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## Today

$\square$ Neural networks
$\square$ Language models
$\square$ Word embeddings
$\square$ Word2vec

## Artificial neural networks

$\square$ Inspired by the brain
$\square$ neurons, synapses
$\square$ Does not pretend to be a model of the brain
$\square$ The simplest model is the

- Feed forward network, also called
- Multi-Iayer Perceptron


Input Layer

## Linear regression as a network

$\square$ Each feature, $x_{i}$, of the input vector is an input node
$\square$ An additional bias node $x_{0}=1$ for the intercept
$\square$ A weight at each edge,
$\square$ Multiply the input values with the respective weights: $w_{i} x_{i}$
$\square$ Sum them
$\square \hat{y}=\sum_{i=0}^{m} w_{i} x_{i}=\boldsymbol{w} \cdot \boldsymbol{x}$

$\times 3$

## Gradient descent (for linear regression)

$\square$ We start with an initial set of weights
$\square$ Consider training examples
$\square$ Adjust the weights to reduce the loss
$\square$ How?
$\square$ Gradient descent
$\square$ Gradient means partial
 derivatives.

## Linear regression: higher dimensions

$\square$ Linear regression of more than two variables works similarly
$\square$ We try to fit the best (hyper-)plane $\hat{y}=f\left(x_{0}, x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right)=\sum_{i=0}^{n} w_{i} x_{i}=\vec{w} \cdot \vec{x}$
$\square$ We can use the same mean square:

$$
\frac{1}{m} \sum_{i=1}^{m}\left(y_{i}-\hat{y}_{i}\right)^{2}
$$



## Partial derivatives

$\square$ A function of more than one variable, e.g. $f(x, y)$
$\square$ The partial derivative, e.g. $\frac{\partial f}{\partial x}$ is the derivative one gets by keeping the other variables constant
$\square$ E.g. if $f(x, y)=a x+b y+c$, $\frac{\partial f}{\partial x}=a$ and $\frac{\partial f}{\partial y}=b$

The tangent line in the direction of $x$


## Gradient descent

$\square$ We move in the opposite direction of where the gradient is pointing.
$\square$ Intuitively:
$\square$ Take small steps in all direction parallel to the (feature) axes
$\square$ The length of the steps are proportional to the steepness in each direction


## Properties of the derivatives

1. If $f(x)=a x+b$ then $f^{\prime}(x)=a$
$\square$ we also write $\frac{d f}{d x}=a$

- and if $y=f(x)$, we can write $\frac{d y}{d x}=a$

2. If $f(x)=x^{n}$ for an integer $\neq 0$ then $f^{\prime}(x)=n x^{(n-1)}$
3. If $f(x)=g(y)$ and $y=h(x)$ then $f^{\prime}(x)=g^{\prime}(y) h^{\prime}(x)$
$\square$ if $z=f(x)=g(y)$, this can be written $\frac{d z}{d x}=\frac{d z}{d y} \frac{d y}{d x}$
$\square$ In particular, if $f(x)=(a x+b)^{2}$ then $f^{\prime}(x)=2(a x+b) a$

## Gradient descent (for linear regression)

$\square$ Loss: Mean squared error :

$$
\begin{aligned}
& L(\widehat{\boldsymbol{y}}, \boldsymbol{y})=\frac{1}{n} \sum_{j=1}^{n}\left(\hat{y}_{j}-y_{j}\right)^{2} \\
& \hat{y}_{j}=\sum_{i=0}^{m} w_{i} x_{j, i}=\boldsymbol{w} \cdot \boldsymbol{x}_{j}
\end{aligned}
$$

$\square$ We will update the $w_{i}-s$
$\square$ Consider the partial derivatives w.r.t the $w_{i}-s$
$\square \frac{\partial}{\partial w_{i}} L(\widehat{\boldsymbol{y}}, \boldsymbol{y})=\frac{1}{n} \sum_{j=1}^{n} 2\left(\hat{y}_{j}-y_{j}\right) x_{j, i}$
$\square$ Update $w_{i}: w_{i}=w_{i}-\eta \frac{\partial}{\partial w_{i}} L(\widehat{\boldsymbol{y}}, \boldsymbol{y})$

$n$ is the number of observations,
$0 \leq j \leq n$ and
$m$ is the number of features for each observation, $0 \leq i \leq m$

