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#### Abstract

These notes provide an introduction to the construction of functions through subdivision, focusing on 4-point interpolatory subdivision. The material is based on the papers $[1,2,3,4]$.


## 1 Introduction

Given a sequence of values $f_{i}$, for $i=0,1,2, \ldots, n$, we want to find an interpolant, i.e., a function $f:[0, n] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ such that $f(i)=f_{i}$, for $i=0,1, \ldots, n$, with good smoothness and approximation properties. One way of doing this is to use interpolatory subdivision. One of the earliest and best known examples of interpolatory subdivision is the four-point scheme.

Suppose we extend the data values a little so that we start with $f_{i}$ for $i=-2,-1,0, \ldots, n, n+1, n+2$. We will compute an interpolant $f$ as the limit of polygons. We start by setting $f_{i}^{0}=f_{i}$ and the first and coarsest polygon is the continuous function $f^{0}:[-2, n+2] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ which is linear on each interval $[i, i+1],-2 \leq i \leq n+1$, and such that $f^{0}(i)=f_{i}^{0},-2 \leq i \leq n+2$. In short, $f^{0}$ is the piecewise linear interpolant to the data $\left(i, f_{i}^{0}\right),-2 \leq i \leq n+2$. We then generate a sequence $f^{1}, f^{2}, \ldots$, of finer and finer polygons through a four point rule. We choose some real value $w$. Then for each $k=0,1,2, \ldots$, we set

$$
\begin{align*}
& f_{2 i}^{k+1}=f_{i}^{k} \quad-1 \leq i \leq 2^{k} n+1  \tag{1}\\
& f_{2 i+1}^{k+1}=-w f_{i-1}^{k}+\left(\frac{1}{2}+w\right) f_{i}^{k}+\left(\frac{1}{2}+w\right) f_{i+1}^{k}-w f_{i+2}^{k},-1 \leq i \leq 2^{k} n \tag{2}
\end{align*}
$$

and let $f^{k}:\left[-2^{1-k}, n+2^{1-k}\right] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be the piecewise linear interpolant to the data $\left(2^{-k} i, f_{i}^{k}\right),-2 \leq i \leq 2^{k} n+2$. Figure 1 shows the first four polygons for an example data set, with $w=1 / 16$.

Exercise 1 Show that for all $w$, the scheme has linear precision, in the sense that if $f_{i}=p_{1}(i)$ for some linear polynomial $p_{1}$, then $f_{i}^{k}=p_{1}\left(2^{-k} i\right)$ for all $i$ and $k$, i.e., the scheme reproduces linear polynomials $p_{1}$.

Exercise 2 Show that if $w=1 / 16$ then the scheme has cubic precision, i.e., reproduces polynomials of degree 3 .


Figure 1: Scheme with $w=1 / 16$. Top row: $k=0,1$, bottom row: $k=2,3$.

## 2 Smoothness

We hope that for some values of $w$, the sequence of polygons $\left(f^{k}\right)_{k}$ has a limit function over the interval $[0, n]$ as $k \rightarrow \infty$, and that the limit function has derivatives. Figure 2 shows limit functions with the values $w=1 / 32$ and $w=$ $1 / 6$.

Theorem 1 For $|w|<1 / 4$, the sequence $\left(f^{k}\right)_{k}$ has a limit

$$
f(x)=\lim _{k \rightarrow \infty} f^{k}(x), \quad 0 \leq x \leq n,
$$

which is continuous in $[0, n]$.
In order to prove the theorem we will use a well known result from analysis that says that a sufficient condition for such convergence is that $\left(f^{k}\right)_{k}$ is a Cauchy sequence in the max norm

$$
\|f\|:=\max _{0 \leq x \leq n}|f(x)| .
$$

Thus we need to show that for any $\epsilon>0$ there is some $N$ such that for all $i, j \geq N$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|f^{i}-f^{j}\right\| \leq \epsilon \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

To this end we will use the following lemma.


