

Part 3: Type Systems and Concurrency

Einar Broch Johnsen, S. Lizeth Tapia Tarifa, Eduard Kamburjan, Juliane Päßler

October 16, 2023

University of Oslo

Types: Foundations

Next Lectures

- Types Systems
- Types for channels
- Ownership and Rust

Reading Material

- Types and Programming Languages, Benjamin Pierce, 2000, MIT Press
- Type Systems for Concurrent Programs, Naoki Kobayashi, 2002, Springer LNCS
- Uniqueness Typing Simplified, de Vries et al., 2007, Springer LNCS
- A Very Gentle Introduction to Multiparty Session Types, Yoshida and Gheri, 2020, Springer LNCS
- Session types for Rust, Jespersen et al., 2015, ACM

Why Types?

- Detecting Errors
 - Compiler detects errors before execution (static)
 - Clearer error messages at runtime (dynamic)
 - Enforcing certain programming patterns
- Abstraction
 - Modularity by providing interfaces
 - Hides memory/implementation details
- Documentation/Specification
 - Expresses *intended* behavior
 - Communication with other developers
 - In contrast to comments/documents: enforced to be updated

Why Type Systems Here?

- Demystifying compilers
- Type systems are a formalization of how developers analyze: How to think about programs?

“Well-typed programs cannot go wrong” (Robin Milner, '78)

- What is a “type”?
- What means “well-typed”?
- What means “go wrong”?
- What kind of type systems exist?
- What does this mean especially for concurrent systems?

Foundations of Types – What is a type?

“Well-typed programs cannot go wrong”

Types for Expressions

- Types classify expressions
- Expression e has a **type T** if e will (always) evaluate to a value of **type T**
 - $\{\dots, -1, 0, 1, \dots\}$ are values of type `int`
 - $22+2$ evaluates to `24`, which has type `int`
- Data types of variables are abstractions over memory layout
- What is the type of a function? The type of a channel?
- For us: A type is an abstraction over *data or behavior*
- Channel types are *behavioral types*

Foundations of Types – What is well-typedness?

“Well-typed programs cannot go wrong”

Type Systems

If we know our abstractions, we need to ensure that our program adheres to them.

A type system is a method to check whether a program adheres to its types.

- Dynamic vs. static
 - Dynamic systems check *type tags* at runtime
 - Static systems check *type annotations* at compile time
 - Gradual system check as much as possible statically, and refer the rest to a dynamic system
- Decidable vs. undecidable
 - Static systems should not take too much time, more precise types abstract less
 - Very precise type system can become undecidable (also on accident: see Java Generics)
- Strong vs. weak typing
 - Strong type systems aim to cover as many possible error sources
 - Weak type systems give more freedom

Foundations of Types – What are errors?

*“Well-typed programs cannot **go wrong**”*

Examples for Errors

- General: Applying operators that are not defined on all inputs

```
1+" string" //ill-typed
1+1 //well-typed
...
public Integer f(Integer i) { return 2/i; }
...
f(true) // ill-typed
f(0) // ill-typed?
```


Foundations of Types – What are errors?

*“Well-typed programs cannot **go wrong**”*

Examples for Errors

- General: Applying operators that are not defined on all inputs
- OO: Calling a method that is not supported

```
public class C {  
    public Integer f(Integer i) { return i*2; }  
}  
...  
C c = new C();  
c.g(1);
```

Foundations of Types – What are errors?

*“Well-typed programs cannot **go wrong**”*

Examples for Errors

- General: Applying operators that are not defined on all inputs
- OO: Calling a method that is not supported
- Concurrent: Deadlock

?? How to specify deadlocks? → channel types

Foundations of Types – What are errors?

“Well-typed programs cannot *go wrong*”

Examples for Errors

Not every error is considered a type error. Sometimes the line is not clear, e.g., for null access.

```
public void method(C o){ o.m(); } //Java: Type C allows null
...
this.method(null);
```

```
fun method(o : C){ o.m(); } //Kotlin: Type C does not allow null
...
this.method(null);
```

Type Soundness

“Well-typed programs cannot **go wrong**”

Type Soundness

If a program adheres to its types at compile time, then certain errors do not occur at runtime

- Formalized either as reachability or reduction.
- $e_1 \rightsquigarrow e_2$ is one execution/evaluation step from e_1 to e_2

Type Soundness

“Well-typed programs cannot **go wrong**”

Type Soundness

If a program adheres to its types at compile time, then certain errors do not occur at runtime

- Formalized either as reachability or reduction.
- $e_1 \rightsquigarrow e_2$ is one execution/evaluation step from e_1 to e_2

Type Soundness as Reachability

- A bad operation results in an error state.
- Well-typed programs never reach an error state.

$(1 + 1) + 1 \rightsquigarrow 2 + 1 \rightsquigarrow 1$ ✓

$(1 + 1) + "a" \rightsquigarrow 2 + "a" \rightsquigarrow \text{error}$ ✗

Type Soundness as Reduction

- A bad operation blocks the program.
- Well-typed programs never block.

$(1 + 1) + 1 \rightsquigarrow 2 + 1 \rightsquigarrow 1$ ✓

$(1 + 1) + "a" \rightsquigarrow 2 + "a"$ ✗

Type Soundness for Concurrent Programs

- The reduction view naturally generalizes to concurrency: avoid blocking due to misused concurrency operations.
- ...

message order?

How would we analyze this? How would we formally reason about it?

