INF5181: Process Improvement and Agile Methods in Systems **Development**

Lecture 07: **Problem Solving and** Improvement - by Individuals and in Groups



Fall 2011

email: dietmarp@ifi.uio.no

Dr. Dietmar Pfahl

Structure of Lecture 07

• Hour 1:

- Problem Solving Basics - The "People Framework"
- Hour 2:
 - Working in Groups
 - Motivation
- Hour 3:
 - Exercises
 - Question/answer session about project

INF5181 / Lecture 07 / © Dietmar Pfahl 2011

UNIVERSITETE

Example of a Problem Solving Strategy: "Divide and Conquer"

- A problem can always be
 Process for "divide & conquer": split into sub-problems which can further be split etc...
- Splitting-up increases the level of detail which, in turn,
 - increases accuracy
 - slows down progress

INF5181 / Lecture 07 / © Dietmar Pfahl 2011

repeat this until all subproblems can be solved 3. Integrate sub-solutions so that it solves the original

1. Define the problem

solved, and

2. Split-up the problem into

sub-problems which can be

problem UNIVERSITETE





Problem Solving Methods

Provide structures that:

- · "automate" parts of the problem solving process e.g. standardized refinement into sub-problems via "architectural styles" and design patterns
- · facilitate collaboration during the problem solving
 - e.g., by dividing the development into phases, and by using interface descriptions and coding standards
- · counteract typical "weaknesses" in humans
- e.g., it is tempting to directly jump to the problem solution (the code) before the problem is understood (the analysis) • simplify reuse of experience
- - e.g., by making everyone use the same development models and coding standards, and perhaps pair-programming and formal inspections

INF5181 / Lecture 07 / © Dietmar Pfahl 2011



Problem Solving – Mental Models (Plans)

- · Problem solving can be regarded as searching, selecting, modifying, using and reusing of plans for different purposes.
 - Experience and the ability to solve problems is largely determined by the amount (and quality) of such plans.
- <u>Plan</u> = stereotype solution to a problem.
 - It is also a private solution that only exists in the head of a person who has solved similar problems before (\rightarrow <u>Mental Model</u>).
- <u>Pattern</u> = an externalized and generalized plan (→ <u>Conceptual Model</u>) - Design Patterns are just this: experts used time and effort to describe solutions to classes of (similar) design problems that developers repeatedly encounter.

UNIVERSITETE

Examples of Software Engineering Models

- Design Patterns (Gang of Four, 1994) Singleton, Façade, Iterator, ...
- Architectural Styles (Garlan & Shaw 1994)
- Pipes and Filters, Layered, Event-Based, ... Frameworks
- Ruby on Rails, .NET, ...

INF5181 / Lecture 07 / © Dietmar Pfahl 2011

- · UML Models
- Use case diagram, Sequence diagram, Class diagram, etc.)
- Communication Protocols
 PPD-Models (→ PROFES method)
- ...

INF5181 / Lecture 07 / © Dietmar Pfahl 2011











Structure aims to balance between: supporting preferred working manners reducing the damaging effects of preferred working manners working manners

Structure - How much and what?



- Depends on problem and person(s):
 - Bigger and more complex problems typically need more structure
 - Experienced people need other types of structure than inexperienced
 - ...
- The more structure, the more standardization:
 - Standardization facilitates reuse of experience (cf. "design pattern")

INF5181 / Lecture 07 / © Dietmar Pfahl 2011







• Since the key element in software/systems development are people, the SPO-framework must be expanded to include several "softer" factors that govern human behavior:

– Goals

- Values

- Personality

INF5181 / Lecture 07 / © Dietmar Pfahl 2011

Outcome

UNIVERSITETE

Goals

- Have long-term influence on our behaviour
- Knowing the goals of those involved in (affected by) process improvement activities are important for several reasons:
 - Process changes should be streamlined to help people achieve their goals (or at least not impede the achievement of their goals)
 - An organization works best when there is "a match" between personal and organizational goals
 - It is too narrow to look at salary as the only (and possibly not the most important either) goal for a developer.
 - Equally important: recognition, professional pride, team experience, etc.

