



**Consolidated product**

**Software Process Assessment –  
Part 7 : Guide for use in process improvement  
Version 1.00**

(Formerly PIG 1.00)

---

## PREAMBLE

---

In January 1993 a program of work was approved by ISO/IEC JTC1 for the development of an international standard for software process assessment. In June 1993 the SPICE Project Organisation was established with a mandate from JTC1/SC7 to:

- assist the standardisation project in its preparatory stage by developing initial working drafts;
- undertake user trials in order to gain early experience data which will form the basis for revision of the Technical Report prior to publication as a full International Standard;
- create market awareness and take-up of the evolving standard.

The SPICE Project Organisation completed its task of producing the set of working drafts in June 1995. The SPICE user trials commenced in January 1995. The working drafts have now been handed over to JTC1/SC7 for the normal process of standards development, commencing in July 1995.

So far as can be determined, intellectual property rights for these documents reside with the individuals and organisations that contributed to their development. In agreeing to take part in the Project, participants agreed to abide by decisions of the Management Board in relation to the conduct of the Project. It is in accordance with this understanding that the Management Board has now agreed to release the baseline set of documents. This introductory statement sets out the terms and conditions under which this release is permitted.

The documents as released are available freely from the SPICE Project File Server, [sisyphus.cit.gu.edu.au](http://sisyphus.cit.gu.edu.au), by anonymous ftp, or from approved mirrors of the server. A hypertext version of the documents is also available on the World Wide Web at URL <http://www-sqi.cit.gu.edu.au/spice/>

---

## TERMS AND CONDITIONS

---

These terms and conditions apply to the set of documents developed by the SPICE Project, and published within the Project as Version 1.0, with the following titles:

- Part 1 : *Concepts and introductory guide*
  - Part 2 : *A model for process management*
  - Part 3 : *Rating processes*
  - Part 4 : *Guide to conducting assessment*
  - Part 5 : *Construction, selection and use of assessment instruments and tools*
  - Part 6 : *Qualification and training of assessors*
  - Part 7 : *Guide for use in process improvement*
  - Part 8 : *Guide for use in determining supplier process capability*
  - Part 9 : *Vocabulary*
1. You may copy and distribute verbatim copies of any or all of the Documents as you receive them, in any medium, provided that you conspicuously and appropriately publish with each copy a copy of these Terms and Conditions. You may charge a fee for the physical act of transferring a copy.
  2. You may copy extracts from these documents in materials for internal or public use, providing you provide clear acknowledgment of the source of the material, by citation or other appropriate means.
  3. You may not copy, modify, sub-license, or distribute the Documents except as expressly provided under these Terms and Conditions.

### **Released on the Authority of the SPICE Management Board:**

Project Manager                      Alec Dorling

Technical Centre Managers:

Europe                                      Harry Barker

Canada, Central and South America Jean-Normand Drouin

USA    Mark Paulk / Mike Konrad / Dave Kitson

Asia Pacific                                  Terry Rout

Members:                      Catriona Mackie, Bob Smith, Emmanuel Lazineir, Jerome Pesant, Bob Rand, Arnoldo Diaz, Yossi Winograd, Mary Campbell, Carrie Buchman, Ali Azimi, Bruce Hodgden, Katsumi Shintani

## Product Managers:

– Part 1 : *Concepts and introductory guide*

Product Manager: Terry Rout

– Part 2 : *A model for process management*

Product Managers: Al Graydon, Mark Paulk

– Part 3 : *Rating processes*

Product Manager: Harry Barker

– Part 4 : *Guide to conducting assessment*

Product Manager: Harry Barker

– Part 5 : *Construction, selection and use of assessment instruments and tools*

Product Managers: Mary Campbell, Peter Hitchcock, Arnaldo Diaz

– Part 6 : *Qualification and training of assessors*

Product Manager: Ron Meegoda

– Part 7 : *Guide for use in process improvement*

Product Managers: Adriana Bicego, Pasi Kuvaja

– Part 8 : *Guide for use in determining supplier process capability*

Product Manager: John Hamilton

– Part 9 : *Vocabulary*

Product Manager: Terry Rout

## Acknowledgment:

Acknowledgment is made to all contributors of the SPICE project without whom the project could not have been conceived and carried through successfully.

### Note on document formatting

Use the following margins for equivalent printing on A4 or US letter paper (these are NOT the SPICE standards)

| Paper size    | A4                     | US letter (imperial)   |
|---------------|------------------------|------------------------|
| Top margin    | 34.1 mm or 1.34 inches | 25.4 mm or 1.0 inches  |
| Bottom margin | 34.1 mm or 1.34 inches | 25.4 mm or 1.0 inches  |
| Left margin   | 25.4 mm or 1.0 inches  | 28.4 mm or 1.12 inches |
| Right margin  | 25.4 mm or 1.0 inches  | 28.4 mm or 1.12 inches |

## Guide for use in process improvement

### Contents

---

|   |           |
|---|-----------|
| <b>Foreword</b> .....   | <b>1</b>  |
| <b>Introduction</b> .....                                     | <b>2</b>  |
| <b>1 Scope</b> .....  | <b>3</b>  |
| <b>2 Normative References</b> .....                           | <b>4</b>  |
| <b>3 Definitions</b> .....                                    | <b>5</b>  |
| <b>4 Overview of process improvement</b> .....                | <b>6</b>  |
| 4.1 Drivers .....   | 6         |
| 4.2 Process improvement basics .....                          | 6         |
| 4.3 General principles.....                                   | 6         |
| 4.4 Process improvement context .....                         | 7         |
| <b>5 Methodology for software process improvement</b> .....   | <b>9</b>  |
| 5.1 Examine the organization's needs and business goals ..... | 10        |
| 5.2 Initiate process improvement.....                         | 11        |
| 5.3 Prepare for and conduct a process assessment.....         | 11        |
| 5.4 Analyse assessment output and derive action plan .....    | 14        |
| 5.5 Implement improvements .....                              | 19        |
| 5.6 Confirm improvements .....                                | 21        |
| 5.7 Sustain improvement gains .....                           | 22        |
| 5.8 Monitor performance .....                                 | 22        |
| <b>6 Cultural issues</b> .....                                | <b>24</b> |
| 6.1 Management responsibility and leadership.....             | 24        |
| 6.2 Values, attitudes and behaviour .....                     | 25        |
| 6.3 Process improvement goals and motivation.....             | 25        |
| 6.4 Communication and teamwork.....                           | 26        |
| 6.5 Recognition.....  | 26        |
| 6.6 Education and training.....                               | 26        |
| <b>7 Management</b> .....                                     | <b>28</b> |
| 7.1 Organizing for process improvement .....                  | 28        |
| 7.2 Planning for process improvement.....                     | 31        |
| 7.3 Measuring process improvement .....                       | 33        |

|                |  |           |
|----------------|--|-----------|
| 7.4            | Reviewing process improvement activities.....                    | 37        |
| <b>Annexes</b> |  |           |
| <b>A</b>       | <b>The application of the process measurement framework.....</b> | <b>38</b> |
| <b>B</b>       | <b>The application of the improvement methodology .....</b>      | <b>39</b> |
| <b>C</b>       | <b>References.....</b>   | <b>47</b> |
| <b>D</b>       | <b>Mapping to the process ORG.3 and the PDCA cycle.....</b>      | <b>48</b> |
| <b>E</b>       | <b>Mapping to ISO 9004-4 .....</b>                               | <b>49</b> |



---

## Foreword

---

In June 1991, the fourth plenary meeting of ISO/IEC JTC1/SC7 approved a study period (resolution 144) to investigate the needs and requirements for a standard for software process assessment.

The results, which are documented in a Study Report (JTC1/SC7 N944R, 11 June 1992), came to the following major conclusions:

- there is international consensus on the needs and requirements for a standard for process assessment;
- there is international consensus on the need for a rapid route to development and trialling to provide usable output in an acceptable timescale and to ensure the standard fully meets the needs of its users;
- there is international commitment to resource the project with an international project team staffed by full time resource, with development being coordinated through four technical development centres in Europe, N America (2) and Asia Pacific;
- the standard should initially be published as a Technical Report Type 2 to enable the developing standard to stabilise during the period of the user trials, prior to its issuing as a full International Standard.

The new work item was approved in January 1993 by JTC1. In June 1993 the SPICE Project Organisation was established with a mandate from JTC1/SC7 to:

- assist the standardisation project in its preparatory stage to develop initial working drafts;
- undertake user trials in order to gain early experience data which will form the basis for revision of the published Technical Report prior to review as a full International Standard;
- create market awareness and take-up of the evolving standard.

The SPICE Project Organisation completed its task of producing the set of working drafts in June 1995. These working drafts have formed the basis for this Technical Report Type 2. The period of SPICE user trials commenced in January 1995 and is synchronised in phases to allow feedback to the stages of the technical work.

ISO/IEC Directives state that a Technical Report Type 2 may be used to publish a prospective standard for provisional application so that information and experience of its practical use may be gathered.

This Technical Report Type 2 consists of the following parts, under the general title *Software Process Assessment*:

- Part 1 : *Concepts and introductory guide*
- Part 2 : *A model for process management*
- Part 3 : *Rating processes*
- Part 4 : *Guide to conducting assessment*
- Part 5 : *Construction, selection and use of assessment instruments and tools*
- Part 6 : *Qualification and training of assessors*
- Part 7 : *Guide for use in process improvement*
- Part 8 : *Guide for use in determining supplier process capability*
- Part 9 : *Vocabulary*

This part of the standard (Part 7) is for guidance only.



---

## Introduction

---

The needs and business goals of an organization are often centred around achieving enhanced customer satisfaction and greater competitiveness. For organizations with a dependence on software, these key management concerns become drivers that initiate software process improvement throughout the organization with goals of higher software quality, lower development and maintenance costs, shorter time to market, and increased predictability and controllability of software products and processes.

Software process improvement is best considered as a continuous process, where an organization moves continually around an improvement cycle. Within this cycle improvement is accomplished in a series of steps or specific improvement actions such as introducing new or changed practices into software processes or removing old ones. An important step in the improvement cycle, however, is the execution of some form of data gathering to establish the initial state, and subsequently to confirm the improvements.

This part of the International Standard provides guidance on using software process assessment as the primary means of understanding the current state of an organization's software processes, and on using the results of the assessment to formulate and prioritize improvement plans. This guidance is embodied within a general framework for the use of process metrics in software process improvement.

