IN2110: Språkteknologiske metoder

IN2110: Språkteknologiske metoder Syntaktisk struktur

Stephan Oepen

Språkteknologigruppen (LTG)

26. mars 2019



Topics for Today



- ► Short recap: The Viterbi algorithm
 - ► Filling in the Viterbi trellis
 - ► Recursive problem definition

Topics for Today



- ► Short recap: The Viterbi algorithm
 - ► Filling in the Viterbi trellis
 - ► Recursive problem definition
- Move on to grammatical structure
 - ► The case for structure
 - Context-free grammars
 - ► Treebanks
 - Probability Estimation

Topics for Today



- ► Short recap: The Viterbi algorithm
 - ► Filling in the Viterbi trellis
 - Recursive problem definition
- Move on to grammatical structure
 - ► The case for structure
 - Context-free grammars
 - ► Treebanks
 - Probability Estimation
- Quick review of anonymous questionnaire

Recap: From the Diaries of Jason Eisner



Missing records of weather in Baltimore, MD, for Summer 2007

- ► Jason likes to eat ice cream.
- He records his daily ice cream consumption in his diary.
- The number of ice creams he ate was influenced, but not entirely determined by the weather.
- ► Today's weather is partially predictable from yesterday's.

Recap: From the Diaries of Jason Eisner



Missing records of weather in Baltimore, MD, for Summer 2007

- ► Jason likes to eat ice cream.
- He records his daily ice cream consumption in his diary.
- The number of ice creams he ate was influenced, but not entirely determined by the weather.
- ► Today's weather is partially predictable from yesterday's.

A Hidden Markov Model

- ▶ Hidden states: $\{H, C\}$ (plus pseudo-states $\langle S \rangle$ and $\langle /S \rangle$)
- Observations: $\{1, 2, 3\}$

Recap: Ice Cream and Weather in Baltimore, MD





 $v_1(H) = 0.32$ Η Н Н P(H)S)P(3)H) $\langle S \rangle$ /SС С С 3 1 3 **o**₁ **o**₂ 03

 $v_1(H) = 0.32$ Н Н Н P(H)S)P(3)H) $\langle S \rangle$ /SС С С 3 1 3 **o**₁ **o**₂ 03

 $v_1(H) = 0.32$ Н Н Н P(H)S)P(3)H; 0.8 * 0.4 $\langle S \rangle$ SС С С $v_1(C) = 0.02$ 3 3 1 **o**₁ **o**₂ 03

 $v_1(H) = 0.32$ Н Н Н P(H)S)P(3)H, 0.8 * 0.4 $\langle S \rangle$ SС С С $v_1(C) = 0.02$ 3 3 1 **o**₁ **o**₂ 03





























$$P(s_1 \dots s_n | o_1 \dots o_n) = P(s_1 | s_0) P(o_1 | s_1) P(s_2 | s_1) P(o_2 | s_2) \dots$$



$$P(s_1 \dots s_n | o_1 \dots o_n) = P(s_1 | s_0) P(o_1 | s_1) P(s_2 | s_1) P(o_2 | s_2) \dots$$

The Viterbi algorithm uses decomposition into recursive sub-problems:

$$v_i(x) = \max_{k=1}^{L} [v_{i-1}(k) \cdot P(x|k) \cdot P(o_i|x)]$$

Each trellis cell $v_i(x)$ represents the maximum probability that the *i*-th state is x, given that we have seen the observation prefix $o_1 \dots o_i$.



$$P(s_1 \dots s_n | o_1 \dots o_n) = P(s_1 | s_0) P(o_1 | s_1) P(s_2 | s_1) P(o_2 | s_2) \dots$$

The Viterbi algorithm uses decomposition into recursive sub-problems:

$$v_i(x) = \max_{k=1}^{L} \left[v_{i-1}(k) \cdot P(x|k) \cdot P(o_i|x) \right]$$

Each trellis cell $v_i(x)$ represents the maximum probability that the *i*-th state is x, given that we have seen the observation prefix $o_1 \dots o_i$.



$$P(s_1 \dots s_n | o_1 \dots o_n) = P(s_1 | s_0) P(o_1 | s_1) P(s_2 | s_1) P(o_2 | s_2) \dots$$

The Viterbi algorithm uses decomposition into recursive sub-problems:

$$v_i(x) = \max_{k=1}^{L} [v_{i-1}(k) \cdot P(x|k) \cdot P(o_i|x)]$$

Each trellis cell $v_i(x)$ represents the maximum probability that the *i*-th state is x, given that we have seen the observation prefix $o_1 \dots o_i$.

