INF5390 – Kunstig intelligens **First-Order Logic**

Roar Fjellheim

Outline

- Logical commitments
- First-order logic
- First-order inference
- Resolution rule
- Reasoning systems
- Summary

Extracts from AIMA Chapter 8: First-Order Logic Chapter 9: Inference in First-Order Logic

From propositonal to first-order logic

Features of propositional logic:

- + Declarative
- + Compositional
- + "Possible-world" semantics
- Lacks expressiveness
- First-order logic:
 - Extends propositional logic
 - Keeps good features
 - Adds expressiveness

First-order logic

- First-order logic is based on "common sense" or linguistic concepts:
 - Objects: people, houses, numbers, ...
 - *Properties*: tall, red, ...
 - *Relations*: brother, bigger than, ...
 - *Functions*: father of, roof of, ...
 - Variables: x, y, .. (takes objects as values)
- First-order logic is the most important and best understood logic in philosophy, mathematics, and AI

Logic "commitments"

Ontological commitment

- Intology in philosophy, the study of "what is"
- What are the underlying assumptions of the logic with respect to the nature of *reality*

Epistemological commitment

- Epistemology in philosophy, the study of "what can be known"
- What are the underlying assumptions of the logic with respect to the nature of what the agent can know

Commitments of some logic languages

Language	Ontological Commitment	Epistemological Commitment
Propositional logic	Facts	True/false/unknown
First-order logic	Facts, objects, relations	True/false/unknown
Temporal logic	Facts, objects, relations, times	True/false/unknown
Probability theory	Facts	Degree of belief 0 1
Fuzzy logic	Facts w/degree of truth	Known interval value

First-order logic - syntax

Sentence \rightarrow AtomicSentence Sentence Connective Sentence Quantifier Variable, Λ Sentence *¬Sentence* (Sentence) AtomicSentence \rightarrow Pr edicate(Term,K) | Term = Term *Term* \rightarrow *Function*(*Term*, Λ) | *Cons* tan *t* | *Variable Connective* $\rightarrow \land |\lor| \Leftrightarrow |\Rightarrow$ *Quantifier* $\rightarrow \forall \mid \exists$

Constants, predicates, functions and terms

Constant symbols

Refer to specific objects in the world: John, 3, ..

Predicate symbols

Refer to particular relations in the model: *Brother, LargerThan*, i.e. sets of objects that satisfy the relation

Function symbols

- Refer to many-to-one object mappings: FatherOf
- *Term*, a logical expression
 - Refers to an object in the model
 - Can be a Constant, a Variable, or a Function of other terms

Atomic and complex sentences

• Atomic sentences

- ✓ State basic facts
- ✓ Examples: Brother(Richard, John) Married(FatherOf(Richard),MotherOf(John))
- *Complex* sentences
 - Composed of atomic sentences by using logical connectives (same as for propositional logic)
 - ✓ Examples: Brother(Richard, John)∧Brother(John, Richard) Older(John, 30) => ¬ Younger(John, 30)

Quantifiers and equality

- Quantifiers are used to express properties of classes of objects
- *Universal* quantification \forall
 - ✓ "For all ..." quantification
 - ✓ Example: $\forall x \ Cat(x) \Rightarrow Mammal(x)$
- Existential quantification ¬
 - ✓ "There exists ..." quantification
 - ✓ Example: $\exists x \ Sister(x, Spot) \land Cat(x)$

Equality =

- Two terms refer to same object
- ✓ Example: Father(John) = Henry

Using first-order logic: Kinship domain

- The *domain* of family relationship
 - ✓ Objects: Persons
 - Unary predicates: Male, Female
 - Binary predicates: Parent, Sibling, Brother, Sister, ...
 - Functions: Mother, Father
- Example sentences

 $\forall m, c \ Mother(c) = m \Leftrightarrow Female(m) \land Parent(m, c)$ $\forall w, h \ Husband(h, w) \Leftrightarrow Male(h) \land Spouse(h, w)$ $\forall g, c \ Grandparent(g, c) \Leftrightarrow \exists p \ Parent(g, p) \land Parent(p, c)$ $\forall x, y \ Sibling(x, y) \Leftrightarrow x \neq y \land \exists p \ Parent(p, x) \land Parent(p, y)$

TELLing and ASKing sentences

TELL an agent about kinship using assertions

- ✓ TELL(KB, ($\forall m, c \; Mother(c) = m \Leftrightarrow Female(m) \land Parent(m, c)$
- ✓ TELL(KB, (Female(Maxi) ∧ Parent(Maxi, Spot) ∧ Parent(Spot, Boots)))
- ASK an agent by posing *queries* (or *goals*)
 - √ ASK(KB,(Grandparent(Maxi, Boots)))
 - Answer: Yes
 - $\checkmark \mathsf{ASK}(KB, (\exists x Child(x, Spot)))$
 - Answer: *x* = *Boots*

))

Wumpus world revisited

Properties of locations

 $\forall x, t At(Agent, x, t) \land Breeze(t) \Rightarrow Breezy(x)$

- *Diagnostic* rules infer cause from effect $\forall y \text{ Breezy}(y) \Rightarrow \exists x \text{ Pit}(x) \land \text{Adjacent}(x,y)$
- Causal rules infer effect from cause $\forall x, y \text{ Pit}(x) \land \text{Adjacent}(x, y) \Rightarrow \text{Breezy}(y)$
- Definition of predicate
 ∀y Breezy(y) ⇔ (∃x Pit(x) ∧ Adjacent(x,y))

Inference in first-order logic

- Reduce to propositional inference
- Generalized Modus Ponens
- Forward chaining
- Backward chaining
- Resolution
- Require substitution and/or unification of terms

Substitution and unification

- Substitution is the replacement of variable(s) in a sentence with expressions
 - SUBST({x/Richard, y/John}, Brother(x,y)) =
 Brother(Richard, John)
- Unification is finding substitutions (a unifier) that make different sentences look identical
 - ✓ UNIFY(p,q) = Θ where SUBST(Θ,p) = SUBST(Θ,p)
 - √ E.g.

