-INF4820-

Classification Clustering

Erik Velldal

University of Oslo

Nov. 10, 2009



1 / 22

Topics for Today

- ▶ Quick recap from last lecture:
 - Neighbor relations; kNN and RNN
 - ► Ways to represent classes (exemplar-based vs. centroid-based).
 - Ways to represent class membership (hard vs. soft).
 - The classification problem in vector space models.
- ▶ More on Rocchio classifiers and kNN classifiers
- Linear vs. non-linear classifiers
- Voronoi Tessellations
- ► Unsupervised machine learning for class discovery: Clustering
- ▶ Flat vs. hierarchical clustering
- k-Means Clustering
- Reading: Chapter 16 in Manning, Raghavan & Schütze (2008), Introduction to Information Retrieval; http://informationretrieval.org/.



The Classification Task

- ► The task of automatically assigning objects to pre-defined classes.
- A core problem in machine learning (ML).
- Example of a supervised learning task (the training data contains labeled data, indicating what we want to learn).
- Vector space classification relies on the assumption that objects (i.e. points) in the same class form contiguous and non-overlapping regions in the space. ("The contiguity hypothesis")
- Classification amounts to defining boundaries in the space that separate objects in different classes: *The decision boundaries*.
- The goal is to find boundaries that gives high classification accuracy on unseen test items.



Rocchio Classification

- Uses centroids to represent classes and define the boundaries of the class regions.
- ► Each class c_i represented by its centroid µ_i, computed as the average of the normalized vectors x_i of its members;

$$\vec{\mu}_i = \frac{1}{|c_i|} \sum_{\vec{x}_j \in c_i} \vec{x}_j$$

► To classify a new object o_j , represented by a feature vector $\vec{x_j}$, determine which centroid $\vec{\mu_i}$ that $\vec{x_j}$ is closest to, and assign it to the corresponding class c_i .



The Decision Boundary of the Rocchio Classifier

- Defines the boundary between two classes by the set of points that are equidistant from the centroids.
- In two dimensions: This set of points always corresponds to a *line*.
- In multiple dimensions: A line in 2D corresponds to a *hyperplane* in a higher-dimensional space.





Problems with the Rocchio Classifier

- ▶ Implicitly assumes that classes are *spheres with similar radii*.
- Ignores details of the distribution of points within a class, only based on the centroid distance.
- Does not work well for classes than cannot be accurately represented by a single prototype or "center" (e.g. classes covering disconnected or elongated regions).



Problems with the Rocchio Classifier

- ▶ Implicitly assumes that classes are *spheres with similar radii*.
- Ignores details of the distribution of points within a class, only based on the centroid distance.
- Does not work well for classes than cannot be accurately represented by a single prototype or "center" (e.g. classes covering disconnected or elongated regions).
- Because the Rocchio classifier defines a linear decision boundary, it is only suitable for problems involving *linearly separable* classes.



KNN-classification

- ▶ k Nearest Neighbor classification.
- An example of a non-linear classifier.
- For k = 1: Assign each object to the class of its closest neighbor.
- ▶ For k > 1: Assign each object to the majority class among its k closest neighbors.
- ► Rationale: given the contiguity hypothesis, we expect a test object o_i to have the same label as the training objects located in the local region surrounding x_i.
- The parameter k must be specified in advance, either by manually or by optimizing on held-out data.



KNN-classification (cont'd)

The Voronoi Tessellation

- Defines the decision boundaries of the kNN classifier.
- Assuming k = 1: For a given set of objects in the space, let each object define a cell consisting of all points that are closer to that object than to other objects.
- Each such *Voronoi cell* will be a convex polygon.
- Decomposing a space into such Voronoi cells gives us the so-called Voronoi tessellation.
- ► In the general case of k ≥ 1, the Voronoi cells will be given by the regions in the space for which the set of k nearest neighbors is the same. Partitions the space into convex polygons.



Voronoi Tessellation for 1NN (Manning, Raghavan & Schütze 2008)



The decision boundary for the 1NN classifier is defined along the regions of Voronoi cells for the objects in each class. Shows the non-linearity of kNN.



"Softened" KNN-classification

A Probabilistic Version

 \blacktriangleright Estimate the probability of membership in class c as the proportion of the k nearest neighbors in c.



"Softened" KNN-classification

A Probabilistic Version

▶ Estimate the probability of membership in class *c* as the proportion of the *k* nearest neighbors in *c*.

A Distance Weighted Version

• The score for a given class c_i can be computed as

$$\operatorname{score}(c_i, o_j) = \sum_{\vec{x_n} \in \operatorname{knn}(\vec{x}_j)} \operatorname{I}(c_i, \vec{x}_n) \sin(\vec{x_n}, \vec{x_j})$$

where $\operatorname{knn}(\vec{x}_j)$ is the set of k nearest neighbors of \vec{x}_j , sim is whatever similarity measure we're using, e.g. the cosine function, and $\operatorname{I}(c_i, \vec{x}_n)$ is simply a membership function returning 1 if $\vec{x}_n \in c_i$ and 0 otherwise.

Such distance weighted votes can often give more accurate results,
e.g. in the case of ties.