Figure 2: Limit function with (left) $w=1 / 32$ and (right) $w=1 / 6$.

Lemma 1 If there are positive constants $C$ and $\lambda<1$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|f^{k+1}-f^{k}\right\| \leq C \lambda^{k}, \quad k=0,1,2, \ldots, \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

then $\left(f^{k}\right)_{k}$ is a Cauchy sequence.
Proof. Observe that under condition (4), if $i>j$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left\|f^{i}-f^{j}\right\| & \leq\left\|f^{i}-f^{i-1}\right\|+\left\|f^{i-1}-f^{i-2}\right\|+\cdots+\left\|f^{j+1}-f^{j}\right\| \\
& \leq C \lambda^{i-1}+C \lambda^{i-2}+\cdots+C \lambda^{j} \\
& =C \lambda^{j}\left(\lambda^{i-1-j}+\lambda^{i-2-j}+\cdots+\lambda+1\right) \\
& \leq C \lambda^{j}\left(1+\lambda+\lambda^{2}+\cdots\right) \\
& =C \lambda^{j} /(1-\lambda) \leq C \lambda^{N} /(1-\lambda) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Thus (3) holds if we take $N$ large enough that $C \lambda^{N} /(1-\lambda) \leq \epsilon$.
We now prove Theorem 1:
Proof. Observe that the maximum value of $\left|f^{k+1}(x)-f^{k}(x)\right|$ is attained at a midpoint on level $k$, i.e., at a point of the form $x=2^{-(k+1)}(2 i+1)$, and at this point,

$$
\begin{aligned}
f^{k+1}(x)-f^{k}(x) & =f_{2 i+1}^{k+1}-\left(f_{i}^{k}+f_{i+1}^{k}\right) / 2 \\
& =-w f_{i-1}^{k}+w f_{i}^{k}+w f_{i+1}^{k}-w f_{i+2}^{k} \\
& =w\left(f_{i}^{k}-f_{i-1}^{k}\right)-w\left(f_{i+2}^{k}-f_{i+1}^{k}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

and so

$$
\left|f^{k+1}(x)-f^{k}(x)\right| \leq 2|w| \max \left\{\left|f_{i}^{k}-f_{i-1}^{k}\right|,\left|f_{i+2}^{k}-f_{i+1}^{k}\right|\right\}
$$

and therefore

$$
\left\|f^{k+1}-f^{k}\right\| \leq C_{1} \max _{i}\left|f_{i+1}^{k}-f_{i}^{k}\right|
$$



Figure 3: First and 'second' derivative (level $k=5$ ) with $w=1 / 16$.
where $C_{1}=2|w|$. Thus if we can show that there are constants $C_{2}$ and $\lambda<1$ such that

$$
\max _{i}\left|f_{i+1}^{k}-f_{i}^{k}\right| \leq C_{2} \lambda^{k}, \quad k=0,1,2, \ldots
$$

then we can apply Lemma 1 with $C=C_{1} C_{2}$. To this end observe that

$$
\begin{align*}
& f_{2 i+1}^{k+1}-f_{2 i}^{k+1}=w\left(f_{i}^{k}-f_{i-1}^{k}\right)+\left(f_{i+1}^{k}-f_{i}^{k}\right) / 2-w\left(f_{i+2}^{k}-f_{i+1}^{k}\right)  \tag{5}\\
& f_{2 i+2}^{k+1}-f_{2 i+1}^{k+1}=-w\left(f_{i}^{k}-f_{i-1}^{k}\right)+\left(f_{i+1}^{k}-f_{i}^{k}\right) / 2+w\left(f_{i+2}^{k}-f_{i+1}^{k}\right) \tag{6}
\end{align*}
$$

It follows that

$$
\max _{i}\left|f_{i+1}^{k+1}-f_{i}^{k+1}\right| \leq(2|w|+1 / 2) \max _{i}\left|f_{i+1}^{k}-f_{i}^{k}\right|
$$

and therefore that (2) holds with

$$
\lambda=2|w|+1 / 2, \quad C_{2}=\max _{i}\left|f_{i+1}^{0}-f_{i}^{0}\right|
$$

Thus the sequence $\left(f^{k}\right)_{k}$ is Cauchy if $\lambda<1$ which is equivalent to the condition that $|w|<1 / 4$.

