

Mobile and ubiquitous computing

INF 5040/9040 autumn 2011

lecturer: Lucas Provensi

Frank Eliassen, SRL & Ifi/UiO

1

Motivation



- **Mobile computing** is concerned with exploiting the *connectedness* of portable devices
- **Ubiquitous computing** is about exploiting the increasing integration of services and (small/tiny) computing devices in our everyday physical world
- **Mobile and ubiquitous computing** require particular solutions in many areas caused by dynamically changing computing environment: users, devices, and software components

Frank Eliassen, SRL & Ifi/UiO

2

Some open questions



- How can **software components** associate and interoperate with one another while devices move, fail or spontaneously appear?
- How can systems become integrated with the physical world?
- How to adapt to small devices' lack of computation and I/O resources?
- How to handle security in volatile, physically integrated systems?

...lassen, SRL & Ifi/UiO

3

Fields and subfields of mobile and ubiquitous computing



- Mobile computing
- Ubiquitous computing
- Wearable computing
- Context-aware computing

...lassen, SRL & Ifi/UiO

4

Volatile systems

- Common system model for mobile and ubiquitous computing (and their subfields)
- Changes (or failures) are considered common rather than exceptional (in contrast to other types of systems where changes or failures are considered to be exceptions)
- Forms of volatility
 - failures of devices and communication links
 - changes in the characteristics of communication such as bandwidth
 - the creation and destruction of associations – logical communication relations – between software components resident on the devices
- Mobile and ubiquitous computing exhibit *all* of the above forms of volatility.

Frank Eliassen, SRL & Ifi/UiO

5

Elements of the volatile systems model

- smart spaces
- device model
- volatile connectivity
- spontaneous interoperation

Frank Eliassen, SRL & Ifi/UiO

6


Smart spaces: environments within which volatile systems subsist

- A physical place/room with embedded services. The services are provided only or principally within that space
- Movements or 'appearance or disappearance' in a smart space:
 - Physical mobility
 - Logical mobility
 - Service/device appearance
 - Service/device disappearance
- GAIA: active spaces
 - <http://gaia.cs.uiuc.edu/>

Frank Eliassen, SRL & Ifi/UiO

7


Smart rooms that respond to a user with an active badge



Frank Eliassen, SRL & Ifi/UiO

8

Smart homes that respond to a user with a Smartphone



Elements of the volatile systems model

- smart spaces
- device model
- volatile connectivity
- spontaneous interoperation

Device model




- Limited energy
 - typically use battery
 - energy-preserving algorithms
- Resource constraints
 - relative resource poor (CPU, memory, ...)
 - algorithmic challenge
- Sensors and actuators
 - to make a device context-aware
- Examples
 - Motes
 - Smart phones
 -

assen, SRL & Iff/UiO

11

Real virtual and digital home



Source: Intel

12

Elements of the volatile systems model

- smart spaces
- device model
- volatile connectivity
- spontaneous interoperation

Frank Eliassen, SRL & Ifi/UiO

13

Volatile connectivity

- Variation between different technologies (Bluetooth, WiFi, 3G, etc)
 - Bandwidth, latency
 - Energy costs
 - Financial costs to communicate
- Disconnection
 - More likely in wireless networks
 - Multi-hop routing
- Variable bandwidth and latency
 - Packet loss due to weak signal
 - Signal strength varies
 - Difficult to determine timeout-values in higher layer protocols due to varying conditions

Frank Eliassen, SRL & Ifi/UiO

14

Elements of the volatile systems model

- smart spaces
- device model
- volatile connectivity
- spontaneous interoperation

Frank Eliassen, SRL & Ifi/UiO

15

Spontaneous interoperation

- In volatile systems, components routinely change the set of components they communicate with
 - take advantage of possibility to communicate with local components in a smart space, or a device may want to offer services to clients in its local environment
- **Association:** a logical relationship formed when at least one of a given pair of components communicates with the other over some well-defined period of time
- **Interoperation:** interaction during an association
- **Spontaneous interoperation:** interoperation that is not planned or designed in!

