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## Sequence labeling

Task:

- Predict a label for each element of a sequence
- I.e. predict a label for each word of a sentence

Applications:

- Part-of-speech tagging
- Named entity recognition

Models:

- Naive Bayes (unigram model)
- Hidden Markov Model with greedy decoding
- Hidden Markov Model with Viterbi decoding


## Bigram Hidden Markov Models

## Training:

- For each $x, y$ in training data:
- Compute and store $P_{E}(x \mid y)=\frac{\operatorname{Count}(x, y)}{\operatorname{Count}(y)}$
- For each bigram $y_{i-1}, y_{i}$ in training data:

Same for greedy
and Viterbi decoding

- Compute and store $P_{T}\left(y_{i} \mid y_{i-1}\right)=\frac{\operatorname{Count}\left(y_{i-1}, y_{i}\right)}{\operatorname{Count}\left(y_{i-1}\right)}$


## Testing/Prediction:

- For each sentence in test data:

$$
\widehat{y_{1 \ldots n}}=\underset{y_{1 . n} \in Y^{*}}{\arg \max _{i}}\left(\prod_{i=1}^{n} P_{E}\left(x_{i} \mid y_{i}\right) \cdot P_{T}\left(y_{i} \mid y_{i-1}\right)\right)
$$

- That's one big computation for the whole sentence
- This computation is intractable - we need some tricks...


## Trick 1: Dynamic programming

## A lot of repetitions!

Assume 4 words, 2 tags $(A, B)$. That's $2^{4}=16$ computations, 112 operations:
-
-
-
-
-

| $P_{E}\left(x_{1} \mid y_{A}\right) \cdot P_{T}\left(y_{A} \mid y_{*}\right) \cdot \cdot P_{E}\left(x_{2} \mid y_{A}\right) \cdot P_{T}\left(y_{A} \mid y_{A}\right)$ | $P_{E}\left(x_{3} \mid y_{A}\right) \cdot P_{T}\left(y_{A} \mid y_{A}\right) \cdot \cdot P_{E}\left(x_{4} \mid y_{A}\right) \cdot P_{T}\left(y_{A} \mid y_{A}\right)$ |
| :---: | :---: |
| $P_{E}\left(x_{1} \mid y_{A}\right) \cdot P_{T}\left(y_{A} \mid y_{*}\right) \cdot P_{E}\left(x_{2} \mid y_{A}\right) \cdot P_{T}\left(y_{A} \mid y_{A}\right)$ | $P_{E}\left(x_{3} \mid y_{A}\right) \cdot P_{T}\left(y_{A} \mid y_{A}\right) \cdot P_{E}\left(x_{4} \mid y_{B}\right) \cdot P_{T}\left(y_{B} \mid y_{A}\right)$ |
| $P_{E}\left(x_{1} \mid y_{A}\right) \cdot P_{T}\left(y_{A} \mid y_{*}\right) \cdot P_{E}\left(x_{2} \mid y_{A}\right) \cdot P_{T}\left(y_{A} \mid y_{A}\right)$ | $P_{E}\left(x_{3} \mid y_{B}\right) \cdot P_{T}\left(y_{B} \mid y_{A}\right) \cdot \cdot P_{E}\left(x_{4} \mid y_{A}\right) \cdot P_{T}\left(y_{A} \mid y_{B}\right)$ |
| $P_{E}\left(x_{1} \mid y_{A}\right) \cdot P_{T}\left(y_{A} \mid y_{*}\right) \cdot P_{E}\left(x_{2} \mid y_{A}\right) \cdot P_{T}\left(y_{A} \mid y_{A}\right)$ | $P_{E}\left(x_{3} \mid y_{B}\right) \cdot P_{T}\left(y_{B} \mid y_{A}\right) \cdot P_{E}\left(x_{4} \mid y_{B}\right) \cdot P_{T}\left(y_{B} \mid y_{B}\right)$ |
| $P_{E}\left(x_{1} \mid y_{A}\right) \cdot P_{T}\left(y_{A} \mid y_{*}\right) \cdot P_{E}\left(x_{2} \mid y_{B}\right) \cdot P_{T}\left(y_{B} \mid y_{A}\right)$ | $P_{E}\left(x_{3} \mid y_{A}\right) \cdot P_{T}\left(y_{A} \mid y_{B}\right) \cdot P_{E}\left(x_{4} \mid y_{A}\right) \cdot P_{T}\left(y_{A} \mid y_{A}\right)$ |
| $P_{E}\left(x_{1} \mid y_{A}\right) \cdot P_{T}\left(y_{A} \mid y_{*}\right) \cdot P_{E}\left(x_{2} \mid y_{B}\right) \cdot P_{T}\left(y_{B} \mid y_{A}\right)$ | $P_{E}\left(x_{3} \mid y_{A}\right) \cdot P_{T}\left(y_{A} \mid y_{B}\right) \cdot P_{E}\left(x_{4} \mid y_{B}\right) \cdot P_{T}\left(y_{B} \mid y_{A}\right)$ |
| $P_{E}\left(x_{1} \mid y_{A}\right) \cdot P_{T}\left(y_{A} \mid y_{*}\right) \cdot P_{E}\left(x_{2} \mid y_{B}\right) \cdot P_{T}\left(y_{B} \mid y_{A}\right) \cdot$ | $P_{E}\left(x_{3} \mid y_{B}\right) \cdot P_{T}\left(y_{B} \mid y_{B}\right) \cdot P_{E}\left(x_{4} \mid y_{A}\right) \cdot P_{T}\left(y_{A} \mid y_{B}\right)$ |
| $P_{E}\left(x_{1} \mid y_{A}\right) \cdot P_{T}\left(y_{A} \mid y_{*}\right) \cdot P_{E}\left(x_{2} \mid y_{B}\right) \cdot P_{T}\left(y_{B} \mid y_{A}\right)$ | $P_{E}\left(x_{3} \mid y_{B}\right) \cdot P_{T}\left(y_{B} \mid y_{B}\right) \cdot P_{E}\left(x_{4} \mid y_{B}\right) \cdot P_{T}\left(y_{B} \mid y_{B}\right)$ |
| $P_{E}\left(x_{1} \mid y_{B}\right) \cdot P_{T}\left(y_{B} \mid y_{*}\right) \cdot P_{E}\left(x_{2} \mid y_{A}\right) \cdot P_{T}\left(y_{A} \mid y_{B}\right)$ | $P_{E}\left(x_{3} \mid y_{A}\right) \cdot P_{T}\left(y_{A} \mid y_{A}\right) \cdot P_{E}\left(x_{4} \mid y_{A}\right) \cdot P_{T}\left(y_{A} \mid y_{A}\right)$ |
| $P_{E}\left(x_{1} \mid y_{B}\right) \cdot P_{T}\left(y_{B} \mid y_{*}\right) \cdot P_{E}\left(x_{2} \mid y_{A}\right) \cdot P_{T}\left(y_{A} \mid y_{B}\right)$ | $P_{E}\left(x_{3} \mid y_{A}\right) \cdot P_{T}\left(y_{A} \mid y_{A}\right) \cdot P_{E}\left(x_{4} \mid y_{B}\right) \cdot P_{T}\left(y_{B} \mid y_{A}\right)$ |
| $P_{E}\left(x_{1} \mid y_{B}\right) \cdot P_{T}\left(y_{B} \mid y_{*}\right) \cdot P_{E}\left(x_{2} \mid y_{A}\right) \cdot P_{T}\left(y_{A} \mid y_{B}\right)$ | $P_{E}\left(x_{3} \mid y_{B}\right) \cdot P_{T}\left(y_{B} \mid y_{A}\right) \cdot P_{E}\left(x_{4} \mid y_{A}\right) \cdot P_{T}\left(y_{A} \mid y_{B}\right)$ |
| $P_{E}\left(x_{1} \mid y_{B}\right) \cdot P_{T}\left(y_{B} \mid y_{*}\right) \cdot P_{E}\left(x_{2} \mid y_{A}\right) \cdot P_{T}\left(y_{A} \mid y_{B}\right)$ | $P_{E}\left(x_{3} \mid y_{B}\right) \cdot P_{T}\left(y_{B} \mid y_{A}\right) \cdot P_{E}\left(x_{4} \mid y_{B}\right) \cdot P_{T}\left(y_{B} \mid y_{B}\right)$ |

