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Training a classifier (supervised learning)


Untrained Classifie
"CAT"

## UiO : Department of Informatics <br> University of Oslo <br> Training a classifier (supervised learning)


"CAT"

No, it was a dog. Adjust classifier parameters
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## Training a perceptron



$$
\Delta w_{i j}=\eta^{\text {Learning rate }} \cdot\left(t_{j}-y_{j}\right) \cdot x_{i}^{\text {Input }}
$$

$$
\text { Desired output } \backslash_{\text {Error }}^{\text {Actual }}
$$

UiO : Department of Informatics
University of Oslo

## A Quick Overview

- Linear Models are easy to understand.
- However, they are very simple.
- They can only identify flat decision boundaries (straight lines, planes, hyperplanes, ...).
- Majority of interesting data are not linearly separable. Then?
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## University of Oslo <br> A Quick Overview

- Learning in the neural networks (NN) happens in the weights.
- Weights are associated with connections.
- Thus, it is sensible to add more connections to perform more complex computations.
- Two ways for non-lin. separation (not exclusive):
- Recurrent Network: connect the output neurons to the inputs with feedback connections.
- Multi-layer perceptron network: add neurons between the input nodes and the outputs.

| A | B | Out |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 0 | 1 | 1 |
| 1 | 0 | 1 |
| 1 | 1 | 0 |

Perceptron does not work here.
Single layer generates a linear decision boundary
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## Multi-Layer Perceptron (MLP)



## UiO: Department of Informatics <br> Solution for XOR : Add a Hidden Layer !!

Minsky \& Papert (1969) offered solution to XOR problem by combining perceptron unit responses using a second layer of units
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## XOR Again
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## XOR Again

| $\mathbf{A}$ | $\mathbf{B}$ | $\mathbf{C}_{\text {in }}$ | $\mathbf{C}_{\text {out }}$ | $\mathbf{D}_{\text {in }}$ | $\mathbf{D}_{\text {out }}$ | $\mathbf{E}_{\text {in }}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 0 | 0 | -0.5 | 0 | -1 | 0 | -0.5 |
| 0 | 1 | 0.5 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0.5 |
| 1 | 0 | 0.5 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0.5 |
| 1 | 1 | 1.5 | 1 | 1 | 1 | -0.5 |
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## Multilayer Network Structure

- A neural network with one or more layers of nodes between the input and the output nodes is called multilayer network
- The multilayer network structure, or architecture, or topology, consists of an input layer, one or more hidden layers, and one output layer.
- The input nodes pass values to the first hidden layer, its nodes to the second and so until producing outputs.
- A network with a layer of input units, a layer of hidden units and a layer of output units is a two-layer network.
- A network with two layers of hidden units is a threelayer network, and so on.
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## Properties of the Multi-Layer Perceptron

- No connections within a single layer.
- No direct connections between input and output layers.
- Fully connected; all nodes in one layer connect to all nodes in the next layer.
- Number of output units need not equal number of input units.
- Number of hidden units per layer can be more or less than input or output units.
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## How to Train MLP?

- How we can train the network, so that
- The weights are adapted to generate correct (target answer)?

- In Perceptron, errors are computed at the output.
- In MLP,
- Don't know which weights are wrong:
- Don't know the correct activations for the neurons in the hidden layers.


## UiO : Department of Informatics <br> University of Oslo <br> Backpropagation

Rumelhart, Hinton and Williams (1986) (though actually invented earlier in a PhD thesis relating to economics)


Backward step propagate errors from output to hidden layer

Solution: Backpropagation Algorithm (Rumelhart and colleagues,1986)

## iO : Department of Informatics <br> University of Oslo <br> Training MLPs

## Forward Pass

1. Put the input values in the input layer.
2. Calculate the activations of the hidden nodes.
3. Calculate the activations of the output nodes.
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## Training MLPs

## Backward Pass

1. Calculate the output errors
2. Update last layer of weights.
3. Propagate error backward, update hidden weights.
4. Until first layer is reached.
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## Back Propagation Algorithm

- The backpropagation training algorithm uses the gradient descent technique to minimize the mean square difference between the desired and actual outputs.
- The network is trained initially selecting small random weights and then presenting all training data incrementally.
- Weights are adjusted after every trial until they converge and the error is reduced to an acceptable value.
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## Gradient Descent
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## Error Terms