 $UNIFY(Knows(John, x), Knows(John, Jane)) = \{x / Jane\}$

 $UNIFY(Knows(John, x), Knows(y / Bill)) = \{x / Bill, y / John\}$

 $UNIFY(Knows(John, x), Knows(y, Mother(y))) = \{y | John, x | Mother(John)\}$

UNIFY(Knows(John, x), Knows(x, Elizabeth)) = fail

Example knowledge base

Known facts

- It is a crime for an American to sell weapons to hostile nations. The country Nono is an enemy of America and has some missiles, all sold to it by Colonel West, an America.
- Knowledge base:

 $American(x) \land Weapon(y) \land Sells(x, y, z) \land Hostile(z) \Rightarrow Cri\min al(x)$

 $Owns(Nono, M1), Missile(M1), Missile(x) \Rightarrow Weapon(x)$

 $Missile(x) \land Owns(Nono, x) \Rightarrow Sells(West, x, Nono)$

 $Enemy(x, America) \Rightarrow Hostile(x)$

American(West), Enemy(Nono, America)

Prove that West is a criminal

Reduce to propositional inference

- Can reduce first-order sentence to propositional sentence by instantiation rules
 - *UI Universal instantiation* Substitutes a constant in KB for a universally quantified variable
 - *EI Existential instantiation -* Substitutes a *new* constant for an existentially quantified variable
- Apply UI and EI systematically to replace all sentences with quantifiers with variable-free sentences
- Can the propositional inference rules to derive proofs, but it is an inefficient procedure

Generalized modus ponens - GMP

- Assumes knowledge base containing facts and rules like $p_1 \wedge p_2 \wedge \Lambda \wedge p_n \Longrightarrow q$
- The generalized modus ponens rule

 $\frac{p'_{1}, p'_{2}, \Lambda, p'_{n}, (p_{1} \land p_{2} \land \Lambda \land p_{n} \Longrightarrow q)}{SUBST(\theta, q)} \qquad \begin{array}{l} SUBST(\theta, p'_{i}) = \\ SUBST(\theta, q) \end{array}$

Example

 $Missile(M1) \qquad SUBST = \{x / M1\}$

Owns(Nono, M1)

 $\forall x \ Missile(x) \land Owns(Nono, x) \Rightarrow Sells(West, Nono, x)$

Sells(West, Nono, M1)

Completeness of first-order logic

- First-order logic is *complete*
 - If a sentence is entailed by KB, then this can be proved
 - (Gödel's completeness theorem, 1930)
 - ✓ But generalized modus ponens is *not* complete
- However, first-order logic is semi-decidable
 - If the sentence is *not* entailed by the KB, this can not always be shown
- Extension of first-order logic with mathematical induction is incomplete
 - There are true statements that cannot be proved
 - (Gödel's incompleteness theorem, 1931)

 Start with sentences in KB, apply inference rules in forward direction, adding new sentences until goal found or no further inference can be made



Production systems using FC

Main features

- Consists of rule base and working memory
- Uses rule matching and forward chaining to add facts to the working memory until a solution is found
- Rete networks are used to speed up matching

Applications

- Used in many expert systems, especially early ones
- Design and configuration systems
- Real-time monitoring and alarming
- Cognitive architectures" (SOAR)

Backward chaining - BC

 Start with goal sentence, search for rules that support goal, adding new sub-goals until match with KB facts or no further inference can be made



INF5390-AI-05 First-Order Logic

Logic programming using BC

Main features

- Restricted form of first-order logic
- Mixes control information with declarative sentences
- Backward chaining search: Prove a goal
- Prolog is the dominant logic programming language, and has been used for
 - Expert systems
 - Natural language systems
 - Compilers
 - Many others

Resolution - A complete inference procedure

 Extends generalized modus ponens by allowing rules with disjunctive conclusions

 $p_1 \wedge p_2 \wedge \Lambda \wedge p_n \Longrightarrow q_1 \vee q_2 \vee \Lambda \vee q_m$

• Resolution rule: $p \lor q, \neg p \lor r$

 $q \lor r$

- Assumes that all sentences are written in a normal form, for which there exists an efficient algorithm
 - Is a second constraint of the second sec
- A complete resolution inference procedure
 - ✓ Works by *refutation*, i.e. include ¬*Goal* in the KB
 - Then apply resolution rule until a contradiction is found

Example resolution proof



Theorem provers using resolution

Main features

- Allows expression of problems in full first-order logic
- May permit additional control information
- Performs inference by resolution or other procedure

Applications

- Assistants for mathematicians
- Synthesis and verification of hardware/software systems
- Diagnosis of technical systems

Summary

- Different logics make different commitments about the world and what can be known
- First-order logic commits to the existence of objects and relations between objects
- An agent can use first-order logic for reasoning about world states and for deciding on actions
- First-order sentences are made of *terms*, predicates, functions, quantifiers and connectives
- First-order logic is *complete* but semi-decidable, but extensions are incomplete

Summary (cont.)

- Reduce to propositional inference by replacing variables with constants Inefficient
- Generalized Modus Ponens (GMP) relies on unification, i.e. partial instantiation of variables
- Forward chaining uses GMP to derive new facts from known facts
- Backward chaining uses GMP to prove goals from known facts
- Resolution is a complete and efficient proof system for first-order logic
- Reasoning systems include theorem provers, logic programming, and production systems