

Chapter 2 Scanning

Course "Compiler Construction" Martin Steffen Spring 2024



4

Chapter 2

Learning Targets of Chapter "Scanning".

1	alphabets languages	Regular expressions
±.,	alphabets, languages	FSAs (DFAs and
2.	regular expressions	NFAs)
3.	finite state automata / recognizers	Implementation of DFAs
4.	connection between the two concepts	From regular expressions to
5.	minimization	NFAs (Thompson's construction)
	The material corresponds roughly to [2, Section $2.1-2.5$]	Determinization
	or a large part of [3, Chapter 2]. The material is pretty	Minimization
	canonical, anyway.	Scanner implementations and scanner generation tools



INF5110 – Compiler Construction

Targets & Outline

Introduction



Chapter 2

Outline of Chapter "Scanning". Introduction

- **Regular expressions**
- FSAs (DFAs and NFAs)
- Implementation of DFAs

From regular expressions to NFAs (Thompson's construction)

Determinization

Minimization



Section

Introduction

Chapter 2 "Scanning" Course "Compiler Construction" Martin Steffen Spring 2024

Scanner section overview

What's a scanner?

- Input: source code.
- Output: sequential stream of tokens
- regular expressions to describe various token classes
- (deterministic/non-deterministic) finite-state automata (FSA, DFA, NFA)
- implementation of FSA
- regular expressions \rightarrow NFA
- NFA \leftrightarrow DFA



INF5110 – Compiler Construction

Targets & Outline

Introduction

Regular expressions

FSAs (DFAs and NFAs)

Implementation of DFAs

From regular expressions to NFAs (Thompson's construction)

Determinization

Minimization

What's a scanner?

other names: lexical scanner, lexer, tokenizer

A scanner's functionality

Part of a compiler that takes the source code as input and translates this stream of characters into a stream of tokens.

- char's typically language independent.
- tokens already language-specific.
- works always "left-to-right", producing one *single token* after the other, as it scans the input
- it "segments" char stream into "chunks" while at the same time "classifying" those pieces ⇒ tokens



INF5110 – Compiler Construction

Targets & Outline

Introduction

Regular expressions

FSAs (DFAs and NFAs)

Implementation of DFAs

From regular expressions to NFAs (Thompson's construction)

Determinization

Minimization

Typical responsibilities of a scanner

segment & classify char stream into tokens

- reserved words or key words
- comments
- white space
- Further standard token classes:
 - format of **identifiers**, representing variables, methods, . . .
 - format of different **numerical** representations
- to segment: "jumps over" white spaces and afterwards starts to determine a new token
- not only "blank" character, also TAB, NEWLINE, etc.
- lexical rules: often (explicit or implicit) priorities
 - identifier or keyword? ⇒ keyword
 - take the *longest* possible scan that yields a valid token.



INF5110 – Compiler Construction

Targets & Outline

Introduction

Regular expressions

FSAs (DFAs and NFAs)

Implementation of DFAs

From regular expressions to NFAs (Thompson's construction)

Determinization

Minimization

"Scanner = regular expressions (+ priorities)"

Rule of thumb

Everything about the source code which is so simple that it can be captured by reg. expressions belongs into the scanner.



INF5110 – Compiler Construction

Targets & Outline

Introduction

Regular expressions

FSAs (DFAs and NFAs)

Implementation of DFAs

From regular expressions to NFAs (Thompson's construction)

Determinization

Minimization



$$a[index] = 4 + 2$$



$$a[index] = 4 + 2$$



$$a[index] = 4 + 2$$

- usual invariant in such pictures (by convention): arrow or head points to the *first* character to be *read next* (and thus *after* the last character having been scanned/read last)
- in the scanner *program* or procedure:
 - analogous invariant, the arrow corresponds to a *specific variable*
 - contains/points to the next character to be read
 - name of the variable depends on the scanner/scanner tool
- the *head* in the pic: for illustration, the scanner does not really have a "reading head"



INF5110 – Compiler Construction

Targets & Outline

Introduction

Regular expressions

FSAs (DFAs and NFAs)

Implementation of DFAs

From regular expressions to NFAs (Thompson's construction)

Determinization

Minimization

The bad(?) old times: Fortran

- in the days of the pioneers
- compiler technology was not well-developed (or not at all)
- programming was for very few "experts".¹
- Fortran was considered high-level (wow, a language so complex that you had to compile it ...)



¹There was no computer science as profession or university curriculum.



INF5110 – Compiler Construction

Targets & Outline

Introduction

Regular expressions

FSAs (DFAs and NFAs)

Implementation of DFAs

From regular expressions to NFAs (Thompson's construction)

Determinization

Minimization

(Slightly weird) lexical ascpects of Fortran

Lexical aspects = those dealt with by a scanner

• whitespace without "meaning":

I F(X 2. EQ. 0) TH E N vs. IF (X2. EQ.0) THEN

no reserved words!

IF (IF.EQ.0) THEN THEN=1.0

• general *obscurity* tolerated:

D099I=1,10 vs. D099I=1.10

DO 99 I=1,10 --99 CONTINUE



INF5110 – Compiler Construction

Targets & Outline

Introduction

Regular expressions

FSAs (DFAs and NFAs)

Implementation of DFAs

From regular expressions to NFAs (Thompson's construction)

Determinization

Minimization

Fortran scanning: remarks

- Fortran (of course) has evolved from the pioneer days
- no keywords: nowadays mostly seen as bad idea
- treatment of white-space as in Fortran: not done anymore: THEN and TH EN are different things in all languages
- however: both considered "the same":

```
if ubuthen u . .
```

```
ifuuubuuuuthenu..
```

- since concepts/tools (and much memory) were missing, Fortran scanner and parser (and compiler) were
 - quite simplistic
 - syntax: designed to "help" the lexer (and other phases)



INF5110 – Compiler Construction

Targets & Outline

Introduction

Regular expressions

FSAs (DFAs and NFAs)