- Need to differentiate the error function
- The full calculation is presented in the book.
- Gives us the following error terms (deltas)
- For the outputs

$$
\delta_{k}=\left(y_{k}-t_{k}\right) g^{\prime}\left(a_{k}\right)
$$

- For the hidden nodes

$$
\delta_{i}=g^{\prime}\left(u_{i}\right) \sum_{k} \delta_{k} w_{i k}
$$
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## BackPropagation Algorithm

## Update Rules

- This gives us the necessary update rules
- For the weights connected to the outputs:

$$
\mathcal{W}_{j k} \leftarrow \mathcal{w}_{j k}-\eta \delta_{k} z_{j}
$$

- For the weights on the hidden nodes:

$$
v_{i j} \leftarrow v_{i j}-\eta \delta_{j} x_{i}
$$

- The learning rate $\eta$ depends on the application. Values between 0.1 and 0.9 have been used in many applications.
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## Algorithm (sequential)

1. Apply an input vector and calculate all activations, $a$ and $u$ 2. Evaluate deltas for all output units:

$$
\delta_{k}=\left(y_{k}-t_{k}\right) g^{\prime}\left(a_{k}\right)
$$

3. Propagate deltas backwards to hidden layer deltas:

$$
\delta_{i}=g^{\prime}\left(u_{i}\right) \sum_{k} \delta_{k} w_{i k}
$$

4. Update weights:

$$
\begin{gathered}
w_{j k} \leftarrow w_{j k}-\eta \delta_{k} z_{j} \\
v_{i j} \leftarrow v_{i j}-\eta \delta_{j} x_{i}
\end{gathered}
$$

## $\mathrm{UiO}:$ Department of Informatics <br> Example: Backpropagation

All biases set to 1 . Will not draw them for clarity.
Learning rate $\mathrm{h}=0.1$


Have input [0 1] with target [1 0]
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## Example: Backpropagation



Use identity activation function (ie $g(a)=a)$ for simplicity of example
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## Example: Backpropagation

Forward pass. Calculate $1^{\text {st }}$ layer activations

$u_{1}=-1 \times 0+0 \times 1+1=1$
$\mathrm{u}_{2}=0 \mathrm{x} 0+1 \mathrm{x} 1+1=2$

## iO : Department of Informatic <br> University of Oslo <br> Example: Backpropagation

Calculate first layer outputs by passing activations through activation functions


$$
\begin{aligned}
& z_{1}=\mathrm{g}\left(\mathrm{u}_{1}\right)=1 \\
& \mathrm{z}_{2}=\mathrm{g}\left(\mathrm{u}_{2}\right)=2
\end{aligned}
$$

## iO : Department of Informatics <br> University of Oslo <br> Example: Backpropagation

Backward pass


$$
\text { Target }=[1,0] \text { so } t_{1}=1 \text { and } t_{2}=0 \text {. So }
$$

$\delta_{1}=\left(\mathrm{y}_{1}-\mathrm{t}_{1}\right)=2-1=1$
$\delta_{2}=\left(y_{2}-t_{2}\right)=2-0=2$
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## Example: Backpropagation

Calculate $2^{\text {nd }}$ layer outputs (weighted sum through activation functions):


$$
\begin{aligned}
& y_{1}=a_{1}=1 \times 1+0 \times 2+1=2 \\
& y_{2}=a_{2}=-1 \times 1+1 \times 2+1=2
\end{aligned}
$$
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## Example: Backpropagation

Calculate weight changes for $1^{\text {st }}$ layer:


## $\mathrm{UiO}:$ Department of Informatics <br> University of Oslo <br> Example: Backpropagation

Weight changes will be:


$$
w_{j k} \leftarrow w_{j k}-\eta \delta_{k} z_{j}
$$

## UiO: Department of Informatics <br> University of Oslo <br> Example: Backpropagation

Deltas propagate back: $\delta_{i}=g^{\prime}\left(u_{i}\right) \sum_{k} \Delta_{k} w_{i k}$


$$
\begin{aligned}
& \delta_{1}=1-2=-1 \\
& \delta_{2}=0+2=2
\end{aligned}
$$
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## Example: Backpropagation

Calculate hidden layer deltas:


$$
\delta_{i}=g^{\prime}\left(u_{i}\right) \sum_{k} \Delta_{k} w_{i k}
$$
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## Example: Backpropagation

And are multiplied by inputs
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Finally change weights: $\quad v_{i j} \leftarrow v_{i j}-\eta \delta_{j} x_{i}$