At each step, we also record **backpointers** (in a separate matrix), showing which previous state led to the maximum probability.

From Linear Order to Hierarchical Structure

- NLP approaches we have considered this far:
 - Distributional representations of documents or words:

Cisco acquired Tandberg = Tandberg acquired Cisco

- *n*-gram language models (Markov chains).
 - Purely linear (sequential) and surface-oriented.
- sequence labeling: HMMs.
 - One layer of abstraction: PoS as hidden states.
 - Still only sequential in nature.

From Linear Order to Hierarchical Structure

- ► NLP approaches we have considered this far:
 - Distributional representations of documents or words:

Cisco acquired Tandberg = Tandberg acquired Cisco

- *n*-gram language models (Markov chains).
 - Purely linear (sequential) and surface-oriented.
- sequence labeling: HMMs.
 - One layer of abstraction: PoS as hidden states.
 - Still only sequential in nature.
- Syntax adds hierarchical structure:
 - In NLP, being a sub-discipline of AI, we want our programs to 'understand' natural language (on some level).
 - Finding the grammatical structure of sentences is an important step towards 'understanding'.
 - Shift focus from bags or sequences to hierarchical structure.

The Case for Structure (1/3)

Constituency

- Words can 'lump together' into groups that behave like single units; these are called *constituents*.
- *Constituency tests* give evidence for syntactic structure:
 - interchangeable in similar syntactic environments.
 - can be co-ordinated (e.g. using and and or)
 - can be 'moved around' in a sentence as one unit

Constituency

- Words can 'lump together' into groups that behave like single units; these are called *constituents*.
- *Constituency tests* give evidence for syntactic structure:
 - interchangeable in similar syntactic environments.
 - can be co-ordinated (e.g. using and and or)
 - can be 'moved around' in a sentence as one unit
 - (4) Kim read [a very interesting book about grammar]_{NP}. Kim read [it]_{NP}.
 - (5) Kim [read a book]_{VP}, [gave it to Sandy]_{VP}, and [left]_{VP}.
 - (6) [Read the book] $_{VP}$ I really meant to this week.

Examples from Linguistic Fundamentals for NLP: 100 Essentials from Morphology and Syntax. Bender (2013)

The Case for Structure (2/3)

Constituency

- Constituents as basic 'building blocks' of grammatical structure.
- Rules of grammar are sensitive to constituents.

The Case for Structure (2/3)

Constituency

- ► Constituents as basic 'building blocks' of grammatical structure.
- Rules of grammar are sensitive to constituents.
- A constituent usually has one head daughter, and is often named according to the type of its head:
 - A noun phrase (NP) has a nominal head:

(This is) [a \underline{book}]_{NP} (This is) [a very interesting \underline{book} about grammar]_{NP}

► A verb phrase (VP) has a verbal head:

(She) $[\underline{eats}]_{VP}$ (She) $[\underline{gives}$ books to students $]_{VP}$ (She) $[\underline{bet}$ me ten bucks that it would rain $]_{VP}$

The Case for Structure (3/3)

Relations among Constituents

Notions such as *subject* and *object* describe the grammatical function of a constituent in a larger structure.

The Case for Structure (3/3)

Relations among Constituents

- Notions such as *subject* and *object* describe the grammatical function of a constituent in a larger structure.
- Agreement establishes a symmetric relationship between properties of two constituents.
- Government allows one constituent to require a certain property of another constituent.
- ► The <u>decision</u> of the committee member<u>s</u> surprise<u>s</u> most of us.
- Why would a purely linear model have problems predicting this phenomenon?

The Case for Structure (3/3)

Relations among Constituents

- Notions such as *subject* and *object* describe the grammatical function of a constituent in a larger structure.
- Agreement establishes a symmetric relationship between properties of two constituents.
- Government allows one constituent to require a certain property of another constituent.
- ► The <u>decision</u> of the committee member<u>s</u> surprise<u>s</u> most of us.
- Why would a purely linear model have problems predicting this phenomenon?
- Verb agreement has to reflect the grammatical structure of the sentence, not merely the sequential order of words.

