

<i>acct</i>	<i>type</i>	<i>balance</i>
12345	checking	10000
23456	checking	2000
34567	savings	5

The relation Accounts

<i>firstName</i>	<i>lastName</i>	<i>id</i>	<i>account</i>
Robbie	Banks	901-333	12345
Lena	Hand	805-222	12345
Lena	Hand	805-222	23456

The relation Customers

Figure 2.6: Two relations of a banking database

Studio

This relation tells about movie studios. We rely on no two studios having the same name, and therefore use *name* as the key. The other attributes are the address of the studio and the certificate number for the president of the studio. We assume that the studio president is surely a movie executive and therefore appears in *MovieExec*.

2.2.9 Exercises for Section 2.2

Exercise 2.2.1: In Fig. 2.6 are instances of two relations that might constitute part of a banking database. Indicate the following:

- The attributes of each relation.
- The tuples of each relation.
- The components of one tuple from each relation.
- The relation schema for each relation.
- The database schema.
- A suitable domain for each attribute.
- Another equivalent way to present each relation.

Exercise 2.2.2: In Section 2.2.7 we suggested that there are many examples of attributes that are created for the purpose of serving as keys of relations. Give some additional examples.

!! Exercise 2.2.3: How many different ways (considering orders of tuples and attributes) are there to represent a relation instance if that instance has:

- a) Three attributes and three tuples, like the relation `Accounts` of Fig. 2.6?
- b) Four attributes and five tuples?
- c) n attributes and m tuples?

2.3 Defining a Relation Schema in SQL

SQL (pronounced “sequel”) is the principal language used to describe and manipulate relational databases. There is a current standard for SQL, called SQL-99. Most commercial database management systems implement something similar, but not identical to, the standard. There are two aspects to SQL:

1. The *Data-Definition* sublanguage for declaring database schemas and
2. The *Data-Manipulation* sublanguage for *querying* (asking questions about) databases and for modifying the database.

The distinction between these two sublanguages is found in most languages; e.g., C or Java have portions that declare data and other portions that are executable code. These correspond to data-definition and data-manipulation, respectively.

In this section we shall begin a discussion of the data-definition portion of SQL. There is more on the subject in Chapter 7, especially the matter of constraints on data. The data-manipulation portion is covered extensively in Chapter 6.

2.3.1 Relations in SQL

SQL makes a distinction between three kinds of relations:

1. *Stored relations*, which are called *tables*. These are the kind of relation we deal with ordinarily — a relation that exists in the database and that can be modified by changing its tuples, as well as queried.
2. *Views*, which are relations defined by a computation. These relations are not stored, but are constructed, in whole or in part, when needed. They are the subject of Section 8.1.

Example 2.7: In Example 2.6, the form of either Fig. 2.9 or Fig. 2.10 is acceptable, because the key is a single attribute. However, in a situation where the key has more than one attribute, we must use the style of Fig. 2.10. For instance, the relation *Movie*, whose key is the pair of attributes *title* and *year*, must be declared as in Fig. 2.11. However, as usual, *UNIQUE* is an option to replace *PRIMARY KEY*. □

```
CREATE TABLE Movies (
    title      CHAR(100),
    year       INT,
    length     INT,
    genre      CHAR(10),
    studioName CHAR(30),
    producerC# INT,
    PRIMARY KEY (title, year)
);
```

Figure 2.11: Making *title* and *year* be the key of *Movies*

2.3.7 Exercises for Section 2.3

Exercise 2.3.1: In this exercise we introduce one of our running examples of a relational database schema. The database schema consists of four relations, whose schemas are:

```
Product(maker, model, type)
PC(model, speed, ram, hd, price)
Laptop(model, speed, ram, hd, screen, price)
Printer(model, color, type, price)
```

The *Product* relation gives the manufacturer, model number and type (PC, laptop, or printer) of various products. We assume for convenience that model numbers are unique over all manufacturers and product types; that assumption is not realistic, and a real database would include a code for the manufacturer as part of the model number. The *PC* relation gives for each model number that is a PC the speed (of the processor, in gigahertz), the amount of RAM (in megabytes), the size of the hard disk (in gigabytes), and the price. The *Laptop* relation is similar, except that the screen size (in inches) is also included. The *Printer* relation records for each printer model whether the printer produces color output (true, if so), the process type (laser or ink-jet, typically), and the price.

