# Logic

Autumn 2023 Week 4



### Exercise 3.05

(Recursively defining a function)

The definition of *free variable* on slide 11 is somewhat informal. Try giving a proper, recursive definition of the function

Free : 
$$\mathcal{F} \to \mathcal{P}(\mathcal{V})$$

which sends a formula to the set of variables occurring free in it. ( $\mathcal{P}(\mathcal{V})$  is the powerset of  $\mathcal{V}$ —the set of sets of variables.)

You might want to start with defining the function that sends a term to the variables that occur in it, or you can take that as given if you couldn't be bothered to.

Exercise 3.1 (Variables & Assignments)

- a) Which variables occur free in the following formulae? Which variables occur bound?
- b) Apply the following substitution to both formulae:  $\{x \setminus a, y \setminus f(a, b), z \setminus g(x, c)\}$ .
- c) Is the substitution capture-free for these formulae?
- 1.  $\forall x (p(y) \land \forall y (p(x,z) \rightarrow q(y)))$
- 2.  $\forall x \exists x \forall y (p(x) \lor \exists y q(y)) \to r(x)$

#### Exercise 3.2

(Formalization & Interpretations)

- a) "If there is a man in town who shaves all the men in town who do not shave themselves, then some man in town shaves himself." Formalize these statements by a single first-order formula  $F_S$ .
- b) What is the value of the term (5+3)\*(8-5) under the interpretation  $\mathcal{I}=(\mathbb{N},\iota)$  with  $+^{\iota}=*, *^{\iota}=-, -^{\iota}=\div$  (division),  $3^{\iota}=8, 5^{\iota}=6, 8^{\iota}=36$ .
- c) Show that the following formulae are satisfiable or invalid (or both) by providing a model and/or a counter-model (i.e. an interpretation that falsifies the formula).
- 1.  $\forall x \, p(f(x), a) \to \exists x \, p(g(x), x)$
- 2.  $\forall y \, q(y, b) \to \exists x \, q(a, x)$

Exercise 3.25 (Interpretations)

The language of arithmetic has constants  $\{\bar{0}, \bar{1}, \bar{2}, \ldots\}$ , two function symbols of arity  $2, \{\bar{+}, \bar{\times}\}$ , and a relation symbol of arity  $2, \{\bar{\leq}\}$ , (and equality). We use infix notation for convenience, that is we write e.g.  $x\bar{\leq}y$  instead of  $\bar{\leq}(x,y)$ .

Give interpretations to *falsify* the following two statements:

- 1.  $\bar{1} + \bar{2} = \bar{3}$
- 2.  $\forall x \exists y (x \leq y)$

# Exercise 3.3 (If we get to LK)

(Sequent Calculus LK & Eigenvariables)

Try to prove the validity of the following formulae in the sequent calculus LK. If you cannot find a proof in LK then povide a counter-model.

- 1. The first-order formula  $F_S$  from Exercise 3.2 a).
- 2.  $\forall x \exists y \, p(x,y) \rightarrow \forall u \, \exists v \, p(u,v)$
- 3.  $\forall x \,\exists y \, p(x,y) \to \exists v \, \forall u \, p(u,v)$

# Exercise 3.4 (If we get to LK)

(Symmetry of LK)

Let A be a formula containing only  $\forall$ ,  $\exists$  and the connectives  $\neg$ ,  $\vee$ , and  $\wedge$ . The dual formula A' of A is obtained by exchanging  $\forall$  and  $\exists$ , and exchanging  $\vee$  and  $\wedge$ . Prove that  $\vdash A$  iff  $\vdash \neg A'$ .

Hint: try to explain how to transform an LK proof for A into a proof for  $\neg A'$ , and vice versa

### Exercise 3.5

(Warm-up induction proofs on terms)

**a.** Let a language contain the constants a,b,c and the ternary function symbol f. Let I be the interpretation  $(\mathbb{N},\iota)$  where  $a^\iota=2,\,b^\iota=8$ ,  $c^\iota=12$ , and  $f^\iota$  is the function which takes three numbers and adds them all together.

Prove by induction on terms that for any closed term t,  $v_{\iota}(t)$  is an even number.

**b.** Try proving the Substitution Lemma for Terms (slide 26) without looking at the proof on the slide.

#### Exercise 3.6

(Variable Assignments and Closed Formulas)

The term value, resp. truth value of a *closed* term t, resp. formula A in an interpretation  $\mathcal{I}=(D,\iota)$  is independent of the variable assignment. I.e. if  $\alpha$  and  $\beta$  are two variable assignments for  $\mathcal{I}$ , and t is closed, then

$$v_{\mathcal{I}}(\alpha, t) = v_{\mathcal{I}}(\beta, t)$$

and if A is closed then

$$v_{\mathcal{I}}(\alpha, A) = v_{\mathcal{I}}(\beta, A)$$

Prove these facts by structural induction on t and A.

Hint: when you try to prove this for  $\forall x \ A$  and  $\exists x \ A$ , you will run into the obstacle that the subformula A is not necessarily closed, so it's not possible to apply the induction hypothesis to it. You have to find and prove a more general statement about variable assignments and the free variables occurring in formulae and terms.