UiO **: Department of Informatics**
University of Oslo

INF3490 - Biologically inspired computing

Lecture 28th September 2016

Multi-Layer Neural Networks

Kai Olav Ellefsen

UiO **: Department of Informatics**
University of Oslo

Classification

Trained Classifier → "DOG"

UiO **: Department of Informatics**
University of Oslo

Perceptron

inputs

weights

x_1 w_1

x_2 w_2

Σ

activation

$a = \Sigma_{i=1}^{n} w_i x_i$

x_n w_n

q

y

output

$y = \begin{cases} 1 \text{ if } a \geq q \\ 0 \text{ if } a < q \end{cases}$

3

UiO **: Department of Informatics**
University of Oslo

Training a classifier (supervised learning)

Untrained Classifier → "CAT"

Training a classifier (supervised learning)

UiO **Department of Informatics**
University of Oslo

Untrained Classifier → "CAT"

No, it was a dog. Adjust classifier parameters

UiO **Department of Informatics**
University of Oslo

Training a perceptron

inputs

weights

x_1 w_1

x_2 w_2

Σ

activation

$a = \Sigma_{i=1}^{n} w_i x_i$

x_n w_n

q

$y = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } a \geq q \\ 0 & \text{if } a < q \end{cases}$ output

y

Learning rate   *Input*

$$\Delta w_{ij} = \eta \cdot (t_j - y_j) \cdot x_i$$

Desired output   *Actual output*

Error

6

UiO **Department of Informatics**
University of Oslo

Decision Surface

1 1

x_2

1

0

0   0

0

1

x_1

0   0

Decision line
$w_1 x_1 + w_2 x_2 = q$

7

UiO **Department of Informatics**
University of Oslo

A Quick Overview

• Linear Models are easy to understand.

• However, they are very simple.

– They can only identify flat decision boundaries (straight lines, planes, hyperplanes, ...).

• *Majority of interesting data are not linearly separable. Then?*

8

UiO **Department of Informatics**
University of Oslo

A Quick Overview

- *Learning* in the neural networks (NN) happens in the weights.

- **Weights** are associated with connections.

- Thus, it is sensible to add more connections to perform more complex computations.

- Two ways for non-lin. separation (not exclusive):
 - *Recurrent Network*: connect the output neurons to the inputs with feedback connections.
  - *Multi-layer perceptron network*: add neurons between the input nodes and the outputs.

9

UiO **Department of Informatics**
University of Oslo

Multi-Layer Perceptron (MLP)

Input Layer   -1   -1

Hidden Layer   Output Layer

10

UiO **Department of Informatics**
University of Oslo

XOR Problem

XOR (Exclusive OR) Problem

A	B	Out
0	0	0
0	1	1
1	0	1
1	1	0

Perceptron does not work here.

Single layer generates a linear decision boundary.

11

UiO **Department of Informatics**
University of Oslo

Solution for XOR : Add a Hidden Layer !!

Minsky & Papert (1969) offered **solution to XOR problem** by combining perceptron unit responses **using a second layer of units**.

+1

+1

12

3

Slide 1

UiO **Department of Informatics**
University of Oslo

XOR Again

E — Output

0.5
-1
1   -1

C   D — Hidden Layer

0.5
-1
1   1 1 1

A   B — Inputs

13

Slide 2

UiO **Department of Informatics**
University of Oslo

XOR Again

A	B	C_{in}	C_{out}	D_{in}	D_{out}	E_{in}
0	0	-0.5	0	-1	0	-0.5
0	1	0.5	1	0	0	0.5
1	0	0.5	1	0	0	0.5
1	1	1.5	1	1	1	-0.5

Slide 3

UiO **Department of Informatics**
University of Oslo

MLP Decision Boundary – Nonlinear Problems, Solved!

In contrast to perceptrons, multilayer networks can learn not only multiple decision boundaries, but the boundaries may also be nonlinear.

Input nodes   Internal nodes   Output nodes

15

Slide 4

UiO **Department of Informatics**
University of Oslo

Multilayer Network Structure

• A neural network with one or **more** layers of **nodes** between the input and the output nodes is called **multilayer network**.

• The multilayer *network structure*, or *architecture*, or *topology*, consists of **an input layer, one or more hidden layers**, and **one output layer**.