## Inspecting the update

$$
\begin{gathered}
w_{i}=w_{i}-\eta \frac{1}{n} \sum_{j=1}^{n} 2\left(\hat{y}_{j}-y_{j}\right) x_{j, i} \\
\begin{array}{c}
\text { The error term } \\
\text { (delta term) of this } \\
\text { prediction, from the } \\
\text { loss function }
\end{array}
\end{gathered} \begin{gathered}
\text { contribution to } \\
\text { the error from } \\
\text { this weight }
\end{gathered}
$$



[^0]$\eta$ is the learning rate

## Logistic regression as a network

$\square \mathrm{z}=\sum_{i=0}^{m} w_{i} x_{i}=\boldsymbol{w} \cdot \boldsymbol{x}$
$\square \hat{y}=\sigma(z)=\frac{1}{1+e^{-z}}$
$\square$ Loss: $L_{C E}=-\sum_{j=1}^{n} \log \left[\hat{y}_{j}^{j}\left(1-\hat{y}_{j}\right)^{\left(1-y_{j}\right)}\right]$
$\square \frac{\partial}{\partial \widehat{w}_{i}} L_{C E}=\frac{\partial}{\partial \hat{y}} L_{C E} \times \frac{\partial \hat{y}}{\partial z} \times \frac{\partial z}{\partial w_{i}}$
$\square \frac{\partial}{\partial \hat{y}} L_{C E}=\frac{(y-\hat{y})}{\hat{y}(1-\hat{y})}$
$\square \frac{\partial \hat{y}}{\partial z}=\hat{y}(1-\hat{y})$
$\square \frac{\partial z}{\partial w_{i}}=x_{i}$
$\square \frac{\partial}{\partial \widehat{w_{i}}} L_{C E}=\frac{(y-\hat{y})}{\hat{y}(1-\hat{y})} \hat{y}(1-\hat{y}) x_{i}=(y-\hat{y}) x_{i}$


## Logistic regression as a network



## Feed forward network

$\square$ An input layer
$\square$ An output layer: the predictions
$\square$ One or more hidden layers
$\square$ Connections from one layer to the next (from left to right)


Input Layer

## The hidden nodes

$\square$ Each hidden node is like a small logistic regression:
$\square$ First sum of weighted inputs :
$\square \mathrm{z}=\sum_{i=0}^{m} w_{i} x_{i}=\boldsymbol{w} \cdot \boldsymbol{x}$
$\square$ Then the result is run through an activation function, e.g. $\sigma$
$\square y=\sigma(z)=\frac{1}{1+e^{-\vec{w} \cdot \vec{x}}}$

It is the non-linearity of the activation function which makes it possible for MLP to predict non-linear decision boundaries

## The output layer

Alternatives
$\square$ Regression:
$\square$ One node

- No activation function
$\square$ Binary classifier:
$\square$ One node
$\square$ Logistic activation function
$\square$ Multinomial classifier
$\square$ Several nodes
- Softmax
$\square+$ more alternatives
$\square$ Choice of loss function depends on task


Input Layer


## Learning in multi-layer networks

$\square$ Consider two consecutive layers:
$\square$ Layer $M$, with $1 \leq i \leq m$ nodes, and a bias node MO
$\square$ Layer $N$, with $1 \leq j \leq n$ nodes
$\square$ Let $w_{i, j}$ be the weight at the edge going from $M_{i}$ to $N_{j}$
$\square$ Consider processing one observation:
$\square$ Let $x_{i}$ be the value going out of node $M_{i}$
$\square$ If $M$ is a hidden layer:


$$
x_{i}=\sigma\left(z_{i}\right), \text { where } z_{i}=\sum(\ldots)
$$

## Learning in multi-layer networks

$\square$ If N is the output layer, calculate the error terms $\delta_{j}^{N}$ as before from the loss and the activation function at each node $N_{j}$
$\square$ If $M$ is a hidden layer: Calculate the error term at the nodes combining
$\square$ A weighted sum of the error terms at layer N
$\square$ The derivative of the activation function
$\square \delta_{i}^{M}=\left(\sum_{j=1}^{n} w_{i, j} \delta_{j}^{N}\right) \frac{d x_{i}}{d z_{i}}$


## Learning in multi-layer networks

$\square$ By repeating the process, we get error terms at all nodes in all the hidden layers.
$\square$ The update of the weights between the layers can be done as before:
$\square w_{i, j}=w_{i, j}-x_{i} \delta_{j}^{N}$
$\square$ where $X_{i}$ is the value going out of node $M_{i}$