Implementation of DFAs

From regular expressions to NFAs (Thompson's construction)

Determinization

Minimization

A scanner classifies

 "good" classification: depends also on later phases, may not be clear till later

Rule of thumb

Things being treated equal in the syntactic analysis (= parser, i.e., subsequent phase) should be put into the same category.

terminology not 100% uniform, but most would agree:

Lexemes and tokens

Lexemes are the "chunks" (pieces) the scanner produces from segmenting the input source code (and typically dropping whitespace). Tokens are the result of *classifying* those lexemes.

token = token name × token value



INF5110 – Compiler Construction

Targets & Outline

Introduction

Regular expressions

FSAs (DFAs and NFAs)

Implementation of DFAs

From regular expressions to NFAs (Thompson's construction)

Determinization

Minimization

A scanner classifies & does a bit more

- token data structure in OO settings
 - token themselves defined by classes (i.e., as instance of a class representing a specific token)
 - token values: as attribute (instance variable) in its values
- often: scanner does slightly *more* than just classification
 - store names in some table and store a corresponding index as attribute
 - store text constants in some *table*, and store corresponding index as attribute
 - even: calculate numeric constants and store value as attribute



INF5110 – Compiler Construction

Targets & Outline

Introduction

Regular expressions

FSAs (DFAs and NFAs)

Implementation of DFAs

From regular expressions to NFAs (Thompson's construction)

Determinization

Minimization

One possible classification

```
name/identifier abc123
integer constant 42
real number constant 3.14E3
string literal "this is a text constant"
arithmetic op's + - * /
boolean/logical op's and or not (alternatively /\ \/ )
relational symbols <= < >= = = !=
all other tokens:
every one in its
own group
```

- this classification: not the only possible (and not necessarily complete)
- note: overlap:
 - "." is here a token, but also part of real number constant
 - "<" is part of "<="</p>

One way to represent tokens in C

```
typedef struct {
   TokenType tokenval;
   char * stringval;
   int numval;
} TokenRecord;
```

If one only wants to store one attribute:

```
typedef struct {
   Tokentype tokenval;
   union
   { char * stringval;
      int numval
   } attribute;
} TokenRecord;
```



INF5110 – Compiler Construction

Targets & Outline

Introduction

Regular expressions

FSAs (DFAs and NFAs)

Implementation of DFAs

From regular expressions to NFAs (Thompson's construction)

Determinization

Minimization

How to define lexical analysis and implement a scanner?

- even for complex languages: lexical analysis (in principle) not hard to do
- "manual" implementation straightforwardly possible
- specification (e.g., of different token classes) may be given in "prose"
- however: there are straightforward formalisms and efficient, rock-solid tools available:
 - easier to specify unambigously
 - easier to communicate the lexical definitions to others
 - easier to change and maintain
- often called parser generators typically not just generate a scanner, but code for the next phase (parser), as well.



INF5110 – Compiler Construction

Targets & Outline

Introduction

Regular expressions

FSAs (DFAs and NFAs)

Implementation of DFAs

From regular expressions to NFAs (Thompson's construction)

Determinization

Minimization

Sample prose spec

2 Lexical aspects

2.1 Identifiers and literals

- NAME must start with a letter, followed by a (possibly empty) sequence of numeric characters, letters, and underscore characters; the underscore is not allowed to occur at the end. Capital and small letters are considered different.
- All keywords of the languages are written in with lower-case letters. Keyword cannot be used for standard identifiers.
- INT_LITERAL contains one or more numeric characters.
- FLOAT_LITERAL contains one or more numeric characters, followed by a decimal point sign, which is followed by one or more numeric characters.
- STRING_LITERAL consists of a string of characters, enclosed in quotation marks (*). The string is not allowed to contain line shift, new-line, carriage return, or similar. The semantic value of a STRING_LITERAL is only the string itself, the quotation marks are not part of the string value itself.

2.2 Comments

Compila supports single line and multi-line comments.

- Single-line comments start with // and the comment extends until the end of that line (as in, for instance, Java, C++, and most modern C-dialects).
- 2. Multi-line comments start with (* and end with *).

The latter form cannot be nested. The first one is allowed to be "nested" (in the sense that a commented out line can contain another // or the multi-line comment delimiters, which are then ignored).



INF5110 – Compiler Construction

Targets & Outline

Introduction

Regular expressions

FSAs (DFAs and NFAs)

Implementation of DFAs

From regular expressions to NFAs (Thompson's construction)

Determinization

Minimization



Section

Regular expressions

Chapter 2 "Scanning" Course "Compiler Construction" Martin Steffen Spring 2024

General concept: How to generate a scanner?

- 1. regular expressions to describe language's lexical aspects
 - like whitespaces, comments, keywords, format of identifiers etc.
 - often: more "user friendly" variants of reg-exprs are supported to specify that phase
- 2. *classify* the lexemes to tokens
- 3. translate the reg-expressions \Rightarrow NFA.
- 4. turn the NFA into a *deterministic* FSA (= DFA)
- 5. the DFA can straightforwardly be implementated
 - step done automatically by a "lexer generator"
 - lexer generators help also in other user-friendly ways of specifying the lexer: defining *priorities*, assuring that the *longest* possible lexeme is tokenized



INF5110 – Compiler Construction

Targets & Outline

Introduction

Regular expressions

FSAs (DFAs and NFAs)

Implementation of DFAs

From regular expressions to NFAs (Thompson's construction)

Determinization

Minimization

Use of regular expressions

- regular languages: fundamental class of "languages"
- regular expressions: standard way to describe regular languages
- not just used in compilers
- often used for flexible " searching ": simple form of pattern matching
- e.g. input to search engine interfaces
- also supported by many editors and text processing or scripting languages (starting from classical ones like awk or sed)
- but also tools like grep or find (or general "globbing" in shells)

find . -name "*.tex"

 often *extended* regular expressions, for user-friendliness, not theoretical expressiveness



INF5110 – Compiler Construction

Targets & Outline

Introduction

Regular expressions

FSAs (DFAs and NFAs)

Implementation of DFAs

From regular expressions to NFAs (Thompson's construction)

Determinization

Minimization

Alphabets and languages

Definition (Alphabet Σ)

Finite set of elements called "letters" or "symbols" or "characters".