- $P_{E}\left(x_{1} \mid y_{B}\right) \cdot P_{T}\left(y_{B} \mid y_{*}\right) \cdot P_{E}\left(x_{2} \mid y_{B}\right) \cdot P_{T}\left(y_{B} \mid y_{B}\right) \cdot P_{E}\left(x_{3} \mid y_{A}\right) \cdot P_{T}\left(y_{A} \mid y_{B}\right) \cdot P_{E}\left(x_{4} \mid y_{A}\right) \cdot P_{T}\left(y_{A} \mid y_{A}\right)$
- 
- 
- 

$P_{E}\left(x_{1} \mid y_{B}\right) \cdot P_{T}\left(y_{B} \mid y_{*}\right) \cdot P_{E}\left(x_{2} \mid y_{B}\right) \cdot P_{T}\left(y_{B} \mid y_{B}\right) \cdot P_{E}\left(x_{3} \mid y_{B}\right) \cdot P_{T}\left(y_{B} \mid y_{B}\right) \cdot P_{E}\left(x_{4} \mid y_{B}\right) \cdot P_{T}\left(y_{B} \mid y_{B}\right)$

## Trick 1: Dynamic programming

Let's proceed one position at a time and save the intermediate results:

- $P_{E}\left(x_{1} \mid y_{A}\right) \cdot P_{T}\left(y_{A} \mid y_{*}\right)=\pi_{A}$
- $P_{E}\left(x_{1} \mid y_{B}\right) \cdot P_{T}\left(y_{B} \mid y_{*}\right)=\pi_{B}$
- $\pi_{A} \cdot P_{E}\left(x_{2} \mid y_{A}\right) \cdot P_{T}\left(y_{A} \mid y_{A}\right)=\pi_{A A}$
- $\pi_{A} \cdot P_{E}\left(x_{2} \mid y_{B}\right) \cdot P_{T}\left(y_{B} \mid y_{A}\right)=\pi_{A B}$
- $\pi_{B} \cdot P_{E}\left(x_{2} \mid y_{A}\right) \cdot P_{T}\left(y_{A} \mid y_{B}\right)=\pi_{B A}$
- $\pi_{B} \cdot P_{E}\left(x_{2} \mid y_{B}\right) \cdot P_{T}\left(y_{B} \mid y_{B}\right)=\pi_{B B}$
- $\pi_{A A} \cdot P_{E}\left(x_{3} \mid y_{A}\right) \cdot P_{T}\left(y_{A} \mid y_{A}\right)=\pi_{A A A}$
- ...


## Example

Test sentence: fish dogs like cats

| fish | fish dogs | fish dogs like | fish dogs like cats |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2 sequences: | 4 sequences: | 8 sequences: | 16 sequences: |
| * N | * N N | * N N N | * N N N * V N N N |
| * V | * N V | * N N V | * N N V V * V N V |
|  | * V N | * N V N | * N N V N * V N V N |
|  | * V V | * N V V | * N N V V * V N V V |
|  |  | * V N N | * N V N N * V V N |
|  |  | * V N V | * N V N V * V V N V |
|  |  | * V V N | * N V V N * V V V N |
|  |  | * V V V | * N V V V * V V V V |

