UNIVERSITETET I OSLO Institutt for Informatikk



Reliable Systems Martin Steffen

INF 5110: Compiler construction

Spring 2024

Series 5

 $12. \ 3. \ 2024$

Topic: Chapter 6: Attribute grammars

Issued: 12. 3. 2024

Exercise 1 (Post-fix printout) Rewrite the attribute grammar shown below to compute a *postfix* string attribute instead of a value val, containing the postfix form for the simple integer expression.¹ For example, the postfix attribute for

(34-3)*42 is "34 3 - 42 *"

You may assume a string concatenation operator \parallel and the existence of a **number.strval** attribute.²

As "inspiration", Table 1 reproduces the attribute grammar from the lecture, used to evaluate expressions.

	produc	tions	s/grammar rules	semantic rules
1	exp_1	\rightarrow	$exp_2 + term$	$\mathit{exp}_1.\mathtt{val} = \mathit{exp}_2.\mathtt{val} + \mathit{term}.\mathtt{val}$
2	exp_1	\rightarrow	$exp_2 - term$	exp_1 .val = exp_2 .val - $term$.val
3	exp	\rightarrow	term	exp.val = $term$.val
4	$term_1$	\rightarrow	$term_2 * factor$	$term_1$.val = $term_2$.val * factor.val
5	term	\rightarrow	factor	term .val = $factor$.val
6	factor	\rightarrow	(<i>exp</i>)	factor.val = exp.val
7	factor	\rightarrow	number	factor.val = number.val

Table 1: AG for evaluation (#	from the lecture)
-------------------------------	-------------------

¹As a preview for one of the later chapters: in the context of *intermediate code generation*, we will cover a specific form of intermediate code, so called *p-code* (or one address code, etc.) *Generating* intermediate p-code from ASTs resembles the task at hand, in that code generation there involves post-fix emission of lines of code, at least for straight-line code involving expressions. You may also be reminded of the "AST-pretty-printer" of the oblig: one recommended form of output was basically a *prefix*-printout of the tree (maybe indented for easier human consumption).

²Postfix notation is otherwise also known as *reverse polish notation*, which is actually predates modern electronic computers (at least the non-reversed Polish notation), but has been kind of popular in certain pocket calculators (especially Hewlett-Packard). Also in the context of depth-first tree traversal, there is pre-fix/post-fix/in-order treatment of nodes of the traversal, which is related to the task here, as well.

Exercise 2 (Simple typing via AGs) Consider the following *grammar* for simple Pascalstyle declarations.

$$\begin{array}{rrrr} decl & \rightarrow & var\text{-}list:type \\ var\text{-}list & \rightarrow & var\text{-}list \ , \mathbf{id} \ \mid \mathbf{id} \\ type & \rightarrow & \mathbf{integer} \ \mid \ \mathbf{real} \end{array}$$

Write an attribute grammar for the *type* of a variable.

Exercise 3 (Dependency graphs and evaluation) Consider the following attribute grammar.

prc	productions/grammar rules semantic rules			
S	\rightarrow	ABC	$B.\mathtt{u}=S.\mathtt{u}$	
			$A.\mathtt{u}=B.\mathtt{v}+C.\mathtt{v}$	
			$S.\mathtt{v}=A.\mathtt{v}$	
A	\rightarrow	a	$A.\mathtt{v}=2*A.\mathtt{u}$	
B	\rightarrow	b	$B.\mathtt{v}=B.\mathtt{u}$	
C	\rightarrow	c	C.v = 1	

- 1. Draw the parse tree for the string **abc** (the only word in the language) and draw the dependency graph for the associated attributes. Describe a correct order for the evaluation of the attributes.
- 2. Suppose that the value 3 is assigned to S.u before attribute evaluation begins. What is the value of S.v when the evaluation has finished.
- 3. Suppose the attribute equations are modified as follows:

produc	tion/grammar rule	semantic rules
$S \rightarrow$	ABC	$B.\mathtt{u}=S.\mathtt{u}$
		$C.\mathtt{u}=A.\mathtt{v}$
		$A.\mathtt{u}=B.\mathtt{v}+C.\mathtt{v}$
		$S.\mathtt{v}=A.\mathtt{v}$
$A \rightarrow$	a	$A.\mathtt{v}=2*A.\mathtt{u}$
$B \rightarrow$	b	$B.\mathtt{v}=B.\mathtt{u}$
$C \rightarrow$	С	$C.\mathtt{v}=C.\mathtt{u}-2$

What value does S.v have after attribute evaluation, if S.u = 3 before the evaluation begins?

Exercise 4 (AG for classes) Consider the following grammar for class declarations:

class	\rightarrow	class name { decls }
decls	\rightarrow	$decls$; $decl \mid decl$
decl	\rightarrow	variable- $decl$
decl	\rightarrow	method- $decl$
method-decl	\rightarrow	type name (params) body
type	\rightarrow	$\operatorname{int} \mid \operatorname{bool} \mid \operatorname{void}$

As usual, terminals are indicated in boldface, where for **name**, we assume that it represents names the scanner provides; **name** is assumed to have an attribute **name**.

Methods with the same name as the class they belong to are *constructor methods*. For those, the following informal typing "rule" is given:

Constructors need to be specified with the type **void**.

Design semantical rules for this requirement for the following fragment of an AG.

pro	semantic rules		
class	\rightarrow	class name { decls }	
decls	\rightarrow	decls; $decl$	
decls	\rightarrow	decl	
decl	\rightarrow	variable- $decl$	not to be filled out
decl	\rightarrow	method- $decl$	
method-decl	\rightarrow	type name (params) body	
type	\rightarrow	\mathbf{int}	
type	\rightarrow	bool	
type	\rightarrow	void	