monday 13.15-15.00 applications: tsunamis, pockmarks, venting	, impacts
13 23 Nov 2009 Monday 13.15-15.00 applications: volcanic jets, pyroclastic flows	s, lahars
14 30 Nov 2009 Monday 13.15-15.00 review; progress, problems & projects	
16 7 Dec 2009 Monday 13.15-15.00 FINAL PROJECT REPORTS DUE	

#### Nonlinear systems

For linear systems we learned how to apply high-resolution methods based on the Godunov technique, resolving cell interfaces into a series of Riemann-problem waves.



For nonlinear systems the procedure will be similar, except that some of the waves may be shock waves and others may be rarefaction fans.



Autumn 2009



#### Examples of potential projects using Clawpack

Euler equations: Explosive volcanic eruptions High-energy meteor impacts

Shallow-water equations: Tsunami in a fjord system or in a basin of varied bathymetry

Dusty gas equations: Fluidisation and hydrothermal venting Geysers Volcanic jets Pyroclastic flows DeLaval nozzle in a dusty gas

Airy-wave equations: Normal (deep or intermediate) water waves Pockmarks Atmospheric dispersal of contaminants Climate patterns

Elastic equations: Seismic waves and deformations following impacts or severe earthquakes

FYS-GEO 4500

Autumn 2009

Project recipe:

Write down all the equations of the problem in conservative form, including closure relations (equations of state, for example). You should also prepare an entropy equation that will be calculable should transonic conditions (or centred rarefactions) arise.

Find the Jacobian of the corresponding quasilinear system, and calculate its eigenvalues and eigenvectors.

For an arbitrary pair of right and left states, solve the Riemann problem: write down general formulas for the waves,  $\mathcal{W}_{i-1/2}^{p}$ , the wave speeds  $s^{\pm}$ , the fluctuations  $\mathcal{A}^{\pm}\Delta Q_{i-1/2}$  and the entropy fix for the transonic case.

Prepare a new directory for the routines you must write. Write the Riemann solver (**rp1.f**) in Fortran in this directory. Figure out what special work space you need, what boundary conditions, source terms, and other things that you want, and what special variables you need to input or initialise, and write the appropriate routines (driver, setprob, setaux, qinit, bcN, b4stepN, srcN) in the same directory. Write a Makefile that points to these files, and construct setrun.py and seplot.py to fill the data files and make the plots.

Then compile, run, and check your results.



#### The shallow-water equations

Substituting the pressure equation into the momentum conservation equation and eliminating the constant density from both equations, we obtain the system of one-dimensional shallow-water equations:

$$q_t + f(q)_x = \begin{bmatrix} h \\ hu \end{bmatrix}_t + \begin{bmatrix} hu \\ hu^2 + \frac{1}{2}gh^2 \end{bmatrix}_x = 0.$$

Where the solution is smooth, we can linearise in the form

$$q_t + f'(q)q_x$$
 with  $q(x,t) = \begin{vmatrix} n \\ hu \end{vmatrix}$ 

and obtain the Jacobian matrix

$$f'(q) = \begin{bmatrix} \frac{\partial f_1}{\partial q_1} & \frac{\partial f_1}{\partial q_2} \\ \frac{\partial f_2}{\partial q_1} & \frac{\partial f_2}{\partial q_2} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ -u^2 + gh & 2u \end{bmatrix}.$$
Gaten Gisler, Physics of Geological Processes, University of Oslo Autumn 2009

Monday, 5 October 2009

FYS-GEO 4500



## Eigenvalues and eigenvectors of the shallow-water equation

\_

The Jacobian of the shallow-water system is

$$f'(q) = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ -u^2 + gh & 2u \end{bmatrix},$$

whose eigenvalues are

$$\lambda^1 = u - \sqrt{gh}, \ \lambda^2 = u + \sqrt{gh},$$

and eigenvectors

$$r^{1} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ u - \sqrt{gh} \end{bmatrix}, r^{2} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ u + \sqrt{gh} \end{bmatrix}.$$

FYS-GEO 4500 Monday, 5 October 2009 Galen Gisler, Physics of Geological Processes, University of Oslo