The improvement framework is built on the framework for quality improvement embodied in ISO 9004-4. It is assumed that the reader is familiar with the concepts in ISO 9000-4.

This part of the International Standard is primarily aimed at the management of an organization considering or undertaking a software process improvement programme, possibly as a result of a process capability determination; members of improvement teams, particularly leaders and facilitators; software engineers; and external consultants helping organizations to undertake software process improvement.

This process improvement guide addresses the following topics:

- an overview of process improvement – the factors which drive software process improvement and general principles which underpin it;
- a methodology for process improvement – an eight step model for improving software processes within a continuous improvement cycle;
- cultural issues – aspects of organizational culture that are critical for successful process improvement;
- management – software process improvement from a management perspective including an overall framework for process measurement.

Annexes provide supplementary information including examples of the use of the process measurement framework; an illustrative case study of application of this guide; mappings between *Improve the Process* (the ORG.3 process in part 2 of this International Standard), the Plan-Do-Check-Act (PDCA) cycle and the steps in the model described in this guide; and cross references to ISO 9004-4.

---

# 1 Scope

---

This part of the International Standard provides guidance on using software process assessment as part of a framework and method for performing software process improvement in a continuous cycle. The guidance covers:

- invoking a software process assessment;
- using the results of a software process assessment;
- measuring software process effectiveness and improvement effectiveness;
- identifying improvement actions aligned to business goals;
- using the process model in part 2 of this International Standard as a route map for improvement;
- cultural issues in the context of software process improvement;
- dealing with management issues for software process improvement.

The guidance provided does not presume specific organizational structures, management philosophies, software life cycle models or software development methods. The concepts and principles are appropriate for the full range of different business needs, application domains and sizes of organization, so that they may be used by all types of software organizations to guide their improvement activities.

An organization may select all or any subset of the software processes from the process model (defined in part 2 of this International Standard) for assessment and improvement in the light of its particular circumstances and needs.

The guidance provides a framework for implementing improvements as a continuous cycle, but there is no reason why the organization could not employ the guidance for a single cycle of improvement activity.

---

## **2 Normative References**

---

There are no normative references ion this part of the International Standard.

---

## 3 Definitions

---

For the purposes of this part of this International Standard, the definitions in *Software Process Assessment - Part 9 : Vocabulary* apply.

---

## 4 Overview of process improvement

---

### 4.1 Drivers

The needs and business goals of an organization are often centred around achieving enhanced customer satisfaction and greater competitiveness. For organizations with a dependence on software, these key management concerns become drivers that initiate software process improvement throughout the organization with goals of higher software quality, lower development and maintenance costs, shorter time to market, and increased predictability and controllability of software products and processes.

### 4.2 Process improvement basics

Successful changes to the software process start at the top of the organization. Senior management leadership is required to launch a change effort and to provide continuing resources and impetus, although ultimately, everyone in the organization is involved.

In summary:

- software process improvement demands investment, planning, dedicated people, management time and capital investment;
- process improvement is a team effort – those not participating may miss the benefits and may even inhibit progress;
- effective change requires an understanding of the current process and a goal – to use a map, you must know where you are and where you want to get to;
- change is continuous not a one-shot effort – it involves continual learning and evolution;
- software process changes will not be sustained without conscious effort and periodic reinforcement.

### 4.3 General principles

The needs and business goals of the organization determine the software process improvement goals that help to identify improvement actions and their priorities. Software process improvement is accomplished in a series of steps or specific improvement actions such as introducing new or changed practices into software processes or removing old ones. The process model in part 2 of this International Standard may be used to identify practices to be included to improve the capability of each process. Achievement of process improvement goals should be measured quantitatively .

The basic principles of software process improvement described are:

- software process improvement is conducted on the basis of process assessment and process effectiveness measures;
- software process assessment produces a current process capability profile which may be compared with a target profile based on the organization's needs and business goals;
- process effectiveness measures relate the identification and priorities of improvement actions to the organization's needs and business goals, and achievement of software process goals;
- software process improvement is a continuous process. Improvement goals identified and agreed within the organization are realized through a process improvement programme that continues through multiple cycles of planning, implementing and monitoring activities;
- improvement actions identified within a process improvement programme are implemented as process improvement projects;
- metrics are used for monitoring the improvement process in order to indicate progress and to make necessary adjustments;
- software process assessment may be repeated in order to confirm that the improvements have been achieved;
- mitigation of risk is a component of process improvement and should be addressed from two viewpoints:
  - the risk inherent in the current situation;
  - the risk of failure in the improvement initiative.

Software process improvement plans and records may be used to support process capability determination, when the proposed process capability needed to meet a contractual requirement exceeds the currently assessed profile (see part 8 of this International Standard).

#### **4.4 Process improvement context**

The context for software process improvement and its major interfaces are shown in figure 1. The interfaces are as follows:

- the organization's needs and business goals which are main stimuli for process improvement;
- industry norms and benchmarks providing reference information for improvement planning;
- improvements in the organizational unit's (OU's) software processes as a result.

Process improvement uses other components of this International Standard as follows:

- process assessment (as described in the Parts 3 and 4 of this International Standard) is invoked to establish the current capability;
- the assessment results consist of process profiles and all the contextual information held in the assessment record;
- the process model within part 2 of this International Standard is used as a route map to define processes to be improved, set priorities and identify improvement actions;
- existing improvement initiatives may need to be adjusted to support a new target capability resulting from a process capability determination (see part 8 of this International Standard);
- improvement plans and records may assist in establishing customer confidence during process capability determination (see part 8 of this International Standard).

Industry  
Software process  
benchmark  
improvement  
software

Organization's  
needs and  
business goals



**Figure 1 – Process improvement context**

## 5 Methodology for software process improvement

When an organization is well motivated and managed for software process improvement (see clauses 6 and 7), it undertakes and implements a number of process improvement activities. Software process improvement benefits accumulate permanently when an organization pursues process improvement activities in a consistent and disciplined series of steps based on data collection and analysis.

Figure 2 illustrates the steps of a methodology for continuous software process improvement using the components of this International Standard.




Figure 2 – Software process improvement steps

A comprehensive process improvement programme may identify improvement goals to be attained over several iterations of the improvement cycle.

The steps in the improvement cycle are described in detail below in terms of their activities and tasks, and their inputs and outputs.

## 5.1 Examine the organization's needs and business goals

A process improvement programme starts with the recognition of the organization's needs and business goals, usually based on one of the main drivers identified in 4.1. This recognition could be derived from any of:

- formulation of a mission statement or a long-term vision (see 7.1);
- analysis of organization's business goals;
- analysis of the organization's current shared values (see 6.2);
- the organization's readiness for undertaking a process improvement programme;
- other internal or external stimuli.

External stimuli that may trigger a process improvement programme include:

- declining market share;
- marketing analysis;
- feedback from customers;
- competitiveness changes in the market;
- requirements to meet specific industry benchmarks;
- new requirements from society.

Internal stimuli that may trigger a process improvement programme include:

- declining or unsatisfactory profitability;
- declining staff satisfaction.

From an analysis of the organization's needs and existing stimuli for improvement, the objectives of process improvement may be identified and described in terms of:

- goals for product quality, time to market, and production costs preferably expressed as quantitative targets,
- an ability to predict and control the production process and its related risks.

The final stage of the preliminary definition of the goals for the improvement programme is setting the priorities of the process improvement objectives.

The improvement goals direct the choice of the processes to be assessed, the definition of improvement targets and ultimately the identification of the most effective improvement actions.

Once the analysis of the organization's needs and business goals has been completed, it is essential to build executive awareness of the necessity for a process improvement programme. This requires both managerial and financial commitments. The objectives of such a process improvement programme should be clearly stated and understood, and expressed using measurable process goals. The process improvement programme should form part of the organization's overall strategic business plan.

The executive decision to undertake improvement, together with the identification of a preliminary process improvement programme budget and the main process improvement priorities, enable the improvement process to progress through the following steps:

- initiate process improvement (see 5.2);
- carry out a software process assessment in the sectors where improvement is believed to be beneficial (see 5.3);
- complete the process improvement programme plan with the action plan resulting from analysis of assessment results (see 5.4);
- implement improvements according to detailed process improvement project plans (see 5.5);
- confirm the improvements (see 5.6);
- sustain the improvement gains by maintaining the new, improved level of performance until stability has been reached (see 5.7);
- monitor performance to continue the process improvement programme (see 3.8) comparing results against the measurable goals of the process improvement programme plan developed in 5.2 and 5.4.

## **5.2 Initiate process improvement**

The process improvement programme should be considered as a project in its own right, and planned and managed accordingly. A process improvement programme plan should be produced at the beginning of the programme and subsequently used to monitor progress. The plan should include the relevant background and history and the current status, if possible expressed in specific, numerical terms. The improvement goals derived from the organization's needs and business goals provide the main requirements for the plan. The plan should include a preliminary identification of the improvement scope in terms of both the organizational boundaries for the improvement programme and the processes to be improved.

A plan should be produced at the beginning of the programme, and subsequently used to monitor progress. The plan should cover all the process improvement steps, although initially the plan may only give outline indications of the latter stages. It is important to ensure that key roles are clearly identified, that adequate resources are allocated, that appropriate milestones and review points are established, and that all risks associated with the plan are identified and documented in the plan. The plan should also include activities to keep all those affected by the improvement informed of progress.

## **5.3 Prepare for and conduct a process assessment**

### **5.3.1 Prepare assessment input**

This step gives guidance on how to define the assessment inputs needed to carry out a software process assessment as described in part 4 of this International Standard.

#### **5.3.1.1 Sponsor and owner**

Preparation for an assessment begins with the identification of a sponsor and owner for the assessment. The sponsor is a senior manager, who is committed to the process improvement programme, requires the assessment to be performed, and provides resources for it. The sponsor ensures that the process assessment inputs (purpose, scope, constraints and responsibilities) are adequately defined so as to meet the needs of the process improvement programme. It is likely that the assistance and advice of a qualified assessor will be of help to the sponsor in formulating these inputs.

The owner of the assessment is a management role within the organization that has the authority to ensure that the assessment can be carried out effectively, and takes ownership of the assessment output. These roles of sponsor and owner may be vested in a single individual, but both must be committed to the concepts of process improvement through process assessment.