Definition (Words and languages over Σ)

Given alphabet Σ , a word over Σ is a finite sequence of letters from Σ . A language over alphabet Σ is a *set* of finite *words* over Σ .

 practical examples of alphabets: ASCII, Norwegian letters (capitals and non-capitals) etc.



INF5110 – Compiler Construction

Targets & Outline

Introduction

Regular expressions

FSAs (DFAs and NFAs)

Implementation of DFAs

From regular expressions to NFAs (Thompson's construction)

Determinization

Minimization

Languages

• note: Σ is finite, and words are of *finite* length languages: in general infinite sets of words • simple examples: Assume $\Sigma = \{a, b\}$ words as finite "sequences" of letters • ϵ : the empty word (= empty sequence) • *ab* means " first *a* then *b* " • sample languages over Σ are **1.** {} (also written as \emptyset) the empty set **2.** $\{a, b, ab\}$: language with 3 finite words **3.** $\{\epsilon\} \ (\neq \emptyset)$ 4. $\{\epsilon, a, aa, aaa, \ldots\}$: infinite languages, all words using only a 's. **5.** $\{\epsilon, a, ab, aba, abab, \ldots\}$: alternating *a*'s and *b*'s **6.** $\{ab, bbab, aaaaa, bbabbabab, aabb, \ldots\}$: ?????



INF5110 – Compiler Construction

Targets & Outline

Introduction

Regular expressions

FSAs (DFAs and NFAs)

Implementation of DFAs

From regular expressions to NFAs (Thompson's construction)

Determinization

Minimization

How to describe languages

- language mostly here in the abstract sense just defined.
- the "dot-dot-dot" (...) is not a good way to describe to a computer (and to many humans) what is meant
- enumerating explicitly all allowed words for an infinite language does not work either

Needed

A finite way of describing infinite languages (which is hopefully efficiently implementable & easily readable)

Beware

Is it apriori to be expected that *all* infinite languages can even be captured in a finite manner?

small metaphor

 $2.72727272727\ldots$ $3.1415926\ldots$



INF5110 – Compiler Construction

Targets & Outline

Introduction

Regular expressions

FSAs (DFAs and NFAs)

Implementation of DFAs

From regular expressions to NFAs (Thompson's construction)

Determinization

Minimization

(1)

Regular expressions

Definition (Regular expressions)

A regular expression is one of the following

- 1. a *basic* regular expression of the form a (with $a \in \Sigma$), or ϵ , or \emptyset
- 2. an expression of the form $r \mid s$, where r and s are regular expressions.
- **3.** an expression of the form rs, where r and s are regular expressions.
- 4. an expression of the form r^* , where r is a regular expression.

Precedence (from high to low): *, concatenation, |



INF5110 – Compiler Construction

Targets & Outline

Introduction

Regular expressions

FSAs (DFAs and NFAs)

Implementation of DFAs

From regular expressions to NFAs (Thompson's construction)

Determinization

Minimization

A "grammatical" definition

Later introduced as (notation for) context-free grammars:

(2)r \rightarrow a $r \rightarrow \epsilon$ $r \rightarrow \mathbf{0}$ $r \rightarrow r \mid r$ $r \rightarrow rr$ $r \rightarrow r^*$

INF5110 – Compiler Construction

Targets & Outline

Introduction

Regular expressions

FSAs (DFAs and NFAs)

Implementation of DFAs

From regular expressions to NFAs (Thompson's construction)

Determinization

Minimization

Same again

Notational conventions

Later, for CF grammars, we use often capital letters to denote "variables" of the grammars (then called *non-terminals*). If we like to be consistent with that convention in the parsing chapters and use capitals for non-terminals, the grammar for regular expression looks as follows:

$$\begin{array}{rrrr} R & \rightarrow & \mathbf{a} \\ R & \rightarrow & \boldsymbol{\epsilon} \\ R & \rightarrow & \boldsymbol{\emptyset} \\ R & \rightarrow & R \mid R \\ R & \rightarrow & RR \\ R & \rightarrow & R^* \end{array}$$



INF5110 – Compiler Construction

Targets & Outline

Introduction

Regular expressions

FSAs (DFAs and NFAs)

Implementation of DFAs

(3)

From regular expressions to NFAs (Thompson's construction)

Determinization

Minimization

Symbols, meta-symbols, meta-meta-symbols ...

- regexps: notation or "language" to describe "languages" over a given alphabet Σ (i.e. subsets of Σ*)
- language being described ⇔ language used to describe the language
- \Rightarrow language \Leftrightarrow meta-language
 - here:
 - regular expressions: notation to describe regular languages
 - English resp. context-free notation: notation to describe regular expressions (a notation itself)
 - for now: carefully use *notational* or *typographic* conventions for precision



INF5110 – Compiler Construction

Targets & Outline

Introduction

Regular expressions

FSAs (DFAs and NFAs)

Implementation of DFAs

From regular expressions to NFAs (Thompson's construction)

Determinization

Minimization

Notational conventions

- notational conventions by *typographic* means (i.e., different fonts etc.)
- you need good eyes, but: difference between
 - $oldsymbol{a}$ and $oldsymbol{a}$
 - ϵ and ϵ
 - Ø and Ø
 - | and | (especially hard to see :-))
 - . . .
- later (when gotten used to it) we may take a more "relaxed" attitude towards it, assuming things are clear enough by then, as do many textbooks.