INF5181 / Lecture 07 / © Dietmar Pfahl 2011



left are precise or especially

difficult to agree that values (with an intuitive understanding) are important

UNIVERSITET

Values – Examples

INF5181 / Lecture 07 / © Dietmar Pfahl 2011

- "No one should be forced but convinced through argumentation"-value:
 - Often present in companies with consensus-culture (\rightarrow e.g., Japan)
 - Might make a good improvement proposal fail because it wasn't possible to get everyone to agree
- "Leaders should make quick decisions"-value:
 - Often, managers with this value start too many improvement activities at once

UNIVERSITETE

Personality

- "A personality is a complex set of relatively stable behavioral and emotional characteristics that can be used to uniquely identify a person." (Hohmann)
- · "Personality represents those characteristics of the person that account for consistent patterns of behavior." (Pervin, "Personality").

INF5181 / Lecture 07 / © Dietmar Pfahl 2011

Elements:

- Cognitive style
- · Mental set
- Self-efficacy
- · Assertive/Passive
- Tolerance of anxiety
- · Tolerance for ambiguity

• etc...





Myers-Briggs Type Indicator (MBTI)

- Attitudes: Extraversion vs. Introversion (E I) Extraversion relates to the external world of behavior, action, people and things
- people and things Introversion relates to the internal world of ideas and reflection Information-gathering function: Sensation vs. Intuition (S - N)
- These functions describe how new information is understood and interpreted. Decision-making function: Thinking vs. Feeling (T – F)
- Both Thinking and Feeling types strive to make rational choice based on the data received from their information-gathering functions
- Lifestyle: <u>Judging vs. Perceiving (J P</u>) Linestye: Judging Vs. Jerceiving (J - P) - individuals seem to have a preference to show either their Judging function (T or F) or their Perceiving function (S or N) when relating to the outside wold. Myers and Briggs called this a person's "ambassador," that is, the one sent forth to deal with the world. Personality type tests use these dimensions to uncover "personality profiles" (see, e.g., <u>http://www.humanmetrics.com</u>) See also: http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Myers-Briggs_Type_Indicator

INF5181 / Lecture 07 / © Dietmar Pfahl 2011

Originally introduced by Carl Gustav Jung (1875 – 1961)

addition made by Catherine Cook Briggs (her daughter, Isabel Briggs Myers, continued this work)







Conclusions

INF5181 / Lecture 07 / © Dietmar Pfahl 2011

- People are not equally comfortable with certain degrees of structure.
 New and innovative organizations, attract a special type of people (creative innovators who thrive in little structure). These people may have adaptation problems in a bigger and older organization with greater need for structure.
 For example, a company founder is often not the best choice to lead the company after it has grown big (often, however, the founder himself/herself has difficulties to realize this).
- Bigger, older IT-organizations (typically government administration, bank/insurance, defense sector etc..) are often more plan-driven and documentation-heavy and want to attract confidence-seeking persons who thrive best with predictability.

UNIVERSITETE

Conclusions (cont'd) Dot everybody is like you 1 is easy for us to assume that others like the same and react equally as we do. For example, if a process improver prefers a high degree of structure he/she could easily assume that others also do, and react irrationally ("they work against me") if resistance is big. We like those who are like us, and devalue those who are different. As a consequence, we have a tendency to collaborate with those who have similar preferences regarding structure than we have.

Conclusions (cont'd)

INF5181 / Lecture 07 / © Dietmar Pfahl 2011

- Process improvement teams should be composed of persons with different personalities.
- A successful process improvement team or system development team may need:
 - Renewers/innovators (specially important in the start phase)
 Researchers/launchers (specially important is the start phase)
 - Researchers/launchers (specially important in the start phase)
 - Surveyors/developers (specially important in the start phase)
 Pursuers/organizer (specially important in introduction and the follow-up phase)
 - Completers/producers (specially important in the introduction phase)
 - Informers/advisers (specially important in the introduction phase and the follow-
 - supporter/maintainer (specially important in the introduction phase and the follow-up phase)

 - Controller (specially important in the follow-up phase)