Write the following declarations:

- a) A suitable schema for relation *Product*.

b) A

c) A

d) A

e) A

f) A

Exerc
World

Ships
for the
class,
built t
in inc
Ships
the sh
involv
or ok)
W

a)

b)

c)

d)

e)

f)

- b) A suitable schema for relation Laptop.
- c) A suitable schema for relation Printer.
- d) A suitable schema for relation PC.
- e) An alteration to your Printer schema from (d) to delete the attribute color.
- f) An alteration to your Laptop schema from (c) to add the attribute od (optical-disk type, e.g., cd or dvd). Let the default value for this attribute be 'none' if the laptop does not have an optical disk.

Exercise 2.3.2: This exercise introduces another running example, concerning World War II capital ships. It involves the following relations:

```
Classes(class, type, country, numGuns, bore, displacement)
Ships(name, class, launched)
Battles(name, date)
Outcomes(ship, battle, result)
```

Ships are built in "classes" from the same design, and the class is usually named for the first ship of that class. The relation *Classes* records the name of the class, the type ('bb' for battleship or 'bc' for battlecruiser), the country that built the ship, the number of main guns, the bore (diameter of the gun barrel, in inches) of the main guns, and the displacement (weight, in tons). Relation *Ships* records the name of the ship, the name of its class, and the year in which the ship was launched. Relation *Battles* gives the name and date of battles involving these ships, and relation *Outcomes* gives the result (sunk, damaged, or ok) for each ship in each battle.

Write the following declarations:

- a) A suitable schema for relation Ships.
- b) A suitable schema for relation Outcomes.
- c) A suitable schema for relation Classes.
- d) A suitable schema for relation Battles.
- e) An alteration to your Classes relation from (a) to delete the attribute bore.
- f) An alteration to your Ships relation from (b) to include the attribute yard giving the shipyard where the ship was built.

The first step computes the relation of the interior node labeled $\sigma_{length \geq 100}$ in Fig. 2.18, and the second step computes the node labeled $\sigma_{studioName='Fox'}$. Notice that we get renaming “for free,” since we can use any attributes and relation name we wish for the left side of an assignment. The last two steps compute the intersection and the projection in the obvious way.

It is also permissible to combine some of the steps. For instance, we could combine the last two steps and write:

$$\begin{aligned} R(t,y,l,i,s,p) &:= \sigma_{length \geq 100}(\text{Movies}) \\ S(t,y,l,i,s,p) &:= \sigma_{studioName='Fox'}(\text{Movies}) \\ \text{Answer}(\text{title}, \text{year}) &:= \pi_{t,y}(R \cap S) \end{aligned}$$

We could even substitute for R and S in the last line and write the entire expression in one line. \square

2.4.14 Exercises for Section 2.4

Exercise 2.4.1: This exercise builds upon the products schema of Exercise 2.3.1. Recall that the database schema consists of four relations, whose schemas are:

```
Product(maker, model, type)
PC(model, speed, ram, hd, price)
Laptop(model, speed, ram, hd, screen, price)
Printer(model, color, type, price)
```

Some sample data for the relation *Product* is shown in Fig. 2.20. Sample data for the other three relations is shown in Fig. 2.21. Manufacturers and model numbers have been “sanitized,” but the data is typical of products on sale at the beginning of 2007.

Write expressions of relational algebra to answer the following queries. You may use the linear notation of Section 2.4.13 if you wish. For the data of Figs. 2.20 and 2.21, show the result of your query. However, your answer should work for arbitrary data, not just the data of these figures.

- a) Find those manufacturers that sell printers, but not PC's.
- b) What PC models have a speed of at least 2.50?
- c) Which manufacturers make laptops with a hard disk of at least 120GB?
- d) Find the model number and price of all products (of any type) made by manufacturer *C*.
- e) Find the model numbers of all black-and-white laser printers.
- ! f) Find those hard-disk sizes that occur in two or more PC's.