• The input nodes pass values to the first hidden layer, its nodes to the second and so until producing outputs.

   • A network with a layer of input units, a layer of hidden units and a layer of output units is a **two-layer network**.

   • A network with two layers of hidden units is a **three-layer network**, and so on.

16

UiO **:** **Department of Informatics**
University of Oslo

Properties of the Multi-Layer Perceptron

• No connections within a single layer.

• No direct connections between input and output layers.

• Fully connected; all nodes in one layer connect to all nodes in the next layer.

• Number of output units need not equal number of input units.

• Number of hidden units per layer can be more or less than input or output units.

UiO **:** **Department of Informatics**
University of Oslo

How to Train MLP?

• How we can train the network, so that
  – The weights are adapted to generate correct (target answer)?

x_1 $(t_j - y_j)$

• In Perceptron, errors are computed at the output.

• In MLP,
  – Don't know which weights are wrong:
  – Don't know the correct activations for the neurons in the hidden layers.

18

UiO **:** **Department of Informatics**
University of Oslo

Then…

The problem is: *How to train Multi Layer Perceptrons??*

Solution: Backpropagation Algorithm (Rumelhart and colleagues,1986)

19

UiO **:** **Department of Informatics**
University of Oslo

Backpropagation

*Rumelhart, Hinton and Williams (1986) (*though actually invented earlier in a PhD thesis relating to economics)*

δ_j y_j

w_{jk}

x_k δ_k

w_{ki}

x_i

Backward step: propagate errors from output to hidden layer

Forward step: Propagate activation from input to output layer

UiO **Department of Informatics**
University of Oslo

Training MLPs

Forward Pass

1. Put the input values in the input layer.
2. Calculate the activations of the hidden nodes.
3. Calculate the activations of the output nodes.

21

UiO **Department of Informatics**
University of Oslo

Training MLPs

Backward Pass

1. Calculate the output errors
2. Update last layer of weights.
3. Propagate error backward, update hidden weights.
4. Until first layer is reached.

22

UiO **Department of Informatics**
University of Oslo

Error Function

- Single scalar function for entire network.
- Parameterized by weights (objects of interest).
- Multiple errors of different signs should not cancel out.
- *Sum-of-squares error:*

$$E(\mathbf{w}) = \frac{1}{2}\sum_k (t_k - y_k)^2 = \frac{1}{2}\sum_k \left(t_k - \sum_i w_{ik}x_i \right)^2$$

23

UiO **Department of Informatics**
University of Oslo

Back Propagation Algorithm

• The backpropagation training algorithm uses the *gradient descent* technique to **minimize the *mean square difference*** between the desired and actual outputs.

• The network is trained initially selecting *small random weights* and then presenting all training data incrementally.

• *Weights* are *adjusted* after every trial until they *converge* and the error is reduced to an acceptable value.

24

Gradient Descent

$$\Delta w_{ik} = -\eta \frac{\partial E}{\partial w_{ik}}$$

25

Error Terms

- Need to differentiate the **error function**
- The full calculation is presented in the book.
- Gives us the following *error terms* (deltas)
  - For the outputs

$$\delta_k = (y_k - t_k) g'(a_k)$$

  - For the hidden nodes

$$\delta_i = g'(u_i) \sum_k \delta_k w_{ik}$$

26

Update Rules

- This gives us the necessary update rules

  - For the weights connected to the outputs:

$$w_{jk} \leftarrow w_{jk} - \eta \delta_k z_j$$

  - For the weights on the hidden nodes:

$$v_{ij} \leftarrow v_{ij} - \eta \delta_j x_i$$

- The learning rate η depends on the application.
  Values between 0.1 and 0.9 have been used in many
  applications.