We next consider the smoothness of the limit function. Figure 3 shows the numerical first derivative (a plot of first order divided differences) at level $k=5$ and the 'second derivative' (second order divided differences) at the same level.

Theorem 2 For $|w|<1 / 4$, let $f$ be the limit function of Theorem 1. If $0<$ $w<1 / 8$ then $f \in C^{1}[0, n]$.

Proof. Define the first order divided difference

$$
d_{i}^{k}:=2^{k}\left(f_{i+1}^{k}-f_{i}^{k}\right)
$$

and let $d^{k}:\left[-2^{1-k}, n+2^{-k}\right] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be the piecewise linear interpolant to the data $\left(2^{-k} i, d_{i}^{k}\right),-2 \leq i \leq 2^{k} n+1$. We will show
(i) that the sequence of polygons $\left(d^{k}\right)_{k}$ has a continuous limit

$$
d(x)=\lim _{k \rightarrow \infty} d^{k}(x), \quad 0 \leq x \leq n
$$

and
(ii) that

$$
\begin{equation*}
f(x)=f(0)+\int_{0}^{x} d(y) d y, \quad x \in[0, n] \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

which implies that $f$ is differentiable with $f^{\prime}=d$.
Starting with (i), we will show that $\left(d^{k}\right)_{k}$ is a Cauchy sequence. Notice that the maximum difference $\left|d^{k+1}(x)-d^{k}(x)\right|$ occurs at a point $x$ of the form $2^{-(k+1)}(2 i)$ or $2^{-(k+1)}(2 i+1)$. Thus

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|d^{k+1}-d^{k}\right\| \leq \max \left\{\left|d_{2 i}^{k+1}-d_{i}^{k}\right|,\left|d_{2 i+1}^{k+1}-\left(d_{i}^{k}+d_{i+1}^{k}\right) / 2\right|\right\} \tag{8}
\end{equation*}
$$

Notice that from (5) there is a subdivision scheme for the $d_{i}^{k}$ :

$$
\begin{align*}
d_{2 i}^{k+1} & =2 w d_{i-1}^{k}+d_{i}^{k}-2 w d_{i+1}^{k}  \tag{9}\\
d_{2 i+1}^{k+1} & =-2 w d_{i-1}^{k}+d_{i}^{k}+2 w d_{i}^{k} \tag{10}
\end{align*}
$$

Substituting these into (8) it immediately follows that there is some constant (depending only on $w$ ) such that

$$
\left\|d^{k+1}-d^{k}\right\| \leq C \max _{i}\left|d_{i+1}^{k}-d_{i}^{k}\right|
$$

Thus it remains to bound the differences on the right. Taking differences of the $d_{i}^{k}$ gives

$$
\begin{align*}
d_{2 i+1}^{k+1}-d_{2 i}^{k+1} & =4 w\left(d_{i}^{k}-d_{i-1}^{k}\right)+4 w\left(d_{i+1}^{k}-d_{i}^{k}\right)  \tag{11}\\
d_{2 i+2}^{k+1}-d_{2 i+1}^{k+1} & =-2 w\left(d_{i}^{k}-d_{i-1}^{k}\right)+(1-4 w)\left(d_{i+1}^{k}-d_{i}^{k}\right)-2 w\left(d_{i+2}^{k}-d_{i+1}^{k}\right) \tag{12}
\end{align*}
$$