Quality and Culture – Example ["Strategic alliances" by P. Lorange] A story from Japan (from Fuji Xerox): A story from Japan (rom + uj xerox): 450 people with suits. The manager Tony Kobayashi arrives. In unisono: "good morning". TK explains with pictures "pyramids were built over long period of time by many people", "camels go slowly but surely", "the hare and the tortoise". Finally all stand up and sing "the quality circle song". THE QUALITY CIRCLE SONG THE QUALITY CIRCLE SONG With radiant smalle to one another, friends united with keen spirits. Ohl, the friends speak about the new dreams, about quality control. And struggle with the objectives clearly, quality circles filled with light. With an all-lime increasing morale, the days become full of systematic works Ohl, this time is wonderful, promising businesses that flourish. They struggle for tomorrow's desa, quality circles filled with motivation. By communicating with one another, this way will choose good means Ohl, this way means luck, further growth of Japanese culture Powerful and influential, quality circles filled with future. BTW, IBM had a strong culture of song singing from the 1930s to the 1950s!

Different Company Cultures expressed in Songs

٠

npany song Fujitsu company song. Verse 1: Les nues, orgenener fields, where shines a splendid Sun. Les nues a dream, a wonforous dream, hat gets the best things done. A wide blue sky is in our heat now, Open-ness in our soul, We'll run together going onwards now, On towards our goal.

Chorus: Ahhhh Fujitsuuuuu, oh tomorrow is our goal. Verse 2: Lets join our hands, with everyone, and smile at each new hour. We have a dream, an endiess dream, of youthful love and power. All the strengthe suffurfed. We plan unified all our new techniques, Over all the world.

INF5181 / Lecture 07 / © Dietmar Pfahl 2011

Chorus: Ahhhhh Fujitsuuuuu, forges links all over the world.

Verse 3: Let's make a bond, from heart to heart, throughout the human race. An unseen power, now in our grasp, can even conquer space. We want to find a new harmony, Both in work and of Jay, We'll share the fresh filings we discover now, Building a new day.

-Chorus: Ahhhhh Fujitsu uuuu, GIVES A JOY WITH EVERY NEW DAY!

INF5181 / Lecture 07 / © Dietmar Pfahl 2011

The corporation that we represent. We're here to cheer each pioneer And also proudly boast, Of that man of men Our friend and guiding hand The name of T.J. Watson means A courage none can stem And we feel honored to be Here to toast the IBM."

"Ever Onward," written in 1931 by IBM'er Frederick Tappe:

"There's a thrill in store for all For we're about to toast

UNIVERSITETE





Why Talk about Group (Dynamics) in INF5181?

- Most of the work in sw & system development organizations happens in groups
- Although process improvement initiatives sometimes meet resistance when doing
 - process assessments,
 - planning and executing measurement programs,
 documenting processes,

 - arranging training courses etc.,
- analysing training courses etc.,
 the strongest resistance emerges (deliberately or not) when attempting to actually change the way individuals and groups work.
 Understanding the group dynamics in a development organization is probably the most important key to improve processes (i.e., to implement changes)

INF5181 / Lecture 07 / © Dietmar Pfahl 2011

UNIVERSITETE



Evolution of a Group

TUCKMAN B (1965) "Developmental Sequence in Small Groups" Psychological Bulletin 63 pp. 384-399

Five basic stages:

INF5181 / Lecture 07 / © Dietmar Pfahl 2011

- Forming members of the group get to know one another and try to set up some ground rules about behaviour
- Storming conflicts arise as various members of the group try to exert leadership and the group's methods of working are established
- Norming conflicts are largely settled and a feeling of group identity emerges Performing the group has settled its relationships and expectations and works efficiently and effectively; the emphasis is now on the tasks at hand
- Adjourning the group dissolves and shares their experience with others

UNIVERSITETI

Remarks on Group Evolution

- · ALL stages are important and should be performed
- The storming stage is particularly critical
 - The "level of conflict" is an indicator for future success • Low conflict level in the group is often a signal for little involvement
 - · Conflicts are often useful to create solidarity (if conflicts are managed reasonably and not intensified) - "The threshold theory of conflict" (pp. 80-82 in Group
 - Dynamic, Forsyth)
 - Management plays a crucial role during storming

INF5181 / Lecture 07 / © Dietmar Pfahl 2011





Role Evolution (the individual's view) - Steps

- Registration
- Arrival of non-memberEvaluation
 - Group evaluates new member / new member evaluates group → quasi-member
- Socialization
 - Assimilation, the group and the new member get acquainted with each other
- Acceptance and full integration of new member → full member
 Maintenance
 - Role re-evaluation (repeatedly)
- If role no longer suitable/needed → marginal member
- "Re-socialization" or exclusion of marginal member

INF5181 / Lecture 07 / © Dietmar Pfahl 2011







- The co-ordinator good at chairing meetings and resolving conflicts
- The 'plant' an idea generator
 The monitor-evaluator good at
- evaluating ideas
 The shaper helps direct team's
- efforts
- The team worker skilled at creating a good working environment

- The resource investigator adept at finding resources, including information
 The completer-finisher –
- The completer-misner concerned with getting tasks completed

.

