IN2110: Språkteknologiske metoder

Dependenssyntaks

Lilja Øvrelid

Språkteknologigruppen (LTG)

(with thanks to Stephan Oepen and Joakim Nivre)

30 mars, 2022

From Linear Order to Hierarchical Structure

- NLP approaches we have considered this far:
  - Distributional representations of documents or words:

    Cisco acquired Tandberg $\equiv$ *Tandberg acquired Cisco*

  - Sequence labeling: HMMs.
    - One layer of abstraction: BIO-labels as hidden states.
    - Still only sequential in nature.

From Linear Order to Hierarchical Structure

- NLP approaches we have considered this far:
  - Distributional representations of documents or words:

    Cisco acquired Tandberg $\equiv$ *Tandberg acquired Cisco*

  - Sequence labeling: HMMs.
    - One layer of abstraction: BIO-labels as hidden states.
    - Still only sequential in nature.
- Syntax adds hierarchical structure:
  - In NLP, being a sub-discipline of AI, we want our programs to *'understand'* natural language (on some level).
  - Finding the grammatical structure of sentences is an important step towards 'understanding'.
  - Shift focus from bags or sequences to hierarchical structure.

Entities and relations

- Most NLP tasks approached as classification problems, using supervised machine learning
- Abstractly, many NLP tasks can be seen as extracting structured information from unstructured data in the form of running text.
- Typically by identifying and categorising entities in the text and the relations that hold between them.



Dependency parsing

- Assigning a structural analysis to sentences in natural language
- Represented as a dependency graph



Dependency parsing

- Assigning a structural analysis to sentences in natural language
- Represented as a dependency graph



Dependency parsing

- Assigning a structural analysis to sentences in natural language
- Represented as a dependency graph



- Parsing involves:
  - Learning to score different possible analyses from manually annotated data (treebanks)
  - Search through possible analyses for the highest scoring graph

Sentence-level ambiguity

I eat sushi with chopsticks .

Sentence-level ambiguity



Sentence-level ambiguity



Sentence-level ambiguity



I eat sushi with salmon .

Sentence-level ambiguity





Sentence-level ambiguity





Why bother?

- Parsing provides “scaffolding” for semantic analysis
- Direct, down-stream usage of syntactic information
  - opinion mining
  - information extraction
  - syntax-informed statistical machine translation
  - sentence compression
  - etc.



Today's lecture

- Very brief repetition of basic principles of syntax:
  - form vs function
  - constituents and phrases
  - context-free grammars
- Dependency Grammar
  - basic concepts: head, dependent
  - comparison to constituent structure
  - formal properties
- Treebanks

Constituents

- The words in a sentence are organized into groupings
- function as a whole
- relate to other words as a unit
  - The dog ate my homework
- linguistic tests of constituency
  - The dog ate it

Constituents

- The words in a sentence are organized into groupings
- function as a whole
- relate to other words as a unit
  - The dog ate my homework
- linguistic tests of constituency
  - The dog ate it
  - My homework the dog ate

Form and function

- **Syntactic form** - constituents are described using parts of speech and phrases
  - phrases - larger constituents above word level
  - phrases named after the **head** - central, obligatory member
  - e.g. NP, VP

Form and function

- **Syntactic form** - constituents are described using parts of speech and phrases
  - phrases - larger constituents above word level
  - phrases named after the **head** - central, obligatory member
  - e.g. NP, VP

NP	VP	
NP		NP
The dog	ate	my homework

- **Syntactic function** - constituents are described by their role in the sentence as a whole
  - Subject
  - (Direct and Indirect) Object
  - Adverbial

Form and function

- **Syntactic form** - constituents are described using parts of speech and phrases
  - phrases - larger constituents above word level
  - phrases named after the **head** - central, obligatory member
  - e.g. NP, VP

NP	VP	
NP		NP
The dog	ate	my homework

- **Syntactic function** - constituents are described by their role in the sentence as a whole
  - Subject
  - (Direct and Indirect) Object
  - Adverbial

Subject	Predicate	Object
The dog	ate	my homework

Phrase structure grammar (PSG)

- Capture constituent status and ordering
- Formal model: context-free grammar
  1. S $\rightarrow$ NP VP
  2. NP $\rightarrow$ D N
  3. VP $\rightarrow$ V NP
- Syntactic structure as phrase structure **trees**

Syntactic categories

- Phrase Structure (PS) tree



Today's lecture

- Very brief repetition of basic principles of syntax:
  - form vs function
  - constituents and phrases
  - context-free grammars
- Dependency Grammar
  - basic concepts: head, dependent
  - comparison to constituent structure
  - formal properties
- Treebanks

Now: Dependency Grammar (DG)

- An alternative to phrase structure representations
- Syntactic functions are central
- Claimed to be closer to semantic analysis
- The basic idea:
  - Syntactic structure consists of lexical items, linked by binary asymmetric relations called dependencies.



