IN5550: Neural Methods in Natural Language Processing Sub-lecture 3.4 Computation graphs

Andrey Kutuzov

University of Oslo

7 February 2023





<span id="page-1-0"></span>







 $\triangleright$  so we can use the same gradient-based optimization that we already saw (SGD, etc)



- $\triangleright$  so we can use the same gradient-based optimization that we already saw (SGD, etc)
- $\triangleright$  But we have to calculate the gradient
	- $\triangleright$  potentially error prone and needs to be repeated for every change in model configuration/number of hidden layers/activation function/loss function, etc



- $\triangleright$  so we can use the same gradient-based optimization that we already saw (SGD, etc)
- $\triangleright$  But we have to calculate the gradient
	- **•** potentially error prone and needs to be repeated for every change in model configuration/number of hidden layers/activation function/loss function, etc
- $\blacktriangleright$  The good news is that we can use reverse-mode automatic differentiation (i.e. back-propagation) to automatically calculate the gradients

- $\triangleright$  so we can use the same gradient-based optimization that we already saw (SGD, etc)
- $\triangleright$  But we have to calculate the gradient
	- **•** potentially error prone and needs to be repeated for every change in model configuration/number of hidden layers/activation function/loss function, etc
- $\blacktriangleright$  The good news is that we can use reverse-mode automatic differentiation (i.e. back-propagation) to automatically calculate the gradients
- $\blacktriangleright$  This means that instead of spending lots of your time deriving gradients by hand, you can use all that time to explore model options.

 $\triangleright$  These days, deep neural networks are usually trained using the computation graph abstraction:

- 
- $\triangleright$  These days, deep neural networks are usually trained using the computation graph abstraction:
- $\blacktriangleright$  representation of the process of computing a mathematical expression;

- 
- $\triangleright$  These days, deep neural networks are usually trained using the computation graph abstraction:
- $\blacktriangleright$  representation of the process of computing a mathematical expression;
- $\blacktriangleright$  allows to construct arbitrary deep and complex network architectures;

- 
- $\triangleright$  These days, deep neural networks are usually trained using the computation graph abstraction:
- $\blacktriangleright$  representation of the process of computing a mathematical expression;
- $\blacktriangleright$  allows to construct arbitrary deep and complex network architectures;
- $\triangleright$  computation is broken down into separate operations;

- 
- $\triangleright$  These days, deep neural networks are usually trained using the computation graph abstraction:
- $\triangleright$  representation of the process of computing a mathematical expression;
- $\blacktriangleright$  allows to construct arbitrary deep and complex network architectures;
- $\triangleright$  computation is broken down into separate operations;
- $\triangleright$  each operation and variable is a node in a graph:

- $\triangleright$  These days, deep neural networks are usually trained using the computation graph abstraction:
- $\triangleright$  representation of the process of computing a mathematical expression;
- $\blacktriangleright$  allows to construct arbitrary deep and complex network architectures;
- $\triangleright$  computation is broken down into separate operations;
- $\triangleright$  each operation and variable is a node in a graph:



directed acyclic graph (DAG)

- $\triangleright$  These days, deep neural networks are usually trained using the computation graph abstraction:
- $\triangleright$  representation of the process of computing a mathematical expression;
- $\blacktriangleright$  allows to construct arbitrary deep and complex network architectures;
- $\triangleright$  computation is broken down into separate operations;
- $\triangleright$  each operation and variable is a node in a graph:



directed acyclic graph (DAG) Question: **what does this graph produce when**  $a = 2, b = 1$ ?

▶ Similar to linear classifiers, deep NNs are trained by gradient calculation (SGD, etc)

- ▶ Similar to linear classifiers, deep NNs are trained by gradient calculation (SGD, etc)
- $\blacktriangleright$  Two main stages:

- $\triangleright$  Similar to linear classifiers, deep NNs are trained by gradient calculation (SGD, etc)
- $\blacktriangleright$  Two main stages:
	- 1. Forward pass (compute predictions for given inputs);
		- $\blacktriangleright$  move forward along the graph from input to output and compute the loss.

