Universitetet i Oslo Institutt for Informatikk



Axelsen, Krogdahl, Møller-Pedersen, Steffen



INF 5110: Compiler construction

Spring 2017 Series 6 20. 4. 2017

Topic: Symbol tables and type checking (Chapter 6)

Issued: 20. 4. 2017

Exercise 1 (AG: collateral vs. sequential declarations) ¹ Rewrite the grammar from Table 6.9 from [1] to use *collateral* declarations instead of sequential ones.

The underlying grammar is given in Table 1.

$$S \rightarrow exp$$

$$exp \rightarrow (exp) \mid exp + exp \mid id \mid num \mid let \ dec - list \ in \ exp$$

$$dec - list \rightarrow dec - list, \ decl \mid decl$$

$$decl \rightarrow id = exp$$

Table 1: Expression grammar with declarations

Exercise 2 (AG for expression evaluation) ² Write an attribute grammar that computes the *value* of each expression for the expression grammar of [1, Section 6.3.5]. The grammar is repeated in Table 1 (it's the same as in the previous exercise).

Exercise 3 (AG: type conversion resp. evaluation) ³ Consider the following (ambiguous) expression grammar.

$$exp \rightarrow exp + exp \mid exp - exp \mid exp * exp \mid exp / exp \mid (exp) \mid num \mid num \cdot num$$

Suppose that the rules of C are followed in computing the *value* of such expressions:

If two subexpressions are of *mixed type*, then the integer subexpression is *converted* to floating point, and the floating-point operator is applied.

Write an attribute grammar that will convert such expressions in expressions that are legal in Modula-2: conversions from integer to floating point are expressed by applying the FLOAT function, and the division operator / is considered to be div if both operands are integers.

That was the task as in [1]. In the lecture: let's use an AG to *evaluate* such expressions (instead of converting them to Modula-2's conventions).

¹The task corresponds to [1, Exercise 6.17.]

²The task corresponds to [1, Exercise 6.18.]

³The task corresponds to [1, Exercise 6.20.]

Series 6 20. 4. 2017

Exercise 4 (Type equality and type checking) ⁴

1. Devise a suitable tree structure for the new function type structures, and write a *typeEqual* function for two function types.

2. Write semantic rules for the type checking of function declarations and function calls, represented by a rule

$$exp \rightarrow id (exp)$$
,

similar to the rules of [1, Table 6.10, page 330].

Exercise 5 (Symbol table) ⁵ Consider the following ambiguity in C expressions. Consider the expression (A)-x. If x is an integer variable and A is defined in a typedef as equivalent to double, then this expression *casts* the value of -x to double. On the other hand, if A is an integer variable, then this *computes* the integer difference of the two variables.

- 1. Describe how the *parser* might use the *symbol table* to disambiguate the two interpretations
- 2. Describe how the scanner might use the symbol table disambiguate the two interpretations.

References

[1] K. Louden. Compiler Construction, Principles and Practice. PWS Publishing, 1997.

⁴The task corresponds to [1, Exercise 6.21.]

⁵The task corresponds to [1, Exercise 6.22.]