Dependency Grammar

Dependency grammar is important for those interested in NLP:

- Increasing interest in dependency-based approaches to syntactic parsing in recent years (e.g., CoNLL shared tasks)
- Currently dominant approach
- Downstream applications: relation extraction, question answering, ontology learning, sentiment analysis, etc.

Constituency vs. Relations

- DG is based on relationships between words, i.e., **dependency relations**
  - A $\rightarrow$ B means *A governs B* or *B depends on A* ...
  - Dependency relations can refer to syntactic properties, semantic properties, or a combination of the two
  - These relations are generally things like subject, object/complement, (pre-/post-)adjunct, etc.
    - Subject/Agent: *John* fished.
    - Object/Patient: Mary hit *John*.
- PSG is based on groupings, or constituents
  - Grammatical relations are not usually seen as primitives, but as being derived from structure

Simple relation example

For the sentence *The dog ate my homework*, we have the relations:

- ate $\rightarrow_{\text{subj}}$ The dog
- ate $\rightarrow_{\text{obj}}$ my homework

Both *The dog* and *my homework* depend on *ate*, which makes *ate* the head, or **root**, of the sentence (i.e., there is no word that governs *ate*)

- The structure of a sentence, then, consists of the set of pairwise relations among words.



Comparison

- Dependency structures explicitly represent
  - head-dependent relations (directed arcs),
  - functional categories (arc labels),
  - possibly some structural categories (parts-of-speech).
- Phrase structures explicitly represent
  - phrases (nonterminal nodes),
  - structural categories (nonterminal labels),
  - possibly some functional categories (grammatical functions).

Criteria for Heads and Dependents

- Criteria for a syntactic relation between a head H and a dependent D in a construction C:
  1. H determines the syntactic category of C; H can replace C.
  2. H determines the semantic category of C; D specifies H.
  3. H is obligatory; D may be optional.
  4. The form of D depends on H (agreement or government).
  5. The linear position of D is specified with reference to H.



Some Tricky Cases

- Complex verb groups (auxiliary $\leftrightarrow$ main verb)
- Subordinate clauses (complementizer $\leftrightarrow$ verb)
- Coordination (coordinator $\leftrightarrow$ conjuncts)
- Prepositional phrases (preposition $\leftrightarrow$ nominal)
- Punctuation

Some Tricky Cases

- Complex verb groups (auxiliary $\leftrightarrow$ main verb)
- Subordinate clauses (complementizer $\leftrightarrow$ verb)
- Coordination (coordinator $\leftrightarrow$ conjuncts)
- Prepositional phrases (preposition $\leftrightarrow$ nominal)
- Punctuation



Some Tricky Cases

- Complex verb groups (auxiliary $\leftrightarrow$ main verb)
- Subordinate clauses (complementizer $\leftrightarrow$ verb)
- Coordination (coordinator $\leftrightarrow$ conjuncts)
- Prepositional phrases (preposition $\leftrightarrow$ nominal)
- Punctuation

Some Tricky Cases

- Complex verb groups (auxiliary $\leftrightarrow$ main verb)
- Subordinate clauses (complementizer $\leftrightarrow$ verb)
- Coordination (coordinator $\leftrightarrow$ conjuncts)
- Prepositional phrases (preposition $\leftrightarrow$ nominal)
- Punctuation



Some Tricky Cases

- Complex verb groups (auxiliary $\leftrightarrow$ main verb)
- Subordinate clauses (complementizer $\leftrightarrow$ verb)
- Coordination (coordinator $\leftrightarrow$ conjuncts)
- Prepositional phrases (preposition $\leftrightarrow$ nominal)
- Punctuation

Some Tricky Cases

- Complex verb groups (auxiliary $\leftrightarrow$ main verb)
- Subordinate clauses (complementizer $\leftrightarrow$ verb)
- Coordination (coordinator $\leftrightarrow$ conjuncts)
- Prepositional phrases (preposition $\leftrightarrow$ nominal)
- Punctuation



Some Tricky Cases

- Complex verb groups (auxiliary $\leftrightarrow$ main verb)
- Subordinate clauses (complementizer $\leftrightarrow$ verb)
- Coordination (coordinator $\leftrightarrow$ conjuncts)
- Prepositional phrases (preposition $\leftrightarrow$ nominal)
- Punctuation