## Example

Test sentence: fish dogs like cats

| fish | fish dogs | fish dogs like | fish dogs like cats |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2 sequences: | 4 sequences: | 8 sequences: | 16 sequences: |  |
| * N | * N N | * N N N | * N N N N | * V N N |
| * V | * N V | * N N V | * N N V | * V N N V |
|  | * V N | * $\mathrm{N} V \mathrm{~N}$ | * N N V N | * V N V N |
|  | * V V | * N V V | * N N V V | * V N V V |
|  |  | * V N N | * N V N N | * V V N N |
|  |  | * V N V | * N V N V | * V V N V |
|  |  | * V V N | * N V V N | * V V V N |
|  |  | * V V V | * N V V V | * V V V V |

## Example

Test sentence: fish dogs like cats

| fish | fish dogs | fish dogs like | fish dogs like cats |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2 sequences: | 4 sequences: | 8 sequences: | 16 sequences: |
| * N | * N N | * N N N | * N N N N * N N N |
| * V | * N V | * N N V | * N N V - V N NV |
|  | * V N | * N V N | * $\mathrm{N} N \vee \mathrm{~N} \quad$ * $V \mathrm{NV}$ N |
|  | * V V | * N V V | * N N V V ${ }^{*} \mathrm{~V}$ N V V |
|  |  | * V N N | ${ }^{*} \mathrm{~N} V \mathrm{~N}$ N ${ }^{*} \mathrm{~V} V \mathrm{~N}$ |
|  |  | * V N V | * N V N V * V V N V |
|  |  | * V V N | ${ }^{*} \mathrm{NV} V \mathrm{~N}$ N ${ }^{*} \mathrm{~V} V \vee \mathrm{~N}$ |
|  |  | * V V V | * $\mathrm{N} V \mathrm{~V}$ V * $V$ V V V |

## Example

fish/N $\quad 1 \cdot \frac{5}{6} \cdot \frac{3}{13}=\frac{5}{26}$
fish/V $\quad 1 \cdot \frac{1}{6} \cdot \frac{2}{10}=\frac{1}{30}$
fish $/ N$ dogs $/ N \quad \frac{5}{26} \cdot \frac{1}{6} \cdot \frac{3}{13}=\frac{5}{676}$
fish/ $N$ dogs $/ V \quad \frac{5}{26} \cdot \frac{5}{6} \cdot \frac{1}{10}=\frac{5}{312}$
fish $/ V$ dogs $/ N \quad \frac{1}{30} \cdot \frac{4}{5} \cdot \frac{3}{13}=\frac{2}{325}$
fish $/ \mathrm{V}$ dogs $/ \mathrm{V} \quad \frac{1}{30} \cdot \frac{1}{5} \cdot \frac{1}{10}=\frac{1}{1500}$
fish/ N dogs/ N like/ $\mathrm{N} \quad \frac{5}{676} \cdot \frac{1}{6} \cdot \frac{1}{13}=\frac{5}{52728}$
fish/ $N$ dogs/ $N$ like/ $\mathrm{V} \quad \frac{5}{676} \cdot \frac{5}{6} \cdot \frac{2}{10}=\frac{5}{4056}$
fish/ $N$ dogs/ $/$ like/ $N \quad \frac{5}{312} \cdot \frac{4}{5} \cdot \frac{1}{13}=\frac{1}{1014}$
fish/N dogs/V like/V $\quad \frac{5}{312} \cdot \frac{1}{5} \cdot \frac{2}{10}=\frac{1}{1560}$
fish/V dogs/N like/N $\quad \frac{2}{325} \cdot \frac{1}{6} \cdot \frac{1}{13}=\frac{1}{12675}$
fish $/ \mathrm{V}$ dogs $/ \mathrm{N}$ like $/ \mathrm{V} \quad \frac{2}{325} \cdot \frac{5}{6} \cdot \frac{2}{10}=\frac{1}{975}$
fish/ $/$ dogs $/ V$ like/N $\quad \frac{1}{1500} \cdot \frac{4}{5} \cdot \frac{1}{13}=\frac{1}{24375}$
fish/ $/$ dogs $/ V$ like $/ V \quad \frac{1}{1500} \cdot \frac{1}{5} \cdot \frac{2}{10}=\frac{1}{37500}$

## Trick 2: The Markov assumption

Ultimately, we are interested in the sequence with the maximum probability. We can identify uninteresting paths and skip them.

- $P_{E}\left(x_{1} \mid y_{A}\right) \cdot P_{T}\left(y_{A} \mid y_{*}\right)=\pi_{A}$
- $P_{E}\left(x_{1} \mid y_{B}\right) \cdot P_{T}\left(y_{B} \mid y_{*}\right)=\pi_{B}$
- $\pi_{A} \cdot P_{E}\left(x_{2} \mid y_{A}\right) \cdot P_{T}\left(y_{A} \mid y_{A}\right)=\pi_{A A}$
- $\pi_{A} \cdot P_{E}\left(x_{2} \mid y_{B}\right) \cdot P_{T}\left(y_{B} \mid y_{A}\right)=\pi_{A B}$
- $\pi_{B} \cdot P_{E}\left(x_{2} \mid y_{A}\right) \cdot P_{T}\left(y_{A} \mid y_{B}\right)=\pi_{B A}$
- $\pi_{B} \cdot P_{E}\left(x_{2} \mid y_{B}\right) \cdot P_{T}\left(y_{B} \mid y_{B}\right)=\pi_{B B}$
- $\pi_{A A} \cdot P_{E}\left(x_{3} \mid y_{A}\right) \cdot P_{T}\left(y_{A} \mid y_{A}\right)-\pi_{A A A A}$
- $\pi_{B A} \cdot P_{E}\left(x_{3} \mid y_{A}\right) \cdot P_{T}\left(y_{A} \mid y_{A}\right)=\pi_{B A A}$

If $\pi_{A A}<\pi_{B A}$, then
$\pi_{A A i}<\pi_{B A i}$ for any $i$.

We can skip all computations starting with $\pi_{A A}$.