#### Small-amplitude shallow-water waves

If the water has constant unperturbed depth *D* and is moving with constant velocity (or is stationary), and if  $y = h - D \ll D$ , then the Jacobian is

$$f'(q) = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ -u_0^2 + gD & 2u_0 \end{bmatrix}$$

with eigenvalues  $\lambda^1 = u_0 - \sqrt{gD}$ ,  $\lambda^2 = u_0 + \sqrt{gD}$ 

Small-amplitude shallow-water waves thus move at speed  $\sqrt{gD}$  relative to the motion of the water. In the deep ocean,  $D \sim 5000$  m, and the speed is therefore > 200 m/s, as fast as a jet plane. Tsunamis in mid ocean have typical amplitudes of centimetres and wavelengths of 10s of kilometres, so this small-amplitude shallow-water approximation applies for their mid-ocean propagation.

As these waves approach a shore, the water depth decreases, the speed decreases, and the wave piles up; eventually the waves become nonlinear, steepen, and break.

Autumn 2005

	FYS-GEO 4500
ndav	5 October 2009

Galen Gisler, Physics of Geological Processes, University of Osl



### Other types of waves on water have different speeds (from Airy wave theory)







### Characteristics in the dam-break problem

Since there are two equations, there are two sets of characteristics. The 1-characteristics satisfy

$$\frac{dX}{dt} = \lambda^1 = u - \sqrt{gh},$$

and the 2-characteristics satisfy

$$\frac{dX}{dt} = \lambda^2 = u + \sqrt{gh}.$$

The 1-characteristics spread out in the rarefaction 1-wave, and they cross the 2-shock, bending because of the discontinuity in h.

The 2-characteristics *meet* each other at the 2-shock and cross the rarefaction 1-wave, curving slightly as they go through it.

The characteristics are straight elsewhere.





## The dam-break problem is a macroscopic Riemann problem



# Strategy for the Riemann problem in a nonlinear system

There may be more than one shock; sometimes shocks collide with one another - see the example at <u>\$CLAW/book/chap13/collide</u>.

Given arbitrary right and left states  $q_l$ , and  $q_r$  representing two adjoining cells:

Determine which wave(s) are shocks and which are rarefactions.

Determine the intermediate states between the waves.

Determine the solution structure through the rarefaction waves - this is the only tricky part.

Usually an *approximate* Riemann solution will be used in practical finite volume methods. Such an approximate solver (the *Roe* solver) is found in <u>\$CLAW/book/chap13/collide/rp1sw.f</u>

FYS-GEO 4500 Monday 5 October 2009 Autumn 2009

\_\_\_\_\_

FYS-GEO 4500

### An isolated shock

Across an isolated shock, propagating with speed s with left and right states  $a_1$ , and  $a_r$  the Rankine- Hugoniot condition must be satisfied:

 $f(q_r) - f(q_l) = s(q_r - q_l)$ 



This can only hold for certain pairs  $a_l$  and  $a_r$ .

For example, for a *linear system*,  $q_r - q_l$  must be an eigenvector of the system. For any given left state  $q_l$ , the only possible right states  $q_r$  are those that lie (in state space) on straight lines in an eigen-direction from  $q_{l}$ .

For a *nonlinear system*, there will be an equivalent requirement, but instead of straight lines, the allowable right states  $q_r$  lie on curves, called Hugoniot loci, through  $q_l$ .

2.5		
2.0		
1.5	$\sim$	
1.0		
0.5		
0		
-0.5		
-1.0		
-1.5		
-2.0		
-2.5		
-0.5 0 0.51.01.52.02.53.03.54.04.5		
	Autumn 2009	