Completeness of Type Systems

Types and Logic

Type systems and logics share some properties

- Notions of soundness and completeness
- Judgment (later today)
- Dual use as documentation and specification

Static Types

Static type systems are typically incomplete

- In many cases because they are decidable
- Their wide adaption hints that the incomplete part is not important in practice

Dynamic Types

Dynamic Type systems are “complete”, but detect the error to late.

A Simple Type System

A typing discipline consists of

- A type syntax
- A subtyping relation
- A typing environment
- A type judgment
- A set of type rules (the type system itself)
- A notion of type soundness

Next Slides

A simple type system for a simple sequential language.

A Simple Type System

Typing Literal Expressions

Language Syntax

Expressions with integer and boolean literals:

$$e ::= n \mid true \mid false \mid e + e \mid e \wedge e \mid e \leq e$$

Type Syntax

Booleans and integers:

$$T ::= Bool \mid Int$$

- 1
- $1 + 2 \leq 3$
- We allow parentheses if necessary $(1 + 2 \leq 3) \wedge true$

A Simple Type System

A judgment is a meta-statement over formal constructs.

Typing Judgment

To express that an expression e is well-typed with type T . We write

$$\vdash e : T$$

- Judgment is true: $\vdash 1 + 1 : \text{Int}$
- Judgment is false: $\vdash 1 + 1 : \text{Bool}$
- some more examples

A Simple Type System

Type Rules

- A typing rule contains one conclusion (Conclusion) and a list of premises (Premise_{*i*}).
- Each conclusion and premise is one judgment
- Its meaning is that if all premises are true, then the conclusion is also true
- A rule without premises is an *axiom* and expresses that something is always true

Notation:

$$\frac{\text{Premise}_1 \quad \dots \quad \text{Premise}_n}{\text{Conclusion}} \text{ rule name}$$

Our axioms:

$$\frac{}{\vdash \text{false} : \text{Bool}} \text{ bool-f}$$

$$\frac{}{\vdash \text{true} : \text{Bool}} \text{ bool-t}$$

$$\frac{}{\vdash n : \text{Int}} \text{ int-literal}$$

A Simple Type System

The following expresses that if e_1 and e_2 can be typed with boolean type, then so can $e_1 \wedge e_2$.

$$\frac{\vdash e_1 : \text{Bool} \quad \vdash e_2 : \text{Bool}}{\vdash e_1 \wedge e_2 : \text{Bool}} \text{ bool-and}$$

The following expresses that if e_1 and e_2 can be typed with integer type, then so can $e_1 + e_2$.

$$\frac{\vdash e_1 : \text{Int} \quad \vdash e_2 : \text{Int}}{\vdash e_1 + e_2 : \text{Int}} \text{ int-plus}$$

The following expresses that if e_1 and e_2 can be typed with integer type, then $e_1 \leq e_2$ can be typed with boolean type.

$$\frac{\vdash e_1 : \text{Int} \quad \vdash e_2 : \text{Int}}{\vdash e_1 \leq e_2 : \text{Bool}} \text{ bool-leq}$$

A Simple Type System

A typing rule is a schema that can be applied to a concrete expression. If we do so repeatedly, then the result is a typing tree.

Typing Tree

A typing tree is a tree, where each node is a type rule application on a concrete expression.
A tree is closed if all leaves are stemming from axioms.

A Simple Type System

A typing rule is a schema that can be applied to a concrete expression. If we do so repeatedly, then the result is a typing tree.

Typing Tree

A typing tree is a tree, where each node is a type rule application on a concrete expression. A tree is closed if all leaves are stemming from axioms.

Rule:

$$\frac{\vdash e_1 : \text{Int} \quad \vdash e_2 : \text{Int}}{\vdash e_1 + e_2 : \text{Int}} \text{int-plus}$$

Rule application:

$$\frac{\vdash 12 : \text{Int} \quad \vdash 13 : \text{Int}}{\vdash 12 + 13 : \text{Int}} \text{int-plus}$$

A Simple Type System

A typing rule is a schema that can be applied to a concrete expression. If we do so repeatedly, then the result is a typing tree.

Typing Tree

A typing tree is a tree, where each node is a type rule application on a concrete expression.
A tree is closed if all leaves are stemming from axioms.

$$\frac{}{\vdash 1 + 2 \leq 3 : \text{Bool}}$$

This means that $1 + 2 \leq 3$ indeed has type Bool.

A Simple Type System

A typing rule is a schema that can be applied to a concrete expression. If we do so repeatedly, then the result is a typing tree.

Typing Tree

A typing tree is a tree, where each node is a type rule application on a concrete expression. A tree is closed if all leaves are stemming from axioms.

$$\frac{\vdash 3 : \text{Int}}{\vdash 1 + 2 \leq 3 : \text{Bool}} \text{ bool-leq}$$

This means that $1 + 2 \leq 3$ indeed has type Bool.

A Simple Type System

A typing rule is a schema that can be applied to a concrete expression. If we do so repeatedly, then the result is a typing tree.

Typing Tree

A typing tree is a tree, where each node is a type rule application on a concrete expression. A tree is closed if all leaves are stemming from axioms.

$$\frac{\frac{}{\vdash 3 : \text{Int}} \text{int-literal}}{\vdash 1 + 2 \leq 3 : \text{Bool}} \text{bool-leq}$$

This means that $1 + 2 \leq 3$ indeed has type Bool.

A Simple Type System

A typing rule is a schema that can be applied to a concrete expression. If we do so repeatedly, then the result is a typing tree.