#### **5.3.1.2 Qualified assessor**

The responsibility for ensuring that an assessment is conducted in accordance with the provisions of this International Standard is vested in the qualified assessor who leads, or is part of, the assessment team. A key factor in selecting an assessment team, and particularly the qualified assessor, is credibility with the management and staff of the organizational unit. Depending on the local circumstances, a qualified assessor drawn from outside the organizational unit may appear to be more credible on account of a more independent viewpoint.

#### **5.3.1.3 Assessment responsibilities**

The sponsor, the owner, and the qualified assessor have specific responsibilities with respect to a process assessment as described in Parts 3 and 4.

The sponsor should ensure that the assessors may access all the relevant information to make the assessment successful, and in particular that they are aware of the improvement programme goals. The owner should ensure that all the process instances are accessible to the assessors.

#### **5.3.1.4 Assessment purpose**

The overall purpose of the assessment is to provide information about the process capability of the organizational unit in the form of the assessment results. The statement of assessment purpose will guide the assessment team during the conduct of the assessment, particularly with regard to the amount, nature and content of the information they should capture during the assessment to aid improvement. The purpose statement should make clear that the assessment is being done as part of a process improvement programme, and should contain clear descriptions of quality improvement goals (see 7.3.4 and 5.1) and specifically the goals whose attainment is expected to be dependent on the assessment results. All the information on the improvement background defined in the previous steps 5.1 and 5.2 should be made available.

#### **5.3.1.5 Assessment scope**

The assessment scope defines the boundaries for the assessment, both organizationally and in terms of the processes to be included.

From an improvement point of view the process improvement programme may address an entire organization, part of an organization, a single project, or even a part of a project. A process assessment, however, addresses an organizational unit with a coherent process context, particularly the application domain, size, criticality and complexity, and quality characteristics of its products or services. If the process improvement programme spans different organizational units with different types of operation, then each of them should be assessed separately.

The broader the scope of an assessment, the greater the assessment effort needed to arrive at a representative result. Therefore, the sponsor may wish to limit the scope to those processes that are expected to have the greatest potential for improvement. Priority should be given to the processes or process categories that directly affect improvement goals derived from the organization's needs and business goals. The scope statement should include any assumptions or expectations in the process improvement programme plan about the strengths and weaknesses of process categories, single processes, or practices.

The selection of process instances should be done by picking out a representative sample that provides a reliable picture of the current status of the software process. Therefore, it is useful to assess projects that are considered both as the worst examples and as the best examples of the organization's current process performance. In this way the variability between the worst and the best cases of the organization can be found and taken into account in the improvement.

The scope is defined initially in terms of the processes operated and understood by the organizational unit. Ultimately, however, the organizational processes need to be mapped to the processes in the process model in part 2 of this International Standard to enable the assessment team to conduct the assessment. The mapping may be undertaken by the assessment sponsor, or by the assessment team. If an organizational process cannot be mapped on one of the processes in the process model, it should be defined as an extended process according to the requirements in part 2 of this International Standard.

In addition to specifying the processes to be assessed, the scope should clearly identify and justify which process instances (projects) are to be assessed, the organizational unit and its characteristics, and the product or service characteristics (process context). The product or service characteristics, in particular, provide the context within which the assessment team will judge the adequacy of the implemented practices and affect the validity of comparisons with other industry benchmarks.

#### **5.3.1.6 Assessment Constraints**

The sponsor may wish to restrict the freedom of choice of the assessment team in selecting process instances for assessment, in selecting individuals for interview, and in how information may be used. Any restrictions, which may be positive or negative (for example, to include or exclude process instances or individuals), are documented as the assessment constraints.

Similarly, the sponsor may wish to place constraints on which individuals are to be interviewed by the assessment team. For process improvement, the process owners of each assessed process should always be involved in the assessment.

Ownership of results and confidentiality of information may be an issue in many organizations. Whatever the situation, the assessment constraints should include a clear statement about how the information and assessment results may be used, and hence the confidentiality arrangements that apply.

### **5.3.2 Conduct a process assessment**

A process assessment, as described in the part 4 of this International Standard, is initiated using the assessment input prepared as described in 5.3.1. The assessment delivers its results as the assessment output which consists of:

- the current process profile (i.e. the practice adequacy ratings and process capability level ratings for each assessed process);
- the assessment record (i.e. any information which is pertinent to reviewing the results of the assessment) including, in particular, the set of base practice ratings for each process assessed and any supporting evidence for the ratings such as assessment indicators;
- additional information for process improvement.

The additional information may include the recognition of:

- existing best practice that could be adopted and institutionalized in the organization;
- experiences about the previous adoption of specific methods or tools in the organization;
- cultural issues that might either foster or jeopardize improvement initiatives;
- organizational issues that might foil the potential benefits of process improvement;
- training needs.

The assessment output will show not only which processes are at a relatively low capability level, but also the degree of variability across the organizational unit. Both aspects are useful in determining priorities for an improvement programme.

The assessment record should be archived with the results, both as an aid to subsequent understanding, and in case there is a later need to verify that the assessment was carried out in accordance with the provisions of this International Standard.

## **5.4 Analyse assessment output and derive action plan**

Information collected during the assessment, in particular the capability level ratings, the generic practice ratings and the base practice ratings, is analysed in the light of the organization's needs to:


- identify areas for improvement;
- set qualitative software process goals, and quantitative improvement targets;
- derive a process improvement action plan, and integrate it with a revised process improvement programme plan.

Management should approve the areas for improvement, the goals and targets, the action plan and the revised process improvement programme plan, thereby committing the organization to undertake the planned improvements. The decision should be communicated clearly to all affected staff.

#### 5.4.1 Identify and prioritize improvement areas

Improvement areas should be identified and prioritized based on a number of factors as outlined in figure 3. The factors in detail are:

- the assessment output, which shows weak and strong areas of the assessed processes and process instances;
- the organization's needs, which provide general improvement goals (see 5.1) to be achieved through the improvement programme;
- effectiveness measures, which, if already in place, identify improvement priorities for the organization generally related to the improvement drivers described in 4.1;
- industry norms and benchmarks that provide a basic comparison framework for assessment results;
- risks related to either not achieving the stated improvement goals or failure of improvement actions.



**Figure 3 – Identifying and prioritizing the improvement areas**

##### 5.4.1.1 Analyse assessment results

Analysis of the assessment results provides information about the current strengths and weaknesses of the process and indicates opportunities for improvement. The analysis may be performed using process capability level ratings and practice ratings together with the assessment context information.

The strong points are identified as the processes or process instances with the highest process capability level ratings. Strengths may support process improvement as follows:



- strong process instances may provide experience of good practices that could be adopted and institutionalized in the organization;
- processes with the highest process capability level rating within a process category or a set of interrelated processes may show an opportunity for improving the effectiveness of the rest of the process category or set of interrelated processes.

The weaknesses may be:

- process instances and processes with low process capability level ratings;
- processes with missing base practices that are needed to enable the process to achieve a process purpose aligned with a specific need of the organization;
- incomplete coverage of generic practices within common features and of common features within capability levels that are necessary to achieve a specific need;
- low scores for generic practices and common features across assessed processes that may indicate weakness in specific process categories (for example low scores at process capability levels 2 and 3 may show weaknesses in the PRO and SUP process categories).

The variability of process capability levels ratings across process instances should be evaluated in the light of the process context to identify specific improvement actions.

Similarly, the process capability level ratings of related processes should be compared. Improvement actions may be identified to correct any imbalance.

#### **5.4.1.2 Analyse the organization's needs and improvement goals**

The processes and their relationships should be analysed in order to evaluate which processes have direct impact on the improvement goals identified in the process improvement programme plan. Specific relationships between single processes should be considered in order to identify processes which should be addressed together to fulfil certain improvement goals. In this way, a priority list of processes to be improved may be derived. The processes in this list with low process capability level ratings may provide the best opportunity for improvement.

#### **5.4.1.3 Analyse effectiveness measurements**

Organizations with previous experience in process improvement may already have effectiveness measurement in place. Where these are related to the existing organization's needs and derived improvement goals, it may be worth analysing the current measurements to get a better understanding of what improvement is needed ( see 7.3).

#### **5.4.1.4 Analyse the risks in not achieving improvement goals**

The impact on the organization's needs and business goals of failing to achieve improvement goals should be evaluated in order to understand the urgency and to set the priority of improvement initiatives. The risk analysis will provide hints on prioritizing improvement areas and will help to gain commitment and funding to carry out the improvement actions as needed.

#### **5.4.1.5 Analyse risks of improvement action failure**

The potential risks of failure of an improvement action should be analysed in order to support the definition of improvement priorities and to assure the proper commitment and organizational support. These risks may be related to specific organizational issues, to specific time frames, to cultural issues, to commitment or to funding issues. More precisely the risks may derive from:

- existing schedule constraints;
- existing psychological or cultural barriers, possibly derived from previous experiences;
- organizational issues preventing the successful execution of improvement actions.

The risk mitigation strategy may be influenced by hints from the assessment on where to find the main improvement potential. This potential may be:

- in organizational areas or process instances with positive capability to change;
- synergistic with existing contractual commitments or well-understood customer expectations.

#### **5.4.1.6 List improvement areas**

A prioritized list of improvement areas may be provided as a combined result of analysing all the factors listed above. The selected improvement areas define the scope of the improvement actions to be identified. The scope could include:

- processes to be included;
- organizational boundaries for improvement;
- process instances to be either included or excluded in the improvement initiative.

#### **5.4.2 Define specific improvement goals and set targets**

Targets for improvement should be quantified for each priority area. These may be either target values for process effectiveness, or target capability profiles, or combinations of the two. They should be set in the light of the organization's needs, using the approach outlined in section 7.3. This will typically require the iteration of a number of steps until a set of targets has been identified which meets the organization's needs, which can be objectively measured, and which can reasonably be achieved. The key steps are:

- to define qualitative goals for each priority area for improvement;
- to devise suitable metrics to measure achievement of these goals;
- to set appropriate target values for these metrics, taking due account of risks.

More mature organizations, and those which have already made use of this methodology during previous improvement cycles, may already have established goals, metrics and targets. These should be reviewed for their continuing suitability and adjusted as appropriate in the light of a current assessment of the organization's needs.

When setting capability levels as targets for processes, the following points should be considered:

- it is desirable for related processes to be at the same capability level, unless there are over-riding considerations;
- it is generally unrealistic to seek to increase the capability of a process by more than one level in a single cycle of improvement, since each level builds on the capabilities of the ones below it.