FYS-GEO 4500 Monday, 5 October 2009

Galen Gisler Physics of Geological Processes University of Oslo

The Hugoniot loci for shallow water equations  $s(h_* - h) = h_*u_* - hu$  $s(h_*u_* - hu) = h_*u_*^2 - hu^2 + \frac{1}{2}g(h_*^2 - h^2)$ We want to examine curves in the state space (u,uh) so we eliminate s from the system:

$$s = \frac{h_* u_* - h_i}{h_* - h}$$

Substituting into the second equation, we get the quadratic equation

$$u^{2} - 2u_{*}u + \left[u_{*}^{2} - \frac{g}{2}\left(\frac{h_{*}}{h} - \frac{h}{h_{*}}\right)(h_{*} - h)\right] = 0$$

which has the two solutions

$$u(h) = u_* \pm \sqrt{\frac{g}{2} \left(\frac{h_*}{h} - \frac{h}{h_*}\right)} (h_* - h)$$

#### FYS-GEO 4500 Monday, 5 October 2009

Autumn 2009

#### The Hugoniot loci for shallow water equations

Fix  $q_* = (h_*, u_*)$ . We may think of this as either  $q_r$  or  $q_1$  in a Riemann problem, in which we need to find  $q_{\rm m}$ , the middle state.

We must ask: Which states q can be connected to  $q_*$  by an isolated shock?

The Rankine-Hugoniot condition  $s(q_* - q) = f(q_*) - f(q)$  gives:

 $s(h_* - h) = h_*u_* - hu$  $s(h_*u_* - hu) = h_*u_*^2 - hu^2 + \frac{1}{2}g(h_*^2 - h^2)$ 

These are two equations with 3 unknowns (h, u, s). We expect 1-parameter families of solutions, i.e. curves in the (u, uh) state space.

We'll get a guadratic equation, so in fact we will have two curves, or families of solutions. These are the Hugoniot loci, and on these curves lie candidates for states connected by 1-shocks or 2-shocks.

Galen Gisler Physics of Geological Processes University of Os

FYS-GEO 4500 Monday, 5 October 2009

#### The Hugoniot loci for shallow water equations

Galen Gisler, Physics of Geological Processes, University of Oslo

The graph at right shows the two solutions for the Hugoniot loci for a particular case.

The blue curve is the solution with the + sign, the green one with the – sign. The system eigenvectors at  $Q_*$  are shown as red arrows, tangent to the curves. Observe that the families switch curves at  $q_{*}$ .

Consider that  $q_*$  could be either  $q_r$  or  $q_l$ .

The states accessible from  $q_r$  are on the green curve to the left of  $q_*$  but on the blue curve to the right of  $q_*$ , following the 2eigenvector. Similarly the states accessible from  $q_i$  lie on the other curve.

Bot only the states to the right satisfy the entropy condition.



Autumn 2004



Autumn 2009

FYS-GEO 4500

### The entropy condition for the shallow-water equations





#### The Lax entropy condition

More fully, the Lax entropy condition is that the speed s of a shock between states  $q_l$  and  $q_r$ , is such that

 $\lambda^{j}(q_{l}) < s \text{ and } \lambda^{j}(q_{r}) < s \text{ for } j < p$   $\lambda^{p}(q_{l}) > s > \lambda^{p}(q_{r})$   $\lambda^{j}(q_{l}) > s \text{ and } \lambda^{j}(q_{r}) > s \text{ for } j > p$ 

for some index p which defines the shock.

That is, the p-characteristics impinge on the p-shock, while the other characteristics simply cross the shock.

The eigenvalues and characteristics are assumed to be ordered:



Galen Gisler, Physics of Geological Processes, University of Oslo

FYS-GEO 4500 Monday, 5 October 2009



FYS-GEO 4500 Monday, 5 October 2009 Autumn 2004

#### What does entropy mean for us?

In gas dynamics, a shock converts kinetic energy (upstream) into thermal energy (downstream), which is less useful for work.

In the shallow-water equations, the hydraulic jump converts velocity into height. There is no energy equation, so height isn't immediately useful.

Usually in dynamic systems, it is bulk velocity or kinetic energy that suffers going across a shock. A collection of particles that enters a shock with high speed, leaves it at lower bulk speed but higher temperature.

A fluid element that enters a shock with high speed leaves it at lower speed. This is indeed the essence of the Lax entropy condition:

#### $\lambda^p(q_1) > s > \lambda^p(q_2).$

There are other notions of entropy that can be useful in other systems: for example, lack of information, larger number of microstates for a given macrostate, etc. The widely used notion of "disorder" is subjective and difficult to quantify.

