IN5550: Neural Methods in Natural Language Processing Sub-lecture 3.3 Practicalities and hyper-parameters

Andrey Kutuzov

University of Oslo

7 February 2023



<span id="page-1-0"></span>







# How deep should our networks be?



### It depends...

 $\blacktriangleright$  ...on what your task is?



#### It depends...

- $\blacktriangleright$  ...on what your task is?
	- ▶ Computer vision often uses models that are hundreds of layers deep



#### It depends...

- $\blacktriangleright$  ...on what your task is?
	- ▶ Computer vision often uses models that are hundreds of layers deep
	- $\triangleright$  The number of layers in NLP varies between 1-2 up to 24 (*BERT*, [\[Devlin et al., 2019\]](#page-64-0)) or even 78 (Turing-NLG).
- $\blacktriangleright$  The strongest NLP models are still growing in depth, but it's not entirely clear how much extreme depth benefits.



The deeper and larger the model, the more capacity (parameters), the more complex functions it can approximate.

The deeper and larger the model, the more capacity (parameters), the more complex functions it can approximate.







## Vanishing Gradients

 $\triangleright$  As a gradient flows through deep neural networks, it can tend towards zero (vanishing gradient)

## Vanishing Gradients

- $\triangleright$  As a gradient flows through deep neural networks, it can tend towards zero (vanishing gradient)
- $\blacktriangleright$  Recall the sigmoid function and its derivative...



Back-propagation computes the gradients by the chain rule ...

- $\triangleright$  As a gradient flows through deep neural networks, it can tend towards zero (vanishing gradient)
- Recall the sigmoid function and its derivative...



- Back-propagation computes the gradients by the chain rule ...
- $\blacktriangleright$  You are effectively multiplying n of these small numbers to compute gradients for the early layers of an n-layer network

- $\triangleright$  As a gradient flows through deep neural networks, it can tend towards zero (vanishing gradient)
- Recall the sigmoid function and its derivative...



- Back-propagation computes the gradients by the chain rule ...
- $\triangleright$  You are effectively multiplying *n* of these small numbers to compute gradients for the early layers of an n-layer network
- $\blacktriangleright$  The size of the gradient decreases exponentially with n

- $\triangleright$  As a gradient flows through deep neural networks, it can tend towards zero (vanishing gradient)
- $\blacktriangleright$  Recall the sigmoid function and its derivative...



- Back-propagation computes the gradients by the chain rule ...
- $\triangleright$  You are effectively multiplying *n* of these small numbers to compute gradients for the early layers of an n-layer network
- $\blacktriangleright$  The size of the gradient decreases exponentially with n
- $\blacktriangleright$  The model learns very slow, or stops learning completely.





 $\blacktriangleright$  If instead you have a non-linearity whose derivatives can take larger values...



- If instead you have a non-linearity whose derivatives can take larger values...
- $\triangleright$  the gradients can become overly large (explode) and training updates will make overly large changes to parameters.



- If instead you have a non-linearity whose derivatives can take larger values...
- $\triangleright$  the gradients can become overly large (explode) and training updates will make overly large changes to parameters.
- $\blacktriangleright$  Learning becomes highly unstable and in practice it is impossible to optimize well



# How can we solve these problems?



1. Choose the non-linearity of your hidden layers wisely



- 1. Choose the non-linearity of your hidden layers wisely
	- $\blacktriangleright$  relu > tanh > sigmoid



- 1. Choose the non-linearity of your hidden layers wisely
	- $\blacktriangleright$  relu > tanh > sigmoid
	- $\blacktriangleright$  many new options: *maxout*, *gelu*, *elu*, *geglu* [\[Shazeer, 2020\]](#page-64-1)



- 1. Choose the non-linearity of your hidden layers wisely
	- $\blacktriangleright$  relu > tanh > sigmoid
	- many new options: maxout, gelu, elu, geglu [\[Shazeer, 2020\]](#page-64-1)
- 2. Be careful with initialization parameters