### 5.4.3 Derive action plan

A set of actions to improve software processes should be developed. Such actions, taken together, should meet the process goals and quantified targets set in the previous step (see 7.3). Possible improvement actions may interact, support each other or work against each other: such effects should be analysed. Care should be taken to select a set of actions which support each other in achieving the complete set of goals and targets. It is also desirable to include some improvement actions which yield clear short term benefits, particularly if the organization is new to process improvement, in order to encourage acceptance of the process improvement programme.

When carrying out this task the organization should:

- evaluate a number of scenarios to arrive at a set of actions which best meets the organization's needs;
- use the base practices and generic practices defined in the process model as a specification of improvement actions;
- define success criteria for each action and how progress will be measured (the metrics used to set the targets provide suitable measurements);
- evaluate initial estimates of costs and benefits, schedule and risks for the proposed actions;
- identify responsibilities for the actions, and agree the responsibilities with those affected by the actions;
- identify recruitment and training needs.

The set of agreed actions should be documented as a process improvement action plan containing the following information:

- improvement actions with associated process goals and improvement targets;
- responsibilities for actions;
- initial estimates of costs, benefits and schedule (detailed estimates are made at 5.5.2 below);
- risks to products and to the organization if actions are taken or not taken and the implications for timing change appropriately.

The process improvement action plan is a tactical plan, developed to meet the organization's needs, which should be integrated with the process improvement programme plan (originally developed at a strategic level during step 5.2). The process improvement programme plan should be reviewed at this point and updated if necessary. Both the process improvement action plan and the updated process improvement programme plan should be approved by management to ensure that the organization is committed to implementing them.

## 5.5 Implement improvements

The process improvement action plan is implemented in order to improve the organization's software process. Implementation may be simple or complex depending on the contents of the action plan and the characteristics of the organization.

In general several process improvement projects will be initiated, each concerned with implementing one or more process improvement actions. Such projects will often not only cover initial implementation of improvements as described in this section, but the subsequent steps 5.6 and 5.7. Four main tasks are involved in each process improvement project:

- selecting the operational approach to implementation;
- preparing and agreeing the process improvement project plan (a detailed implementation plan);
- implementing the process improvement actions according to the process improvement project plan;
- monitoring the process improvement project.

### 5.5.1 Operational approach to implementation

Where there are alternative operational approaches to implementation, they should be evaluated and the most suitable selected. For instance, it may be possible to implement a given action either in small steps through piloting in a selected unit, or throughout the whole organization at the same time, or somewhere between these two extremes. Among the factors to consider are costs, time scales, and risks.

### 5.5.2 Detailed implementation planning

A detailed implementation plan should be developed, including the following:

- the objectives of the process improvement project;
- a description of the approach to implementation;
- the organization and responsibilities;
- the time schedule and resources;
- risk management, including assessment, monitoring and mitigation;
- monitoring policy;
- specification of success criteria, including process goals and improvement targets.

The process improvement project may need to carry out a deeper analysis of improvement opportunities than that already carried out in step 5.4. Where appropriate, the plan should include:

- further collection and analysis of data to establish the underlying causes of unsatisfactory current effectiveness measurements and process profiles;
- evaluation of alternative proposals for eliminating the causes, including analysis of costs and benefits;

- arrangements to capture cost and resource usage data, for instance if it is desired to carry out cost-benefit analysis.

Those implementing the actions and affected by them should be involved, or be consulted, while developing the plan and in evaluating alternatives, in order both to draw on their expertise and enlist their co-operation.

### **5.5.3 Implementing improvement actions**

It is critical for successful improvement that due account is taken of human and cultural factors. In particular the following should be considered:

- how management can give support and leadership (see 6.1);
- what changes may be needed in values, attitudes and behaviour (see 6.2);
- how to establish commitment to goals and targets (see 6.3);
- how to foster open communication and teamwork, including implications for organizational structures and reporting lines (see 6.4);
- whether changes are needed to recognition and reward systems (see 6.5);
- what education and training is required (see 6.6).

### **5.5.4 Monitoring the process improvement project**

The process improvement project should be monitored by the organization's management against the process improvement project plan in order to:

- ensure tasks progress as planned, and initiate appropriate corrective action if they do not;
- check that achievement of the planned goals and targets continues to be both realistic and relevant to the organization's needs;
- gather data on effort and resources expended, in order to improve estimates for future process improvement projects;
- evaluate the impacts of the implemented improvement actions on the process capability level ratings.

Records should be kept for use both to confirm the improvements (see 5.6) , and to improve the process of process improvement (see process ORG.3 *Improve the process* in part 2 of this International Standard).

## **5.6 Confirm improvements**

When the process improvement project has been completed, the organization should:

- confirm that the planned goals and targets have been achieved and that the expected benefits have been delivered;
- confirm that the desired organizational culture has been established;
- re-evaluate risks associated with the improved process;
- re-evaluate costs and benefits.

Management should be involved both to approve the results and to evaluate whether the organization's needs have been met. If, after improvement actions have been taken, measurements show that process goals and improvement targets have not been achieved, it may be desirable to redefine the process improvement project or activity by returning to an appropriate earlier step.

### **5.6.1 Improvement targets**

Current measurements of process effectiveness should be used to confirm achievement of process effectiveness targets (see 7.3). The possibility of having introduced desirable or undesirable side effects should be investigated.

A further process assessment should be used to confirm achievement of targets expressed as process capability levels. The scope of this re-assessment should be related to the scope of the initial assessment. The scope might cover only the processes affected by the improvement actions, particularly where these had a narrow focus. Where several improvement projects were undertaken, however, consideration should be given to a re-assessment of wider scope to check for potential side-effects arising from the parallel improvement actions.

### **5.6.2 Organizational culture**

The effect of the improvements on organizational culture should be reviewed to establish that desired changes have taken place without undesirable side-effects.

### **5.6.3 Re-evaluate risks**

The organization should re-evaluate the risks of using the improved process to confirm that they remain acceptable, and to determine what further actions are required if they are not.

### **5.6.4 Re-evaluate cost-benefit**

The costs and benefits of the improvements may be re-evaluated and compared with earlier estimates made at step 5.4 and 5.5. These results are useful to support planning of subsequent improvement actions.

## 5.7 Sustain improvement gains

After improvement has been confirmed, the software process needs to be sustained at the new level of performance. The improved process should be used by all those for whom it is applicable. This requires management to monitor institutionalization of the improved process, and to give encouragement when necessary. Responsibilities for monitoring should be defined, as well as how this will be done, for instance by using appropriate effectiveness measurements (see section 7.3).

If an improved process has been piloted in a restricted area or on a specific project or group of projects, it should be deployed across all areas or projects in the organization where it is applicable. This deployment should be properly planned and the necessary resources assigned to it. The plan should be documented as part of the process improvement project plan or the process improvement programme plan as appropriate. Consideration should be given to:

- who is affected;
- how to communicate both the changed process and the benefits expected from it (note: changes should be properly documented and approved);
- what education and training are necessary;
- when to introduce changes to the different areas, taking business needs into account;
- how to ensure that the changes have been made (for instance by conducting audits);
- how to ensure that the improved process performs as expected (for instance by monitoring capability levels and/or effectiveness measures - see section 7.3).

## 5.8 Monitor performance

The performance of the organization's software process should be continuously monitored, and new process improvement projects should be selected and implemented as part of a continuing process improvement programme, since additional improvements are always possible.

### 5.8.1 Monitoring performance of the software process

The performance of the organization's software process should be monitored as it evolves over time. The effectiveness and conformance measures used for this should be chosen to suit the organization's needs and business goals (see 7.3). Management should regularly review their continuing suitability. The risks to the organization and its products from using the software process should also be monitored and action taken as risks materialize or become unacceptable.

### 5.8.2 Reviewing the process improvement programme

The process improvement programme should be reviewed regularly by management to ensure that:

- both the improvement programme and individual improvement projects, including their goals and targets, remain appropriate to the organization's needs;
- further improvement projects are initiated when and where appropriate as previous improvement projects have been completed;

- the process improvement process is itself improved in the light of experience;
- continuous improvement becomes and remains a feature of the organization's values, attitudes and behaviour.

Further process assessments can be an important component of the continuing improvement programme, for instance in the following circumstances:

- where a long term goal to achieve higher capability levels is to be approached by stages;
- when changing organizational needs indicate a requirement to achieve higher capability levels;
- when there is a need to give a fresh impetus to improvement.

The extent to which improved processes have been institutionalized should be considered before scheduling further process assessments. It may be more cost-effective to delay assessing a process until improvements have been fully deployed, rather than expend resources assessing a process which is in transition, when the results can be difficult to interpret.



---

## 6 Cultural issues

---

Software process improvement should be strongly supported by leadership, communication and motivation throughout the whole organization. Improvement actions can only be carried out efficiently if the appropriate cultural issues are acknowledged at all levels. Moreover, major problems found in software processes often arise from cultural issues. Consequently, cultural issues should be one of the factors considered in prioritizing improvement actions.

### 6.1 Management responsibility and leadership

The successful use of this International Standard to improve software processes requires the same high degree of management leadership and commitment as any other approach to process improvement and organizational change. The responsibility for leadership and for creating the environment for continuous process improvement belongs to all levels of management, but particularly to the highest. Senior management should be aware of how the success of the organization depends on quality software and the ability to improve software processes.

The commitment of middle management may pose a particular risk to successful process improvement, particularly in less mature organizations. Largely concerned with meeting project commitments in the short term, middle management may pay little attention to process improvement benefits, which tend to be medium to long term, and often resent diverting scarce project resources to process improvement projects. A mitigation strategy to counter the risk is to ensure that senior management is committed to the costs and impact of process assessment activities and improvement actions on the projects to which they are applied.

Process assessment can identify areas of weakness in management responsibility and leadership as being a risk to the software process in general. An appropriate response is to raise the awareness amongst senior and middle managers of importance of software and software process improvement, possibly through training initiatives. Furthermore, analysis may suggest the need to change the role of middle management. Instilling teamwork principles and placing the emphasis on communication could change the relationship between middle managers and development teams from enforcement to facilitation, and from imposing ideas to helping teams develop their own ideas. The management approach should take account of the specific characteristics of software staff and software development work. Software production, requiring educated staff and high intellectual engagement, provides better results in a co-operative environment.