## Alternative activation functions





## Today

$\square$ Neural networks
$\square$ Language models
$\square$ Word embeddings
$\square$ Word2vec

## Probabilistic Language Models

$\square$ Goal: Ascribe probabilities to word sequences.
$\square$ Motivation:
$\square$ Translation:
■ $P($ she is a tall woman) $>P($ she is a high woman)
■ $P($ she has a high position) $>P($ she has a tall position)
$\square$ Spelling correction:

- $P($ She met the prefect.) $>P$ (She met the perfect.)
$\square$ Speech recognition:
- $P(1$ saw a van) $>P($ eyes awe of an)


## Probabilistic Language Models

$\square$ Goal: Ascribe probabilities to word sequences.
$\square P\left(w_{1}, w_{2}, w_{3}, \ldots, w_{n}\right)$
$\square$ Related: the probability of the next word
$\square P\left(w_{n} \mid w_{1}, w_{2}, w_{3}, \ldots, w_{n-1}\right)$
$\square$ A model which does either is called a Language Model, LM
$\square$ Comment: The term is somewhat misleading

- (Probably origin from speech recognition)


## Chain rule

$\square$ The two definitions are related by the chain rule for probability:
$\square P\left(w_{1}, w_{2}, w_{3}, \ldots, w_{n}\right)=$
$\square P\left(w_{1}\right) \times P\left(w_{2} \mid w_{1}\right) \times P\left(w_{3} \mid w_{1}, w_{2}\right) \times \cdots \times P\left(w_{n} \mid w_{1}, w_{2}, \ldots, w_{n-1}\right)=$
$\square \prod_{i}^{n} P\left(w_{i} \mid w_{1}, w_{2}, \ldots, w_{i-1}\right)=\prod_{i}^{n} P\left(w_{i} \mid w_{1}^{i-1}\right)$
$\square P($ "its water is so transparent") = $P$ (its) $\times P$ (water|its) $\times P$ (is/its water)
$\times P$ (solits water is) $\times P$ (transparent/its water is so)
$\square$ But this does not work for long sequences

- (we may not even have seen before)


## Markov assumption

$\square$ A word depends only on the immediate preceding word
$\square P\left(w_{1}, w_{2}, w_{3}, \ldots, w_{n}\right) \approx$
$\square P\left(w_{1}\right) \times P\left(w_{2} \mid w_{1}\right) \times P\left(w_{3} \mid w_{2}\right) \times \cdots \times P\left(w_{n} \mid w_{n-1}\right)=$
$\square \prod_{i}^{n} P\left(w_{i} \mid w_{i-1}\right)$
$\square \mathrm{P}$ ("its water is so transparent") $\approx$

$$
P(\text { its }) \times P(\text { water } \mid \text { its }) \times P(\text { is } \mid \text { water }) \times P(\text { so } \mid \text { is }) \times P(\text { transparent } \mid \text { so })
$$

$\square$ This is called a bigram model

## Estimating bigram probabilities

$\square$ The probabilities can be estimated by counting
$\square$ This yields maximum likelihood probabilities

- (=maximum probable on the training data)
$\square \hat{P}\left(w_{i} \mid w_{i-1}\right)=\frac{\operatorname{count}\left(w_{i-1}, w_{i}\right)}{\operatorname{count}\left(w_{i-1}\right)}$


## Example from J\&M

$$
\hat{P}\left(w_{i} \mid w_{i-1}\right)=\frac{c\left(w_{i-1}, w_{i}\right)}{c\left(w_{i-1}\right)}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { <s> I am Sam </s> } \\
& \text { <s> Sam I am </s> } \\
& \text { <s> I do not like green eggs and ham </s> }
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{array}{lll}
P(\mathrm{I}|<\mathrm{s}\rangle)=\frac{2}{3}=.67 & P(\mathrm{Sam}|<\mathrm{s}\rangle)=\frac{1}{3}=.33 & P(\mathrm{am} \mid \mathrm{I})=\frac{2}{3}=.67 \\
P(</ \mathrm{s}\rangle \mid \mathrm{Sam})=\frac{1}{2}=0.5 & P(\mathrm{Sam} \mid \mathrm{am})=\frac{1}{2}=.5 & P(\mathrm{do} \mid \mathrm{I})=\frac{1}{3}=.33
\end{array}
$$