<i>maker</i>	<i>model</i>	<i>type</i>
A	1001	pc
A	1002	pc
A	1003	pc
A	2004	laptop
A	2005	laptop
A	2006	laptop
B	1004	pc
B	1005	pc
B	1006	pc
B	2007	laptop
C	1007	pc
D	1008	pc
D	1009	pc
D	1010	pc
D	3004	printer
D	3005	printer
E	1011	pc
E	1012	pc
E	1013	pc
E	2001	laptop
E	2002	laptop
E	2003	laptop
E	3001	printer
E	3002	printer
E	3003	printer
F	2008	laptop
F	2009	laptop
G	2010	laptop
H	3006	printer
H	3007	printer

Figure 2.20: Sample data for Product

<i>model</i>	<i>speed</i>	<i>ram</i>	<i>hd</i>	<i>price</i>
1001	2.66	1024	250	2114
1002	2.10	512	250	995
1003	1.42	512	80	478
1004	2.80	1024	250	649
1005	3.20	512	250	630
1006	3.20	1024	320	1049
1007	2.20	1024	200	510
1008	2.20	2048	250	770
1009	2.00	1024	250	650
1010	2.80	2048	300	770
1011	1.86	2048	160	959
1012	2.80	1024	160	649
1013	3.06	512	80	529

(a) Sample data for relation PC

<i>model</i>	<i>speed</i>	<i>ram</i>	<i>hd</i>	<i>screen</i>	<i>price</i>
2001	2.00	2048	240	20.1	3673
2002	1.73	1024	80	17.0	949
2003	1.80	512	60	15.4	549
2004	2.00	512	60	13.3	1150
2005	2.16	1024	120	17.0	2500
2006	2.00	2048	80	15.4	1700
2007	1.83	1024	120	13.3	1429
2008	1.60	1024	100	15.4	900
2009	1.60	512	80	14.1	680
2010	2.00	2048	160	15.4	2300

(b) Sample data for relation Laptop

<i>model</i>	<i>color</i>	<i>type</i>	<i>price</i>
3001	true	ink-jet	99
3002	false	laser	239
3003	true	laser	899
3004	true	ink-jet	120
3005	false	laser	120
3006	true	ink-jet	100
3007	true	laser	200

(c) Sample data for relation Printer

Figure 2.21: Sample data for relations of Exercise 2.4.1

- ! g) Find those pairs of PC models that have both the same speed and RAM. A pair should be listed only once; e.g., list (i, j) but not (j, i) .
- !! h) Find those manufacturers of at least two different computers (PC's or laptops) with speeds of at least 2.20.
- !! ~~k~~) Find the manufacturers who sell exactly three different models of PC.
- !! j) Find the manufacturer(s) of the computer (PC or laptop) with the highest available speed.
- !! k) Find the manufacturers of PC's with at least three different speeds.

Exercise 2.4.2: Draw expression trees for each of your expressions of Exercise 2.4.1.

Exercise 2.4.3: This exercise builds upon Exercise 2.3.2 concerning World War II capital ships. Recall it involves the following relations:

```
Classes(class, type, country, numGuns, bore, displacement)
Ships(name, class, launched)
Battles(name, date)
Outcomes(ship, battle, result)
```

Figures 2.22 and 2.23 give some sample data for these four relations.⁴ Note that, unlike the data for Exercise 2.4.1, there are some "dangling tuples" in this data, e.g., ships mentioned in *Outcomes* that are not mentioned in *Ships*.

Write expressions of relational algebra to answer the following queries. You may use the linear notation of Section 2.4.13 if you wish. For the data of Figs. 2.22 and 2.23, show the result of your query. However, your answer should work for arbitrary data, not just the data of these figures.

- Find the ships launched prior to 1917.
- Find the ships sunk in the battle of Surigao Strait.
- The treaty of Washington in 1921 prohibited capital ships heavier than 35,000 tons. List the ships that violated the treaty of Washington.
- List the name, displacement, and number of guns of the ships engaged in the battle of North Cape.
- List all the capital ships mentioned in the database. (Remember that all these ships may not appear in the *Ships* relation.)
- Give the class names and countries of the classes that carried guns of at least 16-inch bore.

⁴Source: J. N. Westwood, *Fighting Ships of World War II*, Follett Publishing, Chicago, 1975 and R. C. Stern, *US Battleships in Action*, Squadron/Signal Publications, Carrollton, TX, 1980.