## 6.2 Values, attitudes and behaviour

Effective process improvement often implies a new set of shared values, attitudes and behaviour, which may include:

- focusing attention on both external and internal customer satisfaction;
- targeting employee satisfaction by establishing an appropriate recognition system (see 6.5);
- involving the entire software supply chain in process improvement, from suppliers to customers;
- demonstrating management commitment, leadership and involvement by communicating purpose and goals;
- emphasizing process improvement as a part of everyone's job and helping everybody to gain an understanding of how individual activities can be beneficially channelled towards the common goals of the team;
- considering quality, cost and time scale goals as priorities to improve processes;
- establishing open communication with access to data and information;
- promoting teamwork and respect for the individual;
- objectively measuring process performance and making decisions based on realistic metrics agreed by all parties in the organization (see 7.3).

Process assessment can help an organization to understand which changes are necessary in values, attitudes and behaviour. If current values, attitudes and behaviour do not contribute to meeting the organization's needs, the process improvement programme should include appropriate cultural change.

## 6.3 Process improvement goals and motivation

The organization's needs should be analysed to yield goals for improving the software process (see section 7.3). Targets should be set either in terms of use of good software engineering practice (increasing process capability levels), or in terms of the effectiveness with which the process meets the organization's needs (process effectiveness measures), or a combination of both. Less mature organizations are likely to emphasize the former and more mature organizations the latter. Industry benchmarks can be used as a reference to set appropriate improvement goals.

Staff motivation to achieve these goals will be strengthened if progress is made visible through regular measurement. Furthermore, the goals have to be understandable, challenging and pertinent. Strategies to achieve improvement goals should be understood and agreed to by everyone. Goals should be reviewed regularly and must reflect any change in the organization's needs.

## 6.4 Communication and teamwork

When analysing assessment results it is important to look for organizational and personal barriers that are causing a lack of communication and teamwork, thereby interfering with the effectiveness and efficiency of the software process. Communication and teamwork require trust and skills. Good teamwork skills improve the ability to perform activities with the high degree of parallel work typical of software projects. Training should be considered as a means of improving the quality and effectiveness of teamwork skills.

Before conducting an assessment, agreement should be reached over ownership and confidentiality of the results and other information gathered during the assessment. This will help to build the necessary trust for effective process improvement. It is important that the individuals and groups responsible for the processes which are being assessed understand that the objective is to improve the processes, and not to assign blame to individuals. It is also necessary to communicate and discuss the assessment findings with the assessee before finalizing any recommendations. Unless this is done, individuals or groups may reject the findings, and may resist changes arising from the findings, thereby jeopardizing the outcome.

## 6.5 Recognition

The recognition process and individual reward system may help to encourage attitudes and behaviour necessary for successful process improvement (see 6.2). The definition of an appropriate recognition and reward system, consistent with the effort needed to achieve the improvement goals, should therefore be considered when planning improvement actions (see 5.4). The reward system should be designed in such a manner that it recognizes group performance and teamwork and avoids promoting destructive internal competition.

## 6.6 Education and training

On-going education and training are essential for everyone. Education and training programmes are important in creating and maintaining an environment where process improvement can flourish.

The effectiveness of education and training should be regularly assessed. Training separated from the use of the newly acquired skills is rarely effective. The assessment results include practice adequacy scores related to the extent to which staff have received suitable training in the processes they use, which should be taken into account when planning improvement actions (see generic practice 2.1.5 *Ensure training* and process ORG.4 *Training* in the process model in part 2 of this International Standard).

Training in process improvement concepts, specifically, will increase the organization's readiness for process improvement (see generic practice 2.1.5 applied to process ORG.3 *Improve the process*). Important concepts that should be covered include process and quality concepts, process improvement concepts, process management skills, tools and techniques for process improvement, cultural change skills and supporting skills.

Process assessment concepts should be explained to all levels of the organization (from management to staff) being assessed. The assessors should fulfil the basic educational requirements and receive training as defined in part 6 of this International Standard. Untrained assessors are less likely to produce objective, consistent and reliable results on which to base a successful process improvement programme.

---

## 7 Management

---

The full potential of software process improvement can only be realized when applied and coordinated within a structured framework. This requires software process improvement to be organized, planned, and measured, and all process improvement activities to be subject to management review. It is very unlikely that permanent long-term changes will result without consideration of the managerial implications of software process improvement.

### 7.1 Organizing for process improvement

For software process improvement to be performed effectively, the entire organization should be involved. The general organizational principles for quality improvement described in ISO 9004-4 apply to software process improvement.

Responsibilities for process improvement activities are divided amongst senior management, the process improvement programme, process improvement projects, process owners, and the organizational units. The differing responsibilities are reviewed below.

#### 7.1.1 Senior management

Senior management involvement is needed to translate the broad understanding of the needs and business goals of the organization into the investment in software process improvement, and to provide the necessary commitment and decision making.

The responsibilities of senior management include:

- defining the mission, objectives and needs of the organization (input to step 5.1);
- preparing strategic and business plans, including software process improvement goals, resource estimates and time scales;
- approving the process improvement programme plan (see 5.2 and 5.4);
- approving responsibilities for process improvement projects;
- ensuring that the appropriate resources to support process improvement are provided;
- monitoring improvement results to ensure targets have been met (see 5.6);
- initiating and supporting activities aimed at institutionalizing improved processes (see 5.7);
- regularly reviewing the overall process improvement programme to ensure its continued appropriateness to the business (see 5.8);
- fostering changes in values, attitudes, and behaviour to support software process improvement (see clause 6).

### 7.1.2 Process improvement programme management

Processes are generally intersective in nature and flow across organizational boundaries. Therefore, a process improvement programme must have an overall process view, which covers entire processes to be improved. Managing the programme can be done by assigning software process improvement responsibilities across these boundaries. Typically this can be organized by setting up a cross functional action team or establishing an organizationally independent software engineering process group to deal with all software process issues.

Process improvement programme management responsibilities across organizational boundaries include:

- establishing systematic software process measurements including both software process assessments (see 5.3), and effectiveness measurements;
- evaluating measurement results;
- setting software process improvement targets, and agreeing these with the process owner and the organizational units involved (see 5.4);
- identifying improvement actions and gaining the agreement of the process owner and the organizational units involved (see 5.4);
- naming the project leader for each process improvement project;
- participating in development of process improvement project plans with those responsible for each improvement project, the process owners and the organizational units involved (see 5.5);
- monitoring the progress of improvement projects towards their targets (see 5.5);
- supporting continuation of software process improvement (see 5.8);
- reviewing the software process improvement process itself in the light of the lessons learnt (see 5.8).

### 7.1.3 Process improvement project management

Process improvement actions defined by the process improvement programme management and approved by the process owners will be managed as improvement projects by applying a general project management approach. Improvement projects are managed by a project leader named by the process improvement programme management.

Process improvement project management responsibilities are:

- preparing and updating the process improvement project plan in consultation with the owner of the process to be improved and representatives of the organizational units involved (5.5);
- obtaining the approval of the process owners for the process improvement project plan and the changes to the processes (5.5);
- acquiring sufficient physical and human resources for implementing the process improvement project plan (5.5);
- organizing the implementation project in consultation with the owners of the process to be improved and representatives of the organizational units involved (5.5);

- monitoring and controlling the implementation process (5.5);
- reporting the status of the implementation for both the process owners and senior management (5.5).

#### **7.1.4 Responsibilities of the process owners**

Each process should have a process owner who is responsible for the whole improvement activity inside the organizational unit. Software process improvement aims to increase satisfaction of both external customers and internal personnel, therefore their viewpoints should also be taken into account by the process owner. Awareness of software process improvement issues as well as collaborative communication are required at all organizational levels (see clause 6): this is one important part of the process owner's responsibility. Process owners, as representatives of the customers of the improvements, should be involved in the whole improvement cycle.

Responsibilities of a process owner include:

- providing information and measurements on the current process status (see 5.3);
- identifying what external customers and internal personnel need from their direct supplier,
- promoting awareness and collaborative communication between internal users and external customers about the improvement action;
- support for planning of the process improvement programme and process improvement projects (see 5.4 and 5.5);
- approving the improvement project plans;
- participating in improvement activities (see 5.5);
- monitoring and confirming the improvement results (see 5.5).

#### **7.1.5 Role of the organizational unit**

The processes and practices within an organizational unit are the targets of software process improvement. The staff of the organizational unit will be affected by the changes and so it is important to engage them in the improvement activities. Their opinions and viewpoints should be considered when planning the improvements and they can provide useful feedback on the results of the improvement.

Responsibilities within the organizational units involved in software process improvement include:

- collecting measurements on the practices/processes instantiated within the organizational unit (this includes participation in assessments (see 5.3));
- implementing improvement actions on the organizational unit's processes (see 5.5);
- monitoring progress of improvement actions (see 5.5).

## 7.2 Planning for process improvement

The planning of a software process improvement programme is an iterative activity that extends for the entire life of the programme, starting from the definition of improvement goals and continuing through all phases of the improvement cycle.

Three main levels of planning should be performed, resulting in the following documents:

- business plan;
- process improvement programme plan;
- process improvement project plan.

### 7.2.1 Business plan

A software process improvement programme should meet the organization's needs and business goals, usually by aiming at improving quality, increasing cost control, reducing time to delivery, reducing risk, or some combination of these. Management should set overall improvement goals which may impact the whole software process. The organization's business plan should include software process improvement goals as well as resource forecasts and time constraints for the implementation of process improvement. The business plan should include an overall evaluation of the risks to the organization if the current software process is not improved. Such evaluation may cause the organization to undertake the process improvement programme. The reasons for including this preliminary improvement planning in the business plan are firstly to align improvement with the organization's needs and business goals, secondly to ensure the availability of required resources, and thirdly to ensure the success of the organization's improvement strategy. At this level, process improvement programme planning is the responsibility of the organization's senior management.

Software process improvement goals and constraints (time and resources) stated in the business plan should be considered when:

- examining the organizational needs (see 5.1);
- defining the preliminary process improvement programme plan (see 5.2);
- completing the process improvement programme plan based on the assessment findings (see 5.4);
- confirming the improvement (see 5.6);
- monitoring process performance when an improvement cycle has been completed (see 5.8).

### 7.2.2 Process improvement programme plan

The process improvement programme plan is the document that addresses the entire process improvement programme defined to meet the goals stated in the business plan. It controls continuous improvement activities throughout the organization, and can be maintained through more than one improvement cycle. The process improvement programme plan will be owned by the process improvement programme management (7.1.2).



Due to its nature, the process improvement programme plan is an evolving document that is updated through three main stages as:

- a preliminary process improvement programme plan;
- the complete process improvement programme plan itself;
- the ongoing process improvement programme plan.