Frank Eliassen, SRL & Ifi/UiO

16

Pre-configured vs spontaneous associations: examples

Pre-configured	Spontaneous
Service-driven: <i>email client and server</i>	Human-driven: <i>web browser and web servers</i>
	Data-driven: <i>P2P file-sharing applications</i>
	Physically-driven: <i>mobile and ubiquitous systems</i>

Frank Eliassen, SRL & Ifi/UiO

17

Entering a smart space

- **Requirement:** a device that appears in a smart space needs to bootstrap itself in the smart space
- Two steps for bootstrapping itself:
 - Network bootstrapping (DHCP-server)
 - Zero Configuration Networking (Apple's Bonjour)
 - Establish associations between components on the device and services in the smart space
- The association problem
 - With which components of the many devices in the space should the components on the appearing device interoperate?
 - How to constrain the scope to services in the smart space only (e.g., the hotel room)?
 - 'Boundary principle':
 - smart spaces need to have system boundaries that correspond accurately to meaningful spaces as they are normally defined (territorially or administratively)

Frank Eliassen, SRL & Ifi/UiO

18

Discovery services

- A directory service that is used to register and look up services in a smart space
- Requirements to discovery services
 - Service attributes is determined at runtime (hard!)
 - Service discovery must be possible in a smart space without infrastructure to host a service discovery service
 - Registered services may spontaneously disappear
 - The protocols used for accessing the directory need to be sensitive to the energy and bandwidth they consume (cf. device model)

Frank Eliassen, SRL & Ifi/UiO

19


Interface to a discovery service

Methods for service de/registration	Explanation
<i>lease := register(address, attributes)</i>	Register the service at the given address with the given attributes; a lease is returned
<i>refresh(lease)</i>	Refresh the lease returned at registration
<i>deregister(lease)</i>	Remove the service record registered under the given lease
Method invoked to look up a service	
<i>serviceSet := query(attributeSpecification)</i>	Return a set of registered services whose attributes match the given specification

Frank Eliassen, SRL & Ifi/UiO

20

Service discovery in Jini



Frank Eliassen, SRL & Ifi/UiO

21

Design choices for discovery services

- Directory server or serverless
- Directory server: clients issue a multicast-request to locate the server (as in Jini)
 - Not all smart spaces have facilities for server implementations
- Serverless discovery: the participating devices collaborate to implement a distributed discovery service
 - **Push model:** servers multicast ('advertise') their descriptions regularly, and clients run their queries against them
 - **Pull model:** clients multicast their requests and devices providing matching services, respond
 - Both approaches are relatively resource demanding (battery, bandwidth) in their pure form

Frank Eliassen, SRL & Ifi/UiO

22

Interoperation

- How can components that want to associate determine what protocol they can use to communicate?
- Main problem is incompatibility between software interfaces (components need not have been designed together)
- Two approaches:
 - Adapt interface to each other (interface adaptation): difficult
 - Constrain interfaces to be identical in syntax across as wide a class of components as possible
 - Example: Unix pipes (read, write)
 - Example: The set of methods defined in HTTP (GET, POST, ...)
 - Such systems are called *data oriented*
 - Require additional mechanisms to describe type and value of data exchanged (e.g. MIME types), as well as the processing semantics of the server (difficult!)

Frank Eliassen, SRL & Ifi/UiO

23


Data oriented programming models

- **Data oriented programming models that have been used for volatile systems:**
 - Event-systems (pub/sub)
 - Tuple spaces
 - Direct device interoperation (devices brought into direct association)

Frank Eliassen, SRL & Ifi/UiO

24


Principle of publish-subscribe (event system)



Frank Eliassen, SRL & Ifi/UiO

25

Example of tuple space: JavaSpaces



Frank Eliassen, SRL & Ifi/UiO

26

Mobile and ubiquitous computing systems

- How can such systems be integrated with the physical world ?

