

PEER REVIEW OF "MOBILT PROSJEKTHÅDTERINGSVERKTØY" BY "NORWEGIAN NEWSPAPERS WIDGET"

Before reading the peer review we will tell you that this is just our thoughts on the report and all our 'constructive criticism' should be taken as tips and not as absolute truths.

GOOD

+ Overall idea of the project

+ Layout and the structure.

+ Specially "Beskrivelse av Mobilt prosjekthåndteringsverktøy", "Mål med prosjektet" and "Analyse av eksisterende tilbud" are well written. Good that you have pointed at some differences between the existing and your proposed solutions.

COULD BE BETTER

- Referencing:

- You have to read about how to give the reference when you get information from the websites. Google and Wikipedia is not a valid source/reference at this level.
Example: You have quite a lot of bold statements, like "Smarttelefoner har i det siste hatt en kraftig vekst, og populariteten blant folk øker stadig." where you need to use references or say that "you assume" or "you believe that" so the reader can understand it's your statement.

- Headings:

- We don't see any difference between heading 1 and heading 2. It's a bit confusing.

- Structure:

- The structure in the report is pretty good, but some of the chapters are placed randomly in the report. We feel that you should for instance should have placed "Forskjellige brukergupper" early in the report.

- Prototype:

- You have not added any sketch of low-fidelity prototype in your report.
- You have not mentioned about the technology you are going to use in high-fidelity prototype.

- Fremtidig arbeid

- In this chapter you are saying that you are going to conduct some interviews and tests for making the low-fidelity prototype but in the prototype you have mentioned that you have made a low-fidelity prototype which is little bit confusing.