Introduction/motivation/goal clearly explained (10)		Appropriate statistical and visualization tools are used (20)
Is the motivation of the study stated?		If box plots, are the center, box edges and whiskers explained?
Is the goal of the study stated?		If histograms, is the stepsize discussed?
Is it stated in a prominent location?		Is the sample rate explained?
Are hypotheses stated?		If events rather than samples are used, is the time between samples considered in the statistics?
Are the algorithms introduced?		
Are the used tools introduced?		
Setup and parameter selection clearly explained (10)		Conclusions are justified and sound (20)
Are parameters presented initially?		Are there any conclusions?
Are the reasons for parameter choices obvious or explained?		Are the conclusions sound?
Are the used metrics introduced?		Are the conclusions based on the simulation, taken from elsewere, or only speculation?
		Are there reflections of the own work in the conclusion?
		Are there future work questions?
	_	
	_	
Results are well explained (40)	_	DRING
Are all axes labelled?	_	Terrible. Nothing in this characteristic was answered sensibly.
Do labels include metric and unit?	_	Bad: Nearly nothing achieved. Apparently nothing was learned from the lecture or presentation round
Do legends exist?	_	Not good: Very few boxes are checked.
Are figures readable?	_	Satisfactory. There are mistakes, some of the boxes are not checked.
Are axes starting at 0 or offsets explained?	_	Very good. No mistake have been made, all the boxes are checked.
Are colors used sensibly?	5	Extraordinary. All boxes are ticked, either perfectly solved or nice/innovative ideas are added.
Are colors used consistently across graphs?		
Do axis units use sensible multipliers for easy reading?		
Are figures well explained?		