<i>class</i>	<i>type</i>	<i>country</i>	<i>numGuns</i>	<i>bore</i>	<i>displacement</i>
Bismarck	bb	Germany	8	15	42000
Iowa	bb	USA	9	16	46000
Kongo	bc	Japan	8	14	32000
South Dakota	bb	USA	9	16	37000
Renown	bc	Gt. Britain	6	15	32000
Revenge	bb	Gt. Britain	8	15	29000
Mississippi	bb	USA	12	14	33000
Yamato	bb	Japan	9	18	65000

(a) Sample data for relation Classes

<i>name</i>	<i>date</i>
Denmark Strait	5/24-27/41
Guadalcanal	11/15/42
North Cape	12/26/43
Surigao Strait	10/25/44

(b) Sample data for relation Battles

<i>ship</i>	<i>battle</i>	<i>result</i>
Arizona	Pearl Harbor	sunk
Bismarck	Denmark Strait	sunk
California	Surigao Strait	ok
Duke of York	North Cape	ok
Fuso	Surigao Strait	sunk
Hood	Denmark Strait	sunk
King George V	Denmark Strait	ok
Kirishima	Guadalcanal	sunk
Prince of Wales	Denmark Strait	damaged
Rodney	Denmark Strait	ok
Scharnhorst	North Cape	sunk
South Dakota	Guadalcanal	damaged
Tennessee	Surigao Strait	ok
Washington	Guadalcanal	ok
West Virginia	Surigao Strait	ok
Yamashiro	Surigao Strait	sunk

(c) Sample data for relation Outcomes

Figure 2.22: Data for Exercise 2.4.3

<i>name</i>	<i>class</i>	<i>launched</i>
Alabama	South Dakota	1942
Haruna	Kongo	1915
Hiei	Kongo	1914
Idaho	Mississippi	1919
Iowa	Iowa	1943
Kirishima	Kongo	1915
Kongo	Kongo	1913
Missouri	Iowa	1944
Musashi	Yamato	1942
New Jersey	Iowa	1943
New Mexico	Mississippi	1918
Ramillies	Revenge	1917
Renown	Renown	1916
Repulse	Renown	1916
Resolution	Revenge	1916
Revenge	Revenge	1916
Royal Oak	Revenge	1916
Royal Sovereign	Revenge	1916
South Dakota	South Dakota	1942
Wisconsin	Iowa	1944
Yamato	Yamato	1941

Figure 2.23: Sample data for relation Ships

- ! g) Find those countries that had both battleships and battlecruisers.
- ! h) Find those ships that “lived to fight another day”; they were damaged in one battle, but later fought in another.
- ! i) Find the classes that had only one ship as a member of that class.

Exercise 2.4.4: Draw expression trees for each of your expressions of Exercise 2.4.3.

Exercise 2.4.5: What is the difference between the natural join $R \bowtie S$ and the theta-join $R \bowtie_C S$ where the condition C is that $R.A = S.A$ for each attribute A appearing in the schemas of both R and S ?

! **Exercise 2.4.6:** An operator on relations is said to be *monotone* if whenever we add a tuple to one of its arguments, the result contains all the tuples that it contained before adding the tuple, plus perhaps more tuples. Which of the operators described in this section are monotone? For each, either explain why it is monotone or give an example showing it is not.

! Exercise 2.4.7: Suppose relations R and S have n tuples and m tuples, respectively. Give the minimum and maximum numbers of tuples that the results of the following expressions can have.

- a) $R \cup S$.
- b) $R \bowtie S$.
- c) $\sigma_C(R) \times S$, for some condition C .
- d) $\pi_L(R) - S$, for some list of attributes L .

! Exercise 2.4.8: The *semijoin* of relations R and S , written $R \ltimes S$, is the set of tuples t in R such that there is at least one tuple in S that agrees with t in all attributes that R and S have in common. Give three different expressions of relational algebra that are equivalent to $R \ltimes S$.

! Exercise 2.4.9: The *antijoin* $R \overline{\ltimes} S$ is the set of tuples t in R that do *not* agree with any tuple of S in the attributes common to R and S . Give an expression of relational algebra equivalent to $R \overline{\ltimes} S$.

!! Exercise 2.4.10: Let R be a relation with schema

$$(A_1, A_2, \dots, A_n, B_1, B_2, \dots, B_m)$$

and let S be a relation with schema (B_1, B_2, \dots, B_m) ; that is, the attributes of S are a subset of the attributes of R . The *quotient* of R and S , denoted $R \div S$, is the set of tuples t over attributes A_1, A_2, \dots, A_n (i.e., the attributes of R that are not attributes of S) such that for every tuple s in S , the tuple ts , consisting of the components of t for A_1, A_2, \dots, A_n and the components of s for B_1, B_2, \dots, B_m , is a member of R . Give an expression of relational algebra, using the operators we have defined previously in this section, that is equivalent to $R \div S$.