INF5110 – Compiler Construction

Targets & Outline

Introduction

```
Regular
expressions
```

FSAs (DFAs and NFAs)

Implementation of DFAs

From regular expressions to NFAs (Thompson's construction)

Determinization

Minimization

Same again once more

Note:

- symbol | : (bold) as symbol of regular expressions
- symbol | : (normal, non-bold) meta-symbol of the CF grammar notation
- the meta-notation used here for CF grammars will be the subject of later chapters
- this time: parentheses "added" to the syntax.



INF5110 – Compiler Construction

Targets & Outline

Introduction

(4)

```
Regular expressions
```

FSAs (DFAs and NFAs)

Implementation of DFAs

From regular expressions to NFAs (Thompson's construction)

Determinization

Minimization

Semantics (meaning) of regular expressions

Definition (Regular expression)

Given an alphabet Σ . The meaning of a regexp r (written $\mathcal{L}(r)$) over Σ is given by equation (5).

- conventional precedences: *, concatenation, |.
- Note: left of "=": reg-expr syntax, right of "=": semantics/meaning/math²

²Sometimes confusingly "the same" notation.

Examples

In the following:

- $\Sigma = \{a, b, c\}.$
- we don't bother to "boldface" the syntax

1 \41/

words with exactly one b words with max. one b

words of the form $a^n b a^n$, i.e., equal number of a's before and after 1 b

$$\begin{array}{c} a \mid c)^* b(a \mid c)^* \\ (a \mid c)^*) \mid ((a \mid c)^* b(a \mid c)^*) \\ (a \mid c)^* \ (b \mid \epsilon) \ (a \mid c)^* \end{array}$$

14



INF5110 – Compiler Construction

Targets & Outline

Introduction

Regular expressions

FSAs (DFAs and NFAs)

Implementation of DFAs

From regular expressions to NFAs (Thompson's construction)

Determinization

Minimization
Another regexpr example

words that do not contain two b's in a row.

=

$$(b (a | c))^*$$

 $((a | c)^* | (b (a | c))^*)^*$

$$((a \mid c) \mid (b \mid (a \mid c)))^*$$
$$(a \mid c \mid ba \mid bc)^*$$
$$(a \mid c \mid ba \mid bc)^* \quad (b \mid \epsilon)$$
$$(notb \mid b \mid notb)^* (b \mid \epsilon)$$

not quite there yet better, but still not there = (simplify) (simplifive ven more)

> potential b at the end where $notb \triangleq a \mid c$



INE5110 -Compiler Construction

Targets & Outline

Introduction

Regular expressions

FSAs (DFAs and NFAs)

Implementation of DFAs

From regular expressions to NFAs (Thompson's construction)

Determinization

Minimization

Additional "user-friendly" notations

$$\begin{array}{rrrr} r^+ &=& rr^* \\ r? &=& r \mid \boldsymbol{\epsilon} \end{array}$$

Special notations for sets of letters:

 $\begin{bmatrix} 0 - 9 \end{bmatrix} \quad \text{range (for ordered alphabets)} \\ \sim a \quad \text{not } a \text{ (everything except } a \text{)} \\ \quad . \quad \text{all of } \Sigma$

naming regular expressions ("regular definitions")

$$\begin{array}{rcl} digit &=& [0-9]\\ nat &=& digit^+\\ signedNat &=& (+|-)nat\\ number &=& signedNat("."nat)?(\texttt{E}\ signedNat)? \end{array}$$



INF5110 – Compiler Construction

Targets & Outline

Introduction

Regular expressions

FSAs (DFAs and NFAs)

Implementation of DFAs

From regular expressions to NFAs (Thompson's construction)

Determinization

Minimization



Section FSAs (DFAs and NFAs)

Chapter 2 "Scanning" Course "Compiler Construction" Martin Steffen Spring 2024

Finite-state automata

- simple "computational" machine
- (variations of) FSA's exist in many flavors and under different names
- other well-known names include finite-state machines, finite labelled transition systems, ...
- "state-and-transition" representations of programs or behaviors (finite state or else) are wide-spread as well
 - state diagrams
 - Kripke-structures
 - I/O automata
 - Moore & Mealy machines
- the logical behavior of certain classes of electronic circuitry with internal memory ("flip-flops") is described by finite-state automata.



INF5110 – Compiler Construction

Targets & Outline

Introduction

Regular expressions

FSAs (DFAs and NFAs)

Implementation of DFAs

From regular expressions to NFAs (Thompson's construction)

Determinization

Minimization

FSA

Definition (FSA)

A finite-state automaton (FSA), where $\mathcal A$ over an alphabet Σ is a tuple (Σ,Q,I,F,δ)

- Q: finite set of states
- $I \subseteq Q$, $F \subseteq Q$: initial and final states.
- $\delta \subseteq Q \times \Sigma \times Q$ transition relation
- final states: also called accepting states
- transition relation: can *equivalently* be seen as function $\delta: Q \times \Sigma \rightarrow 2^Q$: for each state and for each letter, give back the set of sucessor states (which may be empty)
- more suggestive notation: $q_1 \xrightarrow{a} q_2$ for $(q_1, a, q_2) \in \delta$
- we also use freely —self-evident, we hope— things like

$$q_1 \xrightarrow{a} q_2 \xrightarrow{b} q_3$$



INF5110 – Compiler Construction

Targets & Outline

Introduction

Regular expressions

FSAs (DFAs and NFAs)

Implementation of DFAs

From regular expressions to NFAs (Thompson's construction)

Determinization

Minimization

FSA as scanning machine?

- FSA have slightly unpleasant properties when considering them as decribing an actual program (i.e., a scanner procedure/lexer)
- given the "theoretical definition" of acceptance:

Mental picture of a scanning automaton

The automaton eats one character after the other, and, when reading a letter, it moves to a successor state, if any, of the current state, depending on the character at hand.