27

BackPropagation Algorithm

28

Slide 1

UiO **Department of Informatics**
University of Oslo

Algorithm (sequential)

1. Apply an input vector and calculate all activations, *a* and *u*

2. Evaluate deltas for all output units:

$$\delta_k = (y_k - t_k)\, g'(a_k)$$

3. Propagate deltas backwards to hidden layer deltas:

$$\delta_i = g'(u_i) \sum_k \delta_k w_{ik}$$

4. Update weights:

$$w_{jk} \leftarrow w_{jk} - \eta \delta_k z_j$$

$$v_{ij} \leftarrow v_{ij} - \eta \delta_j x_i$$

Slide 2

UiO **Department of Informatics**
University of Oslo

Example: Backpropagation

Use identity activation function (ie g(a) = a) for simplicity of example

30

Slide 3

UiO **Department of Informatics**
University of Oslo

Example: Backpropagation

All biases set to 1. Will not draw them for clarity.

Learning rate h = 0.1

Have input [0 1] with target [1 0].

31

Slide 4

UiO **Department of Informatics**
University of Oslo

Example: Backpropagation

Forward pass. Calculate 1st layer activations:

$$u_1 = -1 \times 0 + 0 \times 1 + 1 = 1$$

$$u_2 = 0 \times 0 + 1 \times 1 + 1 = 2$$

32

8

UiO : Department of Informatics
University of Oslo

Example: Backpropagation

Calculate first layer outputs by passing activations through activation functions

$$z_1 = g(u_1) = 1$$

$$z_2 = g(u_2) = 2$$

33

UiO : Department of Informatics
University of Oslo

Example: Backpropagation

Calculate 2nd layer outputs (weighted sum through activation functions):

$$y_1 = a_1 = 1 \times 1 + 0 \times 2 + 1 = 2$$

$$y_2 = a_2 = -1 \times 1 + 1 \times 2 + 1 = 2$$

34

UiO : Department of Informatics
University of Oslo

Example: Backpropagation

Backward pass:

$$\delta_k = (y_k - t_k) g'(a_k)$$

Target =[1, 0] so $t_1 = 1$ and $t_2 = 0$. So:
$\delta_1 = (y_1 - t_1) = 2 - 1 = 1$
$\delta_2 = (y_2 - t_2) = 2 - 0 = 2$

35

UiO : Department of Informatics
University of Oslo

Example: Backpropagation

Calculate weight changes for 1st layer:

$$w_{jk} \leftarrow w_{jk} - \eta \delta_k z_j$$

36

9

UiO : Department of Informatics
University of Oslo

Example: Backpropagation

Weight changes will be:

x_1 $v_{11}= -1$ $v_{12}= 0$ $v_{21}= 0$ $v_{22}= 1$ x_2

$w_{11}= 0.9$ $w_{12}= -1.2$ $w_{21}= -0.2$ $w_{22}= 0.6$

$$w_{jk} \leftarrow w_{jk} - \eta \delta_k z_j$$

37

UiO : Department of Informatics
University of Oslo

Example: Backpropagation

Calculate hidden layer deltas:

x_1 $v_{11}= -1$ $v_{12}= 0$ $v_{21}= 0$ $v_{22}= 1$ x_2

$\Delta_1 w_{11}= 1$ $\Delta_2 w_{12}= -2$ $\Delta_1 w_{21}= 0$ $\Delta_2 w_{22}= 2$

$\Delta_1 = 1$ $\Delta_2 = 2$

$$\delta_i = g'(u_i) \sum_k \Delta_k w_{ik}$$

38

UiO : Department of Informatics
University of Oslo

Example: Backpropagation

Deltas propagate back: $\quad \delta_i = g'(u_i) \sum_k \Delta_k w_{ik}$

x_1 $v_{11}= -1$ $v_{12}= 0$ $v_{21}= 0$ $v_{22}= 1$ x_2

$\delta_1 = -1$ $\delta_2 = 2$

$\Delta_1 = 1$ $\Delta_2 = 2$

$\delta_1 = 1 - 2 = -1$
$\delta_2 = 0 + 2 = 2$

39

UiO : Department of Informatics
University of Oslo

Example: Backpropagation

And are multiplied by inputs

$x_1 = 0$ $v_{11}= -1$ $v_{12}= 0$ $v_{21}= 0$ $v_{22}= 1$ $x_2 = 1$

$-\delta_1 x_1 = 0$ $-\delta_1 x_2 = 1$ $-\delta_2 x_1 = 0$ $-\delta_2 x_2 = -2$

$\Delta_1 = -1$ $\Delta_2 = -2$

$$v_{ij} \leftarrow v_{ij} - \eta \delta_j x_i$$

40

UiO **:** **Department of Informatics**
University of Oslo

Example: Backpropagation

Finally change weights:

$$v_{ij} \leftarrow v_{ij} - \eta \delta_j x_i$$

$x_1 = 0$ $v_{11} = -1$ $w_{11} = 0.9$
$v_{12} = 0$ $w_{12} = -1.2$
$v_{21} = 0.1$ $w_{21} = -0.2$
$x_2 = 1$ $v_{22} = 0.8$ $w_{22} = 0.6$