Some Tricky Cases

- Complex verb groups (auxiliary $\leftrightarrow$ main verb)
- Subordinate clauses (complementizer $\leftrightarrow$ verb)
- Coordination (coordinator $\leftrightarrow$ conjuncts)
- Prepositional phrases (preposition $\leftrightarrow$ nominal)
- Punctuation



Some Tricky Cases

- Complex verb groups (auxiliary $\leftrightarrow$ main verb)
- Subordinate clauses (complementizer $\leftrightarrow$ verb)
- Coordination (coordinator $\leftrightarrow$ conjuncts)
- Prepositional phrases (preposition $\leftrightarrow$ nominal)
- Punctuation

Some Tricky Cases

- Complex verb groups (auxiliary $\leftrightarrow$ main verb)
- Subordinate clauses (complementizer $\leftrightarrow$ verb)
- Coordination (coordinator $\leftrightarrow$ conjuncts)
- Prepositional phrases (preposition $\leftrightarrow$ nominal)
- Punctuation

Dependency Graphs

- A dependency structure can be defined as a directed graph G, consisting of
  - a set V of nodes,
  - a set E of arcs (edges),
- Labeled graphs:
  - Nodes in V are labeled with word forms (and annotation).
  - Arcs in E are labeled with dependency types.
- Notational conventions ($i, j \in V$):
  - $i \rightarrow j \quad \equiv \quad (i, j) \in E$

Formal Properties of Dependency Graphs

- **antisymmetric**: if A $\rightarrow$ B, then B $\nrightarrow$ A
  - If A governs B, B does not govern A
  - cf. *lunch box* (lunch $\rightarrow$ box vs. box $\rightarrow$ lunch)
- **antireflexive**: if A $\rightarrow$ B, then B $\neq$ A
  - No word can govern itself.
- **antitransitive**: if A $\rightarrow$ B and B $\rightarrow$ C, then A $\nrightarrow$ C
  - These are *direct* dependency relations
  - cf. *a usually reliable source*: source $\rightarrow$ reliable & reliable $\rightarrow$ usually, but source $\nrightarrow$ usually
- **labeled**: $\forall \rightarrow$, $\rightarrow$ has a label (r)

Formal Conditions on Dependency Graphs

- G is (weakly) connected:
  - For every node i there is a node j such that $i \rightarrow j$ or $j \rightarrow i$.
- G is acyclic:
  - If $i \rightarrow j$ then not $j \rightarrow^* i$.
- G obeys the single-head constraint:
  - If $i \rightarrow j$, then not $k \rightarrow j$, for any $k \neq i$.

Formal Conditions on Dependency Graphs

- G is (weakly) connected:
  - For every node i there is a node j such that $i \rightarrow j$ or $j \rightarrow i$.
- G is acyclic:
  - If $i \rightarrow j$ then not $j \rightarrow^* i$.
- G obeys the single-head constraint:
  - If $i \rightarrow j$, then not $k \rightarrow j$, for any $k \neq i$.

Does the graph below obey the formal conditions?



Projectivity

- A projective graph: If $i \rightarrow j$ then for any k such that $i < k < j$ or $j < k < i$, $i \rightarrow^* k$,

Projectivity

- A projective graph: If $i \rightarrow j$ then for any k such that $i < k < j$ or $j < k < i$, $i \rightarrow^* k$,



Projectivity

- A projective graph: If $i \rightarrow j$ then for any k such that $i < k < j$ or $j < k < i$, $i \rightarrow^* k$,



**great with difficulty* is ruled out because branches would have to cross in that case



Projectivity

- Most theoretical frameworks do not assume projectivity.
- Non-projective structures are needed to account for
  - long-distance dependencies,
  - free word order.



Today's lecture

- Very brief repetition of basic principles of syntax:
  - form vs function
  - constituents and phrases
  - context-free grammars
- Dependency Grammar
  - basic concepts: head, dependent
  - comparison to constituent structure
  - formal properties
- Treebanks

Treebanks

- Collection of sentences manually annotated with syntactic analysis $\Rightarrow$ a **treebank**
- Treebanks are used to train data-driven NLP tools (taggere, parsere)
- Treebanks for a number languages
  - Penn Treebank
  - Prague Dependency Treebank (czech)
  - Negra/Tuba-DZ (German)
  - Penn (Chinese)
  - Norwegian Dependency Treebank
  - Universal Dependencies

Norwegian Dependency Treebank (NDT)