Grammars: A Tool to Aid Understanding

Formal grammars describe a language, providing key notions of:

Wellformedness

- ► Kim was happy because _____ passed the exam.
- ► Kim was happy because _____ final grade was an A.
- ► Kim was happy when she saw _____ on television.

Grammars: A Tool to Aid Understanding

Formal grammars describe a language, providing key notions of:

Wellformedness

- ► Kim was happy because _____ passed the exam.
- ► Kim was happy because ______ final grade was an A.
- ► Kim was happy when she saw _____ on television.

Meaning

- Kim gave Sandy the book.
- Kim gave the book to Sandy.
- Sandy was given the book by Kim.

Grammars: A Tool to Aid Understanding

Formal grammars describe a language, providing key notions of:

Wellformedness

- ► Kim was happy because _____ passed the exam.
- ► Kim was happy because ______ final grade was an A.
- ► Kim was happy when she saw _____ on television.

Meaning

- Kim gave Sandy the book.
- Kim gave the book to Sandy.
- Sandy was given the book by Kim.

Ambiguity

- Kim ate sushi with chopsticks.
- Have her report on my desk by Friday!

| The Grammar of Spanish | |
|------------------------|--|
| $S \to NP VP$ | |
| $VP \to V \; NP$ | |
| $VP \to VP \; PP$ | |
| $PP \to P \; NP$ | |
| $NP \to ``nieve''$ | |
| $NP \to ``Juan''$ | |
| $NP \to ``Oslo''$ | |
| V ightarrow "amó" | |
| $P \to ``en"$ | |
| | |

| The Grammar of Spanish | |
|------------------------|--|
| S ightarrow NP VP | |
| $VP \to V \; NP$ | |
| $VP \to VP \ PP$ | |
| $PP \to P \; NP$ | |
| NP ightarrow "nieve" | |
| NP 	o "Juan" | |
| $NP \to ``Oslo''$ | |
| V ightarrow "amó" | |
| P ightarrow "en" | |
| | |

| The Grammar of Spanish | |
|--------------------------|--|
| $S \rightarrow NP VP$ | |
| $VP \to V \; NP$ | |
| $VP \to VP \; PP$ | |
| $PP \to P \; NP$ | |
| $NP \rightarrow$ "nieve" | |
| NP 	o "Juan" | |
| $NP \to ``Oslo''$ | |
| V ightarrow "amó" | |
| $P \to$ "en" | |























Meaning Composition (Still Very Simplified)



Another Interpretation



- ► Formal system for modeling constituent structure.
- Defined in terms of a lexicon and a set of rewrite rules.

- ► Formal system for modeling constituent structure.
- Defined in terms of a lexicon and a set of rewrite rules.
- ► Precise, abstract models of 'language' in a broad sense
 - natural languages, programming languages, communication protocols,

- ► Formal system for modeling constituent structure.
- Defined in terms of a lexicon and a set of rewrite rules.
- Precise, abstract models of 'language' in a broad sense
 - natural languages, programming languages, communication protocols,
- Can be expressed in the 'meta-syntax' of the Backus-Naur Form (BNF) formalism.
 - The standard Python documentation (or much other technical writing) often uses BNF.

- ► Formal system for modeling constituent structure.
- Defined in terms of a lexicon and a set of rewrite rules.
- Precise, abstract models of 'language' in a broad sense
 - natural languages, programming languages, communication protocols,
- Can be expressed in the 'meta-syntax' of the Backus-Naur Form (BNF) formalism.
 - The standard Python documentation (or much other technical writing) often uses BNF.
- Powerful enough to express sophisticated relations among words and constituents, yet computationally tractable.

