## Static techniques

#### Software Testing: IN3240 / IN4240

### Summary

### **Static techniques and the test process**

What is static analysis / testing?

#### **Review types**

Varying degree of formality

#### Static analysis by tools

Typical defects detected

#### Informal review / Walkthrough / Technical review / Inspection



## Part I: Close-ended questions

#### Which of the following artefacts can be examined by using review techniques?

- a. Software code
- b. Requirements specification
- c. Test designs
- d. All of the above



## Question 1: Clues

### Which of the following artefacts can be examined by using review techniques?

**Review** process

Process / Meeting -> Examine software products

From very formal (structured + regulated) to informal (no written

instructions)

Objectives

**Discussion** / Decision-making

![](_page_4_Picture_10.jpeg)

Find defects  $\rightarrow$  Defects detected earlier are usually cheaper to remove Gain understanding -> Find omissions in requirements / specifications

![](_page_4_Picture_14.jpeg)

## Question 1: Clues

### Which of the following artefacts can be examined by using review techniques?

Any software product can be reviewed

**Requirements** specification

**Design** specification

Source code

Test plans / specifications / cases / scripts

Product manuals / User guides

Web pages

![](_page_5_Picture_9.jpeg)

![](_page_5_Picture_11.jpeg)

![](_page_6_Picture_0.jpeg)

#### A static analysis tool gives quality information about the code without executing it.

a. True b. False

![](_page_6_Picture_3.jpeg)

## Question 2: Clues

#### A static analysis tool gives quality information about the code without executing it.

Static analysis

Examination of code without executing it

E.g. through compiling code

Understanding code structures / dependencies

May help to ensure code adheres to industry standards

Tools for static analysis

Manual examination of work product

Automated tools to assist in examination

![](_page_7_Picture_15.jpeg)

### Which is not a type of review?

- a. Walkthrough
- b. Inspection
- c. Informal review
- d. Management approval

![](_page_8_Picture_6.jpeg)

![](_page_8_Picture_7.jpeg)

## Question 3: Cues

### Which is not a type of review?

#### Types of reviews

Informal review

Inexpensive way to get some benefit

#### Walkthrough

Learning / Gaining understanding / Defect finding

**Technical** review

Discussion / Decision-making / Defect-finding / Solving technical problems / Check conformance

Inspection

Finding defects

![](_page_9_Picture_11.jpeg)

![](_page_9_Picture_12.jpeg)

#### Which statement about reviews is true?

- a. Inspections are led by a facilitator or moderator, whereas technical reviews are not necessarily.
- b. Technical reviews are led by a trained leader, inspections are not
- c. In a walkthrough, the author does not attend
- thoroughly trained

d. Participants for a walkthrough always need to be

![](_page_10_Picture_8.jpeg)

## Question 4: Cues

### Which statement about reviews is true? Reviews vary in degree of formality

Defines ...

Content and focus area of review meeting

Roles present during review

**Responsibilities** of each participant

Level of documentation / effort based on formality

Informal review Walkthrou

Inspection

![](_page_11_Picture_13.jpeg)

## Question 4: Clues

Which statement about reviews is true? Informal review Pair programming Technical lead  $\rightarrow$  Reviews the design / code No formal process Documentation optional Walkthrough Led by author **Open-ended** sessions  $\rightarrow$  Scenarios / Dry runs / Peer group In practice: Varies from very informal to very formal

![](_page_12_Picture_2.jpeg)

![](_page_12_Picture_4.jpeg)

## Question 4: Clues

### Which statement about reviews is true? Technical review

- Peer review without management participation *Ideally* led by a facilitator or moderator Documented → Defined defect-detection process Peers and technical experts present during review meeting
- Requires pre-meeting preparations
- Optional use of
  - Checklists / Review reports / List of findings
  - Management may participate

![](_page_13_Picture_7.jpeg)

## Question 4: Clues

#### Which statement about reviews is true? Inspection

Peer examination Always led by facilitator or moderator (not author) Formal process - Checklists / Rules / Entry and exit criteria Includes metrics Pre-meeting preparations required Defined roles Produce and follows inspection report / list of findings Formal follow-up process

![](_page_14_Picture_3.jpeg)

![](_page_14_Picture_5.jpeg)

# What is the main difference between a walkthrough and an inspection?

- a. An inspection is led by authors, whilst a review is led by a trained facilitator or moderator
- b. An inspection has a trained leader, whilst a walkthrough has no leader.
- c. Authors are not present during inspections, whilst they are during walkthroughs
- d. A walkthrough is led by the author, whilst an inspection is led by a facilitator or moderator

![](_page_15_Picture_6.jpeg)

## Question 5: Clues

# Which of the following is true regarding the process of fixing emergency changes?

Walkthrough reviews

Objectives: Gain understanding / Find defects

Led by author

Open-ended sessions

Inspection reviews

Objectives: Find defects

Led by trained facilitator or moderator

Formal process with follow-up meeting

![](_page_16_Picture_10.jpeg)

#### What statement about static analysis is true?

- difficult to find with dynamic testing
- b. Compiling is not a form of static analysis
- c. When properly performed, static analysis makes functional testing redundant
- d. Static analysis finds all faults

a. With static analysis, defects can be found that are

![](_page_17_Picture_7.jpeg)

## Question 6: Clues

#### What statement about static analysis is true? Static analysis Testing code without executing it E.g. Compiling code Checks code / requirement and design documents Objective: Improve quality / Prevent defects / Verify software product Verification process $\rightarrow$ Have we built the correct software? Dynamic testing Testing done by executing source code Validation process $\rightarrow$ Have we built the software correctly?