Note that the weights multiplied by the zero input are unchanged as they do not contribute to the error
We have also changed biases (not shown)
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## Example: Backpropagation

Now go forward again (would normally use a new input vector):
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## Activation Function

- We need to compute the derivative of activation function $g$
- What do we want in an activation function?
- Differentiable
- Nonlinear (more powerful)
- Bounded range (for numerical stability)


## $\mathrm{JiO}:$ Department of Informatics <br> University of Oslo <br> Hard Limit Function
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A Quick Overview (Activation Functions)
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## Network Training

- Training set shown repeatedly until stopping criteria are met.
- When should the weights be updated?
- After all inputs seen (batch)
- After each input is seen (sequential)
- Both ways, need many epochs - passes through the whole dataset
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## Sequential Training

| Update <br> weights | Insert <br> one <br> training <br> data | - Simpler to program <br> • Can avoid local optima |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Calculate <br> deltas | Calculate <br> error |  |
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Risk: Gradient descent takes us to local minimum
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## How can we avoid the local minimum?

- Initialize training many times with random weights
- Use momentum:

$$
w_{i j} \leftarrow w_{i j}-\eta \Delta_{j} z_{i}+\alpha \Delta w_{i j}^{t-1}
$$



## $\mathrm{UiO}:$ Department of Informatics <br> University of Oslo

## Amount of Training

- How much training data is needed?
- Count the weights
- Rule of thumb: use 10 times more data than the number of weights


UiO : Department of Informatics
How many hidden layers do we need?



## UiO: Department of Informatics <br> University of Oslo <br> Network Topology

- How many layers?
- How many neurons per layer?
- No good answers
- At most 3 weight layers, usually 2
- Test several different networks
- Possible types of adaptive algorithms (not default in MLP):
- start from a large network and successively remove some neurons and links until network performance degrades.
- begin with a small network and introduce new neurons until performance is satisfactory.
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## Generalisation

- Aim of neural network learning:
- Generalise from training examples to all possible inputs.
- The objective of learning is to achieve good generalization to new cases; we cannot train on all possible data.
- Under-training is bad.
- Over-training is also bad.
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Generalisation - example


Given: training images and their categories What are the categories of these test images?
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## Overfitting

- Overfitting occurs when a model begins to learn the bias of the training data rather than learning to generalize.
- Overfitting generally occurs when a model is excessively complex in relation to the amount of data available.
- A model which overfits the training data will generally have poor predictive performance, as it can exaggerate minor fluctuations in the data.

```
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## University of Oslo <br> Overfitting

- The training data contains information about the regularities in the mapping from input to output.
- Training data also contains bias:
- There is sampling bias. There will be accidenta regularities due to the finite size of the training set
- The target values may also be unreliable or noisy.
- When we fit the model, it cannot tell which regularities are relevant and which are caused by sampling error.
- So it fits both kinds of regularity.
- If the model is very flexible it can model the sampling erro really well. This is not what we want.
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## The Problem of Overfitting

- Approximation of the function $y=f(x)$ :


2 neurons in hidden layer
/ 5 neurons in hidden layer
40 neurons in hidden layer

## UiO : Department of Informatic <br> University of Oslo <br> Validation set

- Data unseen by training algorithm - not used for backpropagation.
- Network is not trained on this data, so we can use it to measure generalization ability.
- Goal is to maximize generalization ability, so we should minimize the error on this data set.
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## The Solution: Cross-Validation

To maximize generalization and avoid overfitting, split data into three sets:

- Training set: Train the model.
- Validation set: Judge the model's generalization ability during training.
- Test set: Judge the model's generalization ability after training.

```
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## Testing set

- Data unseen during training and validation.
- Has no influence on when to stop training.
- With early stopping, we've maximized the ability to generalize to the validation set;
- To judge the final result, we should measure its ability to generalize to completely unseen data.

UiO : Department of Informatics

## k-Fold Cross Validation

- Validation and testing leaves less training data.
- Solution: repeat over many different splits.
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## Leave-one-out Cross Validation



For $\mathrm{k}=1$ to R

1. Let $\left(x_{k}, y_{k}\right)$ be the $k^{\text {th }}$ record
2. Temporarily remove $\left(x_{k}, y_{k}\right)$ from the dataset
3. Train on the remaining R-1 datapoints
4. Note your error ( $x_{k}, y_{k}$ )

When you've done all points, report the mean error.

