IN5550: Neural Methods in Natural Language Processing Sub-lecture 3.1 Multi-layered neural networks

Andrey Kutuzov

University of Oslo

7 February 2023





<span id="page-1-0"></span>

# [Obligatory assignment](#page-1-0)

#### Time to get your hands dirty!

- $\triangleright$  Obligatory assignment 1 is published.
- $\triangleright$  Will work on related topics at the group sessions February 8 and 15.

 $IN5550 - Spring 2023$ 1. Bag of Words Document Classification

UiO Language Technology Group

Deadline: 16 February, at 21:59 (Devilry)

#### Goals

- 1. Learn how to use the Fox cluster to train deep learning models.
- 2. Get familiar with the  $PyTorch$  library
- 3. Learn how to use  $PvTorch$  to train and evaluate neural classifiers in NLP tasks.



<span id="page-3-0"></span>[Obligatory assignment](#page-1-0)



'Machines of this character can behave in a very complicated manner when the number of units is large.'

> Alan Turing, 'Intelligent Machinery' [\[Turing, 1948\]](#page-50-0)



#### Brain metaphor

 $\blacktriangleright$  Why 'artificial neural networks'?

- $\blacktriangleright$  Why 'artificial neural networks'?
- $\blacktriangleright$  ...because it seems neurons in human brain act similarly:

- $\blacktriangleright$  Why 'artificial neural networks'?
- $\blacktriangleright$  ... because it seems neurons in human brain act. similarly:
	- $\blacktriangleright$  Connected into a large network,

- $\blacktriangleright$  Why 'artificial neural networks'?
- $\blacktriangleright$  ... because it seems neurons in human brain act. similarly:
	- $\blacktriangleright$  Connected into a large network,
	- $\blacktriangleright$  each neuron accepts electrical signals (inputs) from other neurons,

- $\blacktriangleright$  Why 'artificial neural networks'?
- $\blacktriangleright$  ... because it seems neurons in human brain act. similarly:
	- $\triangleright$  Connected into a large network,
	- $\blacktriangleright$  each neuron accepts electrical signals (inputs) from other neurons,
	- $\blacktriangleright$  processes (weighs) them differently, depending on their source,

- $\blacktriangleright$  Why 'artificial neural networks'?
- $\bullet$  ...because it seems neurons in human brain act. similarly:
	- $\triangleright$  Connected into a large network,
	- $\blacktriangleright$  each neuron accepts electrical signals (inputs) from other neurons,
	- $\blacktriangleright$  processes (weighs) them differently, depending on their source,
	- $\triangleright$  and then passes own electrical signals (outputs) to other neurons;

- $\blacktriangleright$  Why 'artificial neural networks'?
- $\bullet$  ...because it seems neurons in human brain act. similarly:
	- $\triangleright$  Connected into a large network,
	- $\blacktriangleright$  each neuron accepts electrical signals (inputs) from other neurons,
	- $\triangleright$  processes (weighs) them differently, depending on their source,
	- $\triangleright$  and then passes own electrical signals (outputs) to other neurons;
	- $\blacktriangleright$  depending on input signals, a neuron can be more or less activated,

- $\blacktriangleright$  Why 'artificial neural networks'?
- $\bullet$  ...because it seems neurons in human brain act. similarly:
	- $\triangleright$  Connected into a large network,
	- $\blacktriangleright$  each neuron accepts electrical signals (inputs) from other neurons,
	- $\triangleright$  processes (weighs) them differently, depending on their source,
	- $\triangleright$  and then passes own electrical signals (outputs) to other neurons;
	- $\blacktriangleright$  depending on input signals, a neuron can be more or less activated,
	- $\blacktriangleright$  ... or completely relaxed ('silent');

- $\blacktriangleright$  Why 'artificial neural networks'?
- $\bullet$  because it seems neurons in human brain act similarly:
	-
	- $\triangleright$  Connected into a large network,<br>  $\triangleright$  each neuron accepts electrical signals (inputs) from other neurons,
	- $\triangleright$  processes (weighs) them differently, depending on their source,
	- $\triangleright$  and then passes own electrical signals (outputs) to other neurons;
	- $\blacktriangleright$  depending on input signals, a neuron can be more or **■ I ... or completely relaxed ('silent');**<br>
	■ ... or completely relaxed ('silent');<br>
	■ the whole system is distributed across many neurons.
	-
	-



#### ...But brain metaphor is not optimal



 $\triangleright$  Although the first neural networks were based loosely on knowledge of neural activation at the time, we have long abandoned any real connection to neuroscience.