- $\triangleright$  Similar to linear classifiers, deep NNs are trained by gradient calculation (SGD, etc)
- $\blacktriangleright$  Two main stages:
	- 1. Forward pass (compute predictions for given inputs);
		- $\blacktriangleright$  move forward along the graph from input to output and compute the loss.
	- 2. Backward pass (compute gradients with respect to the loss)
		- $\triangleright$  move backwards along the graph from the resulting loss through all the layers to the input.

- $\triangleright$  Similar to linear classifiers, deep NNs are trained by gradient calculation (SGD, etc)
- $\blacktriangleright$  Two main stages:
	- 1. Forward pass (compute predictions for given inputs);
		- $\blacktriangleright$  move forward along the graph from input to output and compute the loss.
	- 2. Backward pass (compute gradients with respect to the loss)
		- $\triangleright$  move backwards along the graph from the resulting loss through all the layers to the input.
- $\blacktriangleright$  How exactly they are performed is dependent on which nodes are there in the graph.

- $\triangleright$  Similar to linear classifiers, deep NNs are trained by gradient calculation (SGD, etc)
- $\blacktriangleright$  Two main stages:
	- 1. Forward pass (compute predictions for given inputs);
		- $\blacktriangleright$  move forward along the graph from input to output and compute the loss.
	- 2. Backward pass (compute gradients with respect to the loss)
		- $\triangleright$  move backwards along the graph from the resulting loss through all the layers to the input.
- How exactly they are performed is dependent on which nodes are there in the graph.
- I Operations, parameters and variables are nodes in a DAG.

- $\triangleright$  Similar to linear classifiers, deep NNs are trained by gradient calculation (SGD, etc)
- $\blacktriangleright$  Two main stages:
	- 1. Forward pass (compute predictions for given inputs);
		- $\blacktriangleright$  move forward along the graph from input to output and compute the loss.
	- 2. Backward pass (compute gradients with respect to the loss)
		- $\triangleright$  move backwards along the graph from the resulting loss through all the layers to the input.
- How exactly they are performed is dependent on which nodes are there in the graph.
- $\triangleright$  Operations, parameters and variables are nodes in a DAG.
- $\blacktriangleright$  The whole graph should be differentiable.



Think over before the Q&A session / lab session:

 $\blacktriangleright$  How many total layers are there in this computation graph?



- $\blacktriangleright$  How many total layers are there in this computation graph?
- $\blacktriangleright$  How many hidden layers?



- $\blacktriangleright$  How many total layers are there in this computation graph?
- $\blacktriangleright$  How many hidden layers?
- $\blacktriangleright$  What is the shape of its output?



- $\blacktriangleright$  How many total layers are there in this computation graph?
- $\blacktriangleright$  How many hidden layers?
- $\blacktriangleright$  What is the shape of its output?
- ▶ How can you describe what this architecture **does?**



- $\blacktriangleright$  How many total layers are there in this computation graph?
- $\blacktriangleright$  How many hidden layers?
- $\blacktriangleright$  What is the shape of its output?
- ▶ How can you describe what this architecture **does?**
- $\blacktriangleright$  Any NLP task for which it can be used?

 $\triangleright$  Go over all nodes starting from the input;

- $\triangleright$  Go over all nodes starting from the input;
- $\blacktriangleright$  apply the operations sequentially;

- $\triangleright$  Go over all nodes starting from the input;
- $\blacktriangleright$  apply the operations sequentially;
- $\triangleright$  produce the output up to the final scalar loss-node.

- $\triangleright$  Go over all nodes starting from the input;
- $\blacktriangleright$  apply the operations sequentially;
- $\triangleright$  produce the output up to the final scalar loss-node.

### Loss calculation

- $\blacktriangleright$  Loss functions are as a rule the same as with linear models;
- $\triangleright$  cross-entropy is arguably the dominant one:  $L(\hat{y}, y) = -y \log(\hat{y}) (1 y) \log(1 \hat{y})$
- $\triangleright$  NB: the output should be squashed by sigmoid or like.