- 1. Choose the non-linearity of your hidden layers wisely
	- $\blacktriangleright$  relu > tanh > sigmoid
	- $\blacktriangleright$  many new options: *maxout*, *gelu*, *elu*, *geglu* [\[Shazeer, 2020\]](#page-64-1)
- 2. Be careful with initialization parameters
- 3. Make the network shallower



- 1. Choose the non-linearity of your hidden layers wisely
	- $\blacktriangleright$  relu  $>$  tanh  $>$  sigmoid
	- many new options: maxout, gelu, elu, geglu [\[Shazeer, 2020\]](#page-64-1)
- 2. Be careful with initialization parameters
- 3. Make the network shallower
- 4. Step-wise training (first train lower then further layers)



- 1. Choose the non-linearity of your hidden layers wisely
	- $\blacktriangleright$  relu  $>$  tanh  $>$  sigmoid
	- many new options: maxout, gelu, elu, geglu [\[Shazeer, 2020\]](#page-64-1)
- 2. Be careful with initialization parameters
- 3. Make the network shallower
- 4. Step-wise training (first train lower then further layers)
- 5. Batch normalization



- 1. Choose the non-linearity of your hidden layers wisely
	- $\blacktriangleright$  relu  $>$  tanh  $>$  sigmoid
	- $\blacktriangleright$  many new options: *maxout*, *gelu*, *elu*, *geglu* [\[Shazeer, 2020\]](#page-64-1)
- 2. Be careful with initialization parameters
- 3. Make the network shallower
- 4. Step-wise training (first train lower then further layers)
- 5. Batch normalization
- 6. Scheduled learning rate

- 1. Choose the non-linearity of your hidden layers wisely
	- $\blacktriangleright$  relu  $>$  tanh  $>$  sigmoid
	- many new options: maxout, gelu, elu, geglu [\[Shazeer, 2020\]](#page-64-1)
- 2. Be careful with initialization parameters
- 3. Make the network shallower
- 4. Step-wise training (first train lower then further layers)
- 5. Batch normalization
- 6. Scheduled learning rate
- 7. Use special gradient-preserving architectures (LSTM, GRU, coming soon)



### **Optimization**

 $\blacktriangleright$  Which optimization algorithm to use?



#### **Optimization**

- $\triangleright$  Which optimization algorithm to use?
- $\triangleright$  SGD works well but may be slow to converge

### Optimization

- $\triangleright$  Which optimization algorithm to use?
- $\triangleright$  SGD works well but may be slow to converge
- $\blacktriangleright$  AdaGrad, AdamW, etc are good alternatives

### Optimization

- $\blacktriangleright$  Which optimization algorithm to use?
- $\triangleright$  SGD works well but may be slow to converge
- $\blacktriangleright$  AdaGrad, AdamW, etc are good alternatives
- $\blacktriangleright$  Depending on the task, SGD may still perform better

### **Optimization**

- $\blacktriangleright$  Which optimization algorithm to use?
- $\triangleright$  SGD works well but may be slow to converge
- $\blacktriangleright$  AdaGrad, AdamW, etc are good alternatives
- $\blacktriangleright$  Depending on the task, SGD may still perform better

## from torch **import** optim

optimizer = optim  $SGD$ (model . params (),  $1r = 0.01$ ) optimizer = optim  $\text{AdamW}(\text{model} \cdot \text{params}()$ , lr =0.01) optimizer = optim. Adagrad (model. params  $()$ ,  $I = 0.01)$ optimizer = optim. LBFGS (model . params  $()$ ,  $|r = 1\rangle$ 



### **Initialization**

 $\blacktriangleright$  We normally randomly initialize the parameters  $\theta$ 

#### Initialization

- $\blacktriangleright$  We normally randomly initialize the parameters  $\theta$
- $\blacktriangleright$  ... but the magnitude of the random values still has a large impact on the learning process

