



## INF 5110: Compiler construction

Spring 2024

### Handout 2

22. 01. 2024

#### Handout 2: Scanning etc.

Issued: 22. 01. 2024

The handout collects definitions in connection with scanning resp. the underlying principles and definitions. They are shown in the slides, as well, but collected in this handout for easier reference.

**Definition 1 (Alphabet  $\Sigma$ )** An alphabet is a (finite) set of elements called “letters” or “symbols” or “characters”.

**Definition 2 (Words and languages)** Given an alphabet  $\Sigma$ , a *word* over  $\Sigma$  is a finite sequence of letters from  $\Sigma$ . A *language* over alphabet  $\Sigma$  is a *set* of finite *words* over  $\Sigma$ .

**Definition 3 (Regular expressions)** A *regular expression* is one of the following

1. a *basic* regular expression of the form  $\mathbf{a}$  (with  $a \in \Sigma$ ), or  $\epsilon$ , or  $\emptyset$
2. an expression of the form  $r \mid s$ , where  $r$  and  $s$  are regular expressions.
3. an expression of the form  $rs$ , where  $r$  and  $s$  are regular expressions.
4. an expression of the form  $r^*$ , where  $r$  is a regular expression.

**Definition 4 (Regular expression)** Given an alphabet  $\Sigma$ . The meaning of a regexp  $r$  (written  $\mathcal{L}(r)$ ) over  $\Sigma$  is given by equation (1).

$$\begin{array}{lll} \mathcal{L}(\emptyset) & = & \{\} & \text{empty language} & (1) \\ \mathcal{L}(\epsilon) & = & \{\epsilon\} & \text{empty word} & \\ \mathcal{L}(\mathbf{a}) & = & \{a\} & \text{single “letter” from } \Sigma & \\ \mathcal{L}(rs) & = & \{w_1w_2 \mid w_1 \in \mathcal{L}(r), w_2 \in \mathcal{L}(s)\} & \text{concatenation} & \\ \mathcal{L}(r \mid s) & = & \mathcal{L}(r) \cup \mathcal{L}(s) & \text{alternative} & \\ \mathcal{L}(r^*) & = & \mathcal{L}(r)^* & \text{iteration} & \end{array}$$

**Definition 5 (FSA)** A *finite-state automaton* (FSA), where  $\mathcal{A}$  over an alphabet  $\Sigma$  is a tuple  $(\Sigma, Q, I, F, \delta)$

- $Q$ : finite set of states
- $I \subseteq Q, F \subseteq Q$ : initial and final states.
- $\delta \subseteq Q \times \Sigma \times Q$ : transition relation.

**Definition 6 (DFA)** A *deterministic, finite automaton*  $\mathcal{A}$  (DFA for short) over an alphabet  $\Sigma$  is a tuple  $(\Sigma, Q, I, F, \delta)$

- $Q$ : finite set of states
- $I = \{i\} \subseteq Q, F \subseteq Q$ : initial and final states.
- $\delta : Q \times \Sigma \rightarrow Q$  transition function.

**Definition 7 (Accepted words and language of an automaton)** A word  $c_1c_2\dots c_n$  with  $c_i \in \Sigma$  is *accepted* by automaton  $\mathcal{A}$  over  $\Sigma$ , if there exists states  $q_0, q_2, \dots, q_n$  from  $Q$  such that

$$q_0 \xrightarrow{c_1} q_1 \xrightarrow{c_2} q_2 \xrightarrow{c_3} \dots q_{n-1} \xrightarrow{c_n} q_n ,$$

and where  $q_0 \in I$  and  $q_n \in F$ . The *language* of an FSA  $\mathcal{A}$ , written  $\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{A})$ , is the set of all words that  $\mathcal{A}$  accepts.

**Definition 8 (NFA (with  $\epsilon$  transitions))** A *non-deterministic finite-state automaton* (NFA for short)  $\mathcal{A}$  over an alphabet  $\Sigma$  is a tuple  $(\Sigma, Q, I, F, \delta)$ , where

- $Q$ : finite set of states
- $I \subseteq Q, F \subseteq Q$ : initial and final states.
- $\delta : Q \times \Sigma \rightarrow 2^Q$  transition function

In case, one uses the alphabet  $\Sigma + \{\epsilon\}$ , one speaks about an NFA with  $\epsilon$ -transitions.

**Definition 9 (Acceptance with  $\epsilon$ -transitions)** A word  $w$  over alphabet  $\Sigma$  is *accepted* by an NFA with  $\epsilon$ -transitions, if there exists a word  $w'$  which is accepted by the NFA with alphabet  $\Sigma + \{\epsilon\}$  according to Definition 7 and where  $w$  is  $w'$  with all occurrences of  $\epsilon$  removed.

**Definition 10 ( $\epsilon$ -closure,  $a$ -successors)** Given a state  $q$ , the  $\epsilon$ -closure of  $q$ , written  $close_\epsilon(q)$ , is the set of states reachable via zero, one, or more  $\epsilon$ -transitions. We write  $q_a$  for the set of states, reachable from  $q$  with one  $a$ -transition. Both definitions are used analogously for sets of states.