- 2 problematic aspects of FSA
 - non-determinism: what if there is more than one possible successor state?
 - undefinedness: what happens if there's no next state for a given input
- the 2nd one is *easily* repaired, the 1st one requires more thought
- [2]: recogniser corresponds to DFA



INF5110 – Compiler Construction

Targets & Outline

Introduction

Regular expressions

FSAs (DFAs and NFAs)

Implementation of DFAs

From regular expressions to NFAs (Thompson's construction)

Determinization

Minimization

DFA: deterministic and total automata

Definition (DFA)

A deterministic, finite automaton $\mathcal A$ (DFA for short) over an alphabet Σ is a tuple (Σ,Q,I,F,δ)

- Q: finite set of states
- $I = \{i\} \subseteq Q$, $F \subseteq Q$: initial and final states.
- $\delta: Q \times \Sigma \to Q$ transition function.
- transition function: special case of transition relation:
 - deterministic
 - left-total ("complete")



INF5110 – Compiler Construction

Targets & Outline

Introduction

Regular expressions

FSAs (DFAs and NFAs)

Implementation of DFAs

From regular expressions to NFAs (Thompson's construction)

Determinization

Minimization

Meaning of an FSA

Semantics

The intended meaning of an FSA over an alphabet Σ is the set of all the finite words, the automaton accepts.

Definition (Accepted words and language of an automaton)

A word $c_1c_2...c_n$ with $c_i \in \Sigma$ is accepted by automaton \mathcal{A} over Σ , if there exists states $q_0, q_2, ..., q_n$ from Q such that

$$q_0 \xrightarrow{c_1} q_1 \xrightarrow{c_2} q_2 \xrightarrow{c_3} \dots q_{n-1} \xrightarrow{c_n} q_n$$

and were $q_0 \in I$ and $q_n \in F$. The language of an FSA A, written $\mathcal{L}(A)$, is the set of all words that A accepts.



INF5110 – Compiler Construction

Targets & Outline

Introduction

Regular expressions

FSAs (DFAs and NFAs)

Implementation of DFAs

From regular expressions to NFAs (Thompson's construction)

Determinization

Minimization

FSA example



INF5110 – Compiler Construction

Targets & Outline

Introduction

Regular expressions

FSAs (DFAs and NFAs)

Implementation of DFAs

From regular expressions to NFAs (Thompson's construction)

Determinization

Minimization



Example: identifiers

Regular expression

$$identifier = letter(letter \mid digit)^*$$



• transition *function*/relation δ *not* completely defined (= *partial* function)



INF5110 – Compiler Construction

Targets & Outline

Introduction

(6)

Regular expressions

FSAs (DFAs and NFAs)

Implementation of DFAs

From regular expressions to NFAs (Thompson's construction)

Determinization

Minimization

Example: identifiers

Regular expression

$$identifier = letter(letter \mid digit)^*$$
(6)





INF5110 – Compiler Construction

Targets & Outline

Introduction

Regular expressions

FSAs (DFAs and NFAs)

Implementation of DFAs

From regular expressions to NFAs (Thompson's construction)

Determinization

Minimization

Automata for numbers: natural numbers



INF5110 – Compiler Construction

Targets & Outline

Introduction

(7)

Regular expressions

FSAs (DFAs and NFAs)

Implementation of DFAs

From regular expressions to NFAs (Thompson's construction)

Determinization

Minimization

$$\begin{array}{rcl} digit & = & [0-9] \\ nat & = & digit^+ \end{array}$$



Signed natural numbers



INF5110 – Compiler Construction

Targets & Outline

Introduction

Regular expressions

FSAs (DFAs and NFAs)

Implementation of DFAs

From regular expressions to NFAs (Thompson's construction)

Determinization

Minimization

$$signednat = (+ | -)nat | nat$$



Signed natural numbers: non-deterministic



INF5110 – Compiler Construction

Targets & Outline

Introduction

Regular expressions

FSAs (DFAs and NFAs)

Implementation of DFAs

From regular expressions to NFAs (Thompson's construction)

Determinization

Minimization

Scanner implementations and scanner generation tools



digit

Fractional numbers



INF5110 – Compiler Construction

Targets & Outline

Introduction

Regular expressions

FSAs (DFAs and NFAs)

Implementation of DFAs

From regular expressions to NFAs (Thompson's construction)

Determinization

Minimization





Floats



INF5110 – Compiler Construction

Targets & Outline Introduction Regular expressions FSAs (DFAs and NFAs)

$$\begin{array}{rcl} digit &=& [0-9]\\ nat &=& digit^+\\ signednat &=& (+\mid -)nat\mid nat\\ frac &=& signednat("."nat)?\\ float &=& frac(\texttt{E}\ signednat)? \end{array}$$

- Note: no (explicit) recursion in the definitions
- note also the treatment of *digit* in the automata.

Implementation of DFAs

From regular expressions to NFAs (Thompson's construction)

Determinization

Minimization

DFA for floats

start \rightarrow



INF5110 -

Compiler

Construction

digitdigitdigit digitdigitdigitdigit

digit

Targets & Outline

Introduction

Regular expressions

FSAs (DFAs and NFAs)

Implementation of DFAs

From regular expressions to NFAs (Thompson's construction)

Determinization

Minimization

DFAs for comments







Section

Implementation of DFAs

Chapter 2 "Scanning" Course "Compiler Construction" Martin Steffen Spring 2024

Example: identifiers (repeated)

Regular expression

$$identifier = letter(letter \mid digit)^*$$





INF5110 – Compiler Construction

Targets & Outline

Introduction

Regular expressions

FSAs (DFAs and NFAs)

Implementation of DFAs

From regular expressions to NFAs (Thompson's construction)

Determinization

Minimization

Example: identifiers (repeated)

Regular expression

 $identifier = letter(letter \mid digit)^*$





INF5110 – Compiler Construction

Targets & Outline

Introduction

Regular expressions

FSAs (DFAs and NFAs)

Implementation of DFAs

From regular expressions to NFAs (Thompson's construction)

Determinization

Minimization

Implementation of DFA (1)



INF5110 – Compiler Construction

Targets & Outline

Introduction

Regular expressions

FSAs (DFAs and NFAs)