### Initialization

- $\triangleright$  We normally randomly initialize the parameters  $\theta$
- $\blacktriangleright$  ... but the magnitude of the random values still has a large impact on the learning process
- $\blacktriangleright$  Xavier initialization:

$$
W \backsim U \bigg[ - \frac{\sqrt{6}}{\sqrt{d_{in} + d_{out}}} + \frac{\sqrt{6}}{\sqrt{d_{in} + d_{out}}} \bigg]
$$
 (1)

### Initialization

- $\triangleright$  We normally randomly initialize the parameters  $\theta$
- In the magnitude of the random values still has a large impact on the learning process
- $\triangleright$  Xavier initialization:

$$
W \backsim U \bigg[ -\frac{\sqrt{6}}{\sqrt{d_{in} + d_{out}}}, +\frac{\sqrt{6}}{\sqrt{d_{in} + d_{out}}} \bigg]
$$
 (1)

 $\blacktriangleright$  He initialization:

$$
W \backsim \mathcal{N}(\mu, \sigma), \ \sigma = \sqrt{\frac{2}{d_{in}}} \tag{2}
$$

### Initialization

- $\triangleright$  We normally randomly initialize the parameters  $\theta$
- $\blacktriangleright$  ... but the magnitude of the random values still has a large impact on the learning process
- $\triangleright$  Xavier initialization:

$$
W \backsim U \bigg[ -\frac{\sqrt{6}}{\sqrt{d_{in} + d_{out}}}, +\frac{\sqrt{6}}{\sqrt{d_{in} + d_{out}}} \bigg]
$$
 (1)

 $\blacktriangleright$  He initialization:

$$
W \backsim \mathcal{N}(\mu, \sigma), \ \sigma = \sqrt{\frac{2}{d_{in}}} \tag{2}
$$

 $\blacktriangleright$  In PyTorch, the initialization depends on the kind of layer that you are instantiating. Have a look at the documentation: <https://pytorch.org/docs/stable/nn.init.html>



 $\triangleright$  since we are using random initializations, we may want to check various initializations



- $\triangleright$  since we are using random initializations, we may want to check various initializations
- $\triangleright$  you can then report the average model accuracy across all restarts



- $\triangleright$  since we are using random initializations, we may want to check various initializations
- $\triangleright$  you can then report the average model accuracy across all restarts
- $\blacktriangleright$  gives an idea of model stability

- $\triangleright$  since we are using random initializations, we may want to check various initializations
- $\triangleright$  you can then report the average model accuracy across all restarts
- $\blacktriangleright$  gives an idea of model stability
- $\blacktriangleright$  Ensembles often increase prediction accuracy

- $\triangleright$  since we are using random initializations, we may want to check various initializations
- $\triangleright$  you can then report the average model accuracy across all restarts
- $\blacktriangleright$  gives an idea of model stability
- $\blacktriangleright$  Ensembles often increase prediction accuracy
- $\triangleright$  Note: use a fixed random seed for reproducibility when comparing different data or hyper-parameters.



#### Shuffling

- $\blacktriangleright$  The order in which the training examples are presented is important
- $\blacktriangleright$  It is recommended to shuffle the training data before each training epoch



#### Learning rate

- $\triangleright$  Large learning rates will prevent convergence
- $\triangleright$  Small learning rates will take too long to converge



#### Minibatch size

- ▶ When using batch SGD, you have to decide on batch size
- $\blacktriangleright$  Large batches are sometimes helpful (depends on task)
- $\blacktriangleright$  Also computationally efficient



### Regularization

 $\blacktriangleright$  Like in linear models, we need regularization to avoid over-fitting;

## Regularization

- $\blacktriangleright$  Like in linear models, we need regularization to avoid over-fitting;
- $\blacktriangleright$  most popular options for deep neural networks:
	- 1.  $L_2$  norm (weight decay);

## Regularization

- $\blacktriangleright$  Like in linear models, we need regularization to avoid over-fitting;
- $\blacktriangleright$  most popular options for deep neural networks:
	- 1.  $L_2$  norm (weight decay);
	- 2. Dropout [\[Srivastava et al., 2014\]](#page-64-2) (very effective).