Typing Tree

A typing tree is a tree, where each node is a type rule application on a concrete expression. A tree is closed if all leaves are stemming from axioms.

$$\frac{\frac{}{\vdash 1 + 2 : \text{Int}} \text{int-plus} \quad \frac{}{\vdash 3 : \text{Int}} \text{int-literal}}{\vdash 1 + 2 \leq 3 : \text{Bool}} \text{bool-leq}$$

This means that $1 + 2 \leq 3$ indeed has type Bool.

A Simple Type System

A typing rule is a schema that can be applied to a concrete expression. If we do so repeatedly, then the result is a typing tree.

Typing Tree

A typing tree is a tree, where each node is a type rule application on a concrete expression. A tree is closed if all leaves are stemming from axioms.

$$\frac{\frac{\frac{}{\vdash 2 : \text{Int}} \text{int-literal}}{\vdash 1 + 2 : \text{Int}} \text{int-plus}}{\vdash 1 + 2 \leq 3 : \text{Bool}} \quad \frac{\frac{}{\vdash 3 : \text{Int}} \text{int-literal}}{\text{bool-leq}}}{\vdash 1 + 2 \leq 3 : \text{Bool}}$$

This means that $1 + 2 \leq 3$ indeed has type Bool.

A Simple Type System

A typing rule is a schema that can be applied to a concrete expression. If we do so repeatedly, then the result is a typing tree.

Typing Tree

A typing tree is a tree, where each node is a type rule application on a concrete expression. A tree is closed if all leaves are stemming from axioms.

$$\frac{\frac{\frac{}{\vdash 1 : \text{Int}} \text{int-literal}}{\vdash 1 + 2 : \text{Int}} \text{int-plus} \quad \frac{\frac{}{\vdash 2 : \text{Int}} \text{int-literal}}{\vdash 1 + 2 \leq 3 : \text{Bool}} \text{bool-leq}}{\vdash 1 + 2 \leq 3 : \text{Bool}} \text{bool-leq}$$

This means that $1 + 2 \leq 3$ indeed has type Bool.

A Simple Type System

A typing rule is a schema that can be applied to a concrete expression. If we do so repeatedly, then the result is a typing tree.

Typing Tree

A typing tree is a tree, where each node is a type rule application on a concrete expression. A tree is closed if all leaves are stemming from axioms.

$$\frac{\frac{\frac{}{\vdash 1 : \text{Int}} \text{int-literal} \quad \frac{}{\vdash 2 : \text{Int}} \text{int-literal}}{\vdash 1 + 2 : \text{Int}} \text{int-plus} \quad \frac{}{\vdash 3 : \text{Int}} \text{int-literal}}{\vdash 1 + 2 \leq 3 : \text{Bool}} \text{bool-leq}}$$

This means that $1 + 2 \leq 3$ indeed has type Bool.

$$\frac{\frac{\frac{}{\vdash \text{true} : \text{Int}} \text{int-literal} \quad \frac{}{\vdash 2 : \text{Int}} \text{int-literal}}{\vdash \text{true} + 2 : \text{Int}} \text{int-plus} \quad \frac{}{\vdash 3 : \text{Int}} \text{int-literal}}{\vdash \text{true} + 2 \leq 3 : \text{Bool}} \text{bool-leq}}$$

This means that $\text{true} + 2 \leq 3$ does not have type Bool.

A Simple Type System

We have types and typing rules, for type soundness we also need expression evaluation.

Evaluation

We do not define evaluation formally here, but assume that $e_1 \rightsquigarrow e_2$ is one execution/evaluation step from e_1 to e_2 .

- $1 + 2 \rightsquigarrow 3$
- $1 + 2 \leq 5 \rightsquigarrow 3 \leq 3$
- $3 \leq 3 \rightsquigarrow true$

Literals and Termination

An evaluation of expression e_1 *successfully terminates*, if

$$e_1 \rightsquigarrow \dots \rightsquigarrow e_{\text{final}}$$

and e_{final} is either a literal n , $true$, or $false$, or if e_1 is one of these expressions itself.

A Simple Type System

Type Soundness

Typically, soundness requires three properties:

- All expressions that are successfully terminated are well-typed
- If a well-typed expression can evaluate, then the result is well-typed (Subject reduction)
- If a well-typed expression is not successfully terminated, then it can evaluate (Progress)

Together, these properties imply that if an expression is well-typed, and its evaluation terminates, then it terminates successfully.

A Simple Type System

Type Soundness

Typically, soundness requires three properties:

- All expressions that are successfully terminated are well-typed
- If a well-typed expression can evaluate, then the result is well-typed (Subject reduction)
- If a well-typed expression is not successfully terminated, then it can evaluate (Progress)

Together, these properties imply that if an expression is well-typed, and its evaluation terminates, then it terminates successfully.

Subject Reduction

If a well-typed expression can evaluate, then the result is well-typed

$$\forall e, e', T. ((e : T \wedge e \rightsquigarrow e') \rightarrow e' : T)$$

A Simple Type System

Type Soundness

Typically, soundness requires three properties:

- All expressions that are successfully terminated are well-typed
- If a well-typed expression can evaluate, then the result is well-typed (Subject reduction)
- If a well-typed expression is not successfully terminated, then it can evaluate (Progress)

Together, these properties imply that if an expression is well-typed, and its evaluation terminates, then it terminates successfully.

Progress

If a well-typed expression is not successfully terminated ($\text{term}(e)$), then it can evaluate

$$\forall e, T. ((e : T \wedge \neg \text{term}(e)) \rightarrow \exists e'. e \rightsquigarrow e')$$

A Simple Type System

Type Soundness

Typically, soundness requires three properties:

- All expressions that are successfully terminated are well-typed
- If a well-typed expression can evaluate, then the result is well-typed (Subject reduction)
- If a well-typed expression is not successfully terminated, then it can evaluate (Progress)

Together, these properties imply that if an expression is well-typed, and its evaluation terminates, then it terminates successfully.

