Introduction/motivation/goal clearly explained (10)		Appropriate statistical and visualization tools are used (30)	
Is the motivation of the study stated?		If box plots, are the center, box edges and whiskers explained?	
Is the goal of the study stated?		If violin plots, is the distribution sampling explained?	
Is it stated in a prominent location?		If maps, are they properly sampled and how it is done is explained?	
Are hypotheses stated?		If dunn test is used, is it explained?	
Are the own tools/procdures introduced?		Any other tools used is a bonus, if done correctly.	
Is the data collection explained			
Setup and parameter selection clearly explained (10)		Conclusions are justified and sound (20)	
Is the data pre-processing explained?		Are there any conclusions?	
Are the parameters for the analysis choosen properly?		Are the conclusions sound?	
		Are the conclusions based on the analysis, taken from elsewere, or only speculation?	
		Are there reflections of the own work in the conclusion?	
		Are there future work questions?	
Results are well explained (30)	SC	DRING	
Are all axes labelled?	0	Terrible. Nothing in this characteristic was answered sensibly.	
Does labels include metric and unit?	1	Bad: Nearly nothing achieved. Apparently nothing was learned from the lecture or presentation round.	
Do legends exist?	2	Not good: Very few boxes are checked.	
Are figures readable?	3	Satisfactory. There are mistakes, some of the boxes are not checked.	
Are axis starting at 0 or offsets explained?	4	Very good. No mistake have been made, all the boxes are checked.	
Are colors used sensible?	5	Extraordinary. All boxes are ticked, either perfectly solved or nice/innovative ideas are added.	
Are colors used consistently across graphs?			
Do axis units use sensible multipliers for easy reading?			
Are figures well explained?			