Frank Eliassen, SRL & Ifi/UiO

27

Sensing and context awareness

- Systems can be integrated with the physical world through sensing and context awareness
- Sensing: use sensors to collect data about the environment
- Context aware systems: can respond to its (sensed) physical environments (location, heat, light intensity, device orientation, presence of a device, etc.) and the context can determine its (further) behaviour
- **Context** of an entity (person, place or thing): an aspect of its physical circumstances of relevance to system behaviour

Frank Eliassen, SRL & Ifi/UiO

28

Sensors

- Combination of hardware and software
- Sensors are the basis for determining contextual values
 - Location, velocity, orientation, ...
 - Temperature, light intensity, noise, ...
 - Presence of persons or things (e.g., based on RFID – electronic labels - or Active Badges)
- An important aspect of a sensor is its failure model
 - Some are simple (e.g., a thermometer often has known error bounds and distribution), some are complicated (e.g., accuracy of satellite navigation units depend on dynamic factors)

Frank Eliassen, SRL & Ifi/UiO

29

Sensor software architectures

- Applications normally operate on more abstract values than sensors can produce
- Sensor abstractions are important to avoid application level concerns with the peculiarities of individual sensors
- Therefore common to build a software architecture for sensor data as hierarchies
 - Nodes at a low hierarchical level provide sensor data at a low level of abstraction (longitude/latitude of a device)
 - Nodes at higher hierarchical levels (closer to the root node) provide sensor data at higher levels of abstraction (the device is in Frank's Cafe)
- Nodes at higher levels combine sensor data from lower levels both to abstract and to increase reliability

Frank Eliassen, SRL & Ifi/UiO

30

Context Toolkit: Example of sensor software architecture

- System architecture for general context aware applications
- Based on 'context-widgets': reusable components that abstract over some types of context attributes (hide low level sensor details)
- Example: Interface to a IdentityPresence widget class


Attributes (accessible by polling)	Explanation
<i>Location</i>	Location the widget is monitoring
<i>Identity</i>	ID of the last user sensed
<i>Timestamp</i>	Time of the last arrival
Callbacks	
<i>PersonArrives(location, identity, timestamp)</i>	Triggered when a user arrives
<i>PersonLeaves(location, identity, timestamp)</i>	Triggered when a user leaves

Frank Eliassen, SRL & Ifi/UiO

31

Context Toolkit: Example of use of IdentityPresence widget

- A *PersonFinder* widget constructed by using IdentityPresence widgets ...



Frank Eliassen, SRL & Ifi/UiO

32

Wireless sensor networks (WSN)

- Network consisting of a (typically high) number of **small, low-cost** units or **nodes** that are more or less arbitrary arranged (e.g., "thrown out" in high numbers in a certain geographical area)
- Self-organising (ad-hoc network), functions independently of an infrastructure
- The nodes have sensing and processing capacity, can communicate wirelessly with a limited range (save energy), and act as routers for each other
- Are volatile systems because nodes can fail (battery exhaustion or otherwise destroyed (e.g., fire)), connectivity can change due to node failures



Frank Eliassen, SRL & Ifi/UiO

33

Three architectural features of wireless sensor networks


- Features driven by requirements of energy conservation and continuous operation
- *In-network processing*: The nodes have processing capabilities because processing is much less costly in energy consumption than (wireless) communication. Can be exploited to reduce the need for communication (only communicate when there is a need for it)
- *Disruption-tolerant networking*: based on store-and-forward transfer of data (not end to end)
- *Data oriented programming of nodes*: since nodes can fail, we can not rely on programming techniques for sensor nodes that refer to single nodes

Frank Eliassen, SRL & Ifi/UiO

34

Directed diffusion

- A programming technique that takes the three architectural features of wireless sensor networks into account
- Nodes (sources) have sensing capabilities (e.g., can measure temperature) or properties (e.g. location) that they can compare to needs for sensor information that they receive (as messages)
- Nodes that have a need for sensor information (sinks) declare this in "interest messages" that they send to neighbour nodes.