## Trick 2: The Markov assumption

The prediction formula only depends on the previous label, not on a\|! labels back to $y_{0}$ :

$$
P\left(x_{1 . n}, \widehat{y_{1 . n}}\right)=\max _{y_{1 . n} \in Y^{*}} \prod_{i=1}\left(P_{E}\left(x_{i} \mid y_{i}\right) \cdot P_{T}\left(y_{i} \mid y_{i-1}\right)\right)
$$

This is called the (bigram) Markov assumption.

- At each position, we have to consider each label and each path from a previous label.
- But there is only one best path towards that previous label.
- The number of paths to consider does not grow exponentially, but remains at $|Y|^{2}$ at each position.


## Trick 2: The Markov assumption

Ultimately, we are interested in the sequence with the maximum probability. We can identify uninteresting paths and skip them.

- $P_{E}\left(x_{1} \mid y_{A}\right) \cdot P_{T}\left(y_{A} \mid y_{*}\right)=\pi_{A}$
- $P_{E}\left(x_{1} \mid y_{B}\right) \cdot P_{T}\left(y_{B} \mid y_{*}\right)=\pi_{B}$
- $\pi_{A} \cdot P_{E}\left(x_{2} \mid y_{A}\right) \cdot P_{T}\left(y_{A} \mid y_{A}\right)=\pi_{A A}$
- $\pi_{A} \cdot P_{E}\left(x_{2} \mid y_{B}\right) \cdot P_{T}\left(y_{B} \mid y_{A}\right)=\pi_{A B}$
- $\pi_{B} \cdot P_{E}\left(x_{2} \mid y_{A}\right) \cdot P_{T}\left(y_{A} \mid y_{B}\right)=\pi_{B A}$
- $\pi_{B} \cdot P_{E}\left(x_{2} \mid y_{B}\right) \cdot P_{T}\left(y_{B} \mid y_{B}\right)=\pi_{B B}$
- $\pi_{A A} \cdot P_{E}\left(x_{3} \mid y_{A}\right) \cdot P_{T}\left(y_{A} \mid y_{A}\right)-\pi_{A A A}$
- $\pi_{B A} \cdot P_{E}\left(x_{3} \mid y_{A}\right) \cdot P_{T}\left(y_{A} \mid y_{A}\right)=\pi_{B A A}$


## Example

## Test sentence: fish dogs like cats



## Example

## Test sentence: fish dogs like cats

| fish | fish dogs | fish dogs like | fish dogs like cats |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\begin{aligned} & 2 \text { sequences: } \\ & * N \\ & * V \end{aligned}$ | 4 sequences: <br> * N N <br> * N V <br> * V N <br> * V V |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |
|  |  | * N V V | * N N V V V N V V |
|  |  | * V N N | $V N V)=$ |
|  |  | $\stackrel{* N W}{ }$ | 6. $P_{T}(N \rightarrow V) \cdot P_{E}(V \rightarrow$ like $) \mathrm{V}$ |
|  |  | * V V N | * $\mathrm{N} V \mathrm{~V}$ N * V V V N |
|  |  | * V V V | * $\mathrm{N} V \mathrm{~V}$ V * V V V V |

## Example

Test sentence: fish dogs like cats


## Example

## Test sentence: fish dogs like cats

| fish | fish dogs | fish dogs like | fish dogs like cats |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2 sequences: <br> * N <br> * V $P(* N N$ $P(* N N$ | 4 sequences: <br> * N N <br> * N V <br> * V N <br> * V V $<P(* N V N)$ <br> $>P(* N V V)$ | 4 sequences: <br> * N N N <br> * N N V <br> * N V N <br> * N V V | 4 sequences: |

## 

| Setup |  | Max computations | Multiplications |
| :--- | :--- | :---: | :---: |
| $m$ words, $n$ labels | Brute force | $m^{n}$ | $m^{n} \cdot 2 \cdot m$ |
|  | Viterbi | $m \cdot n^{2}$ | $m \cdot n^{2} \cdot 2$ |
| 4 words, 2 labels | Brute force | $2^{4}=16$ | $16 \cdot 2 \cdot 4=122$ |
|  | Viterbi | $4 \cdot\left(2^{2}\right)=16$ | $16 \cdot 2=32$ |
| 5 words, 2 labels | Brute force | $2^{5}=32$ | $32 \cdot 2 \cdot 5=320$ |
|  | Viterbi | $5 \cdot\left(2^{2}\right)=20$ | $20 \cdot 2=40$ |
| 6 words, 2 labels | Brute force | $2^{6}=64$ | $64 \cdot 2 \cdot 6=768$ |
|  | Viterbi | $6 \cdot\left(2^{2}\right)=24$ | $24 \cdot 2=48$ |
| 20 words, 17 labels | Brute force | $17^{20}=4 e 24$ | $4 e 24 \cdot 2 \cdot 17$ |
|  |  |  | $=1.4 e 26$ |
|  | Viterbi | $20 \cdot\left(17^{2}\right)=5780$ | $5780 \cdot 2=11560$ |

## The Viterbi algorithm

- Use a table $\pi\left[k, y_{k}\right]$ to store computations
- Contains the maximum probability of the sequence sequence $x_{1} \ldots x_{k}$ ending in tag $y_{k}$
- Initialization:
- $\pi[0, *]=1$
- Recursive definition:
- Fill $\pi$ for all positions $k \in\{1 \ldots n\}$ and all labels:
- $\pi\left[k, y_{k}\right]=\max _{y_{k-1} \in Y}\left(\pi\left[k-1, y_{k-1}\right] \cdot P_{T}\left(y_{k} \mid y_{k-1}\right) \cdot P_{E}\left(x_{k} \mid y_{k}\right)\right)$


## The Viterbi algorithm

Input:

- sequence $X=x_{1} \ldots x_{n}$, label set $Y$, parameters $P_{E}, P_{T}$ Initialization:
- $\pi[0, *]=1$

Algorithm:

- for each $k=1 \ldots n$ : (columns / positions)
- for each $y_{k} \in Y$ : (rows / labels)
- $\pi\left[k, y_{k}\right]=\max _{y_{k-1} \in Y}\left(\pi\left[k-1, y_{k-1}\right] \cdot P_{T}\left(y_{k} \mid y_{k-1}\right) \cdot P_{E}\left(x_{k} \mid y_{k}\right)\right)$
- $p=\max _{y_{n}}\left(\pi\left[n, y_{n}\right]\right)$
$y_{n}$
- return $p$


## Example

Test sentence: fish dogs like cats

## Computations:

|  | fish | dogs | like | cats |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| N | $1 \cdot \frac{5}{6} \cdot \frac{3}{13}=\frac{5}{26}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \frac{5}{26} \cdot \frac{1}{6} \cdot \frac{3}{13}=\frac{5}{676} \\ & \frac{1}{30} \cdot \frac{4}{5} \cdot \frac{3}{13}=\frac{2}{325} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \frac{5}{676} \cdot \frac{1}{6} \cdot \frac{1}{13}=\frac{5}{52728} \\ & \frac{5}{312} \cdot \frac{4}{5} \cdot \frac{1}{13}=\frac{1}{\mathbf{1 0 1 4}} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \frac{1}{1014} \cdot \frac{1}{6} \cdot \frac{3}{13}=\frac{1}{26364} \\ & \frac{5}{4056} \cdot \frac{4}{5} \cdot \frac{3}{13}=\frac{1}{4394} \end{aligned}$ |
| V | $1 \cdot \frac{1}{6} \cdot \frac{2}{10}=\frac{\mathbf{1}}{\mathbf{3 0}}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \frac{5}{26} \cdot \frac{5}{6} \cdot \frac{1}{10}=\frac{5}{312} \\ & \frac{1}{30} \cdot \frac{1}{5} \cdot \frac{1}{10}=\frac{1}{1500} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \frac{5}{676} \cdot \frac{5}{6} \cdot \frac{2}{10}=\frac{5}{4056} \\ & \frac{5}{312} \cdot \frac{1}{5} \cdot \frac{2}{10}=\frac{1}{1560} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \frac{1}{1014} \cdot \frac{5}{6} \cdot \frac{1}{10}=\frac{\mathbf{1}}{\mathbf{1 2 1 6 8}} \\ & \frac{5}{4056} \cdot \frac{1}{5} \cdot \frac{1}{10}=\frac{1}{40560} \end{aligned}$ |

## Backpointers

- The given algorithm just gives the final probability.
- In most cases, we are not so much interested in the probability, but rather in the actual labels predicted at each step.
- Solution: Identify the path through the table and trace backwards to the beginning.
- Implementation: Use a second table to store these backpointers


## Example

Test sentence: fish dogs like cats

## Computations:

|  | fish | dogs | like | cats |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| N | $1 \cdot \frac{5}{6} \cdot \frac{3}{13}=\frac{5}{26}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \frac{5}{26} \cdot \frac{1}{6} \cdot \frac{3}{13}=\frac{5}{676} \\ & \frac{1}{30} \cdot \frac{4}{5} \cdot \frac{3}{13}=\frac{2}{325} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \frac{5}{676} \cdot \frac{1}{6} \cdot \frac{1}{13}=\frac{5}{52728} \\ & \frac{5}{312} \cdot \frac{4}{5} \cdot \frac{1}{13}=\frac{\mathbf{1}}{\mathbf{1 0 1 4}} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \frac{1}{1014} \cdot \frac{1}{6} \cdot \frac{3}{13}=\frac{1}{26364} \\ & \frac{5}{4056} \cdot \frac{4}{5} \cdot \frac{3}{13}=\frac{1}{\mathbf{4 3 9 4}} \end{aligned}$ |
| V | $1 \cdot \frac{1}{6} \cdot \frac{2}{10}=\frac{1}{30}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \frac{5}{26} \cdot \frac{5}{6} \cdot \frac{1}{10}=\frac{5}{312} \\ & \frac{1}{30} \cdot \frac{1}{5} \cdot \frac{1}{10}=\frac{1}{1500} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \frac{5}{676} \cdot \frac{5}{6} \cdot \frac{2}{10}=\frac{\mathbf{5}}{\mathbf{4 0 5 6}} \\ & \frac{5}{312} \cdot \frac{1}{5} \cdot \frac{2}{10}=\frac{1}{1560} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \frac{1}{1014} \cdot \frac{5}{6} \cdot \frac{1}{10}=\frac{\mathbf{1}}{\mathbf{1 2 1 6 8}} \\ & \frac{5}{4056} \cdot \frac{1}{5} \cdot \frac{1}{10}=\frac{1}{40560} \end{aligned}$ |
|  | N | N | V | N 23 |

## PSeUdo-code

- $\pi[0, *]=1$
- for each $k=1 \ldots n$ :
- for each $y_{k} \in Y$ :

Store both the max and the argmax.

- $\pi\left[k, y_{k}\right]=\max _{y_{k-1} \in Y}\left(\pi\left[k-1, y_{k-1}\right] \cdot P_{T}\left(y_{k} \mid y_{k-1}\right) \cdot P_{E}\left(x_{k} \mid y_{k}\right)\right)$
- $b p\left[k, y_{k}\right]=\underset{y_{k-1} \in Y}{\arg \max }\left(\pi\left[k-1, y_{k-1}\right] \cdot P_{T}\left(y_{k} \mid y_{k-1}\right) \cdot P_{E}\left(x_{k} \mid y_{k}\right)\right)$
- $p=\max _{y_{n} \in Y}\left(\pi\left[n, y_{n}\right] \cdot P_{T}\left(\dagger \mid y_{n}\right)\right)$
- labels $[n]=\underset{y_{n} \in Y}{\arg \max }\left(\pi\left[k, y_{n}\right] \cdot P_{T}\left(\dagger \mid y_{n}\right)\right)$
- for each $k=n-1$... 1 :

As for the start of the sentence, one may include an additional transition probability $P_{T}\left(\dagger \mid y_{n}\right)$ at the end of the sentence.

