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## Question I

## a)

The first events in the diagram could be either Department head sends open application or Interviewer sends question. The reason is that these are sending events (causality) and are also the first events of their corresponding lifeline (weak sequencing).
b)

The last events in the diagram could be either Application receives close message or Interviewer receives answer. These two events are the last events of their corresponding lifeline and are reception events.

## c)

One possibility of supplementing the interview diagram is introducing an optional fragment as the diagram interview_s below. Accordingly, the positive traces of interview_s are all the positive traces of the interview diagram (without optional fragment) as well as those positive traces with sending and receiving finish message events (with optional fragment). The negative traces set of this diagram remains unchanged (i.e. empty set). Since we are only moving traces which are inconclusive to positive set, this is pure supplementing.

d)

By moving the open application message into a veto fragment as showed in the interview_r diagram below, the negative traces of the diagram interview_r are all of the positive traces of the interview diagram (narrowing). In addition, the positive traces of the diagram interview_ $r$ are the positive traces of the the interview diagram without sending and receiving open application message events (supplementing).


## Question II

a)

Since sending messages question/answer and note occur parallel, there are 15 possible traces (t1 to t15) for the second operand of the alt construct as showed below. Also, it has no negative traces.
Hence,
$p 2=\bigcup_{i=1}^{15}\left\{t_{i}\right\}$
$n 2=\varnothing$
$[[O p 2]]=\{(p 2, n 2)\}=\left\{\left(\bigcup_{i=1}^{15}\left\{t_{i}\right\}, \varnothing\right)\right\}$


## b)

The positive traces of the first operand of the alt construct (without veto fragment) would be similar to the positive traces of the second operand because sending messages question/answer and note could be considered to occur parallel as there is no dependence between these messages. The difference is that Interviewer is now sending question and receiving answer instead of Department head. Therefore, the 15 positive traces ( t 16 to t 30 ) of the first operand showed below are pretty much the same with the set p2.

```
t16 = <!q, !n, ?n, ?q, !a, ?a>
t17 = <!q, !n, ?q, ?n, !a, ?a>
t18 = <!q, !n, ?q, !a, ?n, ?a>
t19 = <!q, !n, ?q, !a, ?a, ?n>
t20 = <!q, ?q, !n, ?n, !a, ?a>
t21 = <!q, ?q, !n, !a, ?n, ?a>
t22 = <!q, ?q, !n, !a, ?a, ?n>
t23 = <!q, ?q, !a, !n, ?n, ?a>
t24 = <!q, ?q, !a, !n, ?a, ?n>
t25 = <!q, ?q, !a, ?a, !n, ?n>
t26 = <!n, ?n, !q, ?q, !a, ?a>
t27 = <!n, !q, ?n, ?q, !a, ?a>
t28 = <!n, !q, ?q, ?n, !a, ?a>
t29 = <!n, !q, ?q, !a, ?n, ?a>
t30 = <!n, !q, ?q, !a, ?a, ?n>
```

The negative traces of the first operand (with veto fragment) would be the positive traces with two more events: forwarding the note occurring after receiving note and receiving the
forwarded note after sending answer. Thus, for each positive trace ti (in p 2 ), there are several possible negative traces $\mathrm{Ti} \_j$ created by adding these two events respectively. Accordingly, there are 55 negative traces (from N 1 to N 55 ) as showed below:
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```
N44 = T12_44 = <!n, !q, ?n, ?q, !a, !f, ?f, ?a>
N45 = T12_45 = <!n, !q, ?n, ?q, !a, !f, ?a, ?f>
N46 = T12_46 = <!n, !q, ?n, ?q, !a, ?a, !f, ?f>
N47 = T13_47 = <!n, !q, ?q, ?n, !f, !a, ?f, ?a>
N48 = T13_48 = <!n, !q, ?q, ?n, !f, !a, ?a, ?f>
N49 = T13_49 = <!n, !q, ?q, ?n, !a, !f, ?f, ?a>
N50 = T13_50 = <!n, !q, ?q, ?n, !a, !f, ?a, ?f>
N51 = T13_51 = <!n, !q, ?q, ?n, !a, ?a, !f, ?f>
N52 = T14_52 = <!n, !q, ?q, !a, ?n, !f, ?f, ?a>
N53 = T14_53 = <!n, !q, ?q, !a, ?n, !f, ?a, ?f>
N54 = T14_54 = <!n, !q, ?q, !a, ?n, ?a, !f, ?f>
N55 = T15_55 = <!n, !q, ?q, !a, ?a, ?n, !f, ?f>
```

Hence,
$p 1=\bigcup_{i=16}^{30}\left\{t_{i}\right\}$
$n 1=\bigcup_{i=1}^{55}\left\{N_{i}\right\}$
$[[O p 1]]=\{(p 1, n 1)\}=\left\{\left(\bigcup_{i=16}^{30}\left\{t_{i}\right\}, \bigcup_{i=1}^{55}\left\{N_{i}\right\}\right)\right\}$
c)
$[[O p 1$ alt $O p 2]]=\{(p 1+2, n 1+2)\}=\{(p 1 \cup p 2, n 1 \cup n 2)\}=\left\{\left(\bigcup_{i=1}^{30}\left\{t_{i}\right\}, \bigcup_{i=1}^{55}\left\{N_{i}\right\}\right)\right\}$
That means the positive traces are the total 30 traces ( t 1 to t 30 ) and the negative traces are the traces in n 1 .
d)
$[[O p 1$ xalt $O p 2]]=[[O p 1]] \cup[[O p 2]]=\{(p 1, n 1),(p 2, n 2)\}=\left\{\left(\bigcup_{i=1}^{15}\left\{t_{i}\right\}, \varnothing\right),\left(\bigcup_{i=16}^{30}\left\{t_{i}\right\}, \bigcup_{i=1}^{55}\left\{N_{i}\right\}\right)\right\}$

## e)

Here we consider only semantic of the loop fragment since any other messages outside the loop would only add traces to the positive traces set and not result in new interaction obligation.
The loop fragment could occur from 0 to $n$ times.
When $n=0$ there would be only 1 interaction obligation since there is no xalt construct.
When $n=1$ there would be 2 interaction obligation as showed in previous question.
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When $n=2$, the resulting sequence diagram could be considered as an alt construct with either 0 , 1 and 2 xalt constructs in each operand. Hence, resulting in 8 interaction obligation (the operand $\# 0$ is not included since it has no trace):
xalt 1 alt $[[$ xalt 2 seq $x a l t 3]]=$
$\underbrace{\{(p 1, n 1),(p 2, n 2)\}}_{\text {operand \#1 }} \biguplus \underbrace{\{\{(p 1, n 1),(p 2, n 2)\} \succsim\{(p 1, n 1),(p 2, n 2)\}\}}_{\text {operand \#2 }}=$
$\underbrace{\{(p 1, n 1),(p 2, n 2)\}}_{2^{1}} \underbrace{\biguplus}_{\times} \underbrace{\{\{((p 1, n 1) \succsim(p 1, n 1)),((p 1, n 1) \succsim(p 2, n 2)),((p 2, n 2) \succsim(p 1, n 1)),((p 2, n 2) \succsim(p 2, n 2))\}\}}_{2^{2}=2^{3} \text { interaction obligations }}$
Similarly, $n=3$ would result in $2^{1} \times 2^{2} \times 2^{3}=2^{6}$ interaction obligations. Generally, there would be $2^{\frac{n(n+1)}{2}}(n \geq 0)$ interaction obligations. (in order words, there would be infinitely many interaction obligations).