## General ngram models

$\square$ A word depends only on the k many immediately preceding words
$\square P\left(w_{1}, w_{2}, w_{3}, \ldots, w_{n}\right) \approx$
$\square \prod_{i}^{n} P\left(w_{i} \mid w_{i-k}, w_{i+1-k}, \ldots, w_{i-1}\right)=\prod_{i}^{n} P\left(w_{i} \mid w_{i-k}^{i-1}\right)$
$\square$ This is called a

- unigram model - no preceding words
$\square$ trigram model - two preceding words
$\square k$-gram model $-k$-1 preceding words
- We can train them similarly to the bigram model.
- Have to be more careful with the smoothing for larger $k$-s.


## Generating with n-grams

$\square$ Goal: Generate a sequence of words
$\square$ Unigram:
$\square$ Choose the first word according to how probable it is
$\square$ Choose the second word according to how probable it is, etc.
$\square=$ the generative model for multinomial NB text classification
$\square$ Bigram
$\square$ Select word $k$ according to $\hat{P}\left(w_{i} \mid w_{i-1}\right)$
$\square$ k-gram
$\square$ Select word $w_{i}$ according to how probable it is given the $k-1$ preceding words $P\left(w_{i} \mid w_{i-k}^{i-1}\right)$

## Shakespeare

-To him swallowed confess hear both. Which. Of save on trail for are ay device and great banquet serv'd in;
gram -It cannot be but so.
-Hill he late speaks; or! a more to leg less first you enter
-Why dost stand forth thy canopy, forsooth; he is this palpable hit the King Henry. Live king. Follow.
-What means, sir. I confess she? then all sorts, he is trim, captain.
-Fly, and will rid me these news of price. Therefore the sadness of parting, as they say, 'tis done.
-This shall forbid it should be branded, if renown made it empty.
-King Henry. What! I will go seek the traitor Gloucester. Exeunt some of the watch. A rote life have

## Unknown words

$\square$ There might be words that is never observed during training.
$\square$ Use a special symbol for unseen words during application, e.g. UNK
$\square$ Set aside a probability for seeing a new word
$\square$ This may be estimated from a held-out corpus
$\square$ Adjust
$\square$ the probabilities for the other words in a unigram model accordingly
$\square$ the conditional probabilities of the $k$-gram model

## Smoothing, Laplace, Lidstone

$\square$ Since we might not have seen all possibilities in training data, we might use Lidstone or, more generally, Laplace smoothing
$\square \hat{P}\left(w_{i} \mid w_{i-1}\right)=\frac{\operatorname{count}\left(w_{i-1}, w_{i}\right)+k}{\operatorname{count}\left(w_{i-1}\right)+k|V|}$
$\square$ where $|V|$ is the size of the vocabulary $V$.

## But:

$\square$ Shakespeare produced
$\square \mathrm{N}=884,647$ word tokens
$\square V=29,066$ word types
$\square$ Bigrams:
$\square$ Possibilities:
$\square V^{2}=844,000,000$
$\square$ Shakespeare,

- bigram tokens: 884,647
- bigram types: 300,000

$\square$ Add-k smoothing is not appropriate


## Smoothing n-grams

## Backoff

$\square$ If you have good evidence, use the trigram model,
$\square$ If not, use the bigram model,
$\square$ or even the unigram model

## Interpolation

$\square$ Combine the models

Use either of this. According to J\&M interpolation works better

## Interpolation

$\square$ Simple interpolation:

$$
\begin{aligned}
\hat{P}\left(w_{n} \mid w_{n-2} w_{n-1}\right)= & \lambda_{1} P\left(w_{n} \mid w_{n-2} w_{n-1}\right) \\
& +\lambda_{2} P\left(w_{n} \mid w_{n-1}\right) \\
& +\lambda_{3} P\left(w_{n}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

$\square$ The $\lambda$-s can be tuned on a held out corpus
$\square$ A more elaborate model will condition the $\lambda$-s on the context
$\square$ (Brings in elements of backoff)

## Evaluation of n-gram models

$\square$ Extrinsic evaluation:

- To compare two LMs, see how well they are doing in an application, e.g. translation, speech recognition
$\square$ Intrinsic evaluation:
$\square$ Use a held out-corpus and measure $P\left(w_{1}, w_{2}, w_{3}, \ldots, w_{n}\right)^{\frac{1}{n}}$
- The n -root compensate for different lengths
- $\prod_{i}^{n} P\left(w_{i} \mid w_{i-k}^{i-1}\right)^{\frac{1}{n}}$ for a k-gram model
$\square$ It is normal to use the inverse of this, called the perplexity
$\square P P\left(w_{1}^{n}\right)=\frac{1}{P\left(w_{1}, w_{2}, w_{3}, \ldots, w_{n}\right)^{\frac{1}{n}}}=P\left(w_{1}, w_{2}, w_{3}, \ldots, w_{n}\right)^{-\frac{1}{n}}$


## Properties of LMs

$\square$ The best smoothing is achieved with Kneser-Ney smoothing
$\square$ Short-comings of all n-gram models
$\square$ The smoothing is not optimal
$\square$ The context are restricted to a limited number of preceding words.

A practical advice: Use logarithms when working with ngrams

## Today

$\square$ Neural networks
$\square$ Language models
$\square$ Word embeddings
$\square$ Word2vec

## Word-context matrix

$\square$ Two words are similar in meaning if their context vectors are similar
sugar, a sliced lemon, a tablespoonful of apricot their enjoyment. Cautiously she sampled her first pineapple well suited to programming on the digital computer.
for the purpose of gathering data and information
jam, a pinch each of, and another fruit whose taste she likened In finding the optimal R-stage policy from necessary for the study authorized in the

|  | acradvark | computier | data | pinch | resulf | sugar | $\ldots$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| apricot | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 |  |
| pineapple | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 |  |
| digital | 0 | 2 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 |  |
| information | 0 | 1 | 6 | 0 | 4 | 0 |  |

## So-far

$\square$ A word $w$ can be represented by a context vector $v_{w}$ where position $j$ in the vector reflects the frequency of occurrences of $w_{j}$ with $w$.
$\square$ Can be used for
$\square$ studying similarities between words.
$\square$ document similarities
$\square$ But the vectors are sparse

- Long: 20-50,000
$\square$ Many entries are 0
$\square$ Even though car and automobile get similar vectors, because both co-occur with e.g., drive, in the vector for drive there is no connection between the car element and the automobile element.


## Today

$\square$ Lexical semantics
$\square$ Vector models of documents
$\square \mathrm{tf}$-idf weighting
$\square$ Word-context matrices
$\square$ Word embeddings with dense vectors

## Dense vectors

## How?

$\square$ Shorter vectors.
$\square$ (length 50-1000)

- "low-dimensional" space
$\square$ Dense (most elements are not 0)
$\square$ Intuitions:
$\square$ Similar words should have similar vectors.
$\square$ Words that occur in similar contexts should be similar.


## Properties

$\square$ Generalize better than sparse vectors.
$\square$ Input to deep learning

- Fewer weights (or other weights)
$\square$ Capture semantic similarities better.
$\square$ Better for sequence modelling:
$\square$ Language models, etc.


## Word embeddings

$\square$ In current LT: Each word is
represented as a vector of reals
$\square$ Words are more or less similar
$\square$ A word can be similar to one word in some dimensions and other words in other dimensions

|  | Dimensions |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | dog | -0.4 | 0.37 | 0.02 | -0.34 | animal |
|  | cat | -0.15 | -0.02 | -0.23 | -0.23 | domesticated |
|  | lion | 0.19 | -0.4 | 0.35 | -0.48 | pet |
| 边 | tiger | -0.08 | 0.31 | 0.56 | 0.07 | fluffy |
| - | elephant | -0.04 | -0.09 | 0.11 | -0.06 |  |
| 믄 | cheetah | 0.27 | -0.28 | -0.2 | -0.43 |  |
| 3 | monkey | -0.02 | -0.67 | -0.21 | -0.48 |  |
|  | rabbit | -0.04 | -0.3 | -0.18 | -0.47 |  |
|  | mouse | 0.09 | -0.46 | -0.35 | -0.24 |  |
|  | rat | 0.21 | -0.48 | -0.56 | -0.37 |  |




## Analogy: Embeddings capture relational meaning!

```
vector('king') - vector('man') + vector('woman') \approx vector('queen')
vector('Paris') - vector('France') + vector('Italy') \approx vector('Rome')
```