2.5 Constraints on Relations

We now take up the third important aspect of a data model: the ability to restrict the data that may be stored in a database. So far, we have seen only one kind of constraint, the requirement that an attribute or attributes form a key (Section 2.3.6). These and many other kinds of constraints can be expressed in relational algebra. In this section, we show how to express both key constraints and “referential-integrity” constraints; the latter require that a value appearing in one column of one relation also appear in some other column of the same or a different relation. In Chapter 7, we see how SQL database systems can enforce the same sorts of constraints as we can express in relational algebra.

Example 2.25: Suppose we wish to require that one must have a net worth of at least \$10,000,000 to be the president of a movie studio. We can express this constraint algebraically as follows. First, we need to theta-join the two relations

```
MovieExec(name, address, cert#, netWorth)
Studio(name, address, presC#)
```

using the condition that `presC#` from `Studio` and `cert#` from `MovieExec` are equal. That join combines pairs of tuples consisting of a studio and an executive, such that the executive is the president of the studio. If we select from this relation those tuples where the net worth is less than ten million, we have a set that, according to our constraint, must be empty. Thus, we may express the constraint as:

$$\sigma_{netWorth < 10000000}(\text{Studio} \bowtie_{presC\# = cert\#} \text{MovieExec}) = \emptyset$$

An alternative way to express the same constraint is to compare the set of certificates that represent studio presidents with the set of certificates that represent executives with a net worth of at least \$10,000,000; the former must be a subset of the latter. The containment

$$\pi_{presC\#}(\text{Studio}) \subseteq \pi_{cert\#}(\sigma_{netWorth \geq 10000000}(\text{MovieExec}))$$

expresses the above idea. \square

2.5.5 Exercises for Section 2.5

Exercise 2.5.1: Express the following constraints about the relations of Exercise 2.3.1, reproduced here:

```
Product(maker, model, type)
PC(model, speed, ram, hd, price)
Laptop(model, speed, ram, hd, screen, price)
Printer(model, color, type, price)
```

You may write your constraints either as containments or by equating an expression to the empty set. For the data of Exercise 2.4.1, indicate any violations to your constraints.

- a) A PC with a processor speed less than 3.00 must not sell for more than \$800.
- b) A laptop with a screen size less than 15.4 inches must have at least a 120 gigabyte hard disk or sell for less than \$1000.
- ! c) No manufacturer of PC's may also make printers.

- ! d) If a laptop has a larger main memory than a PC, then the laptop must also have a higher price than the PC.
- !! e) A manufacturer of a PC must also make a laptop with at least as great a processor speed.

Exercise 2.5.2: Express the following constraints in relational algebra. The constraints are based on the relations of Exercise 2.3.2:

```
Classes(class, type, country, numGuns, bore, displacement)
Ships(name, class, launched)
Battles(name, date)
Outcomes(ship, battle, result)
```

You may write your constraints either as containments or by equating an expression to the empty set. For the data of Exercise 2.4.3, indicate any violations to your constraints.

- a) No class of ships may have guns with larger than 18-inch bore.
 - b) If a class of ships has more than 10 guns, then their bore must be no larger than 15 inches.
 - ! c) No class may have more than 3 ships.
 - ! d) No country may have both battleships and battlecruisers.
 - !! e) No ship with more than 10 guns may be in a battle with a ship having fewer than 9 guns that was sunk.
- ! Exercise 2.5.3:** Suppose R and S are two relations. Let C be the referential integrity constraint that says: whenever R has a tuple with some values v_1, v_2, \dots, v_n in particular attributes A_1, A_2, \dots, A_n , there must be a tuple of S that has the same values v_1, v_2, \dots, v_n in particular attributes B_1, B_2, \dots, B_n . Show how to express constraint C in relational algebra.
- ! Exercise 2.5.4:** Another algebraic way to express a constraint is $E_1 = E_2$, where both E_1 and E_2 are relational-algebra expressions. Can this form of constraint express more than the two forms we discussed in this section?

2.6 Summary of Chapter 2

- ◆ *Data Models:* A data model is a notation for describing the structure of the data in a database, along with the constraints on that data. The data model also normally provides a notation for describing operations on that data: queries and data modifications.