- Subject reduction states that typeability is an invariant
- Progress is almost deadlock freedom, typically harder to prove
- More general formulations possible

Typing Environment and Subtyping

A Simple Type Environment

- We can now type boring expressions
- Enough to demonstrate all parts, but how do we move towards types for concurrency?
- Next two ingredients:
- Typing of variables
 - Typing variables requires to keep track of which variables are declared
 - We will record information in a *type environment*
- Subtyping
 - We will introduce a second judgment to express the relation between types
- Typing environment and subtyping relation are critical for channel types

A Simple Type Environment

Language Syntax

Expressions with integer and boolean literals:

$$e ::= n \mid \text{true} \mid \text{false} \mid e + e \mid e \wedge e \mid e \leq e \mid v$$

Type Syntax (unchanged)

Booleans and integers:

$$T ::= \text{Bool} \mid \text{Int}$$

- v
- $1 + v \leq 3$
- We allow parentheses if necessary $(1 + v \leq 3) \wedge w$

A Simple Type Environment

Type Environment

A type environment Γ is a partial map from variables to types.

- Notation to access the type of a variable v in environment Γ : $\Gamma(v)$
- Notation for an environment with two integer variables v, w :

$$\{v \mapsto \text{Int}, w \mapsto \text{Int}\}$$

An empty type environment is denoted \emptyset .

- Notation for updating the environment

$$\Gamma[x \mapsto T] = \Gamma'$$

where $\Gamma'(x) = T$ and $\Gamma'(y) = \Gamma(y)$ for all other variables $y \neq x$.

- Notation if a variable has no assigned type

$$\Gamma(x) = \perp$$

A Simple Type Environment

Type Judgment

The type judgment includes the type environment:

$$\Gamma \vdash e : T$$

This reads as *expression e has type T if all variables are as described by Γ* .

New rule. The premise is a new judgment that holds iff the equality holds.

$$\frac{\Gamma(v) = T}{\Gamma \vdash v : T} \text{ var}$$

The type environment is added to all other rules and carried over from conclusion to premises.
For example,

$$\frac{\Gamma \vdash e_1 : \text{Bool} \quad \Gamma \vdash e_2 : \text{Bool}}{\Gamma \vdash e_1 \wedge e_2 : \text{Bool}} \text{ bool-and}$$

A Simple Type Environment

Typing now depends on the type of the variables. Let $\Gamma_1 = \{v \mapsto \text{Int}\}, \Gamma_2 = \emptyset$

$$\frac{}{\Gamma_1 \vdash 1 + v \leq 3 : \text{Bool}}$$

A Simple Type Environment

Typing now depends on the type of the variables. Let $\Gamma_1 = \{v \mapsto \text{Int}\}, \Gamma_2 = \emptyset$

$$\frac{\Gamma_1 \vdash 3 : \text{Int}}{\Gamma_1 \vdash 1 + v \leq 3 : \text{Bool}} \text{ bool-leq}$$

A Simple Type Environment

Typing now depends on the type of the variables. Let $\Gamma_1 = \{v \mapsto \text{Int}\}, \Gamma_2 = \emptyset$

$$\frac{\Gamma_1 \vdash 1 + v : \text{Int} \quad \frac{}{\Gamma_1 \vdash 3 : \text{Int}} \text{int-literal}}{\Gamma_1 \vdash 1 + v \leq 3 : \text{Bool}} \text{bool-leq}$$

A Simple Type Environment

Typing now depends on the type of the variables. Let $\Gamma_1 = \{v \mapsto \text{Int}\}, \Gamma_2 = \emptyset$

$$\frac{\frac{\Gamma_1 \vdash v : \text{Int}}{\Gamma_1 \vdash 1 + v : \text{Int}} \text{ int-plus} \quad \frac{}{\Gamma_1 \vdash 3 : \text{Int}} \text{ int-literal}}{\Gamma_1 \vdash 1 + v \leq 3 : \text{Bool}} \text{ bool-leq}$$

A Simple Type Environment

Typing now depends on the type of the variables. Let $\Gamma_1 = \{v \mapsto \text{Int}\}, \Gamma_2 = \emptyset$

$$\frac{\frac{\frac{}{\Gamma_1(v) = \text{Int}}}{\Gamma_1 \vdash v : \text{Int}} \text{var}}{\Gamma_1 \vdash 1 + v : \text{Int}} \text{int-plus} \quad \frac{}{\Gamma_1 \vdash 3 : \text{Int}} \text{int-literal}}{\Gamma_1 \vdash 1 + v \leq 3 : \text{Bool}} \text{bool-leq}$$

A Simple Type Environment

Typing now depends on the type of the variables. Let $\Gamma_1 = \{v \mapsto \text{Int}\}, \Gamma_2 = \emptyset$

$$\frac{\frac{\Gamma_1 \vdash 1 : \text{Int}}{\text{int-literal}} \quad \frac{\frac{\Gamma_1(v) = \text{Int}}{\Gamma_1 \vdash v : \text{Int}} \text{var}}{\Gamma_1 \vdash 1 + v : \text{Int}} \text{int-plus}}{\Gamma_1 \vdash 1 + v \leq 3 : \text{Bool}} \quad \frac{\Gamma_1 \vdash 3 : \text{Int}}{\text{bool-leq}} \text{int-literal}$$