## Demo

- http://vectors.nlpl.eu/explore/embeddings/en/


## Track change of meaning of words




## Evolution of sentiment words

$\square$ Negative words change faster than positive words

## Bias

$\square$ Man is to computer programmer as woman is to homemaker.
$\square$ Different adjectives associated with:
$\square$ male and female terms
$\square$ typical black names and typical white names
$\square$ Embeddings may be used to study historical bias

## Debiasing (research topic)

$\square$ Goal: neutralize the biases
$\square$ Some positive results
$\square$ But also reports that is is not fully possible
$\square$ Is debiasing a goal?
$\square$ When should we (not) debias?

hitps://vagdevik.wordpress.com/2018/07/08/debiasing-word-embeddings/

## Evaluation of embeddings

$\square$ Extrinsic evaluation:

- Evaluate contribution as part of an application
$\square$ Intrinsic evaluation:
$\square$ Evaluate against a resource
$\square$ Some datasets
$\square$ WordSim-353:

| Word1 | Word2 | POS | Sim-score |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| old | new | A | 1.58 |
| smart | intelligent | A | 9.2 |
| plane | jet | N | 8.1 |
| woman | man | N | 3.33 |
| word | dictionary | N | 3.68 |
| create | build | V | 8.48 |
| get | put | V | 1.98 |
| keep | protect | V | 5.4 |

- Broader "semantic relatedness"
$\square$ SimLex-999:
- Narrower: similarity
- Manually annotated for similarity


## Part of SimLex-999

## Use of embeddings

$\square$ Embeddings are used as representations for words as input in all kinds of NLP tasks using deep learning:
$\square$ Text classification
$\square$ Language models
$\square$ Named-entity recognition
$\square$ Machine translation
$\square$ etc.

## Resources

$\square$ gensim
$\square$ Easy-to-use tool for training own models
$\square$ Word2wec

- https://code.google.com/archive/p/word2vec/
- https://fasttext.cc/
$\square$ https://nlp.stanford.edu/projects/glove/
$\square$ http://vectors.nlpl.eu/repository/
$\square$ Pretrained embeddings, also for Norwegian


## Today

$\square$ Neural networks
$\square$ Language models
$\square$ Word embeddings
$\square$ Word2vec
$\square$ Instead of counting, use a neural network to learn a LM
$\square$ Simplest form: a bigram model:
$\square$ For a given word $w_{i-1}$, try to predict the next word $w_{i}$
$\square$ i.e. try to estimate $P\left(w_{i} \mid w_{i-1}\right)$

## Model



Figure 16.5 The skip-gram model viewed as a network (Mikolov et al. 2013, Mikolov et al. 2013a).

## Model

$\square$ Input and output word are represented by sparse one-hot vectors
$\square$ Dim d typically 50-300
$\square$ Independent learning for each input word $w_{t}$ :
$\square$ Consider all possible next words for $w^{\prime}$ for this word
$\square$ Use softmax to get a probability distribution of all next words

## Embeddings from this

$\square$ Idea: Use the weight matrix $W_{|V| \times d}$ as embeddings, i.e.:
$\square$ Represent word $j$ by $\left(w_{j, 1}, w_{j, 2}, \ldots, w_{j, d}\right)=$ the weights that sends this word to the hidden layer
$\square$ Why? since similar words will predict more or less the same words, they will get similar embeddings
 et al. 2013a).

## Observations

$\square$ Since two words that are similar are predicted by the same words, there will also be similarities between similar words in $C_{d \times|V|}$
$\square$ This will help the training of $W_{|V| \times d}$
$\square$ We could alternatively use $C_{d \times|V|}$ as the embeddings
 et al. 2013a).
$\square$ We could generalize to predicting from a number of preceding words, e.g. 3, as indicated in the figure.
$\square$ Observe this is orderindependent
$\square$ Continuous bag of words model (CBOW):
$\square$ Predict $w_{t}$ from a window

$$
\left(w_{t-k}, \ldots, w_{t-1}, w_{t+1}, \ldots, w_{t+k}\right)
$$



## Skip-gram

$\square$ From $w_{t}$ predict all the words in a window

$$
\left(w_{t-k}, \ldots, w_{t-1}, w_{t+1}, \ldots, w_{t+k}\right)
$$

$\square$ Assume independence of the context words, i.e. from $w_{t}$ predict each of the words $w$ in $\left\{w_{t-k}, \ldots, w_{t-1}, w_{t+1}, \ldots, w_{t+k}\right\}$
$\square$ Boils down to similar to unigram model.