It follows that

$$
\max _{i}\left|d_{i+1}^{k+1}-d_{i}^{k+1}\right| \leq \max _{i}\left|d_{i+1}^{k}-d_{i}^{k}\right|
$$

for $0 \leq w \leq 1 / 8$. But this only shows that

$$
\max _{i}\left|d_{i+1}^{k}-d_{i}^{k}\right| \leq C, \quad k=0,1,2, \ldots
$$

for these $w$, which is not good enough to show that $\left(d^{k}\right)_{k}$ is a Cauchy sequence. Yet by applying (11) twice, a longer calculation shows that for $0<w<1 / 8$, there is some $\beta<1$ such that

$$
\max _{i}\left|d_{i+1}^{k+1}-d_{i}^{k+1}\right| \leq \beta \max _{i}\left|d_{i+1}^{k-1}-d_{i}^{k-1}\right|
$$

Thus for these $w$,

$$
\max _{i}\left|d_{i+1}^{k}-d_{i}^{k}\right| \leq K \lambda^{k}, \quad k=0,1,2, \ldots,
$$

with $\lambda=\sqrt{\beta}<1$ and this shows that $\left(d^{k}\right)_{k}$ is a Cauchy sequence.
Considering (ii), since both sides of (7) are are continuous in $x$, it is sufficient to show that the equation holds for all dyadic points, i.e., points of the form

$$
x=p+\sum_{j=1}^{m} a_{j} 2^{-j}
$$

where $p \in\{0,1, \ldots, n-1\}$ and $a_{1}, \ldots, a_{m} \in\{0,1\}$. Then, for any $k \geq m$, we can express $x$ as $x=2^{-k} q_{k}$, where

$$
q_{k}=2^{k} p+\sum_{j=1}^{m} a_{j} 2^{k-j} .
$$

So by the trapezoidal rule,

$$
\int_{0}^{x} d^{k}(y) d y=2^{-k}\left(\frac{d_{0}^{k}}{2}+\sum_{i=1}^{q_{k}-1} d_{i}^{k}+\frac{d_{q_{k}}^{k}}{2}\right)=\frac{f_{q_{k}}^{k}+f_{q_{k}+1}^{k}}{2}-\frac{f_{0}^{k}+f_{1}^{k}}{2}
$$

We now let $k \rightarrow \infty$ in this equation. The left hand side converges to $\int_{0}^{x} d(y) d y$ and since $f_{0}^{k}=f(0)$ and $f_{q_{k}}^{k}=f(x)$, and since $f_{1}^{k} \rightarrow f(0)$ and $f_{q_{k}+1}^{k} \rightarrow f(x)$, the right hand side converges to $f(x)-f(0)$ and this establishes (7).

It has been shown that no value of $w$ gives a limit function that is twice differentiable for general data values $f_{i}$. However, with $w=1 / 16$, the limit function is 'close' to $C^{2}$ in the following sense. First we need to define what we mean by Hölder continuity. Recall that a function $g:[a, b] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is said to be Hölder continuous with exponent $\alpha$, where $0<\alpha<1$, if there is a constant $C>0$ such that

$$
\frac{|g(y)-g(x)|}{|y-x|^{\alpha}} \leq C, \quad \text { for } a \leq x<y \leq b
$$

in which case we write $f \in C^{\alpha}[a, b]$. Hölder continuity in the limiting case $\alpha=1$ is the same as Lipschitz continuity. We also write $f \in C^{k+\alpha}[a, b]$ for $k=1,2, \ldots$ and $\alpha \in(0,1)$ if $f^{(k)} \in C^{\alpha}[a, b]$.

Exercise 3 Show that the function $g(x)=x^{1 / 2}$ is Hölder continuous in $[0,1]$ with any exponent $\alpha$ satisfying $0<\alpha \leq 1 / 2$.

