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## Topic: Chapter 6: Attribute grammars
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Exercise 1 (Post-fix printout) Rewrite the attribute grammar shown below to compute a postfix string attribute instead of a value val, containing the postfix form for the simple integer expression $\sqrt{1}$ For example, the postfix attribute for

$$
(\mathbf{3 4 - 3}) * \mathbf{4 2} \quad \text { is } \quad " 343-42 * "
$$

You may assume a string concatenation operator $\|$ and the existence of a number.strval attribute $2^{2}$

As "inspiration", Table 1 reproduces the attribute grammar from the lecture, used to evaluate expressions.

```
productions/grammar rules semantic rules
    exp}\mp@subsup{\mp@code{l}}{}{\prime}->e\operatorname{exp}+\mathrm{ term exp
    exp
    exp 斻 exp.val = term.val
term
    term -> factor term.val = factor.val
factor }->\mathrm{ (exp) factor.val = exp.val
factor }->\mathrm{ number factor.val = number.val
```

Table 1: AG for evaluation (from the lecture)

[^0]Exercise 2 (Simple typing via AGs) Consider the following grammar for simple Pascalstyle declarations.

$$
\begin{aligned}
\text { decl } & \rightarrow \text { var-list }: \text { type } \\
\text { var-list } & \rightarrow \text { var-list }, \mathbf{i d} \mid \mathbf{i d} \\
\text { type } & \rightarrow \text { integer } \mid \text { real }
\end{aligned}
$$

Write an attribute grammar for the type of a variable.
Exercise 3 (Dependency graphs and evaluation) Consider the following attribute grammar.

| productions/grammar rules | semantic rules |
| :--- | :--- |
| $S \rightarrow A B C$ | $B . \mathrm{u}=S . \mathrm{u}$ |
|  | $A . \mathrm{u}=B . \mathrm{v}+C . \mathrm{v}$ |
|  | $S . \mathrm{v}=A . \mathrm{v}$ |
| $A \rightarrow a$ | $A . \mathrm{v}=2 * A . \mathrm{u}$ |
| $B \rightarrow b$ | $B . \mathrm{v}=B . \mathrm{u}$ |
| $C \rightarrow c$ | $C . \mathrm{v}=1$ |

1. Draw the parse tree for the string abc (the only word in the language) and draw the dependency graph for the associated attributes. Describe a correct order for the evaluation of the attributes.
2. Suppose that the value 3 is assigned to $S . u$ before attribute evaluation begins. What is the value of $S . v$ when the evaluation has finished.
3. Suppose the attribute equations are modified as follows:

| production/grammar rule | semantic rules |
| :--- | :--- |
| $S \rightarrow A B C$ | $B . \mathrm{u}=S . \mathrm{u}$ |
|  |  |
|  | $C . \mathrm{u}=A . \mathrm{v}$ |
|  | $A . \mathrm{u}=B . \mathrm{v}+C . \mathrm{v}$ |
|  | $S . \mathrm{v}=A . \mathrm{v}$ |
| $A \rightarrow a$ | $A . \mathrm{v}=2 * A . \mathrm{u}$ |
| $B \rightarrow b$ | $B . \mathrm{v}=B . \mathrm{u}$ |
| $C \rightarrow c$ | $C . v=C . \mathrm{u}-2$ |

What value does $S . v$ have after attribute evaluation, if $S . u=3$ before the evaluation begins?

Exercise 4 (AG for classes) Consider the following grammar for class declarations:

$$
\begin{aligned}
\text { class } & \rightarrow \text { class name }\{\text { decls }\} \\
\text { decls } & \rightarrow \text { decls ; decl | decl } \\
\text { decl } & \rightarrow \text { variable-decl } \\
\text { decl } & \rightarrow \text { method-decl } \\
\text { method-decl } & \rightarrow \text { type name (params ) body } \\
\text { type } & \rightarrow \text { int } \mid \text { bool | void }
\end{aligned}
$$

As usual, terminals are indicated in boldface, where for name, we assume that it represents names the scanner provides; name is assumed to have an atrribute name.

Methods with the same name as the class they belong to are constructor methods. For those, the following informal typing "rule" is given:

Constructors need to be specified with the type void.

Design semantical rules for this requirement for the following fragment of an AG.

```
            productions/grammar rules
                                    semantic rules
    class }->\mathrm{ class name { decls }
    decls }->\mathrm{ decls ; decl
    decls }->\mathrm{ decl
        decl }->\mathrm{ variable-decl not to be filled out
    decl }->\mathrm{ method-decl
method-decl }->\mathrm{ type name (params) body
    type }->\mathrm{ int
    type }->\mathrm{ bool
    type }->\mathrm{ void
```


[^0]:    ${ }^{1}$ As a preview for one of the later chapters: in the context of intermediate code generation, we will cover a specific form of intermediate code, so called p-code (or one address code, etc.) Generating intermediate p-code from ASTs resembles the task at hand, in that code generation there involves post-fix emission of lines of code, at least for straight-line code involving expressions. You may also be reminded of the "AST-pretty-printer" of the oblig: one recommended form of output was basically a prefix-printout of the tree (maybe indented for easier human consumption).
    ${ }^{2}$ Postfix notation is otherwise also known as reverse polish notation, which is actually predates modern electronic computers (at least the non-reversed Polish notation), but has been kind of popular in certain pocket calculators (especially Hewlett-Packard). Also in the context of depth-first tree traversal, there is pre-fix/post-fix/in-order treatment of nodes of the traversal, which is related to the task here, as well.