When a process improvement programme is initiated, a preliminary identification of the improvement areas, actions and related risks, together with a preliminary budget and time schedule, is defined in a preliminary version of the process improvement programme plan (as a result of step 5.2). This information will be revised after the process assessment, based on the assessment findings (see 5.4). The plan is then completed with the target profile, gap analysis information and possibly with current and target effectiveness measurements. Resource budget and schedule are revised. The overall organization for the process improvement programme is defined. The plan will also include a risk evaluation with descriptions of the risks if the process improvement programme is not undertaken, the risks if it is, and mitigation strategies to be adopted. Finally the action plan derived from analysis of assessment results (see 5.4.3) is attached to complete the process improvement programme plan.

The process improvement programme plan is then used for monitoring the implementation of the improvement actions (see 5.5). It is continuously updated to reflect the actual status of the improvement actions. If the improvement targets are revised, the process improvement programme plan should be updated accordingly.

The process improvement programme plan can be used as an input to a process capability determination activity (see part 8 of this International Standard). Based on the target capability of the process capability determination and the current capability obtained from the assessment, the process improvement programme plan may be tuned in order to meet the contract requirements. The process improvement programme plan can be used by the customer to establish a level of confidence in the supplier's processes. It can also be used by the supplier organization to identify the capability to be offered to the customer (the “proposed capability” described in part 8 of this International Standard).

### **7.2.3 Process improvement project plan**

Improvement actions defined in the process improvement programme plan will be implemented as projects. When the improvement actions are complex and involve more organizational units, they might need to be implemented as several separate improvement projects. Each identified improvement project will be planned and the results are documented as process improvement project plans.

Typically process improvement projects are unique and innovative efforts for the organization that may require new types of resources and the adoption of new viewpoints. The human inertia of the organization may render the project more difficult to carry out. Therefore, process improvement projects often involve higher risks than projects that are repetitive in nature. As risks are situational and exist in different forms in different improvement steps or activities, a careful and detailed risk analysis should be performed in each step of each project.

Risk analysis at this stage includes reviewing and updating the risk descriptions and mitigation strategies included in the process improvement programme plan. All process improvement project plans should be prepared in consultation with appropriate people at the appropriate level in the organization, and with suppliers and customers of the organization (see section 7.1). Involving everyone greatly increases the opportunities for improvement and the chances of success.

Typically a process improvement project plan should contain:

- the detailed definition of the objectives and scope of the improvement action maintaining traceability with respect to the requirements defined in the process improvement programme plan;
- a detailed and concrete description of the improvement results to be attained in the project;
- a detailed time schedule and work breakdown structure;
- a detailed resource estimate;
- approval criteria for intermediate and final results of the improvement action.

### **7.3 Measuring process improvement**

The role of measurements is crucial in software process improvement. Measurements are needed to show quantitatively the current status of processes and practices against a general understanding of software engineering best practices, and to show to what extent software processes are effective in achieving the organization's needs and business goals.

Best practices provide a general model of the software industry but cannot directly reflect specific characteristics of a single organization. Each organization has its own specific needs and business goals that will influence the selection of industry best practice for use in improvement.

Process effectiveness measures, and base and generic practice adequacy ratings address different facets of understanding of the process. Both may be considered from the organization's point of view and used together in process improvement.

Although base practices may be rated using either an adequacy or existence scale, it is recommended that base practice adequacy rather than base practice existence be used for process improvement purposes.

#### **7.3.1 Adequacy ratings**

The primary output of a process assessment is the set of process profiles containing generic practice adequacy ratings and the assessment record which contains the ratings for the base practices.

These ratings provide, at one level, a view of how the organizational unit's processes conform to the model of good practice expressed in part 2 of this International Standard. However, the essence of the rating scheme is that the ratings represent more than just conformance: practices are rated for adequacy of implementation within the specific context in which the processes operate. The process context and other elements of the assessment input ensure that the assessment results are directly related to the organization.

The assessment results, therefore, provide immediate pointers to potential areas for improvement using the model in part 2 of this International Standard as the route map. Analysis of the results (step 5.4) yields a view of the strengths and weaknesses of the assessed processes. Where several process instances (projects) are assessed, the results can provide a view of the relative strengths, weaknesses and differences between projects, and can highlight systematic weaknesses.

The information gained from the assessment allows an organization to understand the current state of its processes and to set improvement targets expressed quantitatively as process capability levels to be attained for individual processes. This information may either strengthen the initial improvement goals defined for the process improvement programme, or cause them to be revised.

Once the improvement have been implemented (see 5.5), their effects may be confirmed through a reassessment (see 5.6).

### **7.3.2 Effectiveness measures**

Even though an assessment provides adequacy ratings judged against the process context, it is important to recognize that the ratings are also against the high level and, of necessity, universal goals (process purposes) defined in the process model in part 2 of this International Standard. In practice, an organization's needs and business goals may dictate different process goals and priorities, and may lead to the identification of general improvement goals which cannot be immediately expressed as target process capability levels. This creates the need for a different kind of measure, a process effectiveness measure, that addresses organization-specific process goals.

Effectiveness measures address the extent to which the software process achieves goals derived directly from an analysis of the specific circumstances, needs, and business goals of the organization. Effectiveness measures support the choice of processes to be improved and the monitoring of improvement results.

Effectiveness measures are usually defined based on the characteristics of process output. As an example, the effectiveness of a planning process may be related to its efficiency, its accuracy, its reliability or its repeatability, or some combination of these. Since organizations have unique circumstances and needs, the choice of effectiveness measure will differ between different organizations. Whichever one is chosen, however, its value may be measured and compared to a target value or threshold as a means of measuring improvement.

### **7.3.3 How to use adequacy ratings and effectiveness measures**

Adequacy ratings and effectiveness measures may be used together to support software process improvement. An improvement goal might be stated and effectiveness measures identified to quantify the goal. Adequacy ratings from an assessment may provide the analytical information to identify a number of areas for improvement which together would support the achievement of the stated goal.

For instance, considering example 1 in Annex A, it can be seen that the goal of obtaining a large market share for an innovative product might be achieved by reducing the time to market, or improving product quality or both. Reducing time to market can be achieved by establishing reuse in the software organization, and reinforcing configuration management and project management. Improving product quality could be achieved by improved testing and quality control, but possibly also by establishing a proper reuse strategy. Evaluation of the current process profile leads to an understanding of strengths and weaknesses and the choice of the most cost effective improvement action. The choice of improvement areas, priorities and actions is non-deterministic: many factors such as previous investments, existing culture, previous success or failures and so on should be taken into account.

### 7.3.4 Framework for measuring processes

By making use of both adequacy ratings and effectiveness measurements, the organization's management can ensure that investment in process improvement is as cost-effective as possible. Organizations are recommended to set software process targets expressed either in terms of use of recognized good software engineering practice (i.e. increased process capability level), or in terms of the effectiveness with which the process meets the organization's needs, or a combination of both. Less mature organizations are likely to emphasize the former and more mature organizations the latter.

Figure 4 illustrates a framework for measuring processes, which may be applied with both adequacy ratings and effectiveness measurements and in integrating them to fulfil the improvement purpose.



Figure 4 – Process measurement framework

The entities in this model are as follows:

- **software process goal:** an aim or objective of all or part of the software process. A software process goal should be defined, wherever possible, such that a single software process metric can be used to judge the degree to which the goal is achieved;
- **software process metric:** a quantitative measure of the degree to which a software process goal is met. Software process metrics include both effectiveness measures and practice adequacy or process capability level ratings as defined in part 4 of this International Standard;
- **software process target:** a desired value of a software process metric;
- **software process improvement action:** an action planned and executed to improve all or part of the software process. A software process improvement action can contribute to the achievement of more than one software process goal;
- **software process current measurement:** the value of a software process metric before implementing an improvement action;
- **software process improvement result:** the value of a software process metric after taking a software process improvement action.

When using the framework to measure adequacy ratings (see part 3 of this International Standard):

- the software process goal corresponds to the purpose statement of the process in part 2 of this International Standard;
- the software process metric corresponds to the rating mechanism defined in part 3 of this International Standard;
- the current measurements, targets and results are expressed as process capability level ratings.

When using this framework to measure effectiveness, the organization should:

- analyse its circumstances and needs, to identify and define a set of qualitative goals for its overall software process. Software process goals should be chosen to have the most direct and critical impact on meeting the organization's needs which is possible when the general goals are further decomposed and refined to correspond to single processes and individual or team responsibilities. Note that each goal applies to all or part of the organization's overall software process: this may be a single process in the process model in part 2 of this International Standard, or several related processes, or even a single practice;
- devise an appropriate way to measure whether each software process goal has been achieved. This is termed a software process metric. It may be necessary to iterate between the definition of goals and metrics until satisfactory metrics are found to measure the achievement of each qualitative goal;

- use the software process metrics to express a software process target for each defined software process goal. A software process target is a numerical value quantifying the extent to which the goal is achieved, and is chosen to meet the organization's needs. Its value may be better than the current value for the process (in which case it is a target for improvement), or it may be the same as the current value (in which case it is a steady-state constraint on improvement), or in some cases it may be worse than the current value due to previously incorrectly set targets;
- select software process improvement actions, which taken together as a package are designed to achieve the complete set of software process targets. In general, many actions may affect achievement of each target, and each action may affect the achievement of several targets;
- monitor the progress of the software process improvement actions by comparing current values of the metrics against the targets as appropriate;
- validate the software process improvement results (the metric values achieved after the actions have been completed) by comparing them against the targets.

The organization will normally use a mixture of effectiveness and adequacy measures to set targets for improvement. The adequacy measures will indicate the most promising improvement areas according to the distance between the organization's practices and the baseline; on the other hand, effectiveness measures of the processes will provide information about the efficacy of the organization's processes compared to the needs.

## **7.4 Reviewing process improvement activities**

In general, regular reviews of improvement activities should be conducted at all levels of management to ensure that:

- the improvement organization is functioning effectively;
- plans for improvement are adequate and are being followed;
- measurements for effectiveness and for improvement are appropriate and adequate, and indicate satisfactory progress;
- software process assessments are conducted when it is appropriate to do so;
- a reasonable balance between opportunity for improvement and risk to the organization is maintained;
- the results of the review are fed into the next planning cycle.

Appropriate actions should be taken where any discrepancies have been identified.