- labels $[k]=b p[k+1$, labels $[k+1]]$
- return labels

Recover the labels from the end to the beginning.

## Different ways to look at the context

Option 1: Look at the neighboring words:

$$
\widehat{y_{i}}=\underset{y_{i} \in Y}{\arg \max } P\left(y_{i} \mid x_{i-1}, x_{i}, x_{i+1}\right)
$$

- Perceptron, logistic regression

Let's look at this option next!

Option 2: Look at the previous tag decisions:

$$
\widehat{y_{i}}=\underset{y_{i} \in Y}{\arg \max } P\left(y_{i} \mid x_{i}, y_{i-1}\right)
$$

- HMM

Option 3: Combine the two:

$$
\widehat{y_{i}}=\underset{y_{i} \in Y}{\arg \max } P\left(y_{i} \mid x_{i-1}, x_{i}, x_{i+1}, y_{i-1}\right)
$$

- Structured perceptron, CRF, MEMM


## Predicting one label per word

Naive Bayes prediction function:

$$
\hat{y}=\underset{y \in Y}{\arg \max }(P(y) \cdot P(x \mid y))
$$

- $P(x \mid y)$ is a single value
(no bag-of-words decomposition)
Perceptron prediction function:

$$
\hat{y}=\underset{y \in Y}{\arg \max }\left(\boldsymbol{w}_{\boldsymbol{y}} \cdot \boldsymbol{f}_{\boldsymbol{x}}\right)
$$

- $\boldsymbol{f}_{x}$ represents a single word and is (typically) a one-hot vector:

O이이이기이이이이잉
the word $x$ is love the word $x$ is I the word $x$ is fish

## Context word features

$$
f_{x}=f_{x_{i-1}} \oplus f_{x_{i}} \oplus f_{x_{i+1}}
$$



Example: love in the context I_fish

## Context word features

$$
f_{x}=f_{x_{i-1}} \oplus f_{x_{i}} \oplus f_{x_{i+1}}
$$

Using fixed-length pretrained word embeddings:

Using additional sentence-level features:


## Features for unknown words

- $x_{i}$ contains a particular prefix
- $x_{i}$ contains a particular suffix
- $x_{i}$ contains a number
- $x_{i}$ contains an upper-case letter
- $x_{i}$ contains a hyphen
- $x_{i}$ is all upper case
- $x_{i}$ is upper case and has a digit and a dash -...


## Discriminative classifiers with context word features

Perceptron prediction function:

$$
\hat{y}=\underset{y \in Y}{\arg \max }\left(\boldsymbol{w}_{\boldsymbol{y}} \cdot \boldsymbol{f}_{\boldsymbol{x}}\right)
$$

- The feature vectors can be arbitrarily complex
- Training and prediction exactly the same as for document classification

Logistic regression:

- The feature vectors can be arbitrarily complex
- Training and prediction exactly the same as for document classification


## Different ways to look at the context

Option 1: Look at the neighboring words:

$$
\widehat{y_{i}}=\underset{y_{i} \in Y}{\arg \max } P\left(y_{i} \mid x_{i-1}, x_{i}, x_{i+1}\right)
$$

- Perceptron, logistic regression

Option 2: Look at the previous tag decisions:

$$
\widehat{y_{i}}=\underset{y_{i} \in Y}{\arg \max } P\left(y_{i} \mid x_{i}, y_{i-1}\right)
$$

- HMM

Option 3: Combine the two: option next!

$$
\widehat{y_{i}}=\underset{y_{i} \in Y}{\arg \max } P\left(y_{i} \mid x_{i-1}, x_{i}, x_{i+1}, y_{i-1}\right)
$$

- Structured perceptron, CRF, MEMM


## Structured perceptron

(Greedy) HMM:

$$
\widehat{y_{i}}=\underset{y_{i} \in Y}{\arg \max }\left(P_{T}\left(y_{i} \mid y_{i-1}\right) \cdot P_{E}\left(x_{i} \mid y_{i}\right)\right)
$$

- Contains transition and emission probabilities
(Greedy) structured perceptron:
$\widehat{y_{i}}=\arg \max \left(w_{T}\left(y_{i}\right) \cdot \boldsymbol{f}_{T}\left(y_{i-1}\right)+w_{E}\left(y_{i}\right) \cdot \boldsymbol{f}_{E}\left(x_{i}\right)\right)$ $y_{i} \in Y$
- Contains transition and emission features
- Emission features can be arbitrarily complex
- Transition features: one-hot vector with $|Y|$ items



## Transition features

- We don't actually need to build an explicit transition feature vector
- The transition weights can be thought of as a $Y \times Y$ matrix (as in HMMs): $\boldsymbol{w}_{T}\left(y_{i}, y_{i-1}\right)$
- The transition feature just selects one column of this matrix.

$$
\widehat{y_{i}}=\underset{y_{i} \in Y}{\arg \max }\left(w_{T}\left(y_{i}, y_{i-1}\right)+w_{E}\left(y_{i}\right) \cdot \boldsymbol{f}_{E}\left(x_{i}\right)\right)
$$

## Context and transition features


-Why / when can transition features be useful?