## Skip-gram model



Figure 16.5 The skip-gram model viewed as a network (Mikolov et al. 2013, Mikolov et al. 2013a).

## Skip-gram with negative sampling

$\square$ To train a skip gram model is expensive
$\square$ Soft-max $P\left(C_{j} \mid \vec{x}\right)=\frac{e^{\overrightarrow{w_{j}} \cdot \vec{x}}}{\sum_{i=1}^{k} e^{\overrightarrow{w_{i}} \cdot \vec{x}}}$
$\square$ where the classes corresponds to the next word
$\square$ i.e. in making an update for a pair $\left(w_{t}, w_{s}\right)$ one has to calculate the weighted expression $e^{\overrightarrow{w_{i}} \cdot \vec{x}}$ for each word in the vocabulary
$\square$ Looking for cheaper training methods

## Skip-gram with negative sampling

Treat the target word and a neighboring context word as a positive example.
2. Randomly sample other words in the lexicon to get negative samples
3. Use logistic regression to train a classifier to distinguish those two cases
4. Use the weights as the embeddings

## Skip-Gram Training Data

$\square$ Training sentence:
-... lemon,
a tablespoon of apricot jam a pinch ...
c1 c2 t c3 c4
$\square$ Training data: input/output pairs centering on apricot
$\square$ Asssume $a+/-2$ word window

## Skip-Gram Training Data

■ ... lemon, a tablespoon of apricot preserves or a ...
$\square$ c1 c2 t c3 c4
$\square$ For each positive example, we'll create $k$ negative examples.
$\square$ Using noise words: Any random word that isn't $t$


| negative examples - |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| t | c | t | c |
| apricot | aardvark | apricot | twelve |
| apricot | puddle | apricot | hello |
| apricot | where | apricot | dear |
| apricot | coaxial | apricot | forever |

## How to compute $p(+\mid t, c)$ ?

## Word2vec

$\square$ One of various ways to train the classifier to distinguish pos and neg words
$\square$ Intuition:
$\square$ Words are likely to appear near similar words
$\square$ Model similarity with dot-product!
$\square$ Similarity $(t, c) \sim t \cdot c$
$\square$ Problem:
$\square$ Dot product is not a probability!
$\square$ (Neither is cosine)

## Goal

$\square$ Given a tuple (target, context)
$\square$ (apricot, jam)
$\square$ (apricot, aardvark)
$\square$ Calculate the probabilities
$\square P(+\mid t, c)$
$\square P(-\mid t, c)=1-P(+\mid t, c)$
$\square$ Maximize

$$
\sum_{(t, c) \in+} \log P(+\mid t, c)+\sum_{(t, c) \in-} \log P(-\mid t, c)
$$

$\square$ where

$$
P(+\mid t, c)=\frac{1}{1+e^{-t \cdot c}}
$$



## Another view


$\square$ We feed a pair of words $(w, c)$ to distinct hidden embedding layers
$\square$ Compare to target ( 1 or 0 )
$\square$ Update weights
$\square$ We learn the set of embeddings $W$ and C

## Result

$\square$ We learn two separate embedding matrices W and C
$\square$ We can use W as representations for the words
$\square$ (or combine with C in some ways)
$\square$ What have we learned:

- If two words w1 and w2 occur in similar contexts - = with the same (or similar) context words, e.g. c,
$\square$ then both $w 1$ and $w 2$ should have a large cosine with $c$,
- hence have similar vectors.


## Use of embeddings

$\square$ Embeddings are used as representations for words as input in all kinds of NLP tasks using deep learning:
$\square$ Text classification
$\square$ Language models
$\square$ Named-entity recognition
$\square$ Machine translation
$\square$ etc.
$\square$ IN5550 Spring 2020

## Resources

$\square$ gensim
$\square$ Easy-to-use tool for training own models
$\square$ Word2wec

- https://code.google.com/archive/p/word2vec/
$\square$ https://fasttext.cc/
$\square$ https://nlp.stanford.edu/projects/glove/
$\square$ http://vectors.nlpl.eu/explore/embeddings/en/
$\square$ Pretrained embeddings, also for Norwegian


[^0]:    $\times 3$