A Simple Type Environment

Typing now depends on the type of the variables. Let $\Gamma_1 = \{v \mapsto \text{Int}\}, \Gamma_2 = \emptyset$

$$\frac{\frac{\frac{}{\Gamma_1 \vdash 1 : \text{Int}} \text{int-literal} \quad \frac{\frac{}{\Gamma_1(v) = \text{Int}} \text{var}}{\Gamma_1 \vdash v : \text{Int}} \text{int-plus}}{\Gamma_1 \vdash 1 + v : \text{Int}} \quad \frac{}{\Gamma_1 \vdash 3 : \text{Int}} \text{int-literal}}{\Gamma_1 \vdash 1 + v \leq 3 : \text{Bool}} \text{bool-leq}}$$

$$\frac{\frac{\frac{}{\Gamma_2 \vdash 1 : \text{Int}} \text{int-literal} \quad \frac{\frac{}{\Gamma_2(v) = \text{Int}} \text{var}}{\Gamma_2 \vdash v : \text{Int}} \text{int-plus}}{\Gamma_2 \vdash 1 + v : \text{Int}} \quad \frac{}{\Gamma_2 \vdash 3 : \text{Int}} \text{int-literal}}{\Gamma_2 \vdash 1 + v \leq 3 : \text{Bool}} \text{bool-leq}}$$

A Simple Type Environment

Evaluation

Let σ be a store. A store is a map from variables to literals. We do not define evaluation formally here, but assume that $e_1 \rightsquigarrow_{\sigma} e_2$ is one execution/evaluation step from e_1 to e_2 . In particular, $v \rightsquigarrow_{\sigma} \sigma(v)$.

A Simple Type Environment

Evaluation

Let σ be a store. A store is a map from variables to literals. We do not define evaluation formally here, but assume that $e_1 \rightsquigarrow_{\sigma} e_2$ is one execution/evaluation step from e_1 to e_2 . In particular, $v \rightsquigarrow_{\sigma} \sigma(v)$.

Subject Reduction

If a well-typed expression can evaluate, then the result is well-typed

$$\forall \Gamma, e, e', T. ((\Gamma \vdash e : T \wedge e \rightsquigarrow e') \rightarrow \Gamma \vdash e' : T)$$

A Simple Type Environment

Evaluation

Let σ be a store. A store is a map from variables to literals. We do not define evaluation formally here, but assume that $e_1 \rightsquigarrow_{\sigma} e_2$ is one execution/evaluation step from e_1 to e_2 . In particular, $v \rightsquigarrow_{\sigma} \sigma(v)$.

Progress

If a well-typed expression is not successfully terminated ($\text{term}(e)$), then it can evaluate

$$\forall \Gamma, e, T. ((\Gamma \vdash e : T \wedge \neg \text{term}(e)) \rightarrow \exists e'. e \rightsquigarrow e')$$

A Simple Type Environment

Evaluation

Let σ be a store. A store is a map from variables to literals. We do not define evaluation formally here, but assume that $e_1 \rightsquigarrow_{\sigma} e_2$ is one execution/evaluation step from e_1 to e_2 . In particular, $v \rightsquigarrow_{\sigma} \sigma(v)$.

- Additionally, we must ensure that σ , adheres to Γ
- For every variable v we must have $\emptyset \vdash \sigma(v) : \Gamma(v)$

Simple Subtyping

- Let us introduce a simple subtype of the integers: positive numbers
- We need to extend the type syntax, adjust the typing rules and formalize subtyping
- Subtyping is formalized as a special typ

Type Syntax

Booleans, integers and positive integers:

$$T ::= \text{Bool} \mid \text{Int} \mid \text{Pos}$$
$$\frac{n < 0}{\vdash n : \text{Int}} \text{ int-literal}$$
$$\frac{n \geq 0}{\vdash n : \text{Pos}} \text{ pos-literal}$$

Simple Subtyping

We introduce a new judgment to express that T_1 is a subtype of T_2 : $T_1 <: T_2$

Reflexivity and Transitivity

Every type is a subtype of itself, subtyping is transitive

$$\frac{}{T <: T} \text{ T-refl}$$

$$\frac{T_1 <: S \quad S <: T_2}{T_1 <: T_2} \text{ T-trans}$$

Core Rules

The actual subtyping rules are specific for the language, for us it is just this one

$$\frac{}{\text{Pos} <: \text{Int}} \text{ T-pos}$$

Application

At every point during type-checking, we can chose to use a subtype

$$\frac{S <: T \quad \Gamma \vdash e : S}{\Gamma \vdash e : T} \text{ T-sub}$$

Simple Subtyping

Now we can type the literal 1 with Int using the new rules

$$\frac{}{\emptyset \vdash 1 : \text{Int}}$$

Simple Subtyping

Now we can type the literal 1 with Int using the new rules

$$\frac{\text{Pos} <: \text{Int} \quad \emptyset \vdash 1 : \text{Pos}}{\emptyset \vdash 1 : \text{Int}} \text{T-sub}$$

Simple Subtyping

Now we can type the literal 1 with Int using the new rules

$$\frac{\text{Pos} <: \text{Int} \quad \frac{\overline{1 \geq 0}}{\emptyset \vdash 1 : \text{Pos}} \text{ pos-literal}}{\emptyset \vdash 1 : \text{Int}} \text{ T-sub}$$

Simple Subtyping

Now we can type the literal 1 with Int using the new rules

$$\frac{\frac{\text{Pos} <: \text{Int}}{\text{T-pos}} \quad \frac{\frac{1 \geq 0}{\emptyset \vdash 1 : \text{Pos}} \text{pos-literal}}{\text{T-sub}}}{\emptyset \vdash 1 : \text{Int}}$$