Two Categorization Tasks in Machine Learning

Classification

- ► Supervised learning, requiring labeled training data.
- Train a classifier to automatically assign *new* instances to *predefined* classes.



Two Categorization Tasks in Machine Learning

Classification

- ► Supervised learning, requiring labeled training data.
- Train a classifier to automatically assign *new* instances to *predefined* classes.

Clustering

- Unsupervised learning from unlabeled data.
- Automatically group similar objects together.
- No predefined classes or structure, we only specify the similarity measure. Relies on "self-organization".
- ► General objective: partition the data into subsets, so that the similarity among members of the same group is high (homogeneity) while the similarity between the groups themselves is low (heterogeneity).



► Visualization and explorative data analysis.



- ► Visualization and explorative data analysis.
- ► Generalization and abstraction. "Reason by analogy".
 - Lets us define class-based models even when predefined classes are not available.
 - E.g. using cluster-analysis of words to define class-based language models.
 - Helps alleviating the sparse data problem.



- ► Visualization and explorative data analysis.
- ► Generalization and abstraction. "Reason by analogy".
 - Lets us define class-based models even when predefined classes are not available.
 - E.g. using cluster-analysis of words to define class-based language models.
 - Helps alleviating the sparse data problem.
- Many applications within IR. Examples:
 - Speed up search: For a clustered document collection, first retrieve the most relevant cluster, then retrieve documents from within the cluster.
 - Presenting the search results: Instead of ranked lists, organize the results as clusters (see e.g. Clusty.com or Google's *wonder wheel*).



- ► Visualization and explorative data analysis.
- ► Generalization and abstraction. "Reason by analogy".
 - Lets us define class-based models even when predefined classes are not available.
 - E.g. using cluster-analysis of words to define class-based language models.
 - Helps alleviating the sparse data problem.
- Many applications within IR. Examples:
 - Speed up search: For a clustered document collection, first retrieve the most relevant cluster, then retrieve documents from within the cluster.
 - Presenting the search results: Instead of ranked lists, organize the results as clusters (see e.g. Clusty.com or Google's *wonder wheel*).
- News aggregation / topic directories.
- ► Social network analysis; identify sub-communities and user segments.



Types of Clustering Methods

Different methods can be divided according to the *memberships* they create and the procedure by which the *clusters* are formed:





Types of Clustering Methods (cont'd)

Hierarchical

- ► Creates a tree structure of hierarchically nested clusters
- Divisive (top-down): Let all objects be members of the same cluster; then successively split the group into smaller and maximally dissimilar clusters until all objects is its own singleton cluster.
- Agglomerative (bottom-up): Let each object define its own cluster; then successively merge most similar clusters until only one remains.



Types of Clustering Methods (cont'd)

Hierarchical

- ► Creates a tree structure of hierarchically nested clusters
- Divisive (top-down): Let all objects be members of the same cluster; then successively split the group into smaller and maximally dissimilar clusters until all objects is its own singleton cluster.
- Agglomerative (bottom-up): Let each object define its own cluster; then successively merge most similar clusters until only one remains.

Flat

- Often referred to as partitional clustering when assuming hard and disjoint clusters. (But can also be soft.)
- ▶ Tries to directly decompose the data into a set of clusters.



Flat Clustering

- ▶ Given a set of objects O = {o₁,..., o_n}, a hard flat clustering algorithm seeks to construct a set of clusters C = {c₁,..., c_k}, where each object o_i is assigned to a single cluster c_i.
- More formally, we want to define an assignment $\gamma: O \to C$ that optimizes some objective function $F_s(\gamma)$.
- The cardinality k (= the number of clusters) must typically be manually specified as a parameter to the algorithm.
- But the most important parameter is the similarity function *s*.
- The objective function is defined in terms of the similarity function, and generally we want to optimize for:
 - High intra-cluster similarity
 - Low inter-cluster similarity



Flat Clustering (cont'd)

• Optimization problems are search problems:

- There's a finite number of possible of partitionings of *O*.
- ▶ Naive solution: enumerate all possible assignments $\Gamma = \{\gamma_1, \dots, \gamma_m\}$ and choose

 $\hat{\gamma} = \operatorname*{arg\,min}_{\gamma \in \Gamma} F_s(\gamma)$



Flat Clustering (cont'd)

• Optimization problems are search problems:

- There's a finite number of possible of partitionings of *O*.
- ▶ Naive solution: enumerate all possible assignments $\Gamma = \{\gamma_1, \dots, \gamma_m\}$ and choose

 $\hat{\gamma} = \operatorname*{arg\,min}_{\gamma \in \Gamma} F_s(\gamma)$

- Problem: Exponentially many possible partitions
- Instead, approximate the solution by iteratively improving on an initial (possibly random) partition until some stopping criterion is met.



Next Week

- ▶ More on flat clustering: *k*-Means
- ► Different ways of measuring the distance between classes or clusters.
- ▶ Flat vs. hierarchical clustering
- ► Agglomerative vs. divisive hierarchical clustering
- Reading: Chapter 17 in Manning, Raghavan & Schütze (2008), Introduction to Information Retrieval; http://informationretrieval.org/ (see course web-page for the relevant sections).