#### ...But brain metaphor is not optimal



- $\triangleright$  Although the first neural networks were based loosely on knowledge of neural activation at the time, we have long abandoned any real connection to neuroscience.
- Intermient Thus, it's less cool but more convenient to think about artificial neural networks in terms of linear algebra concepts:

#### ...But brain metaphor is not optimal



- $\triangleright$  Although the first neural networks were based loosely on knowledge of neural activation at the time, we have long abandoned any real connection to neuroscience.
- Intermient Thus, it's less cool but more convenient to think about artificial neural networks in terms of linear algebra concepts:
	- $\blacktriangleright$  vectors.
	- $\blacktriangleright$  matrices.
	- $\blacktriangleright$  sequential algebraic operations on them.



Recall the equation for a linear classifier:

$$
\hat{y} = x \cdot W(+b) \tag{1}
$$

Recall the equation for a linear classifier:

$$
\hat{y} = x \cdot W(+b) \tag{1}
$$

Suppose we have 4 features, binary classification task and no bias term *b*:



Recall the equation for a linear classifier:

$$
\hat{y} = x \cdot W(+b) \tag{1}
$$

Suppose we have 4 features, binary classification task and no bias term *b*:



input feature vector  $x$  is multiplied by the weights  $W$ ;

Recall the equation for a linear classifier:

$$
\hat{y} = x \cdot W(+b) \tag{1}
$$

Suppose we have 4 features, binary classification task and no bias term *b*:



- input feature vector  $x$  is multiplied by the weights  $W$ ;
- In here, *W* is a vector, so the result is a scalar value  $\hat{y}_1$ .





- In fact this is already a simple neural network...
- $\blacktriangleright$  with one neuron  $\Sigma$  as a computational unit.



- $\blacktriangleright$  In fact this is already a simple neural network...
- $\blacktriangleright$  with one neuron  $\Sigma$  as a computational unit.
- I *Σ* takes 4 input values and returns their weighted sum as output value.

"It was long and a bit boring"



Sentiment analysis with only one neuron and binary bag-of-words.

- $\blacktriangleright$  This is a linear architecture
	- $\hat{y} = x \cdot W$
- $\blacktriangleright$  We can make this classifier predict vectors instead of scalars...

- $\blacktriangleright$  This is a linear architecture
	- $\hat{y} = x \cdot W$
- $\blacktriangleright$  We can make this classifier predict vectors instead of scalars...
	- $\blacktriangleright$  ...by making  $W$  a matrix;
	- $\blacktriangleright$  ...and thus using a row of several neurons, instead of only one.

Additionally we can stack classifiers...

 $\triangleright$  by feeding the prediction of one classifier to another one:

- $\triangleright$  by feeding the prediction of one classifier to another one:
- $\hat{\mathbf{y}} = (\mathbf{x} \cdot \mathbf{W}^{\mathbf{0}}) \cdot \mathbf{W}^{\mathbf{1}}$

- $\triangleright$  by feeding the prediction of one classifier to another one:
- $\hat{\mathbf{y}} = (\mathbf{x} \cdot \mathbf{W}^{\mathbf{0}}) \cdot \mathbf{W}^{\mathbf{1}}$
- $\blacktriangleright$   $\hat{y} = ((x \cdot W^0) \cdot W^1) \cdot W^2$

- $\triangleright$  by feeding the prediction of one classifier to another one:
- $\hat{\mathbf{y}} = (\mathbf{x} \cdot \mathbf{W}^{\mathbf{0}}) \cdot \mathbf{W}^{\mathbf{1}}$
- $\blacktriangleright$   $\hat{y} = ((x \cdot W^0) \cdot W^1) \cdot W^2$
- $\blacktriangleright$  ...etc. Can be arbitrarily deep

- $\triangleright$  by feeding the prediction of one classifier to another one:
- $\hat{\mathbf{y}} = (\mathbf{x} \cdot \mathbf{W}^{\mathbf{0}}) \cdot \mathbf{W}^{\mathbf{1}}$
- $\blacktriangleright$   $\hat{y} = ((x \cdot W^0) \cdot W^1) \cdot W^2$
- $\blacktriangleright$  ...etc. Can be arbitrarily deep
- $\triangleright$  each subsequent row of neurons (layer) is a vector;

- $\triangleright$  by feeding the prediction of one classifier to another one:
- $\hat{\mathbf{y}} = (\mathbf{x} \cdot \mathbf{W}^{\mathbf{0}}) \cdot \mathbf{W}^{\mathbf{1}}$
- $\blacktriangleright$   $\hat{y} = ((x \cdot W^0) \cdot W^1) \cdot W^2$
- $\blacktriangleright$  ...etc. Can be arbitrarily deep
- $\triangleright$  each subsequent row of neurons (layer) is a vector;
- $\blacktriangleright$  it is multiplied by the next weight matrix (shapes must match).