Note that the weights multiplied by the zero input are unchanged as they do not contribute to the error

We have also changed biases (not shown)

41

UiO **:** **Department of Informatics**
University of Oslo

Example: Backpropagation

Now go forward again (would normally use a new input vector):

$x_1 = 0$ $v_{11} = -1$ $z_1 = 1.2 \longrightarrow$ $w_{11} = 0.9$
$v_{12} = 0$ $w_{12} = -1.2$
$v_{21} = 0.1$ $w_{21} = -0.2$
$x_2 = 1$ $v_{22} = 0.8$ $w_{22} = 0.6$
$z_2 = 1.6 \longrightarrow$

42

UiO **:** **Department of Informatics**
University of Oslo

Example: Backpropagation

Now go forward again (would normally use a new input vector):

$x_1 = 0$ $v_{11} = -1$ $w_{11} = 0.9$ $y_1 = 1.66$
$v_{12} = 0$ $w_{12} = -1.2$
$v_{21} = 0.1$ $w_{21} = -0.2$
$x_2 = 1$ $v_{22} = 0.8$ $w_{22} = 0.6$
$y_2 = 0.32$

Outputs now closer to target value [1, 0]

43

UiO **:** **Department of Informatics**
University of Oslo

Activation Function

- We need to compute the derivative of activation function *g*

- What do we want in an activation function?
  - Differentiable
  - Nonlinear (more powerful)
  - Bounded range (for numerical stability)

44

UiO : Department of Informatics
University of Oslo

Hard Limit Function

y

1.0

x

-1.0

Discontinuity where the value changes from *0* to 1.

45

UiO : Department of Informatics
University of Oslo

A Quick Overview (Activation Functions)

threshold
y

a

linear
y

a

piece-wise linear
y

a

sigmoid
y

a

46

UiO : Department of Informatics
University of Oslo

Sigmoidal Function - Common in MLP

$$g(a_i) = \frac{1}{1 + \exp(-k a_i)} = \frac{1}{1 + e^{-k a_i}}$$

saturated

input signal

saturated

- Where *k* is a positive constant.

- The sigmoidal function gives a value in range of 0 to 1.

- Alternatively can use *tanh(ka)* which is same shape but in range –1 to 1.

47

UiO : Department of Informatics
University of Oslo

Network Training

- Training set shown repeatedly until stopping criteria are met.
- ***When should the weights be updated?***
  - After all inputs seen (***batch***)
  - After each input is seen (***sequential***)
  - Both ways, **need many epochs** - passes through the whole dataset

48

12

UiO : Department of Informatics
University of Oslo

Batch Training

Update weights → Insert all training data → Calculate average error → Calculate deltas → Update weights

- One loop is called an **epoch**
- More accurate estimate of gradient
- Faster convergence to local optimum

49

UiO : Department of Informatics
University of Oslo

Sequential Training

Update weights → Insert one training data → Calculate error → Calculate deltas → Update weights

- Simpler to program
- Can avoid local optima

50

UiO : Department of Informatics
University of Oslo

Compromise: Minibatch

Update weights → Insert one minibatch → Calculate average error → Calculate deltas → Update weights

- Split all data into N minibatches
- Feed each minibatch to the network
- Shuffle data and repat

- May lead to the benefits of both batch-learning and sequential learning:
  - Rapid convergence
  - Avoiding local minima

51

UiO : Department of Informatics
University of Oslo

Risk: Gradient descent takes us to local minimum

E

w

$$\Delta w_{ik} = -\eta \frac{\partial E}{\partial w_{ik}}$$

52


UiO : Department of Informatics
University of Oslo

How can we avoid the local minimum?