- NDT was completed in 2014 (Solberg et al, 2014) by Språkbanken, National Library
- Ca 600,000 tokens of manually annotated Bokmål and Nynorsk text (news, blogs, stortingsmeldinger)
- Enables training of taggers and parsers for Norwegian (Øvrelid & Hohle, 2016; Hohle et al, 2017; Velldal et al, 2017)
- Freely available so others can do the same (and better!)
- Converted to Universal Dependencies (Øvrelid & Hohle, 2016)



Universal Dependencies

- Harmonized dependency treebanks for more than 70 languages (including Norwegian)
- Norwegian models in Google SyntaxNet and spaCy
- `http://universaldependencies.org/`



Latin-PROIEL	171K	LF	–	✓	✓	CC BY-NC-SA	
Latvian	54K	LF	–	✓	✓	CC BY-SA	
Lithuanian	5K	LF	–	✓	✓	CC BY-SA	
Maltese	2K	F	–	✓	⧗	CC BY-SA	
North Sami	55K	LF	–	✓	⧗	CC BY-SA	
Norwegian-Bokmaal	310K	LF		✓	✓	CC BY-NC-SA	
Norwegian-Nynorsk	301K	LF		✓	✓	CC BY-NC-SA	
Old Church Slavonic	57K	LF	–	✓	✓	CC BY-NC-SA	
Persian	151K	LF		✓✓	✓	CC BY-SA	
Polish	82K	LF	–	✓	✓	GPL	
Portuguese	210K	LF		✓✓	✓	CC BY-SA	
Portuguese-BR	297K	F	–	✓	✓	CC BY-NC-SA	
Portuguese-PUD	21K	F	–	✓	⧗	CC BY-NC-SA	W
Romanian	218K	LF		✓✓	✓	CC BY-NC-SA	W
Russian	99K	LF		✓✓	✓	CC BY-NC-SA	W
Russian-PUD	19K	F	–	✓	⧗	CC BY-NC-SA	W
Russian-SynTagRus	1,107K	LFD		✓✓	✓	CC BY-NC-SA	
Sanskrit	1K	LF	–	✓	✓	CC BY-SA	
Serbian	86K	LF	–	✓✓	⧗	CC BY-NC-SA	W
Slovak	106K	LF	–	✓	✓	CC BY-SA	
Slovenian	140K	LF		✓	✓	CC BY-NC-SA	
Slovenian-SST	29K	LF		✓	✓	CC BY-NC-SA	
Spanish	423K	LF		✓✓	✓	CC BY-NC-SA	W
Spanish-AnCora	547K	LF		✓✓	✓	GPL	
Spanish-PUD	22K	F	–	✓	⧗	CC BY-NC-SA	W
Swedish	96K	LF		✓✓	✓	CC BY-SA	
Swedish-LinES	79K			✓✓	✓	CC BY-NC-SA	
Swedish-PUD	19K	LF	–	✓	⧗	CC BY-NC-SA	W
Swedish Sign Language	<1K		–	✓	✓	CC BY-NC-SA	
Tamil	8K	LF	–	✓	✓	CC BY-NC-SA	

Example ‘Universal’ Dependency Types

nsubj	nominal subject	She arrived.
csubj	clausal subject	That she arrived surprised me.
obj	(direct) object	My mother called me.
iobj	indirect object	She teaches my daughter maths.
ccomp	clausal complement	She knew that she arrived.
xcomp	open clausal complement	She promised to sing.
obl	oblique nominal	She arrived on Monday
obl	oblique nominal	She depends on me.
nmod	nominal modifier	the office of the chair is empty.
amod	adjectival modifier	the fierce dog barks.
acl	adjectival clause	the dog that barks arrived.
conj	conjunct	Kim and Sandy arrived.
cc	coordinating conjunction	Kim and Sandy arrived.

(Degrees of) Cross-Linguistic Consistency



(Degrees of) Cross-Linguistic Consistency



(Degrees of) Cross-Linguistic Consistency



(Degrees of) Cross-Linguistic Consistency



- Capitalize on content words, e.g. demote case-marking prepositions.

CoNLL-U format

1	Det	det	PRON	Gender=Neut|...	2	nsubj
2	foregikk	foregå	VERB	Mood=Ind|...	0	root
3	i	i	ADP	_	4	case
4	Norge	Norge	PROPN	_	2	obl
5	.	.	PUNCT	_	2	punct

CoNLL-U format

1	Det	det	PRON	Gender=Neut|...	2	nsubj
2	foregikk	foregå	VERB	Mood=Ind|...	0	root
3	i	i	ADP	_	4	case
4	Norge	Norge	PROPN	_	2	obl
5	.	.	PUNCT	_	2	punct



Next week

- Syntactic parsing
- Data-driven parsing
- Data-driven dependency parsing
- Evaluation