Additionally we can stack classifiers...

- $\triangleright$  by feeding the prediction of one classifier to another one:
- $\hat{\mathbf{y}} = (\mathbf{x} \cdot \mathbf{W}^{\mathbf{0}}) \cdot \mathbf{W}^{\mathbf{1}}$
- $\blacktriangleright$   $\hat{y} = ((x \cdot W^0) \cdot W^1) \cdot W^2$
- $\blacktriangleright$  ...etc. Can be arbitrarily deep
- $\triangleright$  each subsequent row of neurons (layer) is a vector;
- $\blacktriangleright$  it is multiplied by the next weight matrix (shapes must match).

Can even use both multiple neurons and multiple layers.









#### Looks much like a 'deep neural network'

Stacked linear classifier with multiple computational units at each layer:

$$
h = x \cdot W^{0}
$$
  
\n
$$
f = h \cdot W^{1}
$$
  
\n
$$
\hat{y} = f \cdot W^{2}
$$
  
\n(2)  
\n(3)  
\n(4)

 $\blacktriangleright$  But any stack of linear classifiers is still a linear classifier :-(

- $\triangleright$  But any stack of linear classifiers is still a linear classifier :- (
- $\triangleright$  Still can't handle XOR and other non-linear problems.



#### Non-linear transformations of the input data make the desired difference.

Differentiable non-linear transformations (activations) are the core reason of deep learning's substantial results, including in NLP.

- Differentiable non-linear transformations (activations) are the core reason of deep learning's substantial results, including in NLP.
- $\blacktriangleright$  They help induce good input data representations.

- $\triangleright$  Differentiable non-linear transformations (activations) are the core reason of deep learning's substantial results, including in NLP.
- $\blacktriangleright$  They help induce good input data representations.
- $\blacktriangleright$  These representations are processed with a linear classifier in the end.

- $\triangleright$  Differentiable non-linear transformations (activations) are the core reason of deep learning's substantial results, including in NLP.
- $\blacktriangleright$  They help induce good input data representations.
- $\blacktriangleright$  These representations are processed with a linear classifier in the end.

#### Popular activation functions

 $\triangleright$  Sigmoid (logistic function):  $\sigma(\bm{x}) = \frac{1}{1+e^{-\bm{x}}} \rightarrow [0,1]$ 

- $\triangleright$  Differentiable non-linear transformations (activations) are the core reason of deep learning's substantial results, including in NLP.
- $\blacktriangleright$  They help induce good input data representations.
- $\blacktriangleright$  These representations are processed with a linear classifier in the end.

#### Popular activation functions

 $\triangleright$  Sigmoid (logistic function):  $\sigma(\bm{x}) = \frac{1}{1+e^{-\bm{x}}} \rightarrow [0,1]$ 

**I** REctified Linear Unit (ReLU) [\[Glorot et al., 2011\]](#page-50-1):

$$
\mathit{relu}(x) = \begin{cases} x, & \text{if } x \geq 0 \\ 0, & \text{otherwise} \end{cases} \rightarrow [0,\infty]
$$

- $\triangleright$  Differentiable non-linear transformations (activations) are the core reason of deep learning's substantial results, including in NLP.
- $\blacktriangleright$  They help induce good input data representations.
- $\blacktriangleright$  These representations are processed with a linear classifier in the end.

#### Popular activation functions

- $\triangleright$  Sigmoid (logistic function):  $\sigma(\bm{x}) = \frac{1}{1+e^{-\bm{x}}} \rightarrow [0,1]$
- **I** REctified Linear Unit (ReLU) [\[Glorot et al., 2011\]](#page-50-1):

$$
\mathit{relu}(x) = \begin{cases} x, & \text{if } x \geq 0 \\ 0, & \text{otherwise} \end{cases} \rightarrow [0,\infty]
$$

Hyperbolic tangent:

$$
\tanh(x)=\frac{e^{2x}-1}{e^{2x}+1}\rightarrow[-1,1]
$$

#### Popular activation functions...











The only difference between this deep neural network and a stack of linear classifiers: non-linearities  $(\int)$  between linear transformations.





The only difference between this deep neural network and a stack of linear classifiers: non-linearities  $(\int)$  between linear transformations.

In the next sub-lecture 3.2: why 'feed-forward' and 'fully connected'?

#### <span id="page-50-1"></span>量 Glorot, X., Bordes, A., and Bengio, Y. (2011).

Deep sparse rectifier neural networks.

In Proceedings of the Fourteenth International Conference on Artificial Intelligence and Statistics, pages 315–323.

#### <span id="page-50-0"></span>晶 Turing, A. (1948).

Intelligent machinery.

Technical report, National Physical Laboratory.