- The implementer a good team player who is willing to undertake less attractive tasks if they are needed for team success
 - The **specialist** (added in 1996) the 'techie' who likes to acquire knowledge for its own sake





Group Work and Creativity

- Studies show that it is not optimal to have "brainstorming" in (large) groups
- Creative work should be prepared "offline" and then discussed jointly



How to avoid low performance in groups?

Means to avoid performance reductions in groups are (among other things):

- Give group members interesting, engaging and challenging tasks
- Create confidence so that others try to give their best performance
- Clarify personal responsibility (and authority) ideally related to the impact on the end product
- Evaluate individuals based on their (identifiable) contribution

INF5181 / Lecture 07 / © Dietmar Pfahl 2011



UNIVERSITETI

Decisions Made by Groups

INF5181 / Lecture 07 / © Dietmar Pfahl 2011

- Groups using some of their time on defining (and agreeing on) the decision-making process come up with better decisions (Hirokawa 1980)
 - Often, however, groups use very little or no time for clarifying/discussing the decision-making process.
- Sometimes groups develop "group thinking"
 - i.e., lack of real discussion of alternatives and a strong motivation to agreeing (with dominant person) and being loyal (esprit de corps).

INF5181 / Lecture 07 / © Dietmar Pfahl 2011

UNIVERSITETE

UNIVERSITETE

Obstacles to good team decisions

- Inter-personal conflicts see earlier slide on team formation
 - Conflicts tend to be dampened by emergence of group norms shared group opinions and attitudes
- *Risky shift* people in groups are more likely to make risky decisions than they would as individuals
- One explanation for this dynamic is that there has been a diffusion of responsibility that otherwise may fall on one individual
- inalitidadi

INF5181 / Lecture 07 / © Dietmar Pfahl 2011



UNIVERSITETI

Positive Group Dynamics: Team 'mindfulness'

• Impression of a 'collective mind'

INF5181 / Lecture 07 / © Dietmar Pfahl 2011

- $-\ensuremath{\mathsf{Group}}$ members are aware of the activities of other
- members that contribute to overall group success
- Some attempts to promote this:
 - Egoless programming
 - Chief programmer teams
 - XP
 - Scrum
 - -----

INF5181 / Lecture 07 / © Dietmar Pfahl 2011

UNIVERSITETE

Egoless programming

- Gerry Weinberg noted a tendency for programmers to be protective of their code and to resist perceived criticisms by others of the code
 - Encouraged programmers to read each others code
 - Argued that software should become communal, not personal – hence 'egoless programming'

INF5181 / Lecture 07 / © Dietmar Pfahl 2011

Chief programmer teams

- Fred Brooks was concerned about the need to maintain 'design consistency' in large software systems
- Appointment of key programmers (→ Chief Programmers) with responsibilities for defining requirements, designing, writing and testing software code
- Assisted by a support team:

INF5181 / Lecture 07 / © Dietmar Pfahl 2011

- co-pilot shared coding
- editor who typed in new or changed code
- program clerk who wrote and maintained documentation and
- tester
- Problem: finding people capable of the chief programmer role

UNIVERSITETI

Extreme programming

INF5181 / Lecture 07 / © Dietmar Pfahl 2011

- XP can be seen as an attempt to improve team mindfulness and reduce the length of communication paths (the time between something being recorded and it being used)
 - Software code enhanced to be self-documenting
 - Software regularly refactored to clarify its structure
 - Test cases/expected results created before coding acts as a supplementary specification
 - Pair programming a development of the co-pilot concept