Simple Subtyping

Now we can type the literal 1 with `Int` using the new rules

$$\frac{\frac{\text{Pos} <: \text{Int}}{\text{T-pos}} \quad \frac{\frac{1 \geq 0}{\emptyset \vdash 1 : \text{Pos}} \text{pos-literal}}{\text{T-sub}}}{\emptyset \vdash 1 : \text{Int}}$$

- Soundness etc. is not affected by subtyping
- Rule T-sub is not *syntax-directed*
 - Can always be applied
 - Requires to chose a suitable S
 - Hard to implement in an algorithmic
- This is orthogonal to concurrency, Pierce (Ch. 16) has details on algorithmic subtyping

Syntax-directed Subtyping

- Instead of T-sub, we can allow subtyping in other rules
- In the rest of the lecture, we do not use T-sub

$$\frac{\vdash e_1 : T_1 \quad T_1 <: \text{Int} \quad \vdash e_2 : T_2 \quad T_2 <: \text{Int}}{\vdash e_1 + e_2 : \text{Int}} \text{ int-plus}$$

Types for Statements

Language

Expressions are as before, statements are a simple imperative language

$$s ::= \text{return } e \mid v = e; s \mid T v = e; s \\ \mid \text{skip} \mid \text{if}(e)\{s\}s$$

Type Syntax

Integers, positive number, booleans, unit type. Subtyping as before.

$$T ::= \text{Int} \mid \text{Pos} \mid \text{Bool} \mid \text{Unit}$$

- Unit type is used to type statements
- A statement has unit type if it is typeable, and no type if it is not typeable
- Akin to **void** in Java
- No subtype relation to any other type

Rules for expressions are as before.

Simple Statements

Skip is always well-typed, return is well typed if its expression is well-typed for some type

$$\frac{}{\Gamma \vdash \text{skip} : \text{Unit}} \text{ skip}$$

$$\frac{\Gamma \vdash e : T}{\Gamma \vdash \text{return } e : \text{Unit}} \text{ return}$$

Assignment

Assignment checks that the type of the expression is a subtype of the variable, and that the continuation is typeable. Note that this also checks that the variable is declared – otherwise $\Gamma(v) = \perp$ and the second premise fails.

$$\frac{\Gamma \vdash e : S \quad S <: \Gamma(v) \quad \Gamma \vdash s : \text{Unit}}{\Gamma \vdash v = e; s : \text{Unit}} \text{ assign}$$

Declaration

Declaration is as before, but additionally updates the environment for the continuation.

$$\frac{\Gamma \vdash e : S \quad S <: T \quad \Gamma[v \mapsto T] \vdash s : \text{Unit}}{\Gamma \vdash T v = e; s : \text{Unit}} \text{ decl}$$

Branching

Branching checks that the condition has boolean type, and both conditional statement and continuation. This implements scoping: if the environment get updated by s_1 , then these declarations are lost for s_2 .

$$\frac{\Gamma \vdash e : \text{Bool} \quad \Gamma \vdash s_1 : \text{Unit} \quad \Gamma \vdash s_2 : \text{Unit}}{\Gamma \vdash \text{if}(e)\{s_1\}s_2 : \text{Unit}} \text{ branch}$$

- Important: terminated program must be well-typed!
- If one uses the error state, it *must not* be well-typed.

Type Soundness

If statement s can be typed with `Unit`, and its execution terminates, then it terminates with s is fully reduced to **skip**.

Soundness

- Important: terminated program must be well-typed!
- If one uses the error state, it *must not* be well-typed.

Type Soundness

If statement s can be typed with `Unit`, and its execution terminates, then it terminates with s is fully reduced to **skip**.

<code>Pos v = 1; v = v + 2</code>	
$\rightsquigarrow v = v + 2$	$(v = 1)$
$\rightsquigarrow \text{skip}$	$(v = 3)$

- Important: terminated program must be well-typed!
- If one uses the error state, it *must not* be well-typed.

Type Soundness

If statement s can be typed with `Unit`, and its execution terminates, then it terminates with s is fully reduced to **skip**.

$$\begin{array}{l} \text{Pos } v = 1; v = v + \text{true} \\ \rightsquigarrow v = v + \text{true} \end{array} \quad (v = 1)$$

- Important: terminated program must be well-typed!
- If one uses the error state, it *must not* be well-typed.

Type Soundness

If statement s can be typed with `Unit`, and its execution terminates, then it terminates with s is fully reduced to **skip**.

Remarks

- Usual subject reduction and progress properties
- Initial typing starts with empty environment, i.e., no declared variables
- Each branch and programs ends in skip or return. We omit trailing skips from now on in examples.

Example

A variable v must be declared to be recorded in the environment, otherwise any rule that tries to evaluate $\Gamma(v)$ fails.