## Annex A (informative)

### The application of the process measurement framework

Section 7.3 explains how to use process measures for improvement. The following table gives examples of how the application may be performed.

| Organization needs  | Software process goals   | Software process adequacy metrics  | Software process effectiveness metrics    | Software process targets   | Software process results   |
|---|--|--|---|--|--|
| <b>Example 1</b>  |  |  |   |  |  |
| Obtain a large market share for an innovative product to secure additional financing. | 1) Short software process time to market;<br>2) High software quality (i.e. high reliability);<br>3) Good Engineering and Support process categories and Enable reuse process. | Capability levels of processes in the ENG and SUP process categories and Enable Reuse process. |   | Raise level 1 ENG, SUP and Enable reuse processes to level 2 where majority of practices are satisfactory. | All processes at desired capability level.   |
| <b>Example 2</b>  |  |  |   |  |  |
| Improve quality in order to increase market share.                                    | ISO 9001 compliance  | Capability level of processes which map to ISO 9001.   |   | Capability levels necessary to achieve ISO 9001.   | All processes at desired capability levels.<br><br>Compliance to ISO 9001 checklist. |
| <b>Example 3</b>  |  |  |   |  |  |
| Compete with fixed price contracts  | accurate estimation<br><br>achieve process purpose for process Establish Project Plan (PRO.2)  | capability level of PRO.2  | % of projects complete within 10% of plan | 90% of projects complete within 10% of plan<br><br>PRO.2 at Level 2  | 67% of projects complete within 10% of plan<br><br>PRO.2 at Level 2                  |





---

## Annex B (informative)

---

### The application of the improvement methodology

#### B.1 Introduction

The example below follows the 8-step model described in clause 5, and shows how to use the process measurement framework in figure 4 as part of this model. Of necessity, some of the detail is omitted, but the principal steps of the process and measurement should be clear. The example describes the whole process, and includes discussion of the process measurement framework within the description of the process steps.

#### B.2 Example: MovieViews' drive for higher quality

##### B.2.1 Step 1: Examine the needs of the organization (see 5.1)

MovieViews is a medium-sized company which produces interactive multimedia software for PCs. Unfortunately, even though quality assurance personnel provide independent review of all products, defective products have been distributed and unhappy customers have called the company to report problems. The company needs to reduce and finally eliminate defects which affect customer satisfaction.

The new Managing Director, Mandé Taylor, realized the employees had no sense of personal contribution to the quality of the company's products or understanding of the company vision. As a first step, she wrote a document describing the mission of the company, goals for all aspects of the way the company wants to do business, expectations for the content and quality of the products, and the type of work environment to be supplied for all employees. Mandé organized a series of meetings first with the executives and then with the entire staff to illustrate and discuss the content of this document.

Mandé worked with all executives to build their awareness of the possibilities for growth and profitability in MovieViews, and help them to see their part in the future of the company. She knew a software process improvement programme was instrumental in improving the quality of their products: it was not enough to concentrate solely on finding defective products before the customer saw them. Working with groups of employees to understand the problems, a simple qualitative software process goal was defined:

*"Improve our ways of developing and upgrading software so that we can produce software products with fewer defects at no higher cost or length of time to market."*

## **B.2.2 Step 2: Initiate process improvement (see 5.2)**

Mandé immediately understood the need for precise data to get a reliable picture of the current status. First she asked the executives to prepare a report containing information on the number and types of error for each family of product, and the phases in which the errors were detected.

On completion of the report, it was immediately clear that the data were not comparable and that there were significant differences between different families of products. As a result Mandé realized that the company did not have a common way of producing software.

She immediately established a team to co-ordinate an improvement programme to address the problem. The team included herself, the Director of Quality Assurance, Doq, the Engineering Director, Ed, the Software Application Manager, Sam, and the Marketing Director, Mark.

Each team member was charged with reporting back to his or her department on the status of the Process Improvement Programme and to make its success a personal issue. This sense of commitment was conveyed to the other employees. Mandé made *"Status of the process improvement programme"* the first item on the agenda of each of her staff meetings. She discussed it at board meetings and made sure that the subject was discussed at the local user group meetings.

Mandé had been recruited from a company with a successful process improvement record. Consequently, she knew that it was essential to reserve resources for process improvement. She decided to include defect reduction as a major goal in the company's annual business plan, and to set aside a budget for improvement and staff training.

At this point, Mandé had two major problems:

- (i) she did not know where the defects were actually generated and consequently which parts of the software process to improve;
- (ii) she did not know how much the company could improve in the near future (one year), without compromising the production deadlines for new products or reducing the support levels for the existing ones.

Knowing that a plan was needed to make the improvement effort cost-effective, Mandé decided to chair the improvement team herself and make the preparation of an overall process improvement programme plan the first priority for the team.

A preliminary version of the process improvement programme plan addressed the following:

- How does the company take the goals defined in the business plan and map them to the goal of producing a quality software?
- Where should improvement efforts be directed?
- What are the constraints on software process improvements (resource availability to implement improvements, time scales for improvements, etc.)?
- What are the specific implementable, measurable goals that can be derived from the broader software process goal?
- What historical information exists that might help in understanding the current problems, and where improvements are most needed?
- What methods of communication and review will enable every employee to understand the progress in improvements, and the process improvement team to understand what actions need to take place?
- What are the characteristics of the company culture that support change?
- What are the characteristics that may need to change for improvements to happen and persist over time?

As a starting point for the improvement programme it was decided to undertake a process assessment to get a better picture of the current situation.

### **B.2.3 Step 3: Prepare for and conduct a process assessment (see 5.3)**

Before the assessment actually took place, all the technical organizations worked to collect measurements of the software processes as they were currently being performed. Since product quality had been identified as a serious problem, each software engineer was encouraged to keep track of time spent:

- on correction of problems identified by customers;
- for requirements analysis, design, and documentation before starting to code;
- on correction of problems identified by the developer.

These measurements were used to raise the awareness of each software engineer of how much time was being spent correcting problems and how much of this time might be better spent in preliminary activities to prevent those problems from happening.

After the company executives had approved the preliminary version of the process improvement programme plan, they agreed that the Engineering Director, Ed, should sponsor the assessment. They also agreed to assign ownership of the assessment and its results to the Application Manager, Sam.

Ed and his team decided that, although training was taking place on many aspects of software processes and their assessment, no employee was qualified to act as an assessor. Therefore a contract was established with an external consultant, Cas, a qualified assessor. Cas worked with the process improvement team to develop an assessment purpose which read, in part:

*“The purpose of this assessment is to identify those activities in the software processes which contribute significantly to the inclusion of defects in our software products. This is part of a continuous process improvement programme to reduce the number of defects through the enhancement of worthwhile but inadequate processes, the replacement of inefficient processes and the addition of new processes “.*

The three projects that develop the most important product families (Blueball, Purplepillow, and, Pinksquare) were selected for assessment. Amongst these, Pinksquare had shown the best results and Blueball the worse. It was decided to assess all the processes involved in software production in these units, including the entire life cycle and the supporting activities.

Two major constraints were placed on the assessment. Firstly, project Purplepillow had important delivery dates to meet for the next release of the product. The assessment was to create minimal disturbance to Purplepillow’s schedule, even at the expense of depth of coverage. Secondly, the survival of Blueball in the marketplace was dependent on re-engineering the product. An in-depth assessment of the Blueball re-engineering project was essential.

An assessment team was appointed, including Cas, a representative of the Quality Assurance Unit, and an expert from each project in order to provide a good understanding of the needs and characteristics of each project and product family. It was decided to interview some of the marketing staff since customer support was performed within the Marketing Unit, and these staff had the most direct contacts with the customers.

Based on the assessment scope defined by the improvement team, the assessment team decided to assess the following processes:

**Customer-Supplier Process Category (CUS)**

- CUS.3 Identify customer needs
- CUS.6 Support operation of software
- CUS.8 Assess customer satisfaction

**Engineering Process Category (ENG)**

all processes

**Project Process Category (PRO)**

all processes

**Support Process Category (SUP)**

all processes

Even though the executives agreed that assessment was a worthwhile activity, they were concerned about the time that would be taken for performing it. Process improvement team members planned to devote about 10% of their time to improvement activities. The assessment team members expected to work almost full time for a month to complete the assessment.

During the assessment, records were kept of comments made by interviewees, procedures described by the software engineers, questionable practices, and the opinions of interviewers and interviewees.

#### **B.2.4 Step 4: Analyse assessment results and derive action plan (see 5.4)**

The process improvement team analysed the results of the assessment, primarily looking at findings which could be related to product quality. Feedback from the assessment indicated that software was being developed without a clear understanding of requirements, so that the software engineers spent a lot of time going back and making corrections once they did understand the requirements. One conclusion was that last minute changes had the potential to introduce defects into the software. In addition, system testing activities were not performed in a systematic way.

Detailed analysis showed that there was a satisfactory situation in the area of project management: most of the processes were at capability level two and some even at capability level 3 with no significant differences between the projects. Design activities seemed to be satisfactory: ENG.3, ENG.4 and ENG.5 were all at level 2.

The problem areas appeared to be related to requirement definition and system testing. The derived process capability level ratings for these processes were:

##### **Identify customer needs**

CUS.3[P1, P2, P3];1=[0, 25,25,50]

CUS.3[P1, P2, P3];2=[0,0,40,60]

CUS.3[P1, P2, P3];3=[0,0,0,100]

CUS.3[P1, P2, P3];4=[0,0,0,100]

CUS.3[P1, P2, P3];5=[0,0,0,100]

##### **Develop software requirements**

ENG.2[P1, P2, P3];1=[0,80,20,0]

ENG.2[P1, P2, P3];2=[0,60,40,0]

ENG.2[P1, P2, P3];3=[0,0,0,100]

ENG.2[P1, P2, P3];4=[0,0,0,100]

ENG.2[P1, P2, P3];5=[0,0,0,100]

##### **Develop system requirements and design**

ENG.1[P1, P2, P3];1=[0,50,25,25]

ENG.1[P1, P2, P3];2=[0,0,60,40]

ENG.1[P1, P2, P3];3=[0,0,0,100]

ENG.1[P1, P2, P3];4=[0,0,0,100]

ENG.1[P1, P2, P3];5=[0,0,0,100]

##### **Integrate and test system**

ENG.6[P1, P2, P3];1=[100,0,0,0]

ENG.6[P1, P2, P3];2=[10,25,50,15]

ENG.6[P1, P2, P3];3=[0,0,20,80]

ENG.6[P1, P2, P3];4=[0,0,0,100]

ENG.6[P1, P2, P3];5=[0,0,0,100]

The improvement team decided to address these processes as the main priorities for the improvement programme.