UNIVERSITETE

Scrum



UNIVERSITETI

- Named in analogy to a rugby scrum all pushing together
- Originally designed for new product development where 'timeto-market' is important
- 'Sprints' increments of typically one to four weeks
- Unlike XP, requirements are frozen during a sprint
- Daily 'scrums' daily stand-up meetings of about 15 minutes
- At the beginning of the sprint there is a sprint planning meeting where requirements are prioritized
- At end of sprint, a review meeting where work is reviewed and requirements may be changed or added to

INF5181 / Lecture 07 / © Dietmar Pfahl 2011



- Confidence in colleagues and managers appeared to be most important factor in support of job satisfaction (Driscoll, 1973).
- Groups with high degree of trust are more effective than those with less confidence. - E.g., the reaction to well-justified criticism will usually be
 - E.g., the reaction to well-justified criticism will usually be different in trustful relations than where you suspect someone could have used or plans to use the criticism against you.
 - It is difficult to imagine successful improvement work in total absence of criticism.

UNIVERSITETE

Groups and Communication: Time/place constraints on communication

	(co-located)	Different place (geo. distributed)
	Meetings	Telephone
(synchronous)	Interviews	Instant messaging
		Video conference
Different times	Notice boards	Email
(asynchronous)	Pigeon-holes	Voicemail
		Documents

Other factors influencing communication means/channels

- Size and complexity of information - Where high, favours documents
- Familiarity of context e.g. terminology
- Where low, favours two-way communicationPersonal / Sensitive / Confidential
- Preferable face-to-face communication
 - If written, requires confidentiality protection

INF5181 / Lecture 07 / © Dietmar Pfahl 2011



- Making important 'global' decisions
- Favours same time / same place
- Intermediate stages

- Often involves the parallel detailed design of components
- Need for clarification of interfaces etc
- Favours same time / different place

UNIVERSITETI

Best method of communication depends on stage of project /2

Implementation stages

INF5181 / Lecture 07 / © Dietmar Pfahl 2011

- Design is relatively clear
- Domain and context familiar
- Small amounts of operational data need to be exchanged
- Favours different time / different place communications, e.g. e-mail, shared work space
- Face to face co-ordination meetings the 'heartbeat' of the project





- Motivation can often make up for shortcomings in resources and skills
- Taylor's approach → financial incentives
 Higher wages for the best workers
- Abraham Maslow (1908-1970)

- Motivations vary from individual to individual
- Hierarchy of needs as lower ones fulfilled, higher ones emerge
 - Lowest level food, shelter
 - Highest level self-actualization

UNIVERSITETI

Herzberg

- Herzberg suggested two sets of factors affected job satisfaction
 - Hygiene or maintenance factors
 - Make you dissatisfied if they are not right, e.g., pay, working conditions, ...
 - Motivators

INF5181 / Lecture 07 / © Dietmar Pfahl 2011

• Make you feel the job is worthwhile, e.g., by giving a sense of achievement





- Identified the following characteristics of a job which make it more 'meaningful'
 - Skill variety
 - Task identity
 - Task significance
- Two other factors contribute to satisfaction:
 - Autonomy

- Feedback

UNIVERSITETI

Absence of (Undue) Stress

- Stress can be reduced by good processes
- Good processes should yield:

INF5181 / Lecture 07 / © Dietmar Pfahl 2011

- Reasonable estimates of effort
- Good project control leading fewer unexpected crises
 Clarity about what is expected of each team member –
- reduces role ambiguity
- Reduced role conflict where a person is torn between conflicting responsibilities





UNIVERSITETE I OSLO

Exercise 2

INF5181 / Lecture 07 / © Dietmar Pfahl 2011

- Web-development projects are often cross-disciplinary, e.g., a project may be composed of pedagogues, graphical designers and programmers. Thus, the project will be done by persons with very different preferences regarding work, collaboration and communication styles. Assume that project members of different professions don't know each other. If you make additional assumptions, make them explicit.
- Compared to a project with a homogeneous team, how will the diversity in the group influence/change the project structures with regards to:
- process
- product specification
- communicationstatus reporting within the project

INF5181 / Lecture 07 / © Dietmar Pfahl 2011





Next Lecture

- Industry Presentation: SPI at Skatteetaten (Cost Estimation)
- Instructor:
 Bente Anda

INF5181 / Lecture 07 / © Dietmar Pfahl 2011