## Structured perceptron Decoding strategies

Greedy decoding:

$$
S\left(x_{1 . . n}, \widehat{y_{1 . n}}\right)=\sum_{i=1}^{n} \max _{y_{i} \in Y}\left(w_{T}\left(y_{i}, y_{i-1}\right)+w_{E}\left(y_{i}\right) \cdot f_{E}\left(x_{i}\right)\right)
$$

Exact (Viterbi) decoding:
$S\left(x_{1 . n}, \widehat{y_{1 . n}}\right)=\max _{y_{1 . n} \in Y^{n}}\left(\sum_{i=1}^{n}\left(w_{T}\left(y_{i}, y_{i-1}\right)+w_{E}\left(y_{i}\right) \cdot f_{E}\left(x_{i}\right)\right)\right)$

- Essentially identical to Viterbi for HMMs
- The main operations are sums, so the base case is 0 , not 1


## Structured perceptron Training

In a perceptron, training involves prediction:

- Take one training instance $x_{1 \ldots n}$
- Predict the label sequence $\widehat{\boldsymbol{y}_{1 \ldots n}}$ using the current weight vectors
- For each position 1 ... $n$ :
- If the prediction is correct, nothing happens
- If the prediction is wrong, modify the parameters of the model:
- add the feature values to the weight vectors of the correct label
- subtract the feature values from the weight vectors of the predicted (wrong) label
- Continue "until tired"


## Structured perceptron Training

procedure train_structured_perceptron (D):
initialize $w_{E}$ and $w_{T}$
repeat:
for each sentence x with label sequence y in D :
y_hat = predict_viterbi(x, w)
for i in len(y):
if $\mathrm{y}_{\text {_hat }}!=\mathrm{y}_{\mathrm{i}}: \square$ Update emission weights

$$
w_{E}\left(y_{i}\right)+=f(x, i)
$$

$$
w_{E}\left(y_{\text {_hat }}\right)-\mathrm{f}(\mathrm{x}, \mathrm{i})
$$

if $y_{-}$hat $_{i}!=y_{i}$ or $y_{-}$hat $_{\mathrm{i}-1}!=y_{i-1} \quad$ Update transition weights
$w_{T}\left(y_{i}, y_{i-1}\right)+=1$
$w_{T}\left(y_{-}\right.$hat $_{i}, y_{-}$hat $\left._{i-1}\right)$-= 1
end if
end for
end for
until stopping condition met
return $w_{E}, w_{T}$

## Machine learning models

| Classification models | Sequence labeling models |
| :--- | :--- |
| Naive Bayes | Hidden Markov model (HMM) |
| Perceptron | Structured perceptron |
| Logistic regression <br> (= Maximum entropy classifier) | Maximum entropy Markov model (MEMM) <br> Conditional random field (CRF) |

## Sequence labeling logistic regression models

Structured perceptron prediction formula:

$$
S\left(x_{1 . . n}, \widehat{y_{1 . n}}\right)=\max _{y_{1 . n} \in Y^{n}}\left(\sum_{i=1}^{n}\left(w_{T}\left(y_{i}, y_{i-1}\right)+w_{E}\left(y_{i}\right) \cdot f_{E}\left(x_{i}\right)\right)\right)
$$

Apply softmax (exponentiation and normalization):

$$
P\left(\overline{y_{1} \ldots n} \mid x_{1 \ldots n}\right)=\max _{y_{1 \ldots n} \in \mathbb{N}}\left(\frac{\exp \left(\sum_{i=1}^{n+1}\left(\boldsymbol{w}_{T}\left(y_{i}, y_{i-1}\right)+\boldsymbol{w}_{E}\left(y_{i}\right) \cdot \boldsymbol{f}_{E}(\boldsymbol{x}, i)\right)\right)}{Z\left(\boldsymbol{w}_{E}, \boldsymbol{w}_{T}, \boldsymbol{x}\right)}\right)
$$

- where $Z\left(\boldsymbol{w}_{E}, \boldsymbol{w}_{T}, \boldsymbol{x}\right)$ is called the partition function:

$$
Z\left(\boldsymbol{w}_{E}, \boldsymbol{w}_{T}, \boldsymbol{x}\right)=\sum_{z_{1} . . n Y^{n}} \exp \left(\sum_{i=1}^{n+1}\left(\boldsymbol{w}_{T}\left(z_{i}, z_{i-1}\right)+\boldsymbol{w}_{E}\left(z_{i}\right) \cdot \boldsymbol{f}_{E}(\boldsymbol{x}, i)\right)\right)
$$

This model is called conditional random field (CRF).

## Conditional random fields

- What do the features look like?
- The same as for the structured perceptron
- How are the weight vectors trained/estimated?
- Stochastic gradient descent
- How is the prediction function implemented?
- Viterbi algorithm
- We need to deal with the denominator


## CRF prediction

If we are only interested in the argmax:

- we can get rid of the denominator
- we can get rid of the exponentiation
- the prediction function becomes identical to the structured perceptron one.
But sometimes we are interested in the probabilities:
- for training (update)
- for model analysis
- for semi-supervised learning.


## CRF training

Assume a training set of $m$ examples. Each $x_{j}$ is a sequence of words, each $y_{j}$ is a sequence of labels.

We want to find the parameters $\boldsymbol{w}_{E}$ and $\boldsymbol{w}_{T}$ (subsumed under $\boldsymbol{w}$ ) that maximize the likelihood of the data.

$$
P(\boldsymbol{w})=\prod_{j=1}^{m} P\left(y_{j} \mid x_{j} ; \boldsymbol{w}\right)
$$

Or equivalently: we want to find the parameters $\boldsymbol{w}_{E}$ and $\boldsymbol{w}_{T}$ that minimize the negative log-likelihood loss.

$$
\mathcal{L}(\boldsymbol{w})=-\sum_{j=1}^{m} \log P\left(y_{j} \mid x_{j} ; \boldsymbol{w}\right)
$$

## Stochastic gradient descent

Instead of taking the sum over all training examples, choose one example at a time and update the weights.