The assessment team recommended that MovieViews should plan a multi-step increase in capability levels for the selected processes throughout the company: first ensure that the base practices properly implemented for the selected processes, and then concentrate on raising each process to capability level 2.

A major goal of the improvement team was to evaluate how process improvement might affect product quality. A set of metrics was established to achieve this goal. As the level of defects was the main issue, a program of measurement of defects was put in place to provide visibility of improvement. The key measures were to be:

- the number of open problem reports from customers during each reporting period of two weeks [what is the magnitude of the problem?];
- the number of problem reports from customers per product [where are the worst problems?];
- the distribution of problem reports within product by type and severity [do we have many small problems (probably systemic process problems), a few major problems (might be isolated process implementation problems), or some combination of both?].

A target was established to show all employees the goals for these metrics:

- percent of time spent on rework <5%;
- percent of time spent on new projects >50%;
- percent of time spent in reviews >5%;
- percent of time spent in testing, or quality assurance activities <10%;
- number of problem reports from a customer open per reporting period decreased to no more than one;
- no single product with more than one problem report from a customer/100,000 lines of code opened against it;
- no Class 1 (the most severe) problem reports from a customer on any product.

In addition it was decided to use the time cards of personnel in the Software Applications Group and Engineering Department to track costs related to non quality. The time cards were used to measure:

- any scheduled tasks;
- each phase of development;
- rework;
- new projects;
- education and training;
- reviews and other quality management tasks.

This data could be used to derive useful information, including information about quality and cost.

#### Information About Quality

- the percent of time on rework reflected the quality of work (especially rework for which MovieViews was not getting paid or rework created just because someone was careless);
- the percent of time on new projects indicated how far ahead they were looking towards new business;

#### Information About Cost

- time spent in reviews, testing or other quality assurance activities - the cost of quality.

Measurements were taken to show the current status. The figures were:

- 35% of time spent on rework;
- 10% of time spent on new projects;
- <1% of time spent on reviews;
- 10% of time spent on testing and other quality assurance activities;
- 40 customer-generated problem reports were open and distributed as follows:

| PRODUCT                                   | Problem classification |         |         |
|---|------------------------|---------|---------|
|   | Class 1                | Class 2 | Class 3 |
| Pinksquare (est. 400,000 lines of code)   | 2                      | 0       | 1       |
| Purplepillow (est. 150,000 lines of code) | 0                      | 18      | 4       |
| Blueball (est. 200,000 lines of code)     | 6                      | 5       | 4       |

Process improvement actions were identified and documented in an action plan. These included:

- the introduction of a systematic approach to the collection and analysis of customer needs;
- the definition of a clearer interface between staff supporting the customer and staff designing the products;
- the introduction of a systematic approach to system and acceptance testing;
- the introduction of formal reviews to be held on completion of each of these processes;
- a mechanism to monitor the implementation of the improvements.

The team made an interim presentation to senior management which was well received.

Management approved the updated process improvement programme plan, including the action plan and the revised budget and schedules, and authorized the start of the process improvement projects.

### **B.2.5 Step 5: Implement improvements (see 5.5)**

This was the first action plan to be implemented. Others would be implemented on a regular schedule as defined in the process improvement programme plan.

Three improvement project were created: one to carry out improvements on requirements definition; a second on system and acceptance testing; and a third on reviews. Each project established its own project plan describing the strategy to be adopted to implement the improvement.

The first project looked initially for possible changes in the organization, and then to the establishment of a clear procedure to communicate user expectations to the development group.

The second project set out to collect the good practices from the Pinksquare project and to generalize them for transfer to other projects.

The third project hired an experienced consultant to brief them about the practical experiences in putting reviews in place within industrial organizations and to help them to identify the best way of introducing reviews within MovieViews.

Each of the improvement projects was piloted in one of the three product development projects and measurements were taken to get preliminary confirmation of the benefits derived from the selected improvement actions.

#### **B.2.6 Step 6: Confirm improvement (see 5.6)**

Even though the targets were not quite achieved, the improvement team was encouraged by the results of the pilot projects, and decided it was worth proceeding. At least the team was confident about the reliability of the data collection, and project staff could see the benefits. The team presented the experiences of the pilot projects in seminars to the entire staff.

Tracking already showed a decrease in product defects as a result of increasing reviews and awareness of the need for the reviews. Some further reorganization was needed, however, to move from reliance on product checking to process reviews. A cost-benefit analysis showed that the cost of process improvement was less than the gain from fewer customer complaints and more products sold to the distributors.

The changes introduced in the pilot projects were carefully reviewed and documented in procedures that were distributed to all units to be used as a reference to plan their own projects.

#### **B.2.7 Step 7: Sustain improvement gains (see 5.7)**

Once reviews, including formal preparation and documentation of the results, were being routinely scheduled as part of the software process, the simple metric of whether or not reviews were held was expanded to track data such as the number of changes required as a result of the review; and the hours required by the software staff to make these changes. This, combined with the product data measurements, enabled MovieViews to continue to refine and improve the software process as part of a continuous improvement program.

The review goals were enhanced to include the evaluation of how the newly established processes were being applied. Data collected within reviews started to be used to monitor these processes within the entire organization.

#### **B.2.8 Step 8: Monitor performance (see 5.8)**

Senior management continued to be involved with the process improvement programme. Further assessments were planned to confirm the improvements and to identify new ones.



---

## Annex C (informative)

---

### References

This annex describes key sources of material used in creating the guidance in this part of the International Standard.

1. ISO 9004-4 : 1993, Quality management and quality system elements - Part 4: Guidelines for quality improvement
2. IDEAL : An Integrated Approach to Software Process Improvement (SPI), Bill Peterson and Ron Radice, SEI Symposium, Pittsburgh, August 1994.
3. Measurement Definitions for DoD Systems : recommendations for an Initial Core Set, SEI-93-TechReview-2.
4. Concepts on Measuring the benefits of Software Process Improvements, J. A. Rozum, SEI-93-TR-009.
5. Software process Assessment and Improvement : The BOOTSTRAP Approach, P. Kuvaja, J. Simila, L. Krzanik, A. Bicego, G. Koch, S. Saukkonen, Blackwell Business, 1994.
6. ami, a quantitative approach to software management, The ami consortium, South Bank University, London, 1992.

---

## Annex D (informative)

---

### Mapping to the process ORG.3 and the PDCA cycle

The process model in part 2 of this International Standard includes the process *Improve the Process* (ORG.3) whose purpose is “to gain competitive advantage by continually improving the effectiveness and efficiency of the software processes used by the organization”. The improvement cycle described in this part of the International Standard is an implementation of that process. This annex provides the mapping between the steps of the improvement cycle and the process ORG.3.

The PDCA (Plan-Do-Check-Act) cycle is widely used by organizations involved in Total Quality Management (TQM) and continuous process improvement for quality. This annex, therefore, also maps the steps of the improvement cycle described in this part of the International Standard to the PDCA cycle. Two levels of mapping have been identified; the upper level corresponds to cross-functional responsibilities and the process improvement programme; the bottom level corresponds to the implementation of improvement actions through improvement projects.

| Improvement steps                               | ORG.3 Practices   | PDCA – process improvement programme | PDCA – improvement projects |
|---|---|--------------------------------------|-----------------------------|
| Examine Organization' s needs                   | ORG.3.1 Identify improvement activities                         |                                      |                             |
| Initiate process improvement                    | ORG.3.2 Define scope of improvement activities                  | Plan                                 |                             |
| Perform process assessment                      | ORG.3.3 Understand the process                                  | Do                                   |                             |
| Analyse assessment results & derive action plan | ORG.3.4 Identify improvement<br>ORG.3.5 Prioritize improvements |                                      | Plan                        |
| Implement improvements                          | ORG.3.7 Change the process                                      |                                      | Do                          |
| Confirm the improvement                         | ORG.3.8 Confirm the improvements                                |                                      | Check                       |
| Sustain improvement gains                       | ORG.3.9 Deploy improvements                                     | Check                                | Act                         |
| Monitor performance                             | ORG.3.6 Define measures of impact                               | Act                                  |                             |

---

## Annex E (informative)

---

### Mapping to ISO 9004-4

The guidance on software process improvement described in this part of the International Standard builds directly on the guidance of ISO 9004-4. This annex provides the mapping between the clauses of this document and ISO 9004-4.

| <b>This document</b>                                    | <b>ISO 9004-4</b>   |
|---|---|
| 5. Methodology for software process improvement         | 6 Methodology for quality improvement                     |
| 5.1 Examine the organization's needs and business goals | 6.1 Involving the whole organization                      |
| 5.2 Initiate process improvement                        | 6.2 Initiating quality improvement projects or activities |
| 5.3 Prepare for and conduct a process assessment        | 6.3 Investigating possible causes                         |
| 5.4 Analyse assessment results and derive action plan   | 6.4 Establishing cause and effect relationships           |
| 5.5 Implement improvements                              | 6.5 Taking preventive or corrective actions               |
| 5.6 Confirm improvements                                | 6.6 Confirming the improvement                            |
| 5.7 Sustain improvement gains                           | 6.7 Sustaining the gains                                  |
| 5.8 Monitor performance                                 | 6.8 Continuing the improvement                            |
| 6. Cultural issues                                      | 4.2 Environment for quality improvement                   |
| 6.1 Management responsibility and leadership            | 4.2.1 Management responsibility and leadership            |
| 6.2 Values, attitudes and behaviour                     | 4.2.2 Values, attitudes and behaviours                    |
| 6.3 Process improvement goals and motivation            | 4.2.3 Quality improvement goals                           |
| 6.4 Communication and teamwork                          | 4.2.4 Communications and teamwork                         |
| 6.5 Recognition   | 4.2.5 Recognition   |
| 6.6 Education and training                              | 4.2.6 Education and training                              |
| 7. Management   | 5. Managing for quality improvement                       |
| 7.1 Organizing for process improvement                  | 5.1 Organizing for quality improvement                    |
| 7.2 Planning for process improvement                    | 5.2 Planning for quality improvement                      |
| 7.3 Measuring process improvement                       | 5.3 Measuring quality improvement                         |

7.4 Reviewing process improvement activities

5.4 Reviewing quality improvement activities