FYS-GEO 4500 Monday, 5 October 2009 Galen Gisler, Physics of Geological Processes, University of Oslo

Autumn 2005



### What if there are two shocks?

Since only certain pairs  $q_l$  and  $q_r$  can be connected by a single shock, what do we do in the general case when we have an arbitrary pair  $q_l$  and  $q_r$  and we know there are two shocks?

Answer: we find two shocks to connect them. Specifically, we find an intermediate state  $q_m$  that is connected to both  $q_l$  and  $q_r$  by opposing shocks.











#### The correct solution for the dam-break problem

We have to connect  $q_l$  and  $q_m$  through a rarefaction wave via an integral curve, and at the same time connect  $q_m$ and  $q_r$  through a shock via a Hugoniot locus.

Here the Hugoniot loci through  $q_1$  and  $q_2$ are shown as solid lines (blue for p=1, green for p=2) and the integral curves are shown as dotted lines (purple for p=1, red for p=2). They are close together, but their difference is important.

The true middle state is thus given by the intersection of the green solid line and the purple dotted line. Again, this can be found by an iterative method.



#### FYS-GEO 4500

Galen Gisler, Physics of Geological Processes, University of Oslo

Monday, 5 October 2009

Autumn 2009

## The general (exact) Riemann solver for the shallow-water equations

For general values of  $q_l$  and  $q_r$  we could have a combination of shocks and rarefactions and we have to find out which are which.





 There's still more in Chapter 13

 Learn the differences between:

 simple waves, rarefactions, contact discontinuities, and shocks

 What is meant by:

 genuine nonlinearity, linear degeneracy

### Gas Dynamics (Chapter 14 in Leveque)



## We've already encountered the barotropic set of equations



### Momentum flux arising from pressure

The green and blue molecules are at the same temperature and pressure. Nevertheless the pressure contributes to a momentum flux in the following way:

Green molecules moving right across  $x_1$  increase the positive momentum in  $[x_1, x_2]$ .

Blue molecules moving left across  $x_1$  decrease the negative momentum in  $[x_1, x_2]$  and therefore *also increase* the positive momentum.

If the pressure is uniform everywhere, however, there is no *net* increase in positive momentum in  $[x_1, x_2]$  because the same considerations at  $x_2$  lead to a decrease in the positive momentum in  $[x_1, x_2]$  by exactly the same amount.



#### Compressible gas dynamics - flow in a pipe

Conservation laws:



Let's first try to understand the momentum equation a little better.

 $(\rho u)u$  is the advective flux;

 ${\it P}$  , the pressure in a cell, (times the cell's cross-sectional area) is the force, which by Newton's second law changes the momentum.

But p can also be understood more directly as a momentum flux due to the microscopic motion of gas molecules.

Galen Gisler, Physics of Geological Processes, University of Oslo

FYS-GEO 4500 Monday, 5 October 2009

### Acceleration arising from pressure gradient

The green molecules have the same density but higher temperature, therefore higher pressure, than the blue molecules.

Again, green molecules that cross to the right increase the momentum in  $[x_1, x_2]$  and blue molecules that cross to the left do also.

But in this case this momentum flux is not exactly compensated at  $x_2$  because the exchange of momentum there is less vigorous.

Hence there is a net positive momentum flux across  $x_1$  due to the pressure gradient, which leads to a macroscopic acceleration, even though the individual molecules are not accelerated.



FYS-GEO 4500

Galen Gisler, Physics of Geological Processes, University of Oslo

Autumn 2005

Monday 5 October 2009

FYS-GEO 4500

Autumn 2004

The barotropic equations and the shallowwater equations

$$\rho_t + (\rho u)_x = 0$$
$$(\rho u)_t + (\rho u^2 + p)_x = 0$$

The conservation laws for the barotropic system (i.e. with  $p = P(\rho)$ )

are exactly like the shallow water equations if we identify  $\,
ho\,$  with h and use

the equation of state

 $p = P(\rho) = \frac{1}{2}g\rho^2$ 

Other barotropic forms include the isothermal equation of state

$$p = P(\rho) = a^2 \rho,$$

and the polytropic (or gamma-law) equation of state

$$p = P(\rho) = K \rho^{\gamma}$$

But next we add the energy equation...