Implementation of DFAs

From regular expressions to NFAs (Thompson's construction)

Determinization

Minimization



DFA implementation: explicit state representation

```
state := 1 \{ start \}
while state = 1 or 2
do
  case state of
  1: case input character of
      letter: advance the input;
              state := 2
      else state := .... { error or other };
      end case:
  2: case input character of
     letter, digit: advance the input;
                    state := 2; { actually unessessary }
     else
                    state := 3:
     end case;
  end case;
end while:
if state = 3 then accept else error;
```

Table rep. of the DFA



INF5110 – Compiler Construction

Targets	&	Outline
---------	---	---------

Introduction

Regular expressions

FSAs (DFAs and NFAs)

Implementation of DFAs

From regular expressions to NFAs (Thompson's construction)

Determinization

Minimization

Scanner implementations and scanner generation tools

input state shar	letter	digit	other	accepting
1	2			no
2	2	2	[3]	no
3				yes

added info for

- accepting or not
- " non-advancing " transitions
 - here: 3 can be reached from 2 via such a transition

Table-based implementation

```
state := 1 { start }
ch := next input character;
while not Accept[state] and not error(state)
do
while state = 1 or 2
do
    newstate := T[state,ch];
    {if Advance[state,ch]
        then ch:=next input character};
    state := newstate
end while;
if Accept [state] then accept;
```



INF5110 – Compiler Construction

Targets & Outline

Introduction

Regular expressions

FSAs (DFAs and NFAs)

Implementation of DFAs

From regular expressions to NFAs (Thompson's construction)

Determinization

Minimization



Section

From regular expressions to NFAs (Thompson's construction)

Chapter 2 "Scanning" Course "Compiler Construction" Martin Steffen Spring 2024

Non-deterministic FSA

Definition (NFA (with ϵ transitions))

A non-deterministic finite-state automaton (NFA for short) \mathcal{A} over an alphabet Σ is a tuple $(\Sigma, Q, I, F, \delta)$, where

- Q: finite set of states
- $I \subseteq Q$, $F \subseteq Q$: initial and final states.
- $\delta: Q \times \Sigma \to 2^Q$ transition function

In case, one uses the alphabet $\Sigma+\{\epsilon\},$ one speaks about an NFA with $\epsilon\text{-transitions}.$

- in the following: NFA mostly means, allowing ϵ transitions
- *ϵ*: treated *different* from the "normal" letters from Σ.
- δ can equivalently be interpreted as relation: $\delta \subseteq Q \times \Sigma \times Q$ (transition relation labelled by elements from Σ).



INF5110 – Compiler Construction

Targets & Outline

Introduction

Regular expressions

FSAs (DFAs and NFAs)

Implementation of DFAs

From regular expressions to NFAs (Thompson's construction)

Determinization

Minimization

Language of such NFA

- remember $\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{A})$ (Definition 7 on page 44)
- applying definition directly to $\Sigma + \{\epsilon\}$: accepting words "containing" letters ϵ
- as said: special treatment for ε-transitions/ε-"letters". ε rather represents absence of input character/letter.

Definition (Acceptance with *e***-transitions)**

A word w over alphabet Σ is accepted by an NFA with ϵ -transitions, if there exists a word w' which is accepted by the NFA with alphabet $\Sigma + \{\epsilon\}$ according to Definition 7 and where w is w' with all occurrences of ϵ removed.

Alternative (but equivalent) intuition

 \mathcal{A} reads one character after the other (following its transition relation). If in a state with an outgoing ϵ -transition, \mathcal{A} can move to a corresponding successor state without reading an input symbol.



INF5110 – Compiler Construction

Targets & Outline

Introduction

Regular expressions

FSAs (DFAs and NFAs)

Implementation of DFAs

From regular expressions to NFAs (Thompson's construction)

Determinization

Minimization

NFA vs. DFA

- *NFA*: often easier (and smaller) to write down, esp. starting from a regular expression
- non-determinism: not *immediately* transferable to an algo





INF5110 – Compiler Construction

Targets & Outline

Introduction

Regular expressions

FSAs (DFAs and NFAs)

Implementation of DFAs

From regular expressions to NFAs (Thompson's construction)

Determinization

Minimization

Why non-deterministic FSA?

Task: recognize :=, \leq =, and = as three different tokens:





return LE





INF5110 – Compiler Construction

Targets & Outline

Introduction

Regular expressions

FSAs (DFAs and NFAs)

Implementation of DFAs

From regular expressions to NFAs (Thompson's construction)

Determinization

Minimization



INF5110 – Compiler

Construction



Targets & Outline

Introduction

Regular expressions

FSAs (DFAs and NFAs)

Implementation of DFAs

From regular expressions to NFAs (Thompson's construction)

Determinization

Minimization

What about the following 3 tokens?





INF5110 – Compiler Construction

Targets & Outline

Introduction

Regular expressions

FSAs (DFAs and NFAs)

Implementation of DFAs

From regular expressions to NFAs (Thompson's construction)

Determinization

Minimization



INF5110 – Compiler Construction

Targets & Outline

Introduction

Regular expressions

FSAs (DFAs and NFAs)

Implementation of DFAs

From regular expressions to NFAs (Thompson's construction)

Determinization

Minimization





INF5110 – Compiler Construction

Targets & Outline

Introduction

Regular expressions

FSAs (DFAs and NFAs)

Implementation of DFAs

From regular expressions to NFAs (Thompson's construction)

Determinization

Minimization



Regular expressions \rightarrow NFA

- needed: a systematic translation (= algo, best an efficient one)
- conceptually easiest: translate to NFA (with ϵ -transitions)
 - postpone determinization for a second step
 - (postpone minimization for later, as well)

Compositional construction [?]