- Initialize $\boldsymbol{w}_{E}$ and $\boldsymbol{w}_{T}$
- Randomly choose an example $\boldsymbol{x}_{\boldsymbol{j}}$ with labels $\boldsymbol{y}_{\boldsymbol{j}}$
- Compute partial derivatives of the loss to get the update function
- This update function will contain $P\left(\boldsymbol{y}_{\boldsymbol{j}} \mid \boldsymbol{x}_{\boldsymbol{j}} ; \boldsymbol{w}\right)$
- Update weight vectors $\boldsymbol{w}_{E}$ and $\boldsymbol{w}_{T}$ accordingly
- Repeat until loss no longer decreases


## Parameter estimation in CRFs

How do we compute $P(\boldsymbol{y} \mid \boldsymbol{x} ; \boldsymbol{w})$ ?

$$
\begin{gathered}
P(\boldsymbol{y} \mid \boldsymbol{x} ; \boldsymbol{w})=\max _{\boldsymbol{y}_{1 \ldots n} \in Y^{n}} \frac{\exp \left(\sum_{i=1}^{n+1}\left(\boldsymbol{w}_{T}\left(y_{i}, y_{i-1}\right)+\boldsymbol{w}_{E}\left(y_{i}\right) \cdot \boldsymbol{f}_{E}(\boldsymbol{x}, i)\right)\right)}{Z(\boldsymbol{w}, \boldsymbol{x})} \\
Z(\boldsymbol{w}, \boldsymbol{x})=\sum_{z_{1} \ldots . . n Y^{n}} \exp \left(\sum_{i=1}^{n+1}\left(\boldsymbol{w}_{T}\left(z_{i}, z_{i-1}\right)+\boldsymbol{w}_{E}\left(z_{i}\right) \cdot \boldsymbol{f}_{E}(\boldsymbol{x}, i)\right)\right)
\end{gathered}
$$

- We can simplify this formula only a little bit...


## Parameter estimation in CRFs

We can take $Z$ out of the max:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& P(\boldsymbol{y} \mid \boldsymbol{x} ; \boldsymbol{w})=\frac{1}{Z(\boldsymbol{w}, \boldsymbol{x})} \cdot \max _{y_{1 \ldots n} \in Y} \exp \left(\sum_{i=1}^{n+1}\left(\boldsymbol{w}_{T}\left(y_{i}, y_{i-1}\right)+\boldsymbol{w}_{E}\left(y_{i}\right) \cdot \boldsymbol{f}_{E}(\boldsymbol{x}, i)\right)\right) \\
& Z(\boldsymbol{w}, \boldsymbol{x})=\sum_{z_{1} \ldots n \in Y^{n}} \exp \left(\sum_{i=1}^{n+1}\left(\boldsymbol{w}_{T}\left(z_{i}, z_{i-1}\right)+\boldsymbol{w}_{E}\left(z_{i}\right) \cdot \boldsymbol{f}_{E}(\boldsymbol{x}, i)\right)\right) \\
& \begin{array}{l}
\text { A variant of Viterbi where the } \\
\text { max is replaced by a sum: the } \\
\text { forward-backward algorithm }
\end{array} \\
& \hline
\end{aligned}
$$

- CRF training is computationally expensive!


## Maximum Entropy Markov models (MEMMs)

Maximum Entropy Markov Models are a simpler alternative to CRFs:

- Compute the $Z$ normalization term per word
- More efficient to train, but less performant

$$
\begin{gathered}
P(\boldsymbol{y} \mid \boldsymbol{x} ; \boldsymbol{w})=\max _{\boldsymbol{y}_{1} \ldots n \in Y^{n}} \frac{\exp \left(\sum_{i=1}^{n+1}\left(\boldsymbol{w}_{T}\left(y_{i}, y_{i-1}\right)+\boldsymbol{w}_{E}\left(y_{i}\right) \cdot \boldsymbol{f}_{E}(\boldsymbol{x}, i)\right)\right)}{Z(\boldsymbol{w}, \boldsymbol{x})} \\
Z(\boldsymbol{w}, \boldsymbol{x})=\sum_{z_{1} \ldots n \in Y^{n}} \exp \left(\sum_{i=1}^{n+1}\left(\boldsymbol{w}_{T}\left(z_{i}, z_{i-1}\right)+\boldsymbol{w}_{E}\left(z_{i}\right) \cdot \boldsymbol{f}_{E}(\boldsymbol{x}, i)\right)\right) \\
P(\boldsymbol{y} \mid \boldsymbol{x} ; \boldsymbol{w})=\max _{\boldsymbol{y}_{1} \ldots n \in Y^{n}}\left(\prod_{i=1}^{n+1} \frac{\exp \left(\boldsymbol{w}_{T}\left(y_{i}, y_{i-1}\right)+\boldsymbol{w}_{E}\left(y_{i}\right) \cdot \boldsymbol{f}_{E}(\boldsymbol{x}, i)\right)}{Z(\boldsymbol{w}, \boldsymbol{x})}\right) \\
Z(\boldsymbol{w}, \boldsymbol{x})=\sum_{z_{i} \in Y} \exp \left(\boldsymbol{w}_{T}\left(z_{i}, y_{i-1}\right)+\boldsymbol{w}_{E}\left(z_{i}\right) \cdot \boldsymbol{f}_{E}(\boldsymbol{x}, i)\right)
\end{gathered}
$$

CRF

## Models

- Simple classification models:
- (Naïve Bayes)
- Perceptron with context word features
- Logistic regression with context word features
- Sequence models with greedy decoding:
- Hidden Markov model
- Structured perceptron
- CRF, MEMM
- Sequence models with exact/Viterbi decoding:
- Hidden Markov model
- Structured perceptron
- CRF, MEMM

Combined approach: use greedy decoding to speed up training, but use Viterbi decoding for best
prediction accuracy

## Decoding strategies

Matthew Honnibal, 2013:

"Unless you really, really can't do without an extra $0.1 \%$ of accuracy, you probably shouldn't bother with any kind of search strategy, you should just use a greedy model." https://explosion.ai/blog/part-of-speech-pos-tagger-inpython
"[Viterbi] search can only help you when you make a mistake. It can prevent that error from throwing off your subsequent decisions, or sometimes your future choices will correct the mistake. [... A greedy] model is so good straight-up that your past predictions are almost always true. So you really need the planets to align for search to matter at all."

## Sequence labeling and language modeling

HMM for sequence labeling:


Bigram language model:


## Reading

- Jurafsky \& Martin, chapter 8
- HMM, Viterbi algorithm, CRF
- Jurafsky \& Martin, chapter 3
- N -gram language models

