INF4820: Algorithms for Artificial Intelligence and Natural Language Processing

The Common Lisp Core

Stephan Oepen & Milen Kouylekov

Language Technology Group (LTG)

September 3, 2014



## Agenda



### Previously

- Common Lisp essentials
- ► S-expressions (= atoms or lists of s-expressions)
- Recursion
- Quote
- List processing
- ► Identity vs. Equality

### Today

- More Common Lisp
- Higher-order functions
- Argument lists
- Iteration: (the mighty) loop

### Did You Check the Course Page Today?





http://www.uio.no/studier/emner/matnat/ifi/INF4820/h14/

## Another Communication Channel: Student Self-Help





https://www.facebook.com/groups/287615961438426/

# Higher-Order Functions

- ► Functions that accept functions as arguments or return values.
- Functions in Lisp are first-class objects.
  - ► Can be created at run-time, passed as arguments, returned as values, stored in variables . . . just like any other type of data.

```
? (defun filter (list test)
  (cond ((null list) nil)
               ((funcall test (first list))
                    (cons (first list) (filter (rest list) test)))
               (t (filter (rest list) test))))
```

- ? (defparameter foo '(11 22 33 44 55))
- ? (filter foo #'evenp)
- → (22 44)
- ► Functions, recursion, conditionals, predicates, lists for code and data.

## Anonymous Functions

- We can also pass function arguments without first binding them to a name, using lambda expressions: (lambda (parameters) body)
- ► A function definition without the defun and *symbol* part.

- Typically used for ad-hoc functions that are only locally relevant and simple enough to be expressed inline.
- Or, when constructing functions as return values.

# **Returning Functions**

- We have seen how to create anonymous functions using lambda and pass them as arguments.
- So we can combine that with a function that itself returns another function (which we then bind to a variable).
- ? (defparameter foo '(11 22 33 44 55))

? (filter foo (make-range-test 10 30))

→ (11 22)



#### **Optional Parameters**

- ? (defun foo (x &optional y (z 42))
   (list x y z))
- ? (foo 1)  $\rightarrow$  (1 nil 42)
- ? (foo 1 2 3)  $\rightarrow$  (1 2 3)

#### Keyword Parameters

- ? (defun foo (x &key y (z 42)) (list x y z))
- ? (foo 1)  $\rightarrow$  (1 nil 42)
- ? (foo 1 :z 3 :y 2)  $\rightarrow$  (1 2 3)

#### **Rest Parameters**

? (avg 3)  $\rightarrow$  3

? (avg 1 2 3 4 5 6 7)  $\rightarrow$  4

# Recap: Equality for One and All

- eq tests object identity; it is not useful for numbers or characters.
- eql is like eq, but well-defined on numbers and characters.
- equal tests structural equivalence
- equalp is like equal but insensitive to case and numeric type.
  - ? (eq (list 1 2 3) '(1 2 3))  $\rightarrow$  nil
  - ? (equal (list 1 2 3) '(1 2 3))  $\rightarrow$  t
  - ? (eq 42 42)  $\rightarrow$  ? [implementation-dependent]
  - ? (eql 42 42)  $\rightarrow$  t
  - ? (eql 42 42.0)  $\rightarrow$  nil
  - ? (equalp 42 42.0)  $\rightarrow$  t
  - ? (equal "foo" "foo")  $\rightarrow$  t
  - ? (equalp "FOO" "foo")  $\rightarrow$  t
- ► Also many type-specialized tests like =, string=, etc.



#### From the 2013 Final Exam

Write two versions of a function swap; one based on recursion and one based on iteration. The function should take three parameters—x, y and list— where the goal is to replace every element matching x with y in the list list. Here is an example of the expected behavior:

? (swap "foo" "bar" '("zap" "foo" "foo" "zap" "foo")) → ("zap" "bar" "bar" "zap" "bar")

Try to avoid using destructive operations if you can. [7 points]

## A Brief Detour: Macros



- Pitch: programs that generate programs.
- Macros provide a way for our code to manipulate itself (before it's passed to the compiler).
- Can implement transformations that extend the syntax of the language.
- ► Allows us to control (or even prevent) the evaluation of arguments.
- We have already encountered some built-in Common Lisp macros: and, or, if, cond, defun, setf, etc.
- Although macro writing is out of the scope of this course, we will look at perhaps the best example of how macros can redefine the syntax of the language—for good or for worse, depending on who you ask:
  - ► loop

### Iteration



- While recursion is a powerful control structure,
- sometimes *iteration* comes more natural.
- dolist and dotimes are fine for simple iteration.
- But (the mighty) loop is much more general and versatile.

```
(let ((result nil))
  (dolist (x '(0 1 2 3 4 5))
      (when (evenp x)
           (push x result)))
   (reverse result))
  → (0 2 4)
```

```
(let ((result nil))
  (dotimes (x 6)
     (when (evenp x)
        (push x result)))
  (reverse result))

→ (0 2 4)
```

```
(loop
```

```
for x below 6
when (evenp x)
collect x)
\rightarrow (0 2 4)
```



```
(loop
    for i from 10 to 50 by 10
    collect i)
    → (10 20 30 40 50)
```

- Illustrates the power of syntax extension through macros;
- ► loop is basically a mini-language for iteration.
- ► Reduced uniformity: different syntax based on special keywords.
- ► Paul Graham on loop: "one of the worst flaws in Common Lisp".
- ▶ But non-Lispy as it may be, loop is extremely general and powerful!

## loop: A Few More Examples



```
? (loop
for i below 10
when (oddp i)
sum i)
```

 $\rightarrow 25$ 

```
? (loop for x across "foo" collect x)

\rightarrow (#\f #\o #\o)
```

```
? (loop
     with foo = '(a b c d)
     for i in foo
     for j from 0
     until (eq i 'c)
     do (format t "~a: ~a ~%" j i))
...
0: A
1: B
```