$\emptyset \vdash \text{Int } v = 1; v = v + 2; \text{skip} : \text{Unit}$

Example

A variable v must be declared to be recorded in the environment, otherwise any rule that tries to evaluate $\Gamma(v)$ fails.

$$\frac{\emptyset \vdash 1 : \text{Int}}{\emptyset \vdash \text{Int } v = 1; v = v + 2; \text{skip} : \text{Unit}}$$

Example

A variable v must be declared to be recorded in the environment, otherwise any rule that tries to evaluate $\Gamma(v)$ fails.

$$\frac{\{v \mapsto \text{Int}\} \vdash v = v + 2; \text{skip} : \text{Unit} \quad \overline{\emptyset \vdash 1 : \text{Int}}}{\emptyset \vdash \text{Int } v = 1; v = v + 2; \text{skip} : \text{Unit}}$$

Example

A variable v must be declared to be recorded in the environment, otherwise any rule that tries to evaluate $\Gamma(v)$ fails.

$$\frac{\frac{\frac{\{v \mapsto \text{Int}\} \vdash \text{skip} : \text{Unit}}{\{v \mapsto \text{Int}\} \vdash v = v + 2; \text{skip} : \text{Unit}}}{\emptyset \vdash \text{Int } v = 1; v = v + 2; \text{skip} : \text{Unit}}}{\emptyset \vdash 1 : \text{Int}}}$$

Example

A variable v must be declared to be recorded in the environment, otherwise any rule that tries to evaluate $\Gamma(v)$ fails.

$$\frac{\frac{\text{Int} <: \text{Int} \quad \frac{}{\{v \mapsto \text{Int}\} \vdash \text{skip} : \text{Unit}}}{\{v \mapsto \text{Int}\} \vdash v = v + 2; \text{skip} : \text{Unit}} \quad \frac{}{\emptyset \vdash 1 : \text{Int}}}{\emptyset \vdash \text{Int } v = 1; v = v + 2; \text{skip} : \text{Unit}}$$

Example

A variable v must be declared to be recorded in the environment, otherwise any rule that tries to evaluate $\Gamma(v)$ fails.

$$\frac{\frac{\{v \mapsto \text{Int}\} \vdash v + 2 : \text{Int} \quad \frac{}{\text{Int} <: \text{Int}} \quad \frac{}{\{v \mapsto \text{Int}\} \vdash \text{skip} : \text{Unit}}}{\{v \mapsto \text{Int}\} \vdash v = v + 2; \text{skip} : \text{Unit}} \quad \frac{}{\emptyset \vdash 1 : \text{Int}}}{\emptyset \vdash \text{Int } v = 1; v = v + 2; \text{skip} : \text{Unit}}$$

Example

A variable v must be declared to be recorded in the environment, otherwise any rule that tries to evaluate $\Gamma(v)$ fails.

$$\frac{\frac{\frac{\overline{\{v \mapsto \text{Int}\} \vdash v + 2 : \text{Int}}}{\{v \mapsto \text{Int}\} \vdash v = v + 2; \text{skip} : \text{Unit}} \quad \frac{\overline{\text{Int} <: \text{Int}}}{\{v \mapsto \text{Int}\} \vdash \text{skip} : \text{Unit}}}{\{v \mapsto \text{Int}\} \vdash v = v + 2; \text{skip} : \text{Unit}} \quad \frac{\overline{\emptyset \vdash 1 : \text{Int}}}{\emptyset \vdash \text{Int } v = 1; v = v + 2; \text{skip} : \text{Unit}}}{\emptyset \vdash \text{Int } v = 1; v = v + 2; \text{skip} : \text{Unit}}$$

Example

A variable v must be declared to be recorded in the environment, otherwise any rule that tries to evaluate $\Gamma(v)$ fails.

$$\frac{\frac{\frac{\overline{\{v \mapsto \text{Int}\} \vdash v + 2 : \text{Int}} \quad \overline{\text{Int} <: \text{Int}} \quad \overline{\{v \mapsto \text{Int}\} \vdash \text{skip} : \text{Unit}}}{\{v \mapsto \text{Int}\} \vdash v = v + 2; \text{skip} : \text{Unit}} \quad \overline{\emptyset \vdash 1 : \text{Int}}}{\emptyset \vdash \text{Int } v = 1; v = v + 2; \text{skip} : \text{Unit}}}$$

$$\frac{\frac{\frac{\overline{\{v \mapsto \text{Int}\} \vdash w : \text{Int}} \quad \overline{\text{Int} <: \text{Int}} \quad \overline{\{v \mapsto \text{Int}\} \vdash \text{skip} : \text{Unit}}}{\{v \mapsto \text{Int}\} \vdash v = w; \text{skip} : \text{Unit}} \quad \overline{\emptyset \vdash 1 : \text{Int}}}{\emptyset \vdash \text{Int } v = 1; v = w; \text{skip} : \text{Unit}}}$$

Example

Scoping is implemented by not transferring the updated environment. In our rule for branching, we type the continuation with the type environment *before* the branching – all variables declared within are lost.

$$\frac{\frac{}{\emptyset \vdash \mathit{true} : \mathit{Bool}} \quad \frac{\frac{}{\emptyset \vdash \mathit{Int} \ v = 1; \ \mathit{skip} : \mathit{Unit}}{\vdots}}{\emptyset \vdash \mathit{Int} \ v = 1; \ \mathit{skip} : \mathit{Unit}} \quad \emptyset \vdash v = 2; \ \mathit{skip} : \mathit{Unit}}{\emptyset \vdash \mathbf{if}(\mathit{true})\{\mathit{Int} \ v = 1; \ \mathit{skip}\}v = 2; \ \mathit{skip} : \mathit{Unit}}$$

Channel Types

Typing Channels

- From now on, we will not fully define language and give all rules
- Syntax will be Go-like (goroutines, channel operations)
- Real Go-Code will be annotated with Go

Mismatched Message Types

The basic error is that the receiver expects the result to be of a different type than the value the sender sends. Implemented in Go.

Go

```
c := make(chan int)
go func() { c <- "foo" }
res := (<-c) + 1
```

cannot use "foo" (untyped string constant)
as int value in send

A Simple Type System for Channels

Types

If T is type then $\text{chan } T$ is a type.