FYS-GEO 4500 Monday, 5 October 2009 Galen Gisler, Physics of Geological Processes, University of Oslo

### The equation of state and associated relations for a polytropic gas

The ideal gas law:  $\frac{p}{\rho} = nkT$ The internal energy:  $e = c_v T = \frac{\alpha}{2} nkT = \frac{p}{(\gamma - 1)\rho}$ The *enthalpy*:  $h = e + \frac{p}{\rho} = c_p T = \left(1 + \frac{\alpha}{2}\right)nkT$ Relations between the specific heats:  $\begin{cases} c_p - c_v = nk \\ \gamma = \frac{c_p}{c_v} = \left(\frac{\alpha + 2}{\alpha}\right) \end{cases}$  *n*: number of molecules per unit mass *k*: Boltzmann's constant  $c_v$ : specific heat at constant volume  $\alpha$ : number of degrees of freedom  $c_p$ : specific heat at constant pressure  $\gamma$ : adiabatic exponent

#### Monday, 5 October 2009

#### The Euler equations of gas dynamics

This is the full system of three conservation laws, for mass, momentum, and energy, for fully compressible gas dynamics:

$$q_t + f(q)_x = 0$$

where

$$\begin{bmatrix} \rho \\ \rho u \\ E \end{bmatrix}, f(q) = \begin{bmatrix} \rho u \\ \rho u^2 + p \\ u(E+p) \end{bmatrix}$$

The total energy is composed of internal energy plus kinetic energy,

q =

a. .

 $\sqrt{d\rho}$ 

$$E = \rho e + \frac{1}{2}\rho u^2$$

and the system is completed by an equation of state  $e = e(p, \rho)$ .

The Jacobian 
$$f'(q)$$
 has eigenvalues  $u-c$ ,  $c$ ,  $u+c$  where the speed of sound is  $c = \sqrt{\frac{dp}{dr}}$  at constant entropy.

Galen Gisler, Physics of Geological Processes, University of Oslo

Monday, 5 October 2009

Autumn 200

FYS-GEO 4500

### Entropy

In the system of Euler equations for gas dynamics, we have the advantage of having an explicit formula for entropy that we can use as an entropy condition.

The specific entropy *s* (i.e. entropy per unit mass) is given by the formula:

$$s = c_v \log\left(\frac{p}{\rho^{\gamma}}\right) + \text{constant}$$

The additive constant is unimportant and may be omitted, since the important thing to keep track of is changes in entropy. In smooth flow, entropy is constant; at shocks it jumps to a higher value.

Autumn 2009



#### The Riemann invariants for the polytropic gas

Of the three eigenvectors, 1 and 3 represent waves that can become either rarefactions or shocks, while 2 is linearly degenerate and can only be a contact discontinuity.

For any *simple wave* (not a rarefaction or a shock), the Riemann invariants are constant along particle paths through the wave. These are, for the 3 waves: 2

1-wave: s, 
$$u + \frac{2c}{\gamma - 1}$$
  
2-wave: u, p  
3-wave: s,  $u - \frac{2c}{\gamma - 1}$ 



#### CAMBRIDGE TEXTS IN APPLIED MATHEMATICS Assignment for next time Finite Volume Methods for Hyperbolic Problems Read Chapter 13 and Chapter 14. Work problems 13.2 and 13.4. For extra credit, write a Fortran program that uses an iterative root finder (like Newton's method) to find the RANDALL J. LEVEQUE intermediate state $q_m$ from the Hugoniot loci and integral curves for the shallow-water equation as described in section 13.10. Hand these in to me by Monday 12 October. FYS-GEO 4500 Galen Gisler, Physics of Geological Processes, University of Oslo Autumn 2009 Monday, 5 October 2009

FYS-GEO 4500 Monday, 5 October 2009 Autumn 2009

Next: Finite Volume Methods for Nonlinear Systems (Ch 15)

Galen Gisler, Physics of Geological Processes, University of Oslo

Autumn 2009