Universitetet i Oslo Institutt for Informatikk



Axelsen, Krogdahl, Møller-Pedersen, Steffen



INF 5110: Compiler construction

Spring 2017 Series 5 29. 3. 2017

Topic: Chapter 6: Attribute grammars

Issued: 29. 3. 2017

Exercise 1 (Post-fix printout) 1 Rewrite the attribute grammar of Table 6.2 from [1] to compute a *postfix* string attribute instead of a value val, containing the postfix form for the simple integer expression. 2 For example, the postfix attribute for

$$(34-3)*42$$
 is "34 3 - 42 *"

You may assume a string concatenation operator \parallel and the existence of a **number.strval** attribute.³

The original attribute grammar is repeated here:

	produc	tions	s/grammar rules	semantic rules
1	exp_1	\rightarrow	$exp_2 + term$	exp_1 .val $= exp_2$.val $+ term$.val
2	exp_1	\rightarrow	exp_2-term	$\mathit{exp}_1.\mathtt{val} = \mathit{exp}_2.\mathtt{val} - \mathit{term}.\mathtt{val}$
3	exp	\rightarrow	term	exp .val $=\mathit{term}$.val
4	$term_1$	\rightarrow	$term_2 * factor$	$term_1$.val = $term_2$.val * $factor$.val
5	term	\rightarrow	factor	term .val $= factor$.val
6	factor	\rightarrow	(exp)	$factor. \mathtt{val} = exp. \mathtt{val}$
7	factor	\rightarrow	number	$factor. \mathtt{val} = \mathbf{number. val}$

Exercise 2 (Simple typing via AGs) ⁴ Consider the following grammar for simple Pascal-style declarations.

$$\begin{array}{cccc} decl & \rightarrow & var\text{-}list:type \\ var\text{-}list & \rightarrow & var\text{-}list \text{, id} \mid \text{id} \\ type & \rightarrow & \text{integer} \mid \text{real} \end{array}$$

Write an attribute grammar for the type of a variable.

¹The task corresponds to [1, Exercise 6.5.].

²As a preview for one of the later chapters: in the context of *intermediate code generation*, we will cover a specific form of intermediate code, so called *p-code* (or one address code, etc.) *Generating* intermediate p-code from ASTs resembles the task at hand, in that code generation there involves post-fix emission of lines of code, at least for straight-line code involving expressions.

³Postfix notation is otherwise also known as *reverse polish notation*, which is actually predates modern electronic computers (at least the non-reversed Polish notation), but has been kind of popular in certain pocket calculators (especially Hewlett-Packard). Also in the context of depth-first tree traversal, there is pre-fix/post-fix/in-order treatment of nodes of the traversal, which is related to the task here, as well.

⁴The task corresponds to [1, Exercise 6.7.].

Series 5 29. 3. 2017

Exercise 3 (Dependency graphs and evaluation) 5 Consider the following attribute grammar.

productions/grammar rules	semantic rules
$S \rightarrow ABC$	$B.\mathtt{u} = S.\mathtt{u}$
	$A.\mathtt{u} = B.\mathtt{v} + C.\mathtt{v}$
	$S.\mathtt{v} = A.\mathtt{v}$
$A \rightarrow a$	$A.\mathtt{v} = 2*A.\mathtt{u}$
$B \rightarrow b$	$B.\mathtt{v} = B.\mathtt{u}$
$C \rightarrow c$	C.v = 1

- 1. Draw the parse tree for the string **abc** (the only word in the language) and draw the dependency graph for the associated attributes. Describe a correct order for the evaluation of the attributes.
- 2. Suppose that the value 3 is assigned to $S.\mathfrak{u}$ before attribute evaluation begins. What is the value of $S.\mathfrak{v}$ when the evaluation has finished.
- 3. Suppose the attribute equations are modified as follows:

pro	oduc	tion/grammar rule	semantic rules
S	\rightarrow	ABC	$B.\mathtt{u} = S.\mathtt{u}$
			$C.\mathtt{u} = A.\mathtt{v}$
			$A.\mathtt{u} = B.\mathtt{v} + C.\mathtt{v}$
			$S.\mathtt{v} = A.\mathtt{v}$
A	\rightarrow	a	$A.\mathtt{v} = 2*A.\mathtt{u}$
B	\rightarrow	b	$B.\mathtt{v} = B.\mathtt{u}$
C	\rightarrow	c	C.v = C.u - 2

What value does S.v have after attribute evaluation, if S.u = 3 before the evaluation begins?

Exercise 4 (AG for classes) Consider the following grammar for class declarations:

```
\begin{array}{cccc} class & \rightarrow & \textbf{class name} & superclass \, \{ \, decls \, \} \\ decls & \rightarrow & decls \, ; \, decl \mid decl \\ decl & \rightarrow & variable\text{-}decl \\ decl & \rightarrow & method\text{-}decl \\ method\text{-}decl & \rightarrow & type \, \, \textbf{name} \, \, ( \, params \, ) \, \, body \\ type & \rightarrow & \textbf{int} \mid \textbf{bool} \mid \textbf{void} \\ superclass & \rightarrow & \textbf{name} \end{array}
```

As usual, terminals are indicated in boldface, where for **name**, we assume that it represents names the scanner provides; **name** is assumed to have an attribute **name**.

Methods with the same name as the class they belong to are *constructor methods*. For those, the following informal typing "rule" is given:

Constructors need to be specified with the type **void**.

Design semantical rules for this requirement for the following fragment of an AG.

⁵The task corresponds to [1, Exercise 6.13.].

Series 5 29. 3. 2017

productions/grammar rules semantic rules					
class	\rightarrow	class name superclass { decls }			
decls	\rightarrow	decls; decl			
decls	\rightarrow	decl			
decl	\rightarrow	variable- $decl$	not to be filled out		
decl	\rightarrow	method-decl			
method-decl	\rightarrow	type name (params) body			
type	\rightarrow	int			
type	\rightarrow	bool			
type	\rightarrow	void			
(superclass	\rightarrow	name)	filled by lexer		

References

[1] K. Louden. Compiler Construction, Principles and Practice. PWS Publishing, 1997.