Design goal: The NFA of a compound regular expression is given by taking the NFAa of the immediate subexpressions and connecting them appropriately.

 construction slightly³ simpler, if one uses automata with one start and one accepting state

 \Rightarrow ample use of ϵ -transitions



INF5110 – Compiler Construction

Targets & Outline

Introduction

Regular expressions

FSAs (DFAs and NFAs)

Implementation of DFAs

From regular expressions to NFAs (Thompson's construction)

Determinization

Minimization

³It does not matter much, though.

Illustration for ϵ -transitions



INF5110 – Compiler Construction



Introduction

Regular expressions

FSAs (DFAs and NFAs)

Implementation of DFAs

From regular expressions to NFAs (Thompson's construction)

Determinization

Minimization


Thompson's construction: basic expressions



INF5110 – Compiler Construction

Targets & Outline

Introduction

Regular expressions

FSAs (DFAs and NFAs)

Implementation of DFAs

From regular expressions to NFAs (Thompson's construction)

Determinization

Minimization

Scanner implementations and scanner generation tools

basic regular expressions

basic (= non-composed) regular expressions: ϵ , \emptyset , a (for all $a \in \Sigma$)





Thompson's construction: compound expressions





Thompson's construction: compound expressions: iteration



INF5110 – Compiler Construction



Introduction

Regular expressions

FSAs (DFAs and NFAs)

Implementation of DFAs

From regular expressions to NFAs (Thompson's construction)

Determinization

Minimization



Example: $ab \mid a$

Intro

Here is a small example illustrating the construction. In the exercises, there will be more.





INE5110 -Compiler Construction

Targets & Outline

FSAs (DFAs and

Implementation of

Determinization



Section

Determinization

Chapter 2 "Scanning" Course "Compiler Construction" Martin Steffen Spring 2024

Determinization: the subset construction

Main idea

- Given a non-det. automaton A. To construct a DFA A: instead of *backtracking*: explore all successors "at the same time" ⇒
- each state q' in $\overline{\mathcal{A}}$: represents a *subset* of states from \mathcal{A}
- Given a word w: "feeding" that to $\overline{\mathcal{A}}$ leads to *the* state representing *all* states of \mathcal{A} *reachable* via w
- powerset construction
- origin of the construction: ? [?]



INF5110 – Compiler Construction

Targets & Outline

Introduction

Regular expressions

FSAs (DFAs and NFAs)

Implementation of DFAs

From regular expressions to NFAs (Thompson's construction)

Determinization

Minimization

Some notation/definitions

Definition (ϵ -closure, a-successors)

Given a state q, the ϵ -closure of q, written $close_{\epsilon}(q)$, is the set of states reachable via zero, one, or more ϵ -transitions. We write q_a for the set of states, reachable from q with one a-transition. Both definitions are used analogously for sets of states.



INF5110 – Compiler Construction

Targets & Outline

Introduction

Regular expressions

FSAs (DFAs and NFAs)

Implementation of DFAs

From regular expressions to NFAs (Thompson's construction)

Determinization

Minimization

Transformation process: sketch of the algo

Input: NFA \mathcal{A} over a given Σ Output: DFA $\overline{\mathcal{A}}$

- 1. the initial state: $close_{\epsilon}(I)$, where I are the initial states of \mathcal{A}
- 2. for a state Q in \overline{A} : the *a*-successor of Q is given by $close_{\epsilon}(Q_a)$, i.e.,

$$Q \xrightarrow{a} close_{\epsilon}(Q_a)$$
 (8)

- 3. repeat step 2 for all states in $\overline{\mathcal{A}}$ and all $a \in \Sigma$, until no more states are being added
- the accepting states in A: those containing at least one accepting state of A



INF5110 – Compiler Construction

Targets & Outline

Introduction

Regular expressions

FSAs (DFAs and NFAs)

Implementation of DFAs

From regular expressions to NFAs (Thompson's construction)

Determinization

Minimization

Example $ab \mid a$



INF5110 – Compiler Construction



expressions

FSAs (DFAs and NFAs)

Implementation of DFAs

From regular expressions to NFAs (Thompson's construction)

Determinization

Minimization



Example $ab \mid a$



INF5110 – Compiler Construction

Targets & Outline

Introduction

Regular expressions

FSAs (DFAs and NFAs)

Implementation of DFAs

From regular expressions to NFAs (Thompson's construction)

Determinization

Minimization



Example: identifiers



Identifiers: DFA





Section

Minimization

Chapter 2 "Scanning" Course "Compiler Construction" Martin Steffen Spring 2024

Minimization

- automatic construction of DFA (via e.g. Thompson): often many superfluous states
- goal: "combine" states of a DFA without changing the accepted language

Properties of the minimization algo

Canonicity: all DFA for the same language are transformed to the *same* DFA

Minimality: resulting DFA has minimal number of states

- "side effects": answers two equivalence problems
 - given 2 DFA: do they accept the same language?
 - given 2 regular expressions, do they describe the same language?
- modern version: ?].



INF5110 – Compiler Construction

Targets & Outline

Introduction

Regular expressions

FSAs (DFAs and NFAs)

Implementation of DFAs

From regular expressions to NFAs (Thompson's construction)

Determinization

Minimization

Hopcroft's partition refinement algo for minimization

- starting point: complete DFA (i.e., error-state possibly needed)
- first idea: *equivalent* states in the given DFA may be *identified*
- equivalent: when used as starting point, accepting the same language
- partition refinement:
 - works "the other way around"
 - instead of collapsing equivalent states:
 - start by "collapsing as much as possible" and then,
 - iteratively, detect *non-equivalent* states, and then *split* a "collapsed" state
 - stop when no violations of "equivalence" are detected
- *partitioning* of a set (of states):
- *worklist*: data structure of to keep non-treated classes, termination if worklist is empty



INF5110 – Compiler Construction

Targets & Outline

Introduction

Regular expressions

FSAs (DFAs and NFAs)

Implementation of DFAs

From regular expressions to NFAs (Thompson's construction)