Frank Eliassen, SRL & Ifi/UiO

35

On the need for adaptation

- Run-time conditions of mobile applications (applications running on mobile devices) vary dynamically
 - Varying capabilities of different devices
 - Varying resource availability
 - User needs and wishes
- Need for adapting the application to a dynamically varying context
 - Adapt application to resource situation (battery, bandwidth, memory)
 - Example: Dynamically adapt media quality (e.g., video) to available bandwidth and/or to user preferences, and/or to device capabilities
 - Dynamically adapt user interface to situation of user or the orientation of the device ...
 - Adapt application to availability of devices and services in the environment (ubiquitous services)

Frank Eliassen, SRL & Ifi/UiO

36

MUSIC - Middleware for context-aware mobile application

➤ Mobile Users In ubiquitous Computing environments

- An EU-funded project (FP6, Integrated Project)
- Started October 2006, ended March 2010



➤ Project outcomes

- Development studio (modeling and transformation tools)
- Middleware architecture (prototype implementation)

➤ Licensing

- Open Source project (Licensed under LGPL 2.1)

➤ Website

- <http://ist-music.berlios.de/>

Frank Eliassen, SRL & Ifi/UiO

37

MUSIC - Motivation




When handheld devices are carried by users moving around in ubiquitous computing environments

- ✓ devices come and go
- ✓ network connections come and go and QoS varies, and therefore
- ✓ services available for use come and go
- ✓ service quality varies
- ✓ user tasks vary and are interleaved with tasks related to movement and social interaction
- ✓ computing resources and power are limited

Frank Eliassen, SRL & Ifi/UiO

38

MUSIC - Motivation



Frank Eliassen, SRL & Ifi/UiO

39

MUSIC - Motivation

- In such environments applications and users will benefit a lot from context awareness and self-adaptiveness
- The demand for applications exhibiting such properties is accelerating
 - Mobile computing
 - Ubiquitous computing
 - Service oriented computing
- Developing such applications with standard methods and technology is difficult, time-consuming and costly

Frank Eliassen, SRL & Ifi/UiO

40

MUSIC - Vision

- “Provide methods, tools and runtime support for developing, deploying and maintaining context-aware, self-adaptive applications aiming for mobile and pervasive computing environments”



41


MUSIC - Approach

- Disciplined development methodology
 - Separately develop the context sensing and self-adapting logic from the business logic of the applications (Separation of Concerns)
- Use a middleware layer to transparently manage the extra-functional aspects of the application
 - Single, centralized context management (i.e., sensing, reasoning, storing, accessing)
 - Cross-application adaptation reasoning (utility function based)

Frank Eliassen, SRL & Ifi/UiO

42

MUSIC - Approach



43


MUSIC - How it works

- Component-based applications
 - Components can be added/removed at runtime (flexibility)
 - Application variants (configurations) are determined at runtime
- Centralized context management
 - Context sensing, storing, reasoning, and access are all performed in a centralized way inside the middleware
- Adaptation reasoning
 - Based on the varying context (environment, execution context, user needs) the utility of each variant is evaluated dynamically
 - Adaptation occurs when the variant found to be offering the optimal utility is different from the selected one

Frank Eliassen, SRL & Ifi/UiO

44

MUSIC - How it works



applications are built as component compositions

adaptation planning

various instantiations of the application are formed
variants have "context needs" which are used to "evaluate their utility"

context changes trigger ...

adaptation reasoning


the variant with the highest utility is selected

... and applied!

Frank Eliassen, SRL & Ifi/UiO

45

MUSIC - role of component frameworks



haf = false

haf = true

acc = f(Map.loc, Loc.acc)

haf = UI.haf

utility = f(usr.prf, app.prp)

nbw = f(...)

mem = UI.mem+Ctrl.mem+Db.mem

- = type
- = implementation
- = alternative implementations of a type
- = parameterised implementation

Frank Eliassen, SRL & Ifi/UiO

46

Summary

- Most challenges to mobile og ubiquitous systems are caused by their volatile nature
- In such environments applications need to be context aware and adaptive
 - Integrated with the physical world through sensing and context awareness
 - Adapt to changes in the physical circumstances by changing behavior (e.g. component reconfiguration)
- There are many challenges, but yet only few (comprehensive) solutions
 - MUSIC: an example of a comprehensive solution