Variance

Let $T <: T'$, with $T \neq T'$. A type constructor C is

- *Covariant* if $C(T) <: C(T')$
- *Contravariant* if $C(T') <: C(T)$
- *Invariant* if $C(T') \not<: C(T) \wedge C(T) \not<: C(T')$

Subtyping

Channels types are *covariant*: If T is a subtype of T' then $\text{chan } T$ is a subtype of $\text{chan } T'$.

A Simple Type System for Channels

Typing Writing

$$\frac{\Gamma \vdash e : \text{chan}T \quad \Gamma \vdash e' : T' \quad T' <: T}{\Gamma \vdash e \leftarrow e' : \text{Unit}}$$

- First premise types channel
- Second premise types sent value
- Third premise connects via subtyping

Go

```
type Animal interface { ... }  
type Cat interface { Animal ... } type Car interface { ... }  
  
c := make(chan Animal)  
go func() { c <- Cat {} }
```

A Simple Type System for Channels

Typing Reading

$$\frac{\Gamma \vdash e : \text{chan } T' \quad T' <: T}{\Gamma \vdash \leftarrow e : T}$$

- Essentially the same as calling a method and reading its result
- Note the inversion of subtyping

Go

```
type Animal interface { ... }  
type Cat interface { Animal ... } type Car interface { ... }  
  
func(c chan Cat) Animal{ return  $\leftarrow$ c; }
```

A Glimpse of Input/Output Modes

Beware! The next slides use modified Go-like syntax:

- `<-chan` becomes `chan?`
- `chan<-` becomes `chan!`
- `chan` becomes `chan!?`

Modes

- The previous system makes sure the sent data has the right data, but does not consider the direction.
- Modes specify the direction of a channel in a given scope

Go

```
c := make(chan int)
go func() { c<-1 }
res := (<-c) + 1
```

A Glimpse of Input/Output Modes

Types

Channel types are now annotated with their *mode* or *capability*.

$$T ::= \dots \mid \text{chan}_M T \quad M ::= ! \mid ? \mid !?$$

- A channel that can be read: ?
- A channel that can be written: !
- A channel that allows both: !?

Subtyping

We can pass a channel that allows both operation to a more constrained context

$$\text{chan}_! T <: \text{chan}_{!?} T$$

$$\text{chan}_? T <: \text{chan}_{!?} T$$

A Glimpse of Input/Output Modes

- How to use channels with restricted mode !?
- Either use subtyping at every evaluation (like in Go)
- Or use *weakening* to enforce that subtyping relation is used only once
- This ensures that once a channel is used for reading (writing) once in a thread, then it is only used for reading (writing) afterwards

```
func main() {
  chn := make(chan! int) //!
  go read(chn)           //!
  //weaken chn to chan! int
  chn <- v //<- chn would be illegal
}
func read(c chan? int) int { //forgets ! mode
  return <-c //c <- 1 would be illegal
}
```

Input/Output Modes

Weakening Rule

Allows to make a type less specific. This is *not* just using the T-sub rule – we modify the stored type in the environment.

$$\frac{\Gamma, \{x \mapsto T''\} \vdash s : T \quad T'' <: T'}{\Gamma, \{x \mapsto T'\} \vdash s : T} \text{ T-weak}$$

Other Rules: Read and Write with Modes

$$\frac{\Gamma \vdash e : \text{chan}_! T \quad \Gamma \vdash v : T' \quad T' <: T}{\Gamma \vdash e \leftarrow v : \text{Unit}} \text{ M-write}$$

$$\frac{\Gamma \vdash e : \text{chan}_? T' \quad T' <: T}{\Gamma \vdash \leftarrow e : T} \text{ M-read}$$

Other Rules: Read and Write with Modes

$$\frac{\Gamma \vdash e : \text{chan}_! T \quad \Gamma \vdash v : T' \quad T' \leq T}{\Gamma \vdash e \leftarrow v : \text{Unit}} \text{M-write}$$

$$\frac{\Gamma \vdash e : \text{chan}_? T' \quad T' \leq T}{\Gamma \vdash \leftarrow e : T} \text{M-read}$$

Other Rules: Read and Write with Modes

$$\frac{\Gamma \vdash e : \text{chan}_! T \quad \Gamma \vdash v : T' \quad T' <: T}{\Gamma \vdash e \leftarrow v : \text{Unit}} \text{M-write}$$

$$\frac{\Gamma \vdash e : \text{chan}_? T' \quad T' <: T}{\Gamma \vdash \leftarrow e : T} \text{M-read}$$

Important: No subtyping on $\text{chan}_? T'$ and $\text{chan}_! T$. A channel must be weakened before it can be used!

Other Rules: Read and Write with Modes

$$\frac{\Gamma \vdash e : \text{chan}_! T \quad \Gamma \vdash v : T' \quad T' <: T}{\Gamma \vdash e \leftarrow v : \text{Unit}} \text{M-write}$$

$$\frac{\Gamma \vdash e : \text{chan}_? T' \quad T' <: T}{\Gamma \vdash \leftarrow e : T} \text{M-read}$$

Important: No subtyping on $\text{chan}_? T'$ and $\text{chan}_! T$. A channel must be weakened before it can be used!

Next Lecture: Typing **go func** and operations on the type environment.

This Lecture

- General structure of static type systems
- Simple type systems for channels
- Introduction: Modes

Next Lectures

- More on modes
- More complex channel types
 - Linear types
 - Usage and Session types
- Uniqueness types, towards the ownership system of Rust