It can be shown that when $w=1 / 16$, the derivative of the limit function $f$ of the scheme (1) is Hölder continuous in $[0, n]$ for all exponents $\alpha, 0<\alpha<1$. We can express this by writing $f^{\prime} \in C^{1-\epsilon}[0, n]$ for any small $\epsilon>0$, and so $f \in C^{2-\epsilon}[0, n]$.


Figure 4: Basis function with $w=1 / 16$.

## 3 Approximation order

We now establish the rate of approximation of the four-point scheme in the case $w=1 / 16$. When the data is $f_{i}=\delta_{i j}$ for some $j$ we call the limit function $B_{j}$. Figure 4 shows the function $B_{0}$. For some integer $n$ let $h=1 / n$ and suppose we sample a function $g$ at the points $i h, i=-2, \ldots, n+2$, giving the data $f_{i}^{h}=g(i h)$. Let $f^{h}:[0,1] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ denote the limit function of the subdivision scheme adapted to the grid spacing $h$, so that $f^{h}(i h)=g(i h)$, and let $\|f\|:=\max _{0 \leq x \leq 1}|f(x)|$.

Theorem 3 If $g \in C^{4}[-2 h, 1+2 h]$ and $w=1 / 16$ there is a constant $C>0$ such that

$$
\left\|g-f^{h}\right\| \leq C h^{4}\left\|g^{(4)}\right\| .
$$

Proof. Let $B_{j}^{h}$ denote the limit function of the scheme with spacing $h$ of the data $f_{i}^{h}=\delta_{i j}$. Then by the linearity of the scheme,

$$
\begin{equation*}
f^{h}(x)=\sum_{i=-2}^{n+2} f_{i}^{h} B_{i}^{h}(x) . \tag{13}
\end{equation*}
$$

Note also that $\left\|B_{i}^{h}\right\|=\left\|B_{i}^{1}\right\|=\left\|B_{0}^{1}\right\|=C_{1}$ for some constant $C_{1}>0$. Suppose $x \in[j h,(j+1) h]$. Then since the support of $B_{i}^{h}$ is contained in $[(i-2) j,(i+3) h]$, the global sum (13) becomes a local one,

$$
\begin{equation*}
f^{h}(x)=\sum_{i=j-2}^{j+3} f_{i}^{h} B_{i}^{h}(x) \tag{14}
\end{equation*}
$$

We want next to replace $f_{i}^{h}$ in this sum by its Taylor expansion

$$
\begin{equation*}
f_{i}^{h}=g(i h)=g(x)+\sum_{r=1}^{3}(i h-x)^{r} g^{(r)}(x) / r!+(i h-x)^{4} g^{(4)}\left(c_{i}\right) / 4! \tag{15}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $c_{i}$ is some point between $x$ and $i h$. Notice that since the scheme with $w=1 / 16$ has cubic precision,

$$
\sum_{i=j-2}^{j+3}(i h-y)^{r} B_{i}^{h}(x)=(y-x)^{r}, \quad r=1,2,3
$$

for any $y$ and in particular

$$
\sum_{i=j-2}^{j+3}(i h-x)^{r} B_{i}^{h}(x)=0, \quad r=1,2,3
$$

Thus when we substitute (15) into (14) we end up with

$$
f^{h}(x)=g(x)+\sum_{i=j-2}^{j+3}(i h-x)^{4} g^{(4)}\left(c_{j}\right) B_{i}^{h}(x) / 4!
$$

Since $|i h-x| \leq 3 h$ we deduce that

$$
\left|f^{h}(x)-g(x)\right| \leq 6(3 h)^{4}\left\|g^{(4)}\right\| C_{1} / 4!
$$

Note that the above method of proof can be applied to many interpolation and approximation problems. In general, if an approximation method:
(i) is linear
(ii) has bounded basis functions of local support, and
(iii) has polynomial precision of degree $d$,
then the approximation order is $O\left(h^{d+1}\right)$ provided the function being approximated has a bounded $(d+1)$-st derivative.
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