Formally, a CFG is a quadruple: $G = \langle C, \Sigma, P, S \rangle$

Formally, a CFG is a quadruple: $G = \langle C, \Sigma, P, S \rangle$

► C is the set of categories (aka *non-terminals*),

$$\blacktriangleright \{\mathsf{S},\mathsf{NP},\mathsf{VP},\mathsf{V}\}$$

Formally, a CFG is a quadruple: $G = \langle C, \Sigma, P, S \rangle$

- ► C is the set of categories (aka *non-terminals*),
 - $\blacktriangleright \{S, NP, VP, V\}$
- Σ is the vocabulary (aka *terminals*),
 - $\blacktriangleright \ \{\mathrm{Kim}, \mathrm{snow}, \mathrm{adores}, \mathrm{in}\}$

Formally, a CFG is a quadruple: $G = \langle C, \Sigma, P, S \rangle$

- C is the set of categories (aka *non-terminals*),
 - $\blacktriangleright \ \{\mathsf{S},\mathsf{NP},\mathsf{VP},\mathsf{V}\}$
- Σ is the vocabulary (aka *terminals*),
 - $\blacktriangleright \ \{\mathrm{Kim}, \mathrm{snow}, \mathrm{adores}, \mathrm{in}\}$

▶ *P* is a set of category rewrite rules (aka *productions*)

| $S \to NP \; VP$ | $NP \to Kim$ |
|------------------|---------------|
| $VP \to V \; NP$ | $NP \to snow$ |
| | V 	o adores |

Formally, a CFG is a quadruple: $G = \langle C, \Sigma, P, S \rangle$

- C is the set of categories (aka *non-terminals*),
 - $\blacktriangleright \ \{\mathsf{S},\mathsf{NP},\mathsf{VP},\mathsf{V}\}$
- Σ is the vocabulary (aka *terminals*),
 - $\blacktriangleright \ \{\mathrm{Kim}, \mathrm{snow}, \mathrm{adores}, \mathrm{in}\}$
- ▶ *P* is a set of category rewrite rules (aka *productions*)

| $S \to NP \; VP$ | $NP \to Kim$ |
|------------------|---------------|
| $VP \to V \; NP$ | $NP \to snow$ |
| | V 	o adores |

• $S \in C$ is the *start symbol*, a filter on complete results;

Formally, a CFG is a quadruple: $G = \langle C, \Sigma, P, S \rangle$

- C is the set of categories (aka *non-terminals*),
 - $\blacktriangleright \ \{\mathsf{S},\mathsf{NP},\mathsf{VP},\mathsf{V}\}$
- Σ is the vocabulary (aka *terminals*),
 - $\blacktriangleright \ \{\mathrm{Kim}, \mathrm{snow}, \mathrm{adores}, \mathrm{in}\}$
- ▶ *P* is a set of category rewrite rules (aka *productions*)

| $S\toNP\;VP$ | $NP \to Kim$ |
|------------------|---------------|
| $VP \to V \; NP$ | $NP \to snow$ |
| | V 	o adores |

- $S \in C$ is the *start symbol*, a filter on complete results;
- ▶ for each rule $\alpha \rightarrow \beta_1, \beta_2, ..., \beta_n \in P$: $\alpha \in C$ and $\beta_i \in C \cup \Sigma$

Generative Grammar

Foundations of formal language theory:

- ► For a grammar *G*, the language *L*_{*G*} is defined as the set of strings that can be derived from *S*.
- To derive w_1^n from S, we use the rules in P to recursively rewrite S into the sequence w_1^n (where each $w_i \in \Sigma$)

Generative Grammar

Foundations of formal language theory:

- ► For a grammar *G*, the language *L*_{*G*} is defined as the set of strings that can be derived from *S*.
- To derive w_1^n from S, we use the rules in P to recursively rewrite S into the sequence w_1^n (where each $w_i \in \Sigma$)
- The grammar can be seen as generating strings.
- Grammatical strings are defined as terminal sequences that can be generated by the grammar.

Generative Grammar

Foundations of formal language theory:

- ► For a grammar *G*, the language *L*_{*G*} is defined as the set of strings that can be derived from *S*.
- To derive w_1^n from S, we use the rules in P to recursively rewrite S into the sequence w_1^n (where each $w_i \in \Sigma$)
- The grammar can be seen as generating strings.
- Grammatical strings are defined as terminal sequences that can be generated by the grammar.
- The 'context-freeness' of CFGs refers to the fact that we rewrite non-terminals without regard to the overall context in which they occur.

Next week

- ► Parsing: Computing the language of a CFG
- ► (More on) Statistical parsing
- Dependency Syntax
- Transition-based dependency parsing