## Regularization

- $\blacktriangleright$  Like in linear models, we need regularization to avoid over-fitting;
- $\triangleright$  most popular options for deep neural networks:
	- 1.  $L_2$  norm (weight decay);
	- 2. Dropout [\[Srivastava et al., 2014\]](#page-64-2) (very effective).

Dropout simply zeroes out neurons in the layers (e.g., 50%) in each forward pass randomly:





# So how many different hyper-parameters can we possibly have for deep feed-forward neural networks?



1. Depth (number of hidden layers)

- 1. Depth (number of hidden layers)
- 2. Width (number of neurons per hidden layer)

- 1. Depth (number of hidden layers)
- 2. Width (number of neurons per hidden layer)
- 3. Non-linearity

- 1. Depth (number of hidden layers)
- 2. Width (number of neurons per hidden layer)
- 3. Non-linearity
- 4. Initialization strategy

- 1. Depth (number of hidden layers)
- 2. Width (number of neurons per hidden layer)
- 3. Non-linearity
- 4. Initialization strategy
- 5. Normalization (dropout,  $l_2$ , batch norm)

- 1. Depth (number of hidden layers)
- 2. Width (number of neurons per hidden layer)
- 3. Non-linearity
- 4. Initialization strategy
- 5. Normalization (dropout,  $l_2$ , batch norm)
- 6. Optimization algorithm (SGD, Adam, AdaGrad)

- 1. Depth (number of hidden layers)
- 2. Width (number of neurons per hidden layer)
- 3. Non-linearity
- 4. Initialization strategy
- 5. Normalization (dropout,  $l_2$ , batch norm)
- 6. Optimization algorithm (SGD, Adam, AdaGrad)
- 7. Learning rate (initial, annealing, warmup, decay etc.)

- 1. Depth (number of hidden layers)
- 2. Width (number of neurons per hidden layer)
- 3. Non-linearity
- 4. Initialization strategy
- 5. Normalization (dropout,  $l_2$ , batch norm)
- 6. Optimization algorithm (SGD, Adam, AdaGrad)
- 7. Learning rate (initial, annealing, warmup, decay etc.)
- 8. Batch size
- 9. etc...



# How can we possibly choose the best values for all of these?



### Most common strategies:

- $\blacktriangleright$  Grid search...
- $\blacktriangleright$  Random search...
- $\blacktriangleright$  Bayesian search...



### Most common strategies:

- $\blacktriangleright$  Grid search...
- $\blacktriangleright$  Random search...
- $\blacktriangleright$  Bayesian search...
- $\blacktriangleright$  ... black magic (a.k.a. 'trials and errors').

### Most common strategies:

- $\blacktriangleright$  Grid search...
- $\blacktriangleright$  Random search...
- Bayesian search...
- $\blacktriangleright$  ... black magic (a.k.a. 'trials and errors').





How is that all implemented in code? Computation graph (sub-lecture 3.4).

# References I

<span id="page-64-0"></span>H Devlin, J., Chang, M.-W., Lee, K., and Toutanova, K. (2019). BERT: Pre-training of deep bidirectional transformers for language understanding. In Proceedings of the 2019 Conference of the North American Chapter of the Association for Computational Linguistics: Human Language Technologies, Volume 1 (Long and Short Papers), pages 4171–4186, Minneapolis, Minnesota. Association for Computational Linguistics.

- <span id="page-64-1"></span>Shazeer, N. (2020). 晶 GLU variants improve transformer. CoRR, abs/2002.05202.
- <span id="page-64-2"></span>Srivastava, N., Hinton, G., Krizhevsky, A., Sutskever, I., and Salakhutdinov, R. (2014). 量 Dropout: a simple way to prevent neural networks from overfitting. The Journal of Machine Learning Research, 15(1):1929–1958.