![](_page_18_Picture_2.jpeg)

![](_page_18_Picture_9.jpeg)

#### Which of the following statements about early test design are true and which are false?

- Defects found during early test design are more expensive to fix ٦.
- Early test design can find defects 2.
- Early test design can cause changes to the requirements 3.
- Early test design takes more effort 4.

a.1 and 3 are true. 2 and 4 are false. b.2 is true. 1, 3 and 4 are false. c.2 and 3 are true. 1 and 4 are false. d.2, 3 and 4 are true. 1 is false.

![](_page_19_Picture_9.jpeg)

## Question 7: Clues

design are true and which are false? Early test design **Preventive** action  $\rightarrow$  **Avoid** defects being introduced Find defects Less expensive to fix defects during earlier stages  $\rightarrow$  Less to fix Less effort involved  $\rightarrow$  Less to do Reveals faults in requirements Can change the requirements specification

![](_page_20_Picture_2.jpeg)

# Which of the following statements about early test

![](_page_20_Picture_8.jpeg)

#### Static code analysis typically identifies all but one of the following problems. Which is it?

- a. Unreachable code
- b. Undeclared variables
- c. Faults in the requirements
- d. Redundant code

![](_page_21_Picture_6.jpeg)

## Question 8: Clues

the following problems. Which is it? Static code analysis

Examination of code without executing it

Finds defects rather than failures

Typical defects discovered

Undefined / unused variables

Inconsistent interface between modules and components

Unreachable code / Deadlocks / Duplicates

Programming standard violations / Syntax violations

# Static code analysis typically identifies all but one of

![](_page_22_Picture_15.jpeg)

#### The \_\_\_\_\_ of a review process is related to the following factors:

- The maturity of the development process
- Any legal requirements for the software product/project
- The need for an audit trail

![](_page_23_Picture_7.jpeg)

## Question 9: Clues

The \_\_\_\_\_ of a review process is related to the following factors: **Review** process Different types of reviews Informal review / Walkthrough / Technical review / Inspection Varying degree of formality What is the main objective of a specific review (meeting)? How far we have come (maturity) Jurisprudence and other regulations **Documentation** and audit trails needed?

![](_page_24_Picture_2.jpeg)

- Objectives: Find defects / Gain understanding / Decision-making

![](_page_24_Picture_10.jpeg)

#### Pair the following review activities with their description:

1. Planning	A. The facilitator dis reviewed.
2. Initiate review	B. Each participant defects found
3. Individual preparation	C. The author of the in the review meet
4. Review meeting	D. A facilitator select assigns roles in the
5. Rework	E. The facilitator ch
6. Follow-up	F. Meeting in which The author takes n

- stributes to all the participants the doc to be
- reads their part of the document and notes the
- e reviewed doc fixes the defects found and reported Ing
- cts who is going to attend the review activity and review process
- ecks if the defects have been fixed
- h each participant lists the defects they have found. otes. The facilitator moderates the discussion.

![](_page_25_Figure_9.jpeg)

## Part II: Exercises and Open-ended questions

## Exercise: Video

#### Watch video on "Clean Code"

### By Robert Cecil Martin (Uncle Bob)

https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=7EmboKQH8IM

![](_page_27_Picture_4.jpeg)

![](_page_27_Picture_6.jpeg)

![](_page_28_Picture_0.jpeg)

#### Why do you think it is important to have clean code?

#### Why is it important to keep it clean?

Do you think it is good to impose coding conventions to a team? For example: Naming conventions, tabs, complexity of methods, interfaces, API, etc.

![](_page_28_Picture_5.jpeg)

## Importance of Clean Code

- Clean Code: Aspects to consider
  - Rigidity / Dependencies
  - Coupling
  - Maintainability / Portability
  - Robustness
- Is clean code more important than efficient code? Back in the day  $\rightarrow$  Important to write efficient code Maximise functionality packed into each kilobyte of storage How tightly it compiled / How much RAM it used
  - Perhaps no longer such marginal restrictions?

![](_page_29_Picture_10.jpeg)

## Coding Conventions

Guidelines for specific programming language Improve software quality Readability / Maintainability of source code Limit complexity Recommendations for ... Programming style Such as comment conventions / Indentation / Line length / Naming conventions Practices and methods Not enforced by compilers!

![](_page_30_Picture_5.jpeg)