Determinization

Minimization

Partition refinement: a bit more concrete

- Initial partitioning: 2 partitions: set containing all accepting states F, set containing all non-accepting states $Q \setminus F$
- Loop do the following: pick a current equivalence class Q_i and a symbol \boldsymbol{a}
 - if for all $q \in Q_i$, $\delta(q, a)$ is member of the same class Q_j \Rightarrow consider Q_i as done (for now)
 - else:
 - split Q_i into Q¹_i,...Q^k_i s.t. the above situation is repaired for each Q¹_i (but don't split more than necessary).
 - be aware: a split may have a "cascading effect": other classes being fine before the split of Q_i need to be reconsidered ⇒ worklist algo
- stop if the situation stabilizes, i.e., no more split happens (= worklist empty, at latest if back to the original DFA)



INF5110 – Compiler Construction

Targets & Outline

Introduction

Regular expressions

FSAs (DFAs and NFAs)

Implementation of DFAs

From regular expressions to NFAs (Thompson's construction)

Determinization

Minimization

Split in partition refinement: basic step



- before the split $\{q_1, q_2, \ldots, q_6\}$
- after the split on a: $\{q_1, q_2\}, \{q_3, q_4, q_5\}, \{q_6\}$



INF5110 – Compiler Construction

Targets & Outline

Introduction

Regular expressions

FSAs (DFAs and NFAs)

Implementation of DFAs

From regular expressions to NFAs (Thompson's construction)

Determinization

Minimization

Identifiers: DFA



Completed automaton



Minimized automaton (error state omitted)



INF5110 – Compiler Construction

Targets & Outline

Introduction

Regular expressions

FSAs (DFAs and NFAs)

Implementation of DFAs

From regular expressions to NFAs (Thompson's construction)

Determinization

Minimization



Another example: partition refinement & error state



INF5110 – Compiler Construction

Targets & Outline

Introduction

(9)

Regular expressions

FSAs (DFAs and NFAs)

Implementation of DFAs

From regular expressions to NFAs (Thompson's construction)

Determinization

Minimization



Partition refinement

error state added





INF5110 – Compiler Construction

Targets & Outline

Introduction

Regular expressions

FSAs (DFAs and NFAs)

Implementation of DFAs

From regular expressions to NFAs (Thompson's construction)

Determinization

Minimization

Partition refinement

initial partitioning





INF5110 – Compiler Construction

Targets & Outline

Introduction

Regular expressions

FSAs (DFAs and NFAs)

Implementation of DFAs

From regular expressions to NFAs (Thompson's construction)

Determinization

Minimization

Partition refinement

split after a





INF5110 – Compiler Construction

Targets & Outline

Introduction

Regular expressions

FSAs (DFAs and NFAs)

Implementation of DFAs

From regular expressions to NFAs (Thompson's construction)

Determinization

Minimization

End result (error state omitted again)



INF5110 – Compiler Construction

Targets & Outline

Introduction

Regular expressions

FSAs (DFAs and NFAs)

Implementation of DFAs

From regular expressions to NFAs (Thompson's construction)

Determinization

Minimization





Section

Scanner implementations and scanner generation tools

Chapter 2 "Scanning" Course "Compiler Construction" Martin Steffen Spring 2024

Tools for generating scanners

- scanners: simple and well-understood part of compiler
- hand-coding possible
- mostly better off with: generated scanner
- standard tools lex / flex (also in combination with parser generators, like yacc / bison
- variants exist for many implementing languages
- based on the results of this section



INF5110 – Compiler Construction

Targets & Outline

Introduction

Regular expressions

FSAs (DFAs and NFAs)

Implementation of DFAs

From regular expressions to NFAs (Thompson's construction)

Determinization

Minimization

Main idea of (f)lex and similar

- output of lexer/scanner = input for parser
- programmer specifies regular expressions for each token-class and corresponding actions (and whitespace, comments etc.)
- the spec. language offers some conveniences (extended regexpr with priorities, associativities etc) to ease the task
- automatically translated to NFA (e.g. Thompson)
- then made into a deterministic DFA ("subset construction")
- minimized (with a little care to keep the token classes separate)
- implement the DFA (usually with the help of a *table* representation)



INF5110 – Compiler Construction

Targets & Outline

Introduction

Regular expressions

FSAs (DFAs and NFAs)

Implementation of DFAs

From regular expressions to NFAs (Thompson's construction)

Determinization

Minimization

Sample flex file (excerpt)

```
1
  DIGIT
         [0-9]
2
            [a-z][a-z0-9]*
3
  ID
4
5
  %%
6
  {DIGIT}+
7
                printf( "An integer: %s (%d)\n", yytext,
8
                        atoi(vytext)):
9
0
1
  {DIGIT}+"."{DIGIT}*
2
                printf( "A float: %s (%g)\n", yytext,
3
                        atof(vytext));
4
5
.6
7
  if then begin end procedure function
                printf( "A keyword: %s\n", yytext );
8
9
```



INF5110 – Compiler Construction

Targets & Outline

Introduction

Regular expressions

FSAs (DFAs and NFAs)

Implementation of DFAs

From regular expressions to NFAs (Thompson's construction)

Determinization

Minimization

References I



INF5110 – Compiler Construction

Bibliography

- [2] Cooper, K. D. and Torczon, L. (2004). Engineering a Compiler. Elsevier.
- [] Hopcroft, J. E. (1971). An n log n algorithm for minimizing the states in a finite automaton. In Kohavi, Z., editor, The Theory of Machines and Computations, pages 189–196. Academic Press, New York.
- [3] Louden, K. (1997). Compiler Construction, Principles and Practice. PWS Publishing.
- Rabin, M. and Scott, D. (1959). Finite automata and their decision problems. *IBM Journal of Research Developments*, 3:114–125.
- Thompson, K. (1968). Programming techniques: Regular expression search algorithm. Communications of the ACM, 11(6):419.

Targets & Outline

Introduction

Regular expressions

FSAs (DFAs and NFAs)

Implementation of DFAs

From regular expressions to NFAs (Thompson's construction)

Determinization

Minimization