Statistical Machine Translation - SMT INF5820

Jan Tore Lønning

Department of Informatics Universitety of Oslo

Jan Tore Lønning Statistical Machine Translation - SMT

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆三▶ ◆三▶ ● ○ ○ ○

Statistical learning

Goal

Find the best (most probable) English translation Ê of a foreign sentence F.

•
$$\hat{E} = rg\max_{E} P(E \mid F)$$

3 steps (common to many tasks)

- A model. We may not have seen F before. The model will determine what to look for.
- We must learn (or estimate) the parameters of the model from data.
- We must have a method for using the model to find the best *E* given *F*, decoding.

Noisy channel models

Applying Bayes' formula

$$\hat{E} = \arg \max_{E} P(E \mid F)$$

$$= \arg \max_{E} \frac{P(F \mid E)}{P(F)} P(E)$$

$$= \arg \max_{E} P(F \mid E) P(E)$$

- Turning the picture: consider *F* as a translation (distortion) of *E*, and ask which *E*?
- Why?
 - Suitable for approximations.
 - Makes use of language model P(E).
- of. K:SMT slide 34

★ E ► ★ E ►

The noisy channel model

- See a distortion of the original.
- Goal: guess the original
- J&M Fig. 5.23, 9.2 og 25.15

Example

- Speech recognition: Sounds a distortion of writing.
- Tagging: Word sequence distortion of tag sequence
- Translation: Source language a distortion of target language.

ヘロト ヘアト ヘビト ヘビト

Starting point:

$$\hat{E} = \operatorname*{arg\,max}_{E} P(F \mid E) P(E)$$

The models

• We can build and train two separate models:

- The language model: P(E)
- The translation model: P(F | E)
- Decoding must use both models simultaneously

ヘロト ヘアト ヘビト ヘビト

ъ

Goal

Estimate the probability $P(E) = P(e_1e_2...e_n)$ of the string of words $e_1e_2...e_n$

n-gram model

$$P(e_{1}e_{2}...e_{n}) = P(e_{1})P(e_{2} | e_{1})P(e_{3} | e_{1}, e_{2})\cdots P(e_{n} | e_{1}e_{2}...e_{n-1}) \\ \approx P(e_{1})P(e_{2} | e_{1})P(e_{3} | e_{2})\cdots P(e_{n} | e_{n-1}) \\ = P(e_{1})\prod_{i=1}^{n-1}P(e_{i+1} | e_{i})$$

◆□ > ◆□ > ◆臣 > ◆臣 > ─臣 ─のへで

- Uses the (incorrect) Markov-assumption $P(e_{(j+1)} | e_1 e_2 \dots e_j) \approx P(e_{j+1} | e_j)$
- Last slide shows the bigram model. Could alternatively use trigram, quadgram, ...
- Trigram: $P(e_1e_2...e_n) = \prod_{i=1}^{n-1} P(e_{i+1} | e_{i-1}, e_i)$
- For all n-grams : special symbols for start and end:
 - What is the probability of being the first word of a sentence?
 - What is the probability of being the last word of a sentence?

イロト イポト イヨト イヨト 一日

Several alternatives:

- Word based
 - In particular the IBM-models: 1, 2, 3, 4, 5
- Phrase based
 - Parameter estimation often done on top of a word-based model.
- Syntax based

▲御 ▶ ▲ 臣 ▶ ▲ 臣 ▶ 二 臣

- Suppose
 - Source and target sentence always the same length
 - Word-order is preserved.
 - A one-to-one correspondence between words
- The translation would be like HMM-tagging

Translation	Tagging
source language word	word
target language word	tag
<i>n</i> -grams for targ. lang.	<i>n</i> -grams of tags
source sentence	sentence to be tagged
word translation probs.	probability for word given tag

See simplified SMT example on slides from first MT lecture.

・ 同 ト ・ ヨ ト ・ ヨ ト …

æ

Word-based translation models

- But translation reorders, deletes, adds, goes many-to-one, one-to-many and many-to-many.
- We cannot apply HMM directly

Two parts to word-based translation

- What is the probability that source word a is translated as target word b?
- Alignment: Which word(s) in the target language sentence is the translation of which word(s) in the source sentence?
 - J& M Figure 25.17, 25.20, 25.21, 25.22

・ 同 ト ・ ヨ ト ・ ヨ ト …