- Initialize training many times with random weights
- Use **momentum:**

$$w_{ij} \leftarrow w_{ij} - \eta \Delta_j z_i + \alpha \Delta w_{ij}^{t-1}$$

53

UiO : Department of Informatics
University of Oslo

PRACTICAL ISSUES

54

**UiO : Department of Informatics**
University of Oslo

Amount of Training

- How much training data is needed?

  – Count the weights
 – Rule of thumb: use 10 times more data than the number of weights

55

UiO : Department of Informatics
University of Oslo

How many hidden layers do we need?

Output of one sigmoid   Addition of two sigmoids

56

UiO **:** **Department of Informatics**
University of Oslo

How many hidden layers do we need?

Addition of more ridges:
Localised response

Addition of two ridges
Unique maximum

57

UiO **:** **Department of Informatics**
University of Oslo

Learning Capacity

Inputs

Outputs

Universal approximation theorem: Any continuous function can be approximated by a neural network with a single hidden layer

58

UiO **:** **Department of Informatics**
University of Oslo

Network Topology

- How many layers?
- How many neurons per layer?
- No good answers
  - At most 3 weight layers, usually 2
  - Test several different networks

- Possible types of adaptive algorithms (not default in MLP):
  - start from a large network and successively remove some neurons and links until network performance degrades.
  - begin with a small network and introduce new neurons until performance is satisfactory.

59

UiO **:** **Department of Informatics**
University of Oslo

GENERALIZATION, TRAINING AND TESTING

60

15

UiO **Department of Informatics**
University of Oslo

Generalisation

- Aim of neural network learning:
  - *Generalise from training examples to all possible inputs.*

- The objective of learning is to achieve good *generalization* to new cases; we cannot train on all possible data.

- Under-training is *bad*.
- Over-training is also *bad.*

61

UiO **Department of Informatics**
University of Oslo

Generalisation - example

Given: training images and their categories   What are the categories of these test images?

UiO **Department of Informatics**
University of Oslo

Overfitting

- Overfitting occurs when a model begins to learn the **bias** of the training data rather than learning to generalize.

- Overfitting generally occurs when a model is excessively complex in relation to the amount of data available.

- A model which overfits the training data will generally have poor predictive performance, as it can exaggerate minor fluctuations in the data.

63

UiO **Department of Informatics**
University of Oslo

Overfitting

64

Overfitting

- The training data contains information about the regularities in the mapping from input to output.
- Training data also contains **bias**:
  - There is **sampling bias**. There will be accidental regularities due to the finite size of the training set.
  - The target values may also be unreliable or noisy.
- When we fit the model, it cannot tell which regularities are relevant and which are caused by sampling error.
  - So it fits both kinds of regularity.
  - If the model is very flexible it can model the sampling error really well. ***This is not what we want***.

65

The Problem of Overfitting

- Approximation of the function $y = f(x)$:

2 neurons in hidden layer

5 neurons in hidden layer

40 neurons in hidden layer

66

The Solution: Cross-Validation

To maximize generalization and avoid overfitting, split data into three sets:

- **Training set**: Train the model.

- **Validation set**: Judge the model's generalization ability during training.

- **Test set**: Judge the model's generalization ability after training.

67

Validation set

- Data unseen by training algorithm – not used for backpropagation.
- Network is not trained on this data, so we can use it to measure generalization ability.
- Goal is to maximize generalization ability, so we should minimize the error on this data set.

68

Early Stopping

Error

Validation

Training

Time to stop training

Number of epochs

69

Testing set

- Data unseen during training and validation.
- Has no influence on when to stop training.
- With early stopping, we've maximized the ability to generalize **to the validation set**;
- To judge the final result, we should measure its ability to generalize to completely unseen data.

70

---

k-Fold Cross Validation

- Validation and testing leaves less training data.
- Solution: repeat over many different splits.

Validation data Training data

Iteration 1
Iteration 2
Iteration 3
Iteration k=4

All data

Source: Wikimedia Commons

71

Leave-one-out Cross Validation

For k=1 to R

1. Let (x_k,y_k) be the kth record
2. Temporarily remove (x_k,y_k) from the dataset
3. Train on the remaining R-1 datapoints
4. Note your error (x_k,y_k)

When you've done all points, report the mean error.

y

x

72

18