- $\triangleright$  Go over all nodes starting from the input;
- $\blacktriangleright$  apply the operations sequentially;
- $\triangleright$  produce the output up to the final scalar loss-node.

### Loss calculation

- $\blacktriangleright$  Loss functions are as a rule the same as with linear models;
- $\triangleright$  cross-entropy is arguably the dominant one:  $L(\hat{y}, y) = -y \log(\hat{y}) (1 y) \log(1 \hat{y})$
- NB: the output should be squashed by sigmoid or like.
- $\blacktriangleright$  ...however, new loss functions are also being introduced:
	- $\blacktriangleright$  e.g., Von Mises-Fisher loss [\[Kumar and Tsvetkov, 2018\]](#page-55-0), etc.

 $\blacktriangleright$  In simple linear classifiers, we compute the partial derivatives of model parameters with respect to the loss...

- $\triangleright$  In simple linear classifiers, we compute the partial derivatives of model parameters with respect to the loss...
- $\blacktriangleright$  ...in multi-layer networks it is the same...

- $\blacktriangleright$  In simple linear classifiers, we compute the partial derivatives of model parameters with respect to the loss...
- $\blacktriangleright$  ...in multi-layer networks it is the same...
- $\blacktriangleright$  ...but the number of parameters can be crazy big.

- $\triangleright$  In simple linear classifiers, we compute the partial derivatives of model parameters with respect to the loss...
- $\blacktriangleright$  ...in multi-layer networks it is the same...
- $\blacktriangleright$  ...but the number of parameters can be crazy big.
- $\triangleright$  Gradient is computed using a special algorithm:
- **back-propagation a.k.a reverse differentiation** [\[Rumelhart et al., 1986\]](#page-56-0);

- In simple linear classifiers, we compute the partial derivatives of model parameters with respect to the loss...
- $\blacktriangleright$  ...in multi-layer networks it is the same...
- $\blacktriangleright$  ...but the number of parameters can be crazy big.
- $\triangleright$  Gradient is computed using a special algorithm:
- **back-propagation a.k.a reverse differentiation** [\[Rumelhart et al., 1986\]](#page-56-0);
- propagating loss values backwards through all layers...
- $\blacktriangleright$  ...religiously computing derivatives at each.

## Backward pass

 $\triangleright$  Back-propagation is very efficient in terms of computation speed, but very error-prone if done manually...

## Backward pass

 $\triangleright$  Back-propagation is very efficient in terms of computation speed, but very error-prone if done manually...



## Backward pass

 $\triangleright$  Back-propagation is very efficient in terms of computation speed, but very error-prone if done manually...



... fortunately, automatic tools for gradient computation with *backprop* do exist and are well-developed!



<span id="page-39-0"></span>





## Software libraries

## Software libraries

- $\triangleright$  Static graph construction (compile then run):
	- ▶ TensorFlow by Google [\[Abadi et al., 2015\]](#page-55-1) (<https://tensorflow.org>)

## Software libraries

- $\triangleright$  Static graph construction (compile then run):
	- ▶ TensorFlow by Google [\[Abadi et al., 2015\]](#page-55-1) (<https://tensorflow.org>)
- $\triangleright$  Dynamic graph construction (run on the fly, a new graph for each sample):
	- ▶ PyTorch by Facebook [\[Paszke et al., 2017\]](#page-55-2) (<https://pytorch.org/>)

## Software libraries

- $\triangleright$  Static graph construction (compile then run):
	- ▶ TensorFlow by Google [\[Abadi et al., 2015\]](#page-55-1) (<https://tensorflow.org>)
- $\triangleright$  Dynamic graph construction (run on the fly, a new graph for each sample):
	- I PyTorch by Facebook [\[Paszke et al., 2017\]](#page-55-2) (<https://pytorch.org/>)
		- $\triangleright$  (TensorFlow 2 added support for dynamic graphs as well)

## Software libraries

No lack of open-source deep learning toolkits:

- $\triangleright$  Static graph construction (compile then run):
	- $\triangleright$  TensorFlow by Google [\[Abadi et al., 2015\]](#page-55-1) (<https://tensorflow.org>)
- $\triangleright$  Dynamic graph construction (run on the fly, a new graph for each sample):
	- ▶ PyTorch by Facebook [\[Paszke et al., 2017\]](#page-55-2) (<https://pytorch.org/>)
		- $\triangleright$  (TensorFlow 2 added support for dynamic graphs as well)

Every tech giant wants to introduce its own 'definitive deep learning library'. The field is very competitive.



