



INF 5110: Compiler construction

Spring 2021

Series 6

12. 3. 2021

Topic: Symbol tables and type checking (Chapter 6)

Issued: 12. 3. 2021

Exercise 1 (AG: collateral vs. sequential declarations) Extend the grammar of Table 1 into an AG to capture “*collateral*” (simultaneous) declarations.

$$\begin{aligned} S &\rightarrow \text{exp} \\ \text{exp} &\rightarrow (\text{exp}) \mid \text{exp} + \text{exp} \mid \text{id} \mid \text{num} \mid \text{let } \text{dec-list} \text{ in } \text{exp} \\ \text{dec-list} &\rightarrow \text{dec-list}, \text{decl} \mid \text{decl} \\ \text{decl} &\rightarrow \text{id} = \text{exp} \end{aligned}$$

Table 1: Expression grammar with declarations

As a starting point, use the grammar from the lecture, which is reproduced here. So: Rewrite the grammar from Table 2 on the next page to use *collateral* declarations instead of sequential ones.

Exercise 2 (AG for expression evaluation) Write an attribute grammar that computes the *value* of each expression for the expression grammar Table 1 (it’s the same as in the previous exercise).

Exercise 3 (AG: type conversion resp. evaluation) Consider the following (ambiguous) expression grammar.

$$\begin{aligned} \text{exp} &\rightarrow \text{exp} + \text{exp} \mid \text{exp} - \text{exp} \mid \text{exp} * \text{exp} \mid \text{exp} / \text{exp} \\ &\mid (\text{exp}) \mid \text{num} \mid \text{num} . \text{num} \end{aligned}$$

Assume you are dealing with two numerical types, for integers and for floats. Suppose that the rules of C are followed in computing the *value* of such expressions:

If two subexpressions are of *mixed type*, then the integer subexpression is *converted* to floating point, and the floating-point operator is applied.

Write an attribute grammar that will convert such expressions in expressions that are legal in Modula-2: conversions from integer to floating point are expressed by applying the `FLOAT` function, and the division operator `/` is considered to be `div` if both operands are integers.

Grammar Rule	Semantic Rules
$S \rightarrow exp$	$exp.symtab = emptytable$ $exp.nestlevel = 0$ $S.err = exp.err$
$exp_1 \rightarrow exp_2 + exp_3$	$exp_2.symtab = exp_1.symtab$ $exp_3.symtab = exp_1.symtab$ $exp_2.nestlevel = exp_1.nestlevel$ $exp_3.nestlevel = exp_1.nestlevel$ $exp_1.err = exp_2.err$ or $exp_3.err$
$exp_1 \rightarrow (exp_2)$	$exp_2.symtab = exp_1.symtab$ $exp_2.nestlevel = exp_1.nestlevel$ $exp_1.err = exp_2.err$
$exp \rightarrow id$	$exp.err = \mathbf{not\ isin}(exp.symtab, id.name)$ } 2
$exp \rightarrow num$	$exp.err = \mathbf{false}$
$exp_1 \rightarrow \mathbf{let\ } dec\text{-list\ } \mathbf{in\ } exp_2$	$dec\text{-list.intab} = exp_1.symtab$ $dec\text{-list.nestlevel} = exp_1.nestlevel + 1$ $exp_2.symtab = dec\text{-list.outtab}$ $exp_2.nestlevel = dec\text{-list.nestlevel}$ $exp_1.err = (dec\text{-list.outtab} = errtab)$ or $exp_2.err$ } 3
$dec\text{-list}_1 \rightarrow dec\text{-list}_2 , decl$	$dec\text{-list}_2.intab = dec\text{-list}_1.intab$ $dec\text{-list}_2.nestlevel = dec\text{-list}_1.nestlevel$ $decl.intab = dec\text{-list}_2.outtab$ $decl.nestlevel = dec\text{-list}_2.nestlevel$ $dec\text{-list}_1.outtab = decl.outtab$ } 4
$dec\text{-list} \rightarrow decl$	$decl.intab = dec\text{-list.intab}$ $decl.nestlevel = dec\text{-list.nestlevel}$ $dec\text{-list.outtab} = decl.outtab$ } 4
$decl \rightarrow id = exp$	$exp.symtab = decl.intab$ $exp.nestlevel = decl.nestlevel$ $decl.outtab =$ if $(decl.intab = errtab)$ or $exp.err$ then $errtab$ else if $(lookup(decl.intab, id.name) =$ $decl.nestlevel)$ then $errtab$ else $insert(decl.intab, id.name, decl.nestlevel)$ } 1

Table 2: Sequential declarations (from the lecture)

Exercise 4 (Type equality and type checking)

Consider the following grammar which in particular features procedure or function declarations (Table 3)

1. Devise a suitable tree structure for the new function type structures, and write a *typeEqual* function for two function types.

```

program → var-decls ; fun-decls ; stmts
var-decls → var-decls ; var-decl | var-decl
var-decl → id : type-exp
type-exp → int | bool | array [num] of type-exp
fun-decls → fun id ( var-decls ) : type-exp ; body
body → exp
stmts → stmts ; stmt | stmt
stmt → if exp then stmt | id := exp
exp → exp + exp | exp or exp | exp [ exp ] | id ( exps )
      | num | true | false | id
exps → exps , exp | exp

```

Table 3: Grammar with function declarations

2. Write semantic rules for the type checking of function declarations and function calls, represented by a rule

$$exp \rightarrow \text{id} (exp) ,$$

Similar to the rules in the slide “Type checking as semantic rules” in the type checking section of Chapter 7 in the slides.

Exercise 5 (Symbol table) Think about the following ambiguity in C expressions. Consider the expression $(A)-x$. If x is an integer variable and A is defined in a `typedef` as equivalent to `double`, then this expression *casts* the value of $-x$ to `double`. On the other hand, if A is an integer variable, then this *computes* the integer difference of the two variables.

1. Describe how the *parser* might use the *symbol table* to disambiguate the two interpretations.
2. Describe how the *scanner* might use the *symbol table* disambiguate the two interpretations.