## This course

 $\blacktriangleright$  IN5550 is currently based on PyTorch library...

#### This course

- $\blacktriangleright$  IN5550 is currently based on PyTorch library...
- $\blacktriangleright$  ...since it is more flexible and arguably easier to learn.

#### This course

- $\blacktriangleright$  IN5550 is currently based on PyTorch library...
- $\blacktriangleright$  ...since it is more flexible and arguably easier to learn.



 $\blacktriangleright$  We are going to use well-established architectures...

#### This course

- $\blacktriangleright$  IN5550 is currently based on PyTorch library...
- $\blacktriangleright$  ...since it is more flexible and arguably easier to learn.



- $\blacktriangleright$  We are going to use well-established architectures...
- $\triangleright$  ...so everything can be done via PyTorch.

### This course

- $\blacktriangleright$  IN5550 is currently based on PyTorch library...
- $\blacktriangleright$  ...since it is more flexible and arguably easier to learn.



- $\blacktriangleright$  We are going to use well-established architectures...
- $\triangleright$  ...so everything can be done via PyTorch.
- $\blacktriangleright$  Makes it easy to use deep learning elements in your code.
- ▶ <https://pytorch.org/>



<span id="page-50-0"></span>





 $\triangleright$  One-hot VS dense encodings of linguistic entities.



- $\triangleright$  One-hot VS dense encodings of linguistic entities.
- $\blacktriangleright$  Making the discrete world continuous.



- $\triangleright$  One-hot VS dense encodings of linguistic entities.
- $\blacktriangleright$  Making the discrete world continuous.
- $\triangleright$  Preliminaries for word embeddings.
	- ▶ Yay! Word2vec!



- $\triangleright$  One-hot VS dense encodings of linguistic entities.
- $\blacktriangleright$  Making the discrete world continuous.
- $\blacktriangleright$  Preliminaries for word embeddings.
	- ▶ Yay! Word2vec!
- $\triangleright$  Stay tuned!

## References I

- <span id="page-55-1"></span>晶 Abadi, M., Agarwal, A., Barham, P., Brevdo, E., Chen, Z., Citro, C., Corrado, G. S., Davis, A., Dean, J., Devin, M., Ghemawat, S., Goodfellow, I., Harp, A., Irving, G., Isard, M., Jia, Y., Jozefowicz, R., Kaiser, L., Kudlur, M., Levenberg, J., Mané, D., Monga, R., Moore, S., Murray, D., Olah, C., Schuster, M., Shlens, J., Steiner, B., Sutskever, I., Talwar, K., Tucker, P., Vanhoucke, V., Vasudevan, V., Viégas, F., Vinyals, O., Warden, P., Wattenberg, M., Wicke, M., Yu, Y., and Zheng, X. (2015). TensorFlow: Large-scale machine learning on heterogeneous systems. Software available from tensorflow.org.
- <span id="page-55-0"></span>量 Kumar, S. and Tsvetkov, Y. (2018). Von mises-fisher loss for training sequence to sequence models with continuous outputs. In International Conference on Learning Representations.
- <span id="page-55-2"></span>Paszke, A., Gross, S., Chintala, S., and Chanan, G. (2017). 暈 Pytorch: Tensors and dynamic neural networks in Python with strong GPU acceleration.

<span id="page-56-0"></span>F Rumelhart, D. E., Hinton, G. E., and Williams, R. J. (1986). Learning representations by back